## Traffic Engineering and Operations Office

System Analysis and Forecast Evaluation (SAFE) Candidates Fatal and Severe Crashes at Signalized Intersections 2017-2019

------Statewide Top 20 Sites------

Sorted by Estimated Benefit-cost Ratio (BCR)

						Propo	sed Co	unter	meas	ure						
District	RDWYID	Mile Post	Days Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	LPI	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	Months to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR
7	14030000	1.008	105								<b>~</b>		120	\$2,716,515	26	5,817.43
5	75060000	10.740	177								<b>✓</b>		203	\$1,610,120	45	3,448.08
4	86200000	3.623	257								<b>✓</b>		296	\$1,255,399	65	2,688.45
4	86100000	18.847	281								<b>✓</b>		323	\$1,098,689	71	2,352.85
4	86110000	2.682	161	<b>✓</b>	<b>&gt;</b>						✓		238	\$4,763,799	16	1,089.94
6	87037000	5.659	305	<b>✓</b>							<b>✓</b>		381	\$1,538,818	51	1,053.25
7	14030000	2.511	117				<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>		144	\$3,433,420	21	1,036.92
7	15150000	4.501	208				<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>		247	\$1,880,378	43	827.95
7	15150000	4.377	274				<b>✓</b>				~		325	\$1,428,570	57	629.01
6	87008000	5.585	276	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>		370	\$2,287,632	36	608.05
1	13040000	4.977	280				<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>		332	\$1,333,820	58	587.29
7	15220000	0.645	311	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>				<b>~</b>		384	\$1,459,738	53	527.33
7	15090000	4.418	247				<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>		323	\$2,356,514	35	400.32
4	93010101	2.052	354	<b>~</b>	<b>&gt;</b>		<b>✓</b>				<b>~</b>		631	\$3,085,537	26	371.55
7	08040000	0.460	280								<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	392	\$2,430,193	32	290.84
6	87090000	0.441	270	<b>✓</b>	<b>&gt;</b>							<b>~</b>	459	\$3,619,968	22	283.11
7	02030000	3.347	313	<b>~</b>	<b>&gt;</b>						<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	563	\$3,379,250	23	275.64
7	14010000	11.318	174			~					~	~	265	\$ <i>4,285,503</i>	17	273.46
7	14120000	0.000	81	~			<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>			~		143	\$11,791,961	6	259.93
7	14570000	0.002	150	<b>/</b>			<b>✓</b>		•	/	<b>/</b>		216	\$5,081,816	16	248.38

# Traffic Engineering and Operations Office

System Analysis and Forecast Evaluation (SAFE) Candidates
Fatal and Severe Crashes at Signalized Intersections 2017-2019

------District 1-------Sorted by Context Classification and Estimated BCR

		Days		P	ropo	sed C	Count	terme	easur	e				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	IDI	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
					ı	Four-l	leg Ir	nterse	ection	ns in I	Natu	ral (C1), Rural (C2	e) or Rural Town (	C2T)		
09110000	0.008	177		~		/	<b>/</b>	-	~		<b>/</b>	541	\$8,991,084	9	87.88	ICE analysis recommended
12020000	8.248	263	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>					533	\$4,540,502	17	53.00	
16060000	7.828	213	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	656	\$7,469,031	10	71.61	
16180000	5.946	219		/			/		~		/	519	\$6,235,650	12	57.62	ICE analysis recommended
	Thi	ree-leg Inter	sectio	ons ir	Nat	ural (	<b>C1),</b>	Rural	(C2),	Rura	l Tov	vn (C2T), Suburba	an Residential (C3	R) or Suburb	an Comm	ercial (C3C)
12020000	4.368	286	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		559	\$4,044,424	19	65.34	ICE analysis recommended
13040000	4.977	280				<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>		332	\$1,333,820	58	587.29	
							Fo	ur-le	g Inte	rsect	ions	in Suburban Com	mercial (C3C)			
12005000	3.072	205		<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	559	\$6,746,218	11	62.07	
13010000	1.732	138		~		/		~	~	/	<b>✓</b>	486	\$11,388,454	6	117.58	
13020000	4.560	221				<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		413	\$4,595,852	16	46.87	ICE analysis recommended
13020000	6.326	260		<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		619	\$4,878,876	15	47.07	ICE analysis recommended
13040000	3.969	202		<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		452	\$6,001,058	12	61.57	ICE analysis recommended
13040000	6.374	134		<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		451	\$11,449,339	6	74.74	
13040000	6.999	88		<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		185	\$13,002,120	6	137.02	
13121000	1.025	170		~		/	/			/	/	386	\$7,181,222	10	128.68	ICE analysis recommended
13121000	2.029	263		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	794	\$5,576,372	13	43.84	ICE analysis recommended

