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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Scope
Add the following:

Conform to the date specific AASHTO Publications listed in Structures Manual Introduction I.6 References.

For evaluation of existing support structures, including the addition of attachments, use the LFRD Specification for Structural Supports for Highway Signs, Luminaires and Traffic Signals. See FDOT Design Manual (FDM) and Section 18 of this Manual for requirements.

2 GENERAL FEATURES OF DESIGN
(Rev. 01/22)

2.1 Scope
Add the following:

See FDM regarding the use of FDOT Standard Plans and other design requirements.

C 1.1
Add the following: Structures Manual Introduction I.6 is updated annually to reflect the specific specifications editions and interims adopted by the FDOT.

For existing supports, FDM 261.7 defines when structural evaluation is necessary and lists FDOT Design Exception and Variation requirements.

C 2.1
Add the following: FDM contains additional FDOT requirements for sign, signal and lighting structures. The Standard Plans contains drawings for all typical sign, signal and lighting structures.
2.4 Functional Requirements

2.4.2 Structural Supports for Signs and Traffic Signals

2.4.2.2 Size, Height and Location of Signs

Add the following:

Span type overhead sign structures in urban locations shall be designed for the actual signs shown on the signing plans and a minimum sign area of 120 sq. ft. (12 ft. W x 10 ft. H) per lane. The minimum sign area applies to lanes without signs and lanes with sign sizes smaller than the minimum. A lane is considered to be without signs when 8 feet or more of the lane is not under a sign. Adjust the sign width when necessary while maintaining a minimum sign area of 120 sq. ft. (e.g. 8 ft. W x 15 ft. H). If the signing plans require signs for only one traffic direction, the minimum sign area per lane requirement applies to the traffic lanes in this direction only.

Cantilever type overhead sign structures in urban locations shall be designed either for the actual signs shown on the signing plans or for a minimum sign area of 80 sq. ft. (8 ft. W x 10 ft. H) located at the end of the cantilever, whichever provides the larger load or stress at the location under consideration.

Figures 1 and 2 show how to apply the above minimum sign areas for span type overhead sign structures in urban locations.

Overhead signs in rural locations should be designed for the actual sign shown on the signing plans.

C 2.4.2.2
Add the following:
Minimum sign areas provide additional capacity for future sign panel installations.

See FDM 102 for a link to the Urban Boundary Maps. See FDM for cantilever and span overhead sign support location criteria.
2.4.2.4 Changeable (Dynamic) Message Signs (Rev. 01/22)

Add the following:

For all walk-in overhead Dynamic Message Sign (DMS) structures, the horizontal member shall consist of a truss with a minimum of two chords with a minimum center-to-center distance between the chords of 3'-0". See FDOT LTS Section 11.8 for maximum span-to-depth ratios.

FDOT vertical clearance requirements for walk-in DMS structures are found in *FDM* 210.

For vertical clearance and structural design of walk-in DMS support structures, use a DMS size of 8ft. H x 30ft. W x 5ft. D with a weight of 5500 lbs.

C 2.4.2.4

Add the following:

The minimum requirements given provide additional measures to limit the possibility of galloping.

Since cantilever walk-in overhead DMS structures are more susceptible to fatigue than span overhead DMS structures, span structures should be used whenever possible.

The DMS design size and weight are the maximum values of the system listed on the FDOT Approved Products List at the time of publication.
2.4.2.5 Horizontal Span and Cantilever Limits

New Section, add the following:

See FDM 261.1 for sign and signal support structure limits.

2.6 Integration of Structural Supports With Roadway and Bridge Design

2.6.1 Signs

Add the following:

On Bridges, installation of all permanent signs and associated sign supports other than Standard Plans Indexes 700-012 and 700-013 must be approved by the District Structures Design Engineer.

For permanent signs directed towards traffic on the bridge and that are attached to bridge superstructures, limit the total sign area to 25 square feet per support.

When signs directed towards the lower roadway are approved to be attached to substructures or superstructures, limit the height of the signs and associated sign supports to between the top of the adjacent traffic/pedestrian railing and 6" above the bottom of the adjacent beam/girder.

See SDG 1.9.D for additional requirements.

Modification for Non-Conventional Projects:

Delete the first paragraph of LRFDLTS-1 2.6.1 and insert the following:

Installation of all permanent signs and associated sign supports other than Standard Plans Indexes 700-012 and 700-013 on bridges is not permitted unless otherwise written in the RFP.

