

ASPHALT CONCRETE CRACK RELIEF MIXTURES **(REV 6-26-26)**

The following new Section is added:

SECTION 340 **ASPHALT CONCRETE CRACK RELIEF MIXTURES**

340-1 Description.

340-1.1 General: Construct an asphalt concrete crack relief pavement with the type of mixture specified in the Contract Documents. This Section specifies mixtures designated as asphalt concrete crack relief mixtures (CRM).

Obtain asphalt concrete crack relief mixtures from a plant that is currently on the Department's Asphalt Production Facility Listing. Producers seeking inclusion on the list shall meet the requirements of Section 105. Producers must meet the requirements of Section 320 for plant and equipment and the general construction requirements of Section 330.

340-1.2 Gradation Requirements of CRM: Use a mixture having a gradation at design within the ranges shown in Table 340-1.

Table 340-1 CRM Gradation Design Range								
1/2 inch	3/8 inch	No. 4	No. 8	No. 16	No. 30	No. 50	No. 100	No. 200
100	90-100	55-80	32-50	--	--	--	--	2-8

340-1.3 Thickness: The thickness of the CRM layer will be the plan thickness as shown in the Contract Documents. For construction purposes, the layer thickness will be converted to spread rate based on the maximum specific gravity of the asphalt mix being used, as well as the minimum density level, as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{Spread rate (lb/yd}^2\text{)} = t \times G_{mm} \times 44.9$$

Where: t = Thickness (in.) (plan thickness)

G_{mm} = Maximum specific gravity from the verified mix

design

The weight of the mixture shall be determined as provided in 320-3.2. For target purposes only, spread rate calculations should be rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Plan quantities are based on a G_{mm} of 2.540, corresponding to a spread rate of 114 lb/yd²-in. Pay quantities will be based on the actual maximum specific gravity of the mix being used.

340-1.3.1 Layer Thicknesses: The allowable layer thicknesses for Type SP Asphalt Concrete mixtures are as follows:

CRM.....1 to 1-1/4 inches

340-2 Materials.

340-2.1 General Requirements: Meet the following requirements:

Coarse Aggregate.....	Section 901
Fine Aggregate.....	Section 902
Superpave PG Asphalt Binder	Section 916

340-2.2 Superpave Asphalt Binder: Use an asphalt binder as called for in the Contract Documents. High polymer binder may be substituted in a mixture with PG 76-22 binder at no additional cost to the Department.

340-2.3 Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) Material: Do not use RAP as a component of the mixture.

340-3 General Composition of Mixture.

340-3.1 General: Compose the asphalt mixture using a combination of aggregate (coarse, fine or mixtures thereof), mineral filler, if required, and asphalt binder material. Size, grade and combine the aggregate fractions to meet the grading and physical properties of the mix design. Use an aggregate blend consisting entirely of aggregates with an aggregate bulk specific gravity (G_{sb}) ≤ 2.600 or entirely of aggregates with a $G_{sb} > 2.600$. Do not use aggregates with $G_{sb} \leq 2.600$ and $G_{sb} > 2.600$ in the same blend.

340-3.2 Mix Design:

340-3.2.1 General Requirements:

Design the CRM in accordance with AASHTO R 35 with an N_{design} equal to 50 gyrations and meet the properties listed in Table 340-2. Calculate the Dominant Aggregate Size Range Porosity (DASRP) in accordance with Crack Relief Mixture DASRP Calculator posted at the following URL: <https://www.fdot.gov/materials/asphalt>

The Engineer will consider any marked variations from original test data for a mix design or any evidence of inadequate field performance of a mix design as sufficient evidence that the properties of the mix design have changed, and the Engineer will no longer allow the use of the mix design.

Table 340-2 CRM Design Requirements				
Air Voids	VMA	Dust to Binder Ratio	Dominant Aggregate Size Range Porosity (DASRP)	Asphalt Pavement Analyzer (APA) Rutting @ 4% Air Voids
2.0	17.0 – 20.0	0.6 – 1.4	$\leq 50\%$	≤ 4.0 mm

340-3.2.2 Mixture Gradation Requirements: Combine the coarse and fine aggregate in proportions that will produce an asphalt mixture meeting all of the requirements defined in this specification. Aggregates from various sources may be combined provided the G_{sb} criteria stated in 340-3.1 are met.