		Days		Р	ropo	sed C	Count	erme	easur	е				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	ΙЫ	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
13121000	2.810	214		~		~	<b>/</b>		/	/	/	647	\$6,839,207	11	53.77	ICE analysis recommended
13121000	7.079	276		/			/			/	/	587	\$4,197,947	17	79.26	ICE analysis recommended
13162000	1.760	85		~		~	/		~	/		176	\$13,430,561	5	167.34	
13160000	1.013	118					~			-	/	250	\$9,770,311	7	110.41	
13160000	2.539	103				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		193	\$9,846,584	7	100.41	
13160000	3.800	182			<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	356	\$5,844,058	12	81.85	ICE analysis recommended
17008000	2.022	218		-		-	/		~	/		508	\$5,746,220	13	50.80	
17008000	3.045	224		<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		382	\$4,060,450	18	74.06	
17008000	4.853	219					<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	465	\$5,260,604	14	59.45	
17010000	12.853	246					<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		442	\$3,936,759	18	54.79	
17020000	6.122	234		~		~	/		~	/	/	588	\$5,648,024	13	76.28	ICE analysis recommended
17040000	4.201	244		<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		546	\$4,943,676	15	49.04	
13121000	0.002	214	~	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	495	\$5,780,535	12	107.13	
							Fo	ur-le	g Inte	ersect	tions	in Suburban Res	idential (C3R)		•	
13010000	3.138	110							<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		148	\$5,172,480	14	81.98	
13010000	3.768	156		<b>~</b>					<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		251	\$5,225,895	14	100.53	
13010000	4.254	63		~			~		~	/		159	\$20,789,899	3	140.69	
13020000	2.745	237			~	~	/	~	~	/		846	\$6,601,497	11	38.41	ICE analysis recommended
13120001	1.711	320				<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		586	\$3,079,730	23	142.95	
13121000	6.158	291				<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	673	\$4,231,717	17	51.24	ICE analysis recommended
13130000	4.570	202				~	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	447	\$5,888,193	12	58.41	
13162000	1.258	168		-			/		~	/		346	\$6,617,848	11	61.44	
17010000	6.147	235		~			~			/	/	615	\$ <i>5,693,457</i>	13	61.20	ICE analysis recommended
17010000	12.306	201				<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>		372	\$4,959,853	14	59.44	
								Fou	ır-leg		rsect	ions in Urban Ge				
13010000	5.283	105		<b>~</b>			<b>\</b>		•	<b>~</b>		183	\$10,090,241	8	223.85	
13010000	6.077	231		<b>V</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		497	\$5,760,686	14	70.42	ICE analysis recommended

		Days		P	ropo	sed C	ount	erme	easur	е				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	IPI	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
13120001	1.455	348		<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		1075	\$4,822,362	17	46.20	
13120001	1.600	329		/		/	/		<b>/</b>	/		691	\$ <i>3,964,755</i>	21	41.78	ICE analysis recommended
17008000	0.505	253		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		481	\$4,646,286	18	63.60	ICE analysis recommended
17120000	2.665	327		<b>/</b>			<b>/</b>		<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>		729	\$4,204,161	19	41.71	ICE analysis recommended

# Traffic Engineering and Operations Office

System Analysis and Forecast Evaluation (SAFE) Candidates
Fatal and Severe Crashes at Signalized Intersections 2017-2019

------District 2------Sorted by Context Classification and Estimated BCR

		Days		P	ropo	sed C	Count	erme	easur	е				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	ГЫ	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
					F	our-	leg In	terse	ction	ıs in l	Natu	ral (C1), Rural (C2	) or Rural Town (	C2T)		
37030000	4.144	442			<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	1012	\$3,015,820	26	44.62	ICE analysis recommended
	Thi	ee-leg Inter	sectio	ns ir	Nati	ural (	C1), F	Rural	(C2),	Rura	l Tov	vn (C2T), Suburba	an Residential (C3	R) or Suburb	an Comm	ercial (C3C)
72220000	5.542	270	<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>			>	<b>✓</b>		363	\$2,230,543	35	117.88	ICE analysis recommended
							Fo	ur-le	g Inte	rsect	ions	in Suburban Com	mercial (C3C)			
26005000	8.652	275	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>			<b>&gt;</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		664	\$4,664,592	15	42.52	ICE analysis recommended
26050000	6.017	211	<b>✓</b>				<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		468	\$5,690,510	13	49.96	ICE analysis recommended
26090000	13.652	159	~				/		~	/		382	\$8,043,697	9	55.39	
72014000	2.252	257	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		530	\$4,370,446	16	99.94	
							Fo	ur-le	g Inte	ersec	tions	in Suburban Res	idential (C3R)			
26005000	4.651	233	<b>~</b>						<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		342	\$2,964,887	24	87.80	ICE analysis recommended
26005000	6.660	284	<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		533	\$3,579,854	20	40.00	
								Fou	ır-leg	Inte	rsect	ions in Urban Ge	neral (C4)			
26010000	15.212	323	~			~			~	/		538	\$3,085,548	26	78.73	
26050000	3.962	353	~			~			~	/		589	\$2,817,429	29	71.89	ICE analysis recommended
26050000	4.533	334	~			<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		1074	\$5,119,578	16	37.85	ICE analysis recommended
72291000	1.095	376	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>			<b>\</b>	<b>✓</b>		654	\$2,802,774	29	51.10	ICE analysis recommended