C 2.6.1

Add the following:

See FDM 215 and FDOT SDG Section 1.9 for criteria for making attachments to traffic railings.

Signs directed towards the lower roadway that are attached to bridges are not permitted to extend above a traffic railing because they are not crashworthy designs. In addition, wind forces induced on the bridge could cause unforeseen stresses, hinder future bridge widenings and create aesthetic concerns for the bridge travelers.

See FDM 210.10.3 for vertical clearance requirements.
3 LOADS

3.8 Wind Load

Delete Table 3.8.1 and replace it with the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure Support Type</th>
<th>Interval (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Overhead sign structures</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Luminaire support structures &gt;50' in height.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mast Arm Signal Structures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Monotubes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• High Mast Light Poles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ITS Camera Poles &gt;50' in height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bridge Aesthetic Lighting Structures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Luminaire supports and other structures ≤ 50' in height.</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concrete and Steel Strain Poles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Roadside sign structures</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.8.2 Basic Wind Speed

Delete the entire paragraph including Figures 3.8-1, 3.8-2, 3.8-3 and 3.8-4 and add the following:

For the 700 year Extreme Event Limit State, use the wind speeds (mph) shown in FDOT SDG Table 2.4.1-1

For the 300 year Extreme Event Limit State, use the wind speeds (mph) shown in FDOT SDG Table 2.4.1-1 minus 10 mph.

For the 10 year Extreme Event Limit State, use a design wind speed of 110 mph for the entire state.

For the Service Limit State, use a design wind speed of 90 mph for the entire state.

For temporary ground signs, luminaires and span wire traffic signals, for both the Extreme Event and Service Limit States,

C 3.8

FDOT continues the past practice of determining wind speeds based on structure type. Luminaire support structures shall include all support elements including all poles, arms, connections and anchorages for all high-mast lighting, roadway lighting, sign lighting, underdeck lighting, landscape lighting, and bridge aesthetic lighting.

Based on the ASD-LTS Specifications, the design life is:
- 10 years for ground signs.
- 25 years for conventional light poles and strain poles.
- 50 years for mast arms, high mast light poles and overhead sign structures.

C 3.8.2

Add the following:

FDOT SDG Table 2.4.1-1 was derived from the ASCE 7-10 wind speed map.

To simplify the design process, FDOT has designated one wind speed per county for the 700 year and 300 year Extreme Event Limit States. To maintain consistency with past practice, a 110 mph design wind speed was chosen for the 10 year Extreme Event Limit State, and an 80 mph design wind speed was chosen for temporary ground sign supports.
use a design wind speed of 80 mph for the entire state.

3.8.7 Drag Coefficients $C_d$
Add the following to Table 3.8.7-1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Signals - no ability to swing</th>
<th>1.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Signals - installed on 2 wire, 2 point connections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without Backplates</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Backplates</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Panels - installed with a tilt angle between 15 and 30 degrees</td>
<td>2.1 (positive) 1.8 (negative)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C 3.8.7 Add the following to note 2 at the bottom of Table 3.8.7-1:
On span wire systems where signals and signs are allowed to swing, varying $C_d$ as a function of swing angle was included in the original ATLAS Program (Hoit and Cook 1997). Simplified drag coefficients for traffic signals installed with the ability to swing under controlled experimental conditions (i.e. no wind gust effects) has been suggested through research (Cook 2007). Current FDOT drag coefficients are based on parametric studies conducted in FDOT research report Dual Cable Supports for Wide Intersections (Contract C9G79, Sunna, 2015)

ATLAS is a span wire software program distributed by the University of Florida Bridge Software Institute (BSI). Do not consider uplift in the design of cable supported traffic signal systems designed using LRFD ATLAS and constructed using FDOT Standard Plans. To simplify design, the drag coefficients required by the FDOT have been adjusted to account for uplift. Accordingly, ATLAS v7 no longer permits user input for uplift of cable supported traffic signal systems.