340-3.2.3 Aggregate Consensus Properties: Meet the following consensus properties at design for the aggregate blend.

340-3.2.3.1 Coarse Aggregate Angularity: When tested in accordance with ASTM D5821, meet the percentage of fractured faces requirements specified in AASHTO M 323.

340-3.2.3.2 Fine Aggregate Angularity: When tested in accordance with AASHTO T 304, Method A, meet the uncompacted void content of fine aggregate specified in AASHTO M 323.

340-3.2.3.3 Flat and Elongated Particles: When tested in accordance with ASTM D4791, (with the exception that the material passing the 3/8-inch sieve and retained on the No. 4 sieve shall be included), meet the requirements specified in AASHTO M 323. Measure the aggregate using the ratio of 5:1, comparing the length (longest dimension) to the thickness (shortest dimension) of the aggregate particles.

340-3.2.3.4 Sand Equivalent: When tested in accordance with AASHTO T 176, meet the sand equivalent requirements specified in AASHTO M 323.

340-3.2.4 Moisture Susceptibility:

1. For all traffic levels, use a liquid anti-strip agent listed on the APL at the specified dosage rate. Hydrated lime may be used instead of the liquid anti-strip agent.
2. Provide a mixture having a retained tensile strength ratio of at least 0.80 and a minimum tensile strength (unconditioned) of 100 psi in accordance with FM 1-T 283.

340-3.2.5 Additional Information: In addition to the requirements listed above, provide the following information with each proposed mix design submitted for verification:

1. The source and description of the materials to be used.
2. The Department source number and the Department product code of the aggregate components furnished from a Department approved source.
3. The gradation and proportions of the raw materials as intended to be combined in the paving mixture. The gradation of the component materials shall be representative of the material at the time of use. Compensate for any change in aggregate gradation caused by handling and processing as necessary.
4. A single percentage of the combined mineral aggregate passing each specified sieve. Degradation of the aggregate due to processing (particularly material passing the No. 200 sieve) should be accounted for and identified.
5. The bulk specific gravity (G_{sb}) value for each individual aggregate and RAP component, as identified in the Department's aggregate control program.
6. A target temperature for the mixture at the plant (mixing temperature) and a target temperature for the mixture at the roadway (compaction temperature) in accordance with 320-6.3. Do not exceed a target temperature of 340°F for High Polymer asphalt binders and 330°F for PG 76-22 asphalt binders.

340-3.3 Mix Design Revisions: During production, the Contractor may request a target value revision to a mix design, subject to meeting the following requirements: the target change falls within the limits defined in Table 340-3, appropriate data exists demonstrating that the mix complies with production air voids specification criteria, the mixture gradation meets the basic gradation requirements defined in 340-3.2.2, and the mixture's DASRP meets the criteria shown in Table 340-2.

Table 340-3 Limits for Potential Adjustments to Mix Design Target Values	
Characteristic	Limit from Original Mix Design
Asphalt Binder Content ⁽¹⁾	±0.3%
Gradation and Aggregate Component ⁽²⁾	
No. 8 Sieve and Coarser	± 5.0%

Table 340-3 Limits for Potential Adjustments to Mix Design Target Values	
Characteristic	Limit from Original Mix Design
Asphalt Binder Content ⁽¹⁾	±0.3%
No. 16 Sieve	± 4.0%
No. 30 Sieve	± 4.0%
No. 50 Sieve	± 3.0%
No. 100 Sieve	± 3.0%
No. 200 Sieve	± 1.0%
Each Component of Aggregate Blend	± 5.0 %

(1) Reductions to the asphalt binder content will not be permitted if the VMA during production is lower than 1.0% below the design criteria.
(2) The Engineer may waive the limits for the individual sieves and component of the aggregate blend contingent upon the quality of the production data for the mixture.

Submit all requests for revisions to mix designs, along with supporting documentation, to the Engineer. In order to expedite the revision process, the request for revision or discussions on the possibility of a revision may be made verbally, but must be followed up by a written request. The verified mix design will remain in effect until the Engineer authorizes a change. In no case will the effective date of the revision be established earlier than the date of the first communication between the Contractor and the Engineer regarding the revision.

A new design mix will be required if aggregate sources change, or for any substitution of an aggregate product with a different aggregate code, unless approved by the Engineer.

340-4 Producer Process Control (PC).