## Traffic Engineering and Operations Office

System Analysis and Forecast Evaluation (SAFE) Candidates
Fatal and Severe Crashes at Signalized Intersections 2017-2019

------District 3------Sorted by Context Classification and Estimated BCR

		Days		Р	ropo	sed C	count	erme	asur	е				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	ГЫ	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
	Thr	ee-leg Inter	sectio	ns in	Nat	ural (	C1), F	Rural (	(C2),	Rura	l Tov	vn (C2T), Suburba	an Residential (C3	R) or Suburb	an Comm	ercial (C3C)
58030000	15.026	257	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	541	\$4,836,476	16	157.46	ICE analysis recommended
							Fo	ur-leg	Inte	rsect	ions	in Suburban Com	nmercial (C3C)			
48020000	11.093	217	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		333	\$3,489,719	21	137.24	
48010000	8.298	278		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		605	\$4,246,255	17	59.04	ICE analysis recommended
57040000	15.037	260	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		636	\$4,988,732	14	60.65	ICE analysis recommended
58010000	4.012	275	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		615	\$4,388,352	16	58.48	
							Fo	ur-leg	Inte	ersect	tions	in Suburban Res	idential (C3R)			
48205000	7.138	294	~	1	/	/			1	~		632	\$3,943,860	18	111.44	
								Fou	r-leg	Inter	sect	ions in Urban Ge	neral (C4)			
48020000	13.474	202				/			~	~		311	\$4,295,226	19	62.69	

# Traffic Engineering and Operations Office

System Analysis and Forecast Evaluation (SAFE) Candidates
Fatal and Severe Crashes at Signalized Intersections 2017-2019

------District 4------Sorted by Context Classification and Estimated BCR

		Days		P	ropo	sed C	ount	erme	asur	e				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	ПР	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
							Fo	ur-leg	Inte	rsect	ions	in Suburban Com	mercial (C3C)			
86100000	19.529	127		/			/		<b>/</b>	/		295	\$9,845,231	7	84.54	
87002000	8.756	249	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		815	\$6,088,479	12	39.63	
93030000	6.984	142	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		389	\$9,757,515	7	82.38	
							Fo	ur-leg	g Inte	ersect	tions	in Suburban Resi	idential (C3R)			
86006000	0.688	194	~	/		~	-	~	~	/		<i>679</i>	\$ <i>7,982,210</i>	9	57.62	
86006000	1.571	216	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		949	\$7,782,172	9	45.75	ICE analysis recommended
93016000	4.138	274	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		942	\$5,616,148	13	49.10	ICE analysis recommended
93030000	6.400	236	~	/		~	/	~	/	/		1081	\$ <i>7,192,126</i>	10	46.27	ICE analysis recommended
								Fou	r-leg	Inter	secti	ons in Urban Ger	neral (C4)			
86014000	1.998	194		<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		471	\$7,514,030	11	56.88	
86039000	0.000	109					<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		206	\$10,691,287	8	95.53	
86100000	2.573	240					<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		452	\$4,865,604	17	43.48	
86090000	5.337	205	~				~		~	~		402	\$5,941,582	14	61.09	
86090000	6.855	236	~	/			-		~	~		525	\$5,812,499	14	53.47	
86014000	3.219	169	<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>			>		318	\$6,912,527	12	84.72	