The coefficients given for solar panels are approximately the same as those given in ASCE 7-10, Figure 27.4-4 for inclined mono-sloped roofs. See simplified illustration in FDOT Figure 3.8.7-1.
FDOT Figure 3.8.7-1 Drag Coefficients for Solar Panels

3.9 Design Wind Loads On Structures

Add the following:

The area of a traffic signal on new mast arms and span wires shall include a 6 inch wide backplate, use the following areas for traffic signals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Projected Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12&quot; Signal Section</td>
<td>1.36 sf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Section 2.5&quot; wide Backplate</td>
<td>3.05 sf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Section 2.5&quot; wide Backplate</td>
<td>3.54 sf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Section 2.5&quot; wide Backplate</td>
<td>4.02 sf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Section 6&quot; wide Backplate</td>
<td>5.67 sf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Section 6&quot; wide Backplate</td>
<td>6.83 sf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Section 6&quot; wide Backplate</td>
<td>8.00 sf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C 3.9.1

Add the following:

Areas given are for standard signals in Florida. For example, the total area for a 3 head signal with backplate is equal to:

\[(3 \times 1.36 \text{ sf}) + 5.67 \text{ sf} = 9.75 \text{ sf} \]

2.5 inch wide backplates are only used in retrofit installations.
3.10 References

Add the following:


4 ANALYSIS AND DESIGN - GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

4.7 Analysis of Span Wire Structures (Rev. 01/22)

Add the following:

When suspended (hanging) box span systems with FDOT two-point two-wire configurations are required, the following attachments and support structure may be used without analysis if meeting the given geometry constraints.

A. Geometry:

1. Square or rectangular suspended box with corner angles 90 degrees ± 15 degrees.
2. Angle of pole cables to hanging box cables 135 degrees ± 15 degrees.
3. Maximum Pole-to-Pole distance at 220 feet.
4. Pole to hanging box cable length may not exceed 25 feet.

B. Attachments:

1. Signals: Maximum number of three-lens signals with back-plate per span:

C 4.7

Add the following:

When using the ATLAS program for typical box span configurations, wind directions of 0, 45 and 90 degrees are usually sufficient for design.

If designing box span wire configurations with FDOT two-point two-wire connections, and ATLAS is having difficulty converging on a solution, the following approximate methodology may be used unless otherwise directed by the DSDE: design each of the four spans as an individual span using wind loads acting perpendicular to the span. For the pole design, multiply the maximum pole moment from the individual span analysis by 1.3 to account for wind from variable directions and the cable forces from the adjacent span. For the foundation design, multiply the maximum single span pole moment and shear by 1.3.

When using the approximate method for unusual box span configurations with two-wire two-point connections, the DSDE may require a higher multiplier than 1.3.
a. For counties with LRFD Design
Wind Speed = 160 mph: 4.

b. For counties with LRFD Design
Wind Speed = 140 mph: 6.

c. For counties with LRFD Design
Wind Speed = 120 mph: 6.

d. For Allowable Stress Design,
subtract 10 mph.

2. Signs per box span: for each 3’x 2’
sign, subtract two signals from the
maximum given in item 1) above.

C. Support Structure:

1. Pole Type: PS-X as shown in FDOT
   Standard Plans Index 649-010

2. Cables: All cables ½” diameter
   meeting the requirements of FDOT
   Specification 634.

3. Cable Configuration: as shown in
   FDOT Standard Plans Index 634-
   001.

For intersections with geometry outside
the values given above, a finite element
analysis is required to determine the
number of attachments allowed.

5 STEEL DESIGN

5.4 Material

*Replace 5.4 with the following:*

Use the materials specified in the following
documents:

- FDOT Structures Manual
- FDOT Standard Specifications for
  Road and Bridge Construction
- FDOT Standard Plans

Do not specify ASTM A588 (rustic, Corten,
"self-oxidizing", or "self-weathering") steel
in sign, signal, or lighting structures.

C 5.4

*Add the following:*

In some environmental conditions in
Florida, A588 steel has deteriorated
significantly.
5.6 General Dimensions and Details

5.6.3 Transverse Plate Thickness

Add the following:
The minimum base plate thickness shall be 2½ inches for mast arm signal structures, steel ITS poles, and steel strain poles, and 3 inches for high mast light poles.

For base plate connections without stiffeners on 700 year recurrence interval structures, only use full-penetration groove welds.

5.12 Combined Forces

Add the following:
When designing mast arm signal structures, replace “≤ 1.0” with “≤ 0.95” in all equations under this section.

5.13 Cables And Connections

Add the following:
Use the cable breaking strength values specified in FDOT Specifications Section 634.

Use $\phi_{rt} = 0.6$

5.14 Welded Connections

Add the following:
On steel sign, lighting, and signal support structures, no circumferential welds are permitted on the uprights, arms or chords with the following exceptions:

- The upright to base plate weld
- The flange plate connection weld on tubular truss chords
- Mitered arm-to-upright angle welds on monotubes
- Uprights with lengths greater than available mill lengths.