Assume full responsibility for controlling all operations and processes such that the requirements of these Specifications are met at all times. Perform any tests necessary at the plant and roadway for process control purposes. Enter all PC test data into the Department's database. The Engineer will not use these test results in the acceptance payment decision.

Address in the Producer QC Plan how PC failures will be handled. When a PC failure occurs, investigate, at a minimum, the production process, testing equipment and/or sampling methods to determine the cause of the failure, and make any necessary changes to assure compliance with these Specifications. Obtain a follow up sample immediately after corrective actions are taken to assess the adequacy of the corrections. In the event the follow-up PC sample also fails to meet Specification requirements, cease production of the asphalt mixture until the problem is adequately resolved to the satisfaction of the QC Manager.

340-5 Acceptance of the Mixture.

340-5.1 General: The mixture will be accepted at the plant with respect to gradation (P₋₈ and P₋₂₀₀), asphalt content (P_b), and volumetrics (volumetrics is defined as air voids at N_{design}). The mixture will be accepted on the roadway with respect to density of roadway cores. Acceptance will be on a LOT by LOT basis (for each mix design) based on tests of random samples obtained within each subplot taken at a frequency of one set of samples per subplot. A roadway LOT and a plant production LOT shall be the same. Acceptance of the mixture will be based on Contractor QC test results that have been verified by the Department.

340-5.1.1 Sampling and Testing Requirements: Obtain the samples in accordance with FM 1-T 168. Obtain samples at the plant of a sufficient quantity to be split into three smaller samples; one for QC, one for Verification testing and one for Resolution testing. Obtain each split sample of a sufficient quantity, approximately 40 pounds, for all required testing. The split samples for Verification testing and Resolution testing shall be reduced in size and stored in three boxes each. The approximate size of each box must be 12 inches x 8 inches x 4 inches. Provide, label, and safely store sample boxes in a manner agreed upon by the Engineer for future testing.

The asphalt content of the mixture will be determined in accordance with FM 5-563. The gradation of the recovered aggregate will be determined in accordance with FM 1-T 030. Volumetric testing will be in accordance with AASHTO T 312 and FM 1-T 209. Prior to testing volumetric samples, condition the test-sized sample for one hour, plus or minus five minutes, at the target roadway compaction temperature in a shallow, flat pan, such that the mixture temperature at the end of the one-hour conditioning period is within plus or minus 20°F of the roadway compaction temperature.

If one of the QC gyratory specimens is damaged, make an additional gyratory specimen.

For situations where two properly prepared gyratory specimens do not meet single-operator precision requirements for G_{mb} as provided in FM 1-T 166:

1. Retest both gyratory specimens in accordance FM 1-T 166.
2. Following the retest, if the newly measured G_{mb} values do not meet single-operator precision requirements, QC shall prepare a third gyratory specimen in accordance with AASHTO T 312 and test in accordance with FM 1-T 166. All three test results shall be input into MAC. The average G_{mb} will be determined by MAC after performing an outlier check in accordance with ASTM E178.

Test for roadway density in accordance with FM 1-T 166.

340-5.1.2 Acceptance Testing Exceptions: Density testing for acceptance will not be performed on asphalt courses placed on bridge decks or approach slabs; compact these courses in static mode only per the requirements of 330-7.7. In addition, density testing for acceptance will not be performed on the following areas when they are less than 500 feet (continuous) in length: turning lanes, acceleration lanes, deceleration lanes, shoulders, parallel parking lanes, ramps, or unsignalized side streets with less than four travel lanes and speed limits less than 35 mph. Do not perform density testing for acceptance in situations where the areas requiring density testing is less than 50 tons within a sublot.

Density testing for acceptance will not be performed in intersections. The limits of the intersection will be from stop bar to stop bar for both the mainline and side streets. A random core location that occurs within the intersection shall be moved forward or backward from the intersection at the direction of the Engineer.

Where density testing for acceptance is not required, compact these courses in accordance with the rolling procedure (equipment and pattern) as approved by the Engineer or with Standard Rolling Procedure as specified in 330-7.2. In the event that the rolling procedure deviates from the procedure approved by the Engineer, or the Standard Rolling Procedure, placement of the mix shall be stopped.

The density pay factor (as defined in 340-8.2) for areas not requiring density testing for acceptance will be paid at the same density pay factor as for the areas

requiring density testing within the same LOT. If the entire LOT does not require density testing for acceptance, the LOT will be paid at a density pay factor of 1.00.