		Days		F	ropo	sed C	ount	erme	easur	е				Months		Thursday, February 18, 2021
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	ď	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
86100000	17.652	203				<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		428	\$6,453,205	13	44.49	
86110000	3.398	240	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		384	\$3,902,578	21	180.17	
86110000	5.019	236					<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		426	\$4,717,485	17	49.01	
86065000	6.518	234	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>		522	\$5,867,759	14	68.52	
86200000	3.623	257								<b>✓</b>		296	\$1,255,399	65	2,688.4	
86220000	12.327	133	~	~			~			/		310	\$10,617,394	8	123.26	
89092000	1.309	207	~	<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		543	\$7,454,186	11	72.52	
93010101	2.052	354	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>		631	\$3,085,537	26	371.55	ICE analysis recommended
93010000	10.544	404	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>		821	\$3,131,128	26	64.73	
93010101	1.047	391				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		595	\$2,171,167	38	37.44	ICE analysis recommended
93016000	7.661	260	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		499	\$4,595,697	18	59.93	ICE analysis recommended
93030000	3.841	224	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		745	\$7,748,714	11	72.39	ICE analysis recommended
93030000	5.161	239	~	/			/			/		602	\$6,285,158	13	72.13	
93070000	21.166	207	~			~	/		~	/		399	\$5,754,864	14	62.66	ICE analysis recommended
93070000	22.186	254	~			~	/		~	/		531	\$5,087,139	16	47.11	ICE analysis recommended
93070000	22.690	235	~				/		~	/		566	\$6,170,105	13	42.49	
93070000	23.454	178	~			~	/			/		389	\$ <i>7,534,885</i>	11	88.20	ICE analysis recommended
93180000	3.611	236	~				/		~	/		503	\$5,582,914	15	43.42	
93150000	2.008	167	/				/			/		342	\$ <i>7,579,762</i>	11	91.78	
				Thr	ee-le	g Inte	ersec	tions	in Ur	ban	Gene	eral (C4), Urban C	enter (C5) or Urba	an Core (C6)		
86100000	18.847	281								<b>✓</b>		323	\$1,098,689	71	2,352.8	
86110000	2.682	161	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>						<b>✓</b>		238	\$4,763,799	16	1,089.9	
						Fo	ur-le	g Inte	ersect	ions	in U	rban Center (C5)	or Urban Core (C6	5)		
86006000	6.342	287				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		542	\$4,632,382	20	42.66	
86006000	0.000	129	~	/			/			/		301	\$12,429,259	7	144.30	
93016000	5.142	214	~			~	<b>/</b>			<b>/</b>		465	\$7,139,514	13	83.57	ICE analysis recommended

# Traffic Engineering and Operations Office

System Analysis and Forecast Evaluation (SAFE) Candidates
Fatal and Severe Crashes at Signalized Intersections 2017-2019

------District 5------Sorted by Context Classification and Estimated BCR

		Days		P	ropo	sed C	ount	erme	easur	е				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	ΙЫ	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
					F	our-l	leg In	terse	ection	s in l	Natu	ral (C1), Rural (C2	e) or Rural Town (	C2T)		
11010000	7.882	171	/			~	~		~		<b>/</b>	350	\$ <i>7,029,855</i>	11	83.59	ICE analysis recommended
11010000	21.224	268	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	1039	\$6,532,508	12	58.53	ICE analysis recommended
79050000	12.164	314	~	~		~			~			732	\$4,296,928	18	72.61	
	Thr	ree-leg Inter	sectio	ons in	Nat	ural (	C1), F	Rural	(C2),	Rura	l Tov	vn (C2T), Suburba	an Residential (C3	R) or Suburb	an Comme	ercial (C3C)
79190000	0.012	286	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>					<b>✓</b>		388	\$2,173,984	36	247.70	
							Fo	ur-leยู	g Inte	rsect	ions	in Suburban Com	mercial (C3C)			
11010000	11.697	249	/			~	~	~		~		539	\$4,713,381	15	77.24	ICE analysis recommended
36008000	2.418	221	/			~			~	/		385	\$4,192,455	17	76.44	
36030000	1.231	199	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		452	\$6,142,754	12	60.77	
36100000	11.838	248	/		/		/		~	/	/	760	\$5,931,124	12	40.97	ICE analysis recommended
36100000	16.473	260	<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		556	\$4,468,538	16	47.08	
36100000	17.658	283	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		497	\$3,319,772	22	62.60	
70140000	1.964	235	<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		632	\$5,855,931	12	61.53	
75200000	8.434	133					<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		251	\$7,705,431	9	68.85	
75002000	3.651	253		/		~	~	~	~	/		886	\$6,191,682	12	45.88	ICE analysis recommended
75003000	7.628	219					<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	426	\$4,861,349	15	50.96	ICE analysis recommended
75010000	2.697	177	~				<b>/</b>			<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	407	\$6,968,810	10	77.88	