C 5.6.3

Add the following:
Research has proven full-penetration groove welds combined with thicker base plates increases the pole-to-base-plate connection fatigue strength.

C 5.12

Add the following:
For mast arms, designing to a maximum limit of 0.95 allows for future attachments such as cameras and other ITS equipment.

C 5.13

Add the following:
Cables used in the construction of span-wire pole structures are listed in FDOT Specifications Section 634.

C 5.14

Add the following:
The Department’s intent is to avoid any unnecessary welds on sign, signal or lighting structures.

Typical mill lengths for pipes and tubes are typically 35 feet and greater. When upright splices are proposed by the fabricator, approved shop drawings are required (Specifications 5-1.4.2) with documentation showing the required pipe is unavailable in the lengths required.
5.15 Bolted Connections

Add the following:
Design all pole to arm connections on Mast Arm structures as “through bolted” using a minimum of six bolts.

5.16 Anchor Bolt Connections

Add the following:
All sign, signal, and lighting structures designed for a 700 year mean recurrence interval wind speed shall use a minimum of eight ASTM F1554 Grade 55 anchor bolts at the pole to foundation connection, with the exception of Mast Arm signal structures, where the minimum is six anchor bolts.

5.16.1 Anchor Bolt Types

Delete anchor bolts types listed in the second and third bullet and add the following:
Both Adhesive anchors and threaded post-tensioning bars are not permitted.

5.16.2 Anchor Bolt Materials

Add the following:
Only use ASTM F 1554 anchor bolts with 55 ksi yield strength.

C 5.15
Add the following:
Tapped connections are not permitted. Through bolted connections allow for fully tensioned F3125 bolts.

C 5.16
Add the following:
A minimum of eight anchor bolts provides redundancy and better distribution of forces through the base plate.

C 5.16.1
Add the following:
FDOT only allows straight headed anchor bolts.

Adhesive anchor and threaded post-tensioning bars have undesirable creep and non-ductile behavior respectively.

C 5.16.2
Add the following:
ASTM F 1554 Grade 55 anchor bolts provide sufficient ductility after yield to engage all the anchor bolts on the tension side of the base plate.
C 5.16.3 Add the following:
A structural grout pad significantly contributes to the design load carrying capacity of anchor bolts in cantilever structures.

When significant torsion is transmitted from the base plate to the anchor bolt group, a structural grout pad permits the anchors to develop their full shear strength, Cook et al. (2013).

Inspections have shown that a poorly functioning grout pad is worse than no grout pad at all. For poles without a grout pad beneath the base plate, the double-nut moment joint requires adequate tensioning of the anchor bolts. It is critical that the nuts beneath the base plate, typically referred to as leveling nuts, are firmly tightened and locked to prevent loosening. This locking mechanism is accomplished through the turn of the nut method specified in FDOT Specifications Section 649 or a properly placed grout pad.

5.19 References
Add the following:

6 ALUMINUM DESIGN

6.1 Scope
Add the following:
Do not specify aluminum overhead sign structure supports with the exception of the vertical sign panel hangers, which may be aluminum or steel.

C 6.1 Add the following:
Aluminum overhead sign structures have been prone to unacceptable levels of vibration and fatigue cracking.
6.4 Material and Material Properties

Add the following:
Use the materials specified in the following documents:

- FDOT Structures Manual
- FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction
- FDOT Standard Plans

7 PRESTRESSED CONCRETE DESIGN

7.4 Materials

7.4.2 Normal and Lightweight Concrete

7.4.2.1 General

Replace 7.4.2.1 with the following:
Use the materials specified in the following documents:

- FDOT Structures Manual
- FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction
- FDOT Standard Plans

For Standard Prestressed Concrete Pole Design, the minimum compressive concrete strength shall be 6 ksi.

7.4.3 Reinforcing Steel

7.4.3.1 General

Replace 7.4.3.1 with the following:
Use the materials specified in the following documents:

- FDOT Structures Manual
- FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction
- FDOT Standard Plans

C 7.4.2.1
Add the following:
FDOT uses Class V Special, 6 ksi or Class VI 8.5 ksi concrete in accordance with Specifications Section 346.
7.4.4 Prestressing Steel

7.4.4.1 General

Replace 7.4.4.1 with the following:
Use the materials specified in the following documents:

- FDOT Structures Manual
- FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction
- FDOT Standard Plans

7.6 Design

Add the following:

The minimum clear concrete cover for all prestressed and non-prestressed poles is 1 inch.