340-5.2 Full LOTs: Each LOT will be defined as 2,000 tons, with each LOT subdivided into four equal sublots of 500 tons each. Before the beginning of a LOT, the Engineer will develop a random sampling plan for each subplot and direct the Contractor on sample points, based on tonnage, for each subplot during construction.

340-5.3 Partial LOTs: A partial LOT is defined as a LOT size that is less than a full LOT. A partial LOT may occur due to the following:

1. The completion of a given mix type or mix design on a project.
2. Closure of the LOT due to time. LOTs will be closed 30 calendar days after the start of the LOT. Time periods other than 30 calendar days may be used if agreed to by both the Engineer and the Contractor, but under no circumstances shall the LOT be left open longer than 90 days.

3. A LOT is terminated per 340-5.4.4.

All partial LOTs will be evaluated based on the number of tests available, and will not be redefined. If a LOT is closed before the first plant random sample is obtained, then the LOT will be visually accepted by the Engineer and the LOT pay factor will be 1.00.

340-5.4 QC Sampling and Testing: Obtain all samples randomly as directed by the Engineer.

Should the Engineer determine that the QC requirements are not being met or that unsatisfactory results are being obtained, or should any instances of falsification of test data occur, acceptance of the Producer's QC Plan will be suspended and production will be stopped.

340-5.4.1 Lost or Missing Verification/Resolution Samples: In the event that any of the Verification and/or Resolution asphalt mixture samples that are in the custody of the Contractor are lost, damaged, destroyed, or are otherwise unavailable for testing, the minimum possible pay factor for each quality characteristic as described in 340-8.2 will be applied to the entire LOT in question, unless called for otherwise by the Engineer. Specifically, if the LOT in question has more than two sublots, the pay factor for each quality characteristic will be 0.55. If the LOT has two or less sublots, the pay factor for each quality characteristic will be 0.80. If only the roadway cores are lost, damaged, destroyed, or are otherwise unavailable for testing, then the minimum possible pay factor for density will be applied to the entire LOT in question. In either event, the material in question will also be evaluated in accordance with 340-5.9.5.

If any of the Verification and/or Resolution samples that are in the custody of the Department are lost, damaged, destroyed or are otherwise unavailable for testing, the corresponding QC test result will be considered verified, and payment will be based upon the Contractor's data.

340-5.4.2 Plant Sampling and Testing Requirements: Obtain one random sample of mix per subplot in accordance with 340-5.1.1 as directed by the Engineer. Test the QC split sample for gradation, asphalt binder content and volumetrics in accordance with 340-5.1.1. Complete all QC testing within one working day from the time the samples were obtained.

340-5.4.3 Roadway Sampling and Testing Requirements: Obtain five 6 inch diameter roadway cores within 24 hours of placement at random locations as directed by the Engineer within each subplot. Test these QC samples for density (G_{mb}) in accordance with 340-5.1.1. Obtain a minimum of three cores per subplot at random locations as identified by the Engineer in situations where the subplot/LOT was closed or terminated before the random numbers were reached or where it is impractical to cut five cores per subplot. Do not obtain cores

any closer than 12 inches from an unsupported edge. The Engineer may adjust randomly generated core locations for safety purposes or as the Engineer deems necessary. Do not perform density testing for acceptance in a subplot if the plant random sample for that subplot has not been obtained. Maintain traffic during the coring operation; core the roadway, patch the core holes (within three days of coring); and trim the cores to the proper thickness prior to density testing.

Density for the subplot shall be based on the average value for the cores cut from the subplot with the target density being a percentage of the maximum specific gravity (G_{mm}) of the subplot, as defined in the Contract. Once the average density of a subplot has been determined, do not retest the samples unless approved by the Engineer. Ensure proper handling and storage of all cores until the LOT in question has been accepted.