		Days		P	ropo	sed C	Count	erme	easur	е				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	ΙΒΙ	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
75010000	3.413	207	<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	671	\$7,308,311	10	52.46	ICE analysis recommended
75010000	8.640	175	~						-	/		237	\$3,256,318	22	184.86	
75010000	10.147	203	~			-	/		-	~		360	\$4,694,422	15	62.02	
75039000	2.027	158	~	/	~		/			/	/	530	\$9,680,774	7	94.60	
75037000	1.126	211	~			~	/		~	/		440	\$5,403,671	13	50.04	ICE analysis recommended
75037000	2.147	175	<b>✓</b>				<b>\</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		280	\$4,659,965	15	81.49	
75200000	6.440	175	<b>✓</b>				<b>&gt;</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	401	\$7,071,921	10	79.03	
75060000	8.941	202	~				/			/	/	463	\$6,123,839	12	68.44	
75060000	10.740	177								<b>✓</b>		203	\$1,610,120	45	3,448.0	
75060000	11.729	137					<b>&gt;</b>			<b>✓</b>		239	\$6,753,523	11	83.79	
75060000	13.280	215	<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		366	\$4,197,582	17	69.93	
75060000	16.540	164	~		~	/	/			/	/	356	\$7,167,988	10	120.32	
75120000	0.449	197	<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		425	\$5,973,212	12	65.39	ICE analysis recommended
75190000	3.217	202					<b>&gt;</b>			<b>✓</b>		351	\$4,594,256	16	57.00	
75200000	2.728	144	~				/			~		295	\$ <i>7,746,278</i>	9	93.79	ICE analysis recommended
75200000	4.426	251					<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		453	\$3,902,324	18	40.54	
75200000	5.426	195	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		339	\$4,753,695	15	67.90	
75250000	5.313	192	~			~	/		/	/		363	\$5,365,988	13	68.56	
75250000	5.786	226	~			~	/		-	/		463	\$4,961,164	14	45.28	ICE analysis recommended
75080000	9.018	275	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>		552	\$3,996,842	18	47.33	ICE analysis recommended
75250000	6.298	121	~				<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		248	\$9,220,354	8	81.66	
79010000	29.920	295	<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		795	\$4,659,080	15	37.13	
79030000	6.239	262	~		~	/	/		~	/		586	\$ <i>4,607,373</i>	16	55.51	ICE analysis recommended
79160000	0.002	163	<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>&gt;</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		340	\$7,016,359	10	70.10	ICE analysis recommended
79060000	2.636	280	~		~	/	/	~	-	/	/	1062	\$ <i>5,745,525</i>	13	46.64	ICE analysis recommended
79060000	15.584	233	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		~	<b>~</b>	~	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	896	\$6,930,637	10	54.07	ICE analysis recommended

					)	a a d C	`~									Thursday, February 18, 2021
RDWYID	Mile Post	Days Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting 5	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane so	e IAI	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	Months to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
79060000	19.612	133					<b>/</b>		<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>		212	\$6,165,542	12	70.46	
79030000	5.518	188		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		395	\$6,104,974	12	64.34	
79190000	3.310	240	~		~	~			~	/		453	\$4,286,572	17	68.96	
79190000	6.137	256			/		/		~	/	<b>/</b>	613	\$4,990,172	14	44.77	
79190000	2.525	170	~	/			/		~	/		447	\$ <i>7,958,046</i>	9	77.42	
92010000	13.253	219	~		~	-			~	~		427	\$4,879,112	15	104.34	
92090000	8.833	148	~			-	/		~	/		311	\$ <i>7,689,291</i>	9	76.82	
92090000	12.105	247	~			~	/			/		403	\$3,435,760	21	77.43	ICE analysis recommended
92010000	14.018	177	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	443	\$7,390,375	10	76.35	
							Fo	ur-le	g Inte	rsec	tions	in Suburban Res	idential (C3R)			
18070000	16.687	272		~	~		~		~	~	<b>/</b>	805	\$5,283,501	14	45.55	ICE analysis recommended
75250000	4.808	176	~				/		~	/		391	\$6,757,025	11	46.85	
								Fou	ır-leg	Inte	rsect	ions in Urban Ge	neral (C4)			
36010000	24.438	109	~			~			~	~		189	\$ <i>9,708,557</i>	8	177.01	
36030000	0.540	145	~			-	/		~	/		302	\$8,952,764	9	82.90	
36110000	25.978	271					/		~	~		450	\$3,664,271	22	35.52	ICE analysis recommended
70011000	2.244	282					<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		469	\$3,513,683	23	34.06	·
70100000	8.727	243	~				~			~		458	\$4,790,855	17	58.72	
70100000	8.990	362	<b>~</b>						<b>~</b>	~		489	\$1,792,226	46	101.74	
75010000	11.530	213	~			~	<b>~</b>	~	~	<b>~</b>		604	\$7,558,103	11	59.75	
75010000	12.667	187						<b>~</b>	~	<b>~</b>		306	\$5,149,559	16	54.54	
75190000	7.152	247			_		/	•	-	/		549	\$5,534,341	15	36.76	ICE analysis recommended
79270000	2.178	247	<b>~</b>				<b>/</b>			<b>~</b>		504	\$5,142,888	16	62.27	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
79060000	20.386	298	-			_	•		_	/		626	\$4,365,193	19	61.68	
79080000	1.115	406	~		<b>~</b>	-	<b>~</b>			<b>/</b>		1050	\$3,751,293	22	32.02	
79150000	1.110	340			1	-	•		-	1		503	\$2,365,343	35	81.96	
79220000	0.991	212	<b>~</b>				<b>/</b>			•		443	\$6,093,147	13	56.42	ICE analysis recommended
73220000	0.551	212				•				•		773	70,033,147	13	30.72	Tel analysis recommended