7.6.1 General

Add the following:

For Standard Prestressed Concrete Pole Design, see Standard Plans Instructions Index 641-010, for the Moment Capacities for the Extreme Event Limit State.

10 SERVICEABILITY REQUIREMENTS

10.4.2.1 Vertical Supports (Rev. 01/22)

Add the following:

Under Service I load combination, limit the horizontal deflection of concrete poles supporting Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) cameras to one-inch in a 40-mph wind speed (3-second gust).

C 7.6

Add the following:

FDOT requires a minimum 1 inch cover on all concrete poles in all environments.

C 7.6.1

Add the following:

FDOT uses Prestressed Concrete Poles in accordance with Index 641-010 and Specifications Section 641. After analysis of the proposed span-wire pole structure, the Designer selects the appropriate pole using the design moment values given in the Standard Plans Instructions for Index 641-010.

C 10.4.2.1

Add the following:

The deflection check for CCTV poles is a FDOT requirement to prevent excessive shaking of the camera under typical operating conditions.
**10.5 Camber**

*Replace this section with the following:*

Provide a design camber equal to 2.5 times the dead load deflection for overhead sign structures. For span overhead sign structures, arch the horizontal member upwards and for cantilever overhead sign structures rake the vertical support backwards. For mast arm signal structures, provide a two degree upward angle at the arm/upright connection.

**11 FATIGUE DESIGN**

**11.6 Fatigue Importance Factors (Rev. 01/22)**

*Add the following:*

Use Fatigue Category I for all sign, traffic signal, and lighting support structures (including all DMS support structures) with the following exceptions:

A. For Galloping, use Fatigue Category II for all FDOT Standard flat panel sign, traffic signal, and lighting support structures meeting the limits in FDOT 2.4.2.5.

B. For Natural Wind Gusts, use Fatigue Category II for all FDOT Standard traffic signal and lighting support structures meeting the limits in FDOT 2.4.2.5.

**C 10.5**

*Add the following:*

Design camber = Permanent camber + dead load deflection. Permanent camber equal to 1.5 times the dead load deflection provides for a better appearance than the relatively small L/1000 given in AASHTO. For mast arms, a two degree upward angle at the arm/upright connection is standard industry practice.

**C 11.6**

*Add the following:*

Sign, signal and lighting structures built using FDOT *Standard Plans* have historically performed well.
11.7 Fatigue Design Loads

11.7.1 Sign and Traffic Signal Structures

11.7.1.1 Galloping (Rev. 01/22)
Replace the 2nd, 3rd and 4th paragraphs with the following:

Vibration mitigation devices are seldom necessary and installed only after excessive vibration has been observed and the device is approved by the Department. Mast arms with signs only have a higher tendency to vibrate.

Cantilevered sign support structures with horizontal three or four chord trusses have never been reported to vibrate from vortex shedding or galloping. (ref. FHWA Guidelines for the Installation, Inspection, Maintenance and Repair of Structural Supports for Highway Signs, Luminaries, and Traffic Signals).

11.7.1.1 Galloping (Rev. 01/22)

Mast arm designed only for flat panel signs and/or DMS panels require the installation of FDOT Developmental Standard Plans D659-049 for Damping Device for Miscellaneous Structures.

Exclude galloping loads for the fatigue design of overhead cantilevered sign and DMS support structures with three or four chord horizontal trusses with bolted web to chord connections.

C 11.8
Add the following:

The minimum requirements given provide additional measures to limit the possibility of galloping

11.8 Deflection (Rev. 01/22)

In addition, walk-in DMS structures shall also meet the following maximum span-to-depth ratios:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walk-In DMS Structure Type</th>
<th>Max. Span-to-Depth Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Span Structure</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Cantilever Structure</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13 FOUNDATION DESIGN

13.6 Drilled Shafts

Add the following:

Drilled shafts are the standard foundation type on high mast light poles, overhead signs, mast arms and steel strain poles.

C 13.6

Add the following:

For standard drilled shaft details, see Standard Plans Indexes 700-040, 700-041, 715-010, 649-010 and 649-031 for overhead sign structures, high mast light poles, steel strain poles, and mast arms.