340-5.4.4 Individual Test Tolerances for QC Testing: Terminate the LOT if any of the following QC failures occur:

1. An individual test result of a subplot for air voids does not meet the requirements of Table 340-4,

2. The average subplot density does not meet the requirements of Table 340-4,

3. Two consecutive test results within the same LOT for gradation or asphalt binder content do not meet the requirements of Table 340-4,

When a LOT is terminated due to a QC failure, stop production of the mixture until the problem is resolved to the satisfaction of the QC Manager and/or Asphalt Plant Level II technician responsible for the decision to resume production after a QC failure, as identified in Section 105. In the event that it can be demonstrated that the problem can immediately be or already has been resolved, it will not be necessary to stop production. When a LOT is terminated, make all necessary changes to correct the problem. Do not resume production until appropriate corrections have been made. Prior to resuming production, inform the Engineer of the problem and corrections made to correct the problem. After resuming production, sample and test the material to verify that the changes have corrected the problem. Summarize this information and provide it to the Engineer prior to the end of the work shift when production resumes.

In the event that a QC failure is not addressed as defined above, the Engineer's approval will be required prior to resuming production after any future QC failures.

Address any material represented by a failing test result, as defined above in this subarticle, in accordance with 340-5.9.5. Any LOT terminated under this subarticle will be limited to a maximum Pay Factor of 1.00 (as defined in 340-8.2) for all quality characteristics and will include all material placed up to the point when the LOT was terminated.

In the event that a G_{mm} test result differs by more than 0.040 from the mix design G_{mm} , investigate the causes of the discrepancy and report the findings and proposed actions to the Engineer.

Table 340-4 Master Production Range	
Characteristic	Tolerance ⁽¹⁾
Asphalt Binder Content (%)	Target ± 0.55
Passing No. 200 Sieve (%)	Target ± 1.50
Air Voids (%)	0.50 – 4.00
Density (minimum % G_{mm}) ⁽²⁾	93.00

Table 340-4 Master Production Range	
Characteristic	Tolerance ⁽¹⁾
(1) Tolerances for sample size of n = 1 from the verified mix design	
(2) Based on an average of three to five randomly located cores	

340-5.5 Verification Testing: In order to determine the validity of the Contractor's QC test results prior to their use in the Acceptance decision, the Engineer will run verification tests.

340-5.5.1 Plant Testing: At the completion of each LOT, the Engineer will test a minimum of one Verification split sample randomly selected from the LOT. Results of the testing and analysis for the LOT will be made available to the Contractor within one working day from the time the LOT is completed. Verification samples shall be reheated at the target roadway compaction temperature for 1-1/2 hours, plus or minus 5 minutes, reduced to the appropriate testing size, and conditioned and tested as described in 340-5.1.1. In lieu of the 1-1/2 hours reheating procedure, the mixture may be reheated to within plus or minus 20°F of the roadway compaction temperature using a microwave oven. Stir the mixture as necessary during the reheating process to maintain temperature uniformity. Subsequently, condition and test the mixture as described in 340-5.1.1.

The Verification test results will be compared with the QC test results based on the between-laboratory precision values shown in Table 340-5.

Table 340-5 Between-Laboratory Precision Values	
Property	Maximum Difference
G _{mm}	0.016
G _{mb} (gyratory compacted samples)	0.022
G _{mb} (roadway cores)	0.014
P ₆	0.44%
P ₋₂₀₀	FM 1-T 030 (Figure 2)
P ₋₈	FM 1-T 030 (Figure 2)

If all of the specified mix characteristics compare favorably, then the LOT will be accepted, with payment based on the Contractor's QC test data for the LOT.

If any of the results do not compare favorably, then the Resolution samples from the LOT will be sent to the Resolution laboratory for testing, as described in 340-5.6.

340-5.5.2 Roadway Testing: At the completion of each LOT, the Engineer will determine the density (G_{mb}) of each core (previously tested by QC) as described in 340-5.1.1 from the same subplot as the plant samples. For situations where roadway density is not required for the random subplot chosen, then another subplot shall be randomly chosen for roadway density cores only. Results of the testing and analysis for the LOT will be made available to the Contractor within one working day from the time the LOT is completed.

The individual Verification test results will be compared with individual QC test results by the Engineer based on the between-laboratory precision values given in Table 340-5.

If each of the core test results compare favorably, then the LOT will be accepted with respect to density, with payment based on the Contractor's QC test data for the LOT.

If any of the results do not compare favorably, then the core samples from the LOT will be sent to the Resolution laboratory for testing as specified in 340-5.6.