# Traffic Engineering and Operations Office

System Analysis and Forecast Evaluation (SAFE) Candidates Fatal and Severe Crashes at Signalized Intersections 2017-2019

------District 6------Sorted by Context Classification and Estimated BCR

		Days		P	ropo	sed C	ount	terme	easur	е				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	LPI	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
	Thi	ee-leg Inter	sectio	ns ir	Nati	ural (	C1), I	Rural	(C2),	Rura	l Tov	vn (C2T), Suburba	an Residential (C3	R) or Suburb	an Commer	cial (C3C)
87090000	0.441	270	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>							<b>✓</b>	459	\$3,619,968	22	283.11	ICE analysis recommended
							Fo	ur-le	g Inte	rsect	ions	in Suburban Com	nmercial (C3C)			
87020000	13.979	184	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		506	\$7,542,098	10	65.52	
							Fo	ur-le	g Inte	ersec	tions	in Suburban Res	idential (C3R)			
87240000	11.823	280	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		516	\$3,555,118	20	58.26	
								Fou	ır-leg	Inte	rsect	ions in Urban Ge	neral (C4)			
87001000	3.132	204	~	/		~	/		~	/		634	\$8,270,336	10	66.77	ICE analysis recommended
87019000	2.242	225	-			~	/		-	/		540	\$ <i>6,426,288</i>	13	57.99	ICE analysis recommended
87020000	13.795	187	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		493	\$8,240,036	10	82.85	ICE analysis recommended
87026000	1.017	214	/			~	/		/	/		586	\$ <i>7,358,265</i>	11	48.85	ICE analysis recommended
87037000	0.888	332				~		~	~	<b>✓</b>		651	\$3,665,930	22	43.41	ICE analysis recommended
87240000	8.850	239	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		727	\$6,974,179	12	50.93	
87044000	2.648	257	-			~	~		-	~		673	\$5,994,621	14	44.41	ICE analysis recommended
87080900	33.681	326	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		565	\$3,240,135	25	59.08	
87090000	16.988	345	~	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>			~	<b>~</b>		770	\$3,982,928	21	53.07	
87120000	5.518	211	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		571	\$7,450,646	11	71.24	

		Days Between Expected KA Crashes		P	ropo	sed C	count	erme	easur	e				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post		le Expected KA	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	<u>a</u>	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR
87140000	6.677	210	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		350	\$4,742,250	17	121.01	
87140000	12.606	196	~				/		~	/		471	\$7,415,084	11	51.06	
87140000	13.101	164	~		~	~	/		~	/		487	\$10,066,349	8	63.73	ICE analysis recommended
87160000	8.615	303	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		890	\$5,405,488	15	64.30	
87034000	4.981	198	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		357	\$5,631,046	15	79.87	
87240000	9.853	236	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		733	\$7,155,958	11	57.77	
87281000	8.194	165	~			~	/		~	/		331	\$ <i>7,536,471</i>	11	81.62	
87250000	2.967	278	<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		503	\$3,999,512	20	56.73	ICE analysis recommended
87008000	5.585	276	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>				<b>✓</b>		370	\$2,287,632	36	608.05	
90010000	1.106	360		/		~		~	~	/		1109	\$ <i>4,673,653</i>	17	44.78	ICE analysis recommended
				Thr	ee-le	g Inte	ersec	tions	in Uı	ban	Gene	eral (C4), Urban C	enter (C5) or Urba	n Core (C6)		
87037000	5.659	305	<b>~</b>							<b>✓</b>		381	\$1,538,818	51	1,053.25	
						Fo	ur-le	g Inte	ersect	tions	in U	rban Center (C5)	or Urban Core (C6)			
87030000	2.428	212	~			~	<b>/</b>	~	~	<b>/</b>		534	\$8,028,774	12	57.63	