Per the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction Section 346-Portland Cement Concrete, mass concrete control provisions are not required for drilled shafts supporting sign, signal, lighting or intelligent transportations (ITS) structures.
13.6.1 Geotechnical Design

13.6.1.1 Embedment (Rev. 01/22)

Add the following:

For overturning resistance, use the following $\phi$ factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI Winds (yrs)</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For torsion resistance of cylindrical foundations in cohesionless soils supporting Mast Arm signal cantilever overhead sign structures and cantilever ground sign structures, use the following equations:

$$ T_u \leq \phi_{\text{tor}} \cdot T_n $$

Where

- $T_u$ = Torsion force on the drilled shaft
- $T_n$ = Nominal torsion resistance of the drilled shaft
- $\phi_{\text{tor}}$ = Resistance Factor for torsion
  - 1.0 for Mast Arm signal structures
  - 0.9 for overhead cantilever sign structures
  - 1.3 for cantilever ground sign structures
- $D$ = diameter of the drilled shaft
- $L$ = length of the drilled shaft
- $F_s$ = unit skin friction
- $\sigma_v$ = effective vertical stress at mid-layer
- $N_{60}$ = the equivalent safety hammer SPT blow count uncorrected for overburden
- $\omega_{\text{fdot}}$ = load transfer ratio where the allowable shaft rotation may exceed 10 degrees

C 13.6.1.1

Add the following:

Since sign, lighting and signal foundations have performed well in Florida, LRFD $\phi$ factors have been calibrated to allowable stress design.

The torsion resistance equation is based on the theory for the Beta Method (O'Neill and Reese, 1999). The torsional resistance from the bottom face of the shaft is omitted to increase the conservatism in this approximate calculation. A single $\omega_{\text{fdot}}$ factor of 1.5 is used to adjust for the concurrent overturning and torsional forces and to compare with past FDOT practice. Since the consequence of a torsion soil-structure failure is usually small, some rotation may occur from the design wind.

Since cantilever overhead sign structures can have significantly more torsion than a Mast Arm, a lower resistance $\phi$ factor = 0.90 is appropriate. Cantilevered Ground Signs have a higher resistance factor greater than 1 due to a lower consequence of failure.

For soils with SPT N-values less than 5, consult the Geotechnical Engineer for additional recommendations.
13.6.2 Structural Design

Add the following:
Longitudinally reinforce drilled shaft foundations with a minimum of 1% steel. At a minimum, place #5 stirrups at 4 inch spacing in the top two feet of shaft. In cantilever structures, design for shear resulting from the torsion loading on the anchor bolt group.

13.6.2.1 Details

Replace the second sentence with the following:
A minimum concrete cover of six inches over steel reinforcement is required.

Add the following:
The minimum design diameter for drilled shafts is 3 feet and the maximum design diameter is 6 feet. A minimum main reinforcement clear spacing of six inches is required for proper concrete consolidation. The top five feet of stirrups in drilled shafts

13.6.2

Add the following:
Using 1% steel is conservative for flexural design in most cases. Additional stirrups in the top of the shaft provides resistance against shear failure in the top of the shaft. Due to torsion, additional stirrups may be required in cantilever structures.

C 13.6.2.1

Add the following:
FDOT requires six inches of cover to ensure durability in drilled shafts.

Drilled shafts with design diameters greater than 6 feet should be avoided. Concrete consolidation below the anchor bolts becomes more difficult with reinforcement clear spacing less than six inches. Larger shaft diameters should be considered to increase reinforcement spacing.
for sign, signal and lighting structures are exempt from this spacing requirement.

**Modification for Non-Conventional Projects:**

Delete FDOT 13.6.2.1 and insert the following:

*Replace the second sentence with the following:*

A minimum concrete cover of six inches over steel reinforcement is required.

*Add the following:*

A minimum reinforcement clear spacing of six inches is required for proper concrete consolidation. The top five feet of stirrups in drilled shafts for sign, signal and lighting structures are exempt from this spacing requirement.

### 13.7 Spread Footings

**13.7.1 Geotechnical Design**

*Replace 13.7.1 with the following:*

The bearing capacity and settlement of spread footings in various types of soils may be estimated according to methods prescribed in *LRFD*. Eccentric load limitations shall be as given in *LRFD* 10.6.3.3.

### 13.8 Piles

*Add the following:*

The minimum sizes of cased micropiles used to support miscellaneous structures are:

- For structures with a 300-year wind recurrence interval design
  5 inches OD
- For structures with a 700-year wind recurrence interval design
  7 inches OD.