340-5.6 Resolution System:

340-5.6.1 Plant Samples: In the event of an unfavorable comparison between the Contractor's QC test results and the Engineer's Verification test results on any of the properties identified in Table 340-5, the Resolution laboratory will test all of the split samples from the LOT for only the property (or properties) in question. Resolution samples shall be reheated at the target roadway compaction temperature for 1-1/2 hours, plus or minus 5 minutes, reduced to the appropriate testing size, and conditioned and tested as described in 340-5.1.1. In lieu of the 1-1/2 hours reheating procedure, the mixture may be reheated to within plus or minus 20°F of the roadway compaction temperature using a microwave oven. Stir the mixture as necessary during the reheating process to maintain temperature uniformity. Subsequently, condition and test the mixture as described in 340-5.1.1.

340-5.6.2 Roadway Samples: In the event of an unfavorable comparison between the Contractor's QC test data and the Engineer's Verification test data on the density results, the Resolution laboratory will test all of the cores from the LOT. Testing will be as described in 340-5.1.1.

340-5.6.3 Resolution Determination: The Resolution test results (for the property or properties in question) will be compared with the QC test results based on the between-laboratory precision values shown in Table 340-5.

If the Resolution test results compare favorably with all of the QC results, then acceptance and payment for the LOT will be based on the QC results, and the Department will bear the costs associated with Resolution testing. No additional compensation, either monetary or time, will be made for the impacts of any such testing.

If the Resolution test results do not compare favorably with all of the QC results, then acceptance and payment for the LOT will be based on the Resolution test data for the LOT, and the costs of the Resolution testing will be deducted from monthly estimates. No additional time will be granted for the impacts of any such testing.

In addition, the material failure requirements of 340-5.4.4 apply to the Resolution test data. Address any material represented by the failing test results in accordance with 340-5.9.5. For this situation, the LOT will be limited to a maximum Pay Factor of 1.00 (as defined in 340-8.2) for all quality characteristics.

In the event of an unfavorable comparison between the Resolution test results and QC test results, make the necessary adjustments to assure that future comparisons are favorable.

340-5.7 Independent Verification (IV) Testing:

340-5.7.1 Plant: The Contractor shall provide sample boxes and take samples as directed by the Engineer for IV testing. Obtain enough material for three complete sets of tests (two samples for IV testing by the Engineer and one sample for testing by the Contractor). If agreed upon by both the Engineer and the Contractor, only one sample for IV testing by the Engineer may be obtained. IV samples will be reheated at the target roadway compaction temperature for 1-1/2 hours, plus or minus 5 minutes, reduced to the appropriate testing size, and conditioned and tested as described in 340-5.1.1. The Contractor's split sample, if tested

immediately after sampling, shall be reduced to the appropriate testing size, and conditioned and tested as described in 340-5.1.1. If the Contractor's sample is not tested immediately after sampling, then the sample shall be reheated at the target roadway compaction temperature for 1-1/2 hours, plus or minus 5 minutes, reduced to the appropriate testing size, and conditioned and tested as described in 340-5.1.1. For the IV and Contractor's samples, in lieu of the 1-1/2 hours reheating procedure, the mixture may be reheated to within plus or minus 20°F of the roadway compaction temperature using a microwave oven. Stir the mixture as necessary during the reheating process to maintain temperature uniformity. Subsequently, condition and test the mixture as described in 340-5.1.1. The Contractor's test results shall be provided to the Engineer within one working day from the time the sample was obtained.

If any of the IV test results do not meet the requirements of Table 340-4, then a comparison of the IV test results and the Contractor's test results, if available, will be made. If a comparison of the IV test results and the Contractor's test results meets the precision values of Table 340-5 for the material properties in question, or if the Contractor's test results are not available, then the IV test results are considered verified and the Contractor shall cease production of the asphalt mixture until the problem is adequately resolved (to the satisfaction of the Engineer), unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Engineer that the problem can immediately be (or already has been) resolved. Address any material represented by the failing test results in accordance with 340-5.9.5.

If a comparison of the IV test results and the Contractor's test results does not meet the precision values of Table 340-5 for the material properties in question, then the second IV sample shall be tested by the Engineer for the material properties in question. If a comparison between the first and second IV test results does not meet the precision values of Table 340-5 for the material properties in question, then the first IV test results are considered unverified for the material properties in question and no action shall be taken, with the following exception: if the first and second IV test results do not meet the precision values of Table 340-5 and the first IV test result and Contractor's test result do not meet the precision values of Table 340-5, yet all three test results do not meet the requirements of Table 340-4, then address any material represented by the failing test results in accordance with 340-5.9.5.