# Traffic Engineering and Operations Office

System Analysis and Forecast Evaluation (SAFE) Candidates Fatal and Severe Crashes at Signalized Intersections 2017-2019

------District 7-------Sorted by Context Classification and Estimated BCR

		Days		P	ropo	sed (	Count	terme	easur	e		Dave Between		Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	LPI	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
	Thr	ree-leg Inter	sectio	ons ir	Nat	ural (	(C1), I	Rural	(C2),	Rura	al Tov	vn (C2T), Suburba	an Residential (C3	R) or Suburb	an Commei	rcial (C3C)
02030000	3.347	313	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>						<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	563	\$3,379,250	23	275.64	ICE analysis recommended
02050000	13.553	236	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	537	\$5,631,922	14	62.68	ICE analysis recommended
08040000	0.460	280								<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	392	\$2,430,193	32	290.84	ICE analysis recommended
10110000	7.674	262	<b>~</b>				<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	511	\$4,397,768	18	54.81	ICE analysis recommended
14050000	11.328	254									~	309	\$1,683,785	46	213.44	
14120000	1.321	198					<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		291	\$3,845,985	20	68.44	
	Four-leg Intersections in Suburban Commercial (C3C)															
02030000	16.475	317				<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		780	\$4,104,592	18	44.04	ICE analysis recommended
08020000	1.934	211	<b>~</b>				<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	485	\$5,848,462	12	65.36	
08020000	4.773	215				~	-		/	~	/	506	\$5,838,985	12	54.57	ICE analysis recommended
08040000	4.592	220				<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	421	\$4,744,671	15	70.90	ICE analysis recommended
08040000	5.085	259				<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	476	\$3,846,997	19	75.04	ICE analysis recommended
10010000	11.179	145	/				~			~	~	333	\$8,523,376	8	95.25	
10010000	15.708	144				<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>		257	\$6,740,118	11	81.79	
10010000	16.707	245	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		544	\$4,923,382	15	44.53	ICE analysis recommended
10010000	18.510	197					~			~	/	416	\$5,873,470	12	66.38	
10060000	17.223	229	<b>~</b>				<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	691	\$6,386,070	11	57.00	

		Days		F	ropo	sed C	count	term	easur	е				Months		
RDWYID Post Expec	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	IPI	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments	
10110000	7.075	145				<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	340	\$8,693,827	8	81.26	ICE analysis recommended
10110000	8.931	253				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		413	\$3,355,815	21	44.93	ICE analysis recommended
10250000	1.149	285	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		485	\$3,164,457	23	52.72	ICE analysis recommended
10290000	1.011	252					<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		418	\$3,469,210	21	33.63	ICE analysis recommended
10290000	1.517	213					<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		436	\$5,244,104	14	36.61	ICE analysis recommended
14120000	2.010	107	<b>~</b>				<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		246	\$11,633,486	6	89.79	
14030000	1.008	105								<b>✓</b>		120	\$2,716,515	26	5,817.43	ICE analysis recommended
14030000	1.512	175				<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		250	\$3,776,468	19	106.71	ICE analysis recommended
14030000	1.712	93	~			/	/		/	/		171	\$10,818,622	7	177.29	ICE analysis recommended
14030000	2.511	117				<b>~</b>				<b>✓</b>		144	\$3,433,420	21	1,036.92	ICE analysis recommended
14030000	8.758	129	<b>~</b>				<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>		243	\$7,942,739	9	97.34	
14030000	9.058	249	~				~		-	/		488	\$4,310,695	17	44.33	
14030000	9.415	165				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>		304	\$6,029,978	12	102.14	ICE analysis recommended
14030000	9.729	106	~			-	~	-	-	/		271	\$12,512,563	6	108.10	ICE analysis recommended
14030000	10.000	216				<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>			<b>✓</b>		326	\$3,398,724	21	78.35	ICE analysis recommended
14120000	0.000	81	~			~	/			/		143	\$11,791,961	6	259.93	
14030000	13.846	81	/			~	/	/	~	/	/	231	\$17,645,568	4	176.06	ICE analysis recommended
14030000	14.825	201				<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	~	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	>	567	\$7,013,321	10	71.39	ICE analysis recommended
08020000	0.013	251	<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>\</b>	724	\$5,713,399	13	53.42	
14571000	8.285	136	~				~			/	/	313	\$9,071,535	8	101.38	
14090000	1.780	197	~		~		<b>~</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>\</b>	401	\$5,633,136	13	99.29	
14090000	18.310	247							~	<b>/</b>		321	\$2,038,024	35	42.96	
14091000	0.759	196					<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>	<b>/</b>	414	\$5,903,417	12	66.71	
14120000	2.516	134					<b>~</b>	~	~	<b>✓</b>		262	\$7,915,622	9	76.73	ICE analysis recommended
14120000	3.032	193					<b>\</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		392	\$5,736,365	13	48.28	ICE analysis recommended
14570000	0.872	227	~	~		~			~	<b>~</b>		404	\$4,231,289	17	105.36	ICE analysis recommended
14570000	2.717	119	~	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>		246	\$9,406,366	8	176.20	