Miscellaneous Structures may be founded on Auger Cast Piles (ACP).

**C 13.7.1**

*Replace C13.7.1 with the following:*

FDOT is using the *LRFD* Bridge Design Specifications for Geotechnical Design.
13.10 References

Add the following:


14 FABRICATION, MATERIALS, AND DETAILING

Replace this section with the following:

- See the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction and FDOT Materials Manual.

15 CONSTRUCTION

Replace this section with the following:

- See the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction and FDOT Materials Manual.

18 EVALUATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURAL SUPPORTS FOR HIGHWAY SIGNS, LUMINAIRES AND TRAFFIC SIGNALS

Add new Section 18 as titled above and include the following:

C 18

This section is added to provide guidance for the evaluation of existing support structures.

C 18.1

Field verified $K_z$ and wind speeds derived from the wind speed map are consistent with the LTS Specification.

The details listed have been constructed in Florida and have performed well.
Liner interpolation between contours is permitted. For the 300-year MRI wind speed, use the wind speed given by the 700-year MRI map minus 10 mph. For ground signs, use 110 mph for the entire state.

FDOT approval is not required for any of the following existing details or conditions:

- mast arm to upright connections with 4 bolts (FDOT 5.15)
- tapped mast arm connections (FDOT 5.15)
- fillet welded tube-to-transverse plate connections (FDOT 5.14)
- mast arm upright anchorages with 4 bolts (FDOT 5.16)
- transverse plate thickness (FDOT 5.6.3)
  - mast arm horizontal and upright base plate 1.25 inches and greater
  - high mast light pole and steel strain pole base plates 2.0 inches and greater
- mast arm foundations with stirrups spaced at 1'-0" centers.
- a CSR or CFI ≤ 1.05.
- FDOT minimum sign areas for overhead sign supports (FDOT 2.4.2.2) are not required.

All items listed above are applicable to sections 18.2 and 18.3 but, should be checked when there is evidence of distress or instability, or when the Engineer has reason to believe the structural capacity is in doubt.
18.2 Category 1 Analytical Evaluation Without Proposed Additional Loading

For Analytical Evaluation Without Proposed Additional Loading, use LRFD-LTS as modified in this Volume excluding the following:

- Fatigue evaluation (LRFD-LTS Section 11 is not required).
- Foundation evaluation (LRFD-LTS Section 13, structural and geotechnical, is not required).

C 18.2

Foundation failures in Florida on LTS support structures are rare.
18.3 Category 2 Analytical Evaluation With Proposed Additional Loading
(Rev. 01/22)

When using FDM 261, Analytical Evaluation With Proposed Additional Loading use LRFD-LTS as modified in this Volume.

When retrofitting “flexible” backplates to an existing mast arm or span wire, see the Traffic Engineering Manual, TEM, Section 3.9. When adding more than just “flexible” backplates to an existing mast arm or span wire, a structural analysis is required. The analysis may assume 2-1/2” wide “flexible” backplates on all signals.

For Strain Poles or Mast Arms at specific intersections identified with immediate safety concerns (i.e. frequent/severe crashes at a particular location), the DTOE will coordinate with the DSDE for consideration of additional measures to reduce the structural requirements for the temporary condition. A Design Variation is not required if the temporary overstressed condition is less than 5 years.

C 18.3

When adding load to an existing support structure, the exceptions given in Section 18 of FDOT Modifications to LRFDLTS-1 may be used.

If “flexible” backplates are required, label the backplates as “Flexible Backplates” in the plans.
2.5.9. Standard Plans Instructions for Indexes 649-030 and 649-031 state to mount luminaires on mast arms only where project constraints do not allow for placement of independent light poles. Due to electrical and maintenance complications, mounting luminaires on mast arms is considered a last resort by lighting engineers. If the District anticipates the future need to mount luminaires on a mast arm, this can be accommodated in the design capacity and plan details on a case-by-case basis.

2.4.2.4. Clarification of existing criteria.

4.7. Commentary added for clarity.

10.4.2.1. Clarification of existing criteria for consistency with Standard Plans Instructions for Index 641-020.

11.6. Updated terminology to DMS.

11.7.1.1. Clarification of existing criteria. Updated index number. Added commentary.

11.8. Clarification of existing criteria.

13.6.1.1. Clarification of existing criteria.

18.3. Commentary added for clarity.