		Days		P	ropo	sed C	ount	erme	easur	е				Months		
RDWYID	Mile Post	Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting	FYA	LT Offset	LT Lane	RT Lane	LPI	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
14572000	0.420	107					<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	226	\$10,796,534	7	122.01	ICE analysis recommended
15040000	2.419	205					<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		385	\$5,018,478	14	44.84	
15061000	5.944	201	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>&gt;</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		618	\$7,364,844	10	63.87	ICE analysis recommended
15061101	0.187	277			~		~	/	~	~		563	\$4,007,439	18	42.26	
15120000	8.114	175	<b>✓</b>				<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>		268	\$4,340,647	17	104.52	
15030018	0.583	149					<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	329	\$8,013,470	9	76.94	
15150000	7.889	209				<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		456	\$5,655,160	13	38.71	ICE analysis recommended
15150000	8.384	186				~	~		~	~		316	<i>\$4,857,799</i>	15	53.77	ICE analysis recommended
15150000	23.114	200				/	/		~	-	/	615	\$7,409,337	10	48.12	
15150000	25.842	169					/		<b>/</b>	-	-	373	\$7,074,153	10	67.93	
15230000	4.646	265				1	/	1	~	/		664	\$4,971,237	14	40.86	ICE analysis recommended
	Four-leg Intersections in Suburban Residential (C3R)															
10010000	9.665	259					<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		381	\$2,684,480	27	47.77	
10340000	11.896	134				<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	361	\$10,221,780	7	93.08	ICE analysis recommended
14010000	11.318	174			/					/	/	265	\$4,285,503	17	273.46	
15030000	4.541	224							~	~	/	355	\$3,569,151	20	64.51	ICE analysis recommended
								Fou	ır-leg	Inte	sect	ions in Urban Ger	neral (C4)			
10030000	0.504	226	<b>✓</b>			<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		536	\$6,387,746	13	58.15	ICE analysis recommended
10130000	6.038	329	<b>~</b>			<b>\</b>	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>		960	\$4,977,556	16	33.20	
10040000	2.159	265	<b>~</b>	<b>&gt;</b>		<b>&gt;</b>	~		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		1036	\$6,995,639	12	45.00	
10020000	5.355	220					/		~	/		433	\$5,532,904	15	43.37	
14570000	0.002	150	~			~			-	/		216	\$5,081,816	16	248.38	
15090000	4.418	247				<b>\</b>				<b>~</b>		323	\$2,356,514	35	400.32	
15150000	4.377	274				_				/		325	\$1,428,570	57	629.01	
15150000	4.501	208				<b>\</b>				<b>~</b>		247	\$1,880,378	43	827.95	
15230000	5.389	171				•	_		_	<b>✓</b>		350	\$7,426,437	11	51.85	

Thursday, February 18, 2021

RDWYID	Mile Post	Days Between Expected KA Crashes	Backplates	Crosswalk	Lighting 6	EAA EAA	LT Offset no	LT Lane ma	RT Lane sea	e III	DSWF	Days Between Expected KA Crashes After Treatment	Expected Savings of Treatment(s)	Months to Reduce One KA Crash	BCR	Comments
				Thr	ee-le	g Inte	rsect	ions	in Url	ban (	Gene	ral (C4), Urban C	enter (C5) or Urba	an Core (C6)		
15220000	0.645	311	<b>✓</b>			<b>✓</b>				<b>~</b>		384	\$1,459,738	53	527.33	
	Four-leg Intersections in Urban Center (C5) or Urban Core (C6)															
15090000	5.391	404				<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>		851	\$3,662,198	25	39.30	