If a comparison between the first and second IV test results meets the precision values of Table 340-5 for the material properties in question, then the first IV sample is considered verified and the Contractor shall cease production of the asphalt mixture until the problem is adequately resolved (to the satisfaction of the Engineer), unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Engineer that the problem can immediately be (or already has been) resolved. Address any material represented by the failing test results in accordance with 340-5.9.5.

The Engineer has the option to use the IV sample for comparison testing as specified in 340-6.

340-5.7.1.1 Asphalt Binder and Mixture Sampling for Determination of Asphalt Binder Quality: As directed by the Engineer, obtain an asphalt binder sample from the asphalt plant storage tank and a corresponding asphalt mixture sample using binder from the same storage tank. Samples of asphalt binder and mixture shall be sampled the same day. Sample High Polymer and/or PG 76-22 binders and mixtures at a minimum frequency of three samples per grade, per project, per project-year. The asphalt binder from the storage tank and the asphalt binder recovered from the asphalt mixture will be tested by the Department for compliance with Contract Documents.

340-5.7.2 Roadway: Obtain five 6-inch diameter roadway cores within 24 hours of placement, as directed by the Engineer, for IV testing. In situations where it is impractical to cut five cores per subplot, obtain a minimum of three cores per subplot at random locations, as identified by the Engineer. These independent cores will be obtained from the same LOTs and sublots as the Independent Verification Plant samples, or as directed by the Engineer. The density of these cores will be obtained as described in 340-5.1.1. If the average of the results for the subplot does not meet the requirements of Table 340-4 for density, then a comparison of the IV G_{mm} test results and the Contractor's G_{mm} test results, if available, will be made in accordance with the procedure provided in 340-5.7.1. Address any material represented by the failing test results in accordance with 340-5.9.5.

340-5.8 Surface Tolerance: The asphalt mixture will be accepted on the roadway with respect to surface tolerance in accordance with the applicable requirements of 330-9.

340-5.9 Minimum Acceptable Quality Levels:

340-5.9.1 PFs Below 0.90: In the event that an individual pay factor for any quality characteristic of a LOT falls below 0.90, take steps to correct the situation and report the actions to the Engineer. In the event that the pay factor for the same quality characteristic for two consecutive LOTs is below 0.90, cease production of the asphalt mixture until the problem is adequately resolved (to the satisfaction of the Engineer), unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Engineer that the problem can immediately be (or already has been) resolved. Actions taken must be approved by the Engineer before production resumes.

340-5.9.2 CPFs Less Than 0.90 and Greater Than or Equal to 0.80: If the composite pay factor for the LOT is less than 0.90 and greater than or equal to 0.80, cease production of the asphalt mixture until the problem is adequately resolved (to the satisfaction of the Engineer), unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Engineer that the problem can immediately be (or already has been) resolved. Actions taken must be approved by the Engineer before production resumes.

340-5.9.3 CPFs Less Than 0.80 and Greater Than or Equal to 0.75: If the CPF for the LOT is less than 0.80 and greater than or equal to 0.75, address the defective material in accordance with 340-5.9.5.

340-5.9.4 CPFs Less Than 0.75: If the CPF for the LOT is less than 0.75, remove and replace the defective LOT at no cost to the Department, or as approved by the Engineer.

340-5.9.5 Defective Material: Assume responsibility for removing and replacing all defective material placed on the project, at no cost to the Department.

As an exception to the above and upon approval of the Engineer, obtain an engineering analysis in accordance with Section 6 by an independent laboratory (as approved by the Engineer) to determine the disposition of the material. The engineering analysis must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer licensed in the State of Florida.

The Engineer may determine that an engineering analysis is not necessary or may perform an engineering analysis to determine the disposition of the material.

Any material that remains in place will be accepted with a CPF as determined by 340-8, or as determined by the Engineer.

If the defective material is due to a gradation, asphalt binder content or density failure, upon the approval of the Engineer the Contractor may perform delineation tests on roadway cores in lieu of an engineering analysis to determine the limits of the defective material that may require removal and replacement. Prior to any delineation testing, all sampling

locations shall be approved by the Engineer. All delineation sampling and testing shall be monitored and verified by the Engineer. For materials that are defective due to air voids, an engineering analysis is required.

When evaluating defective material by engineering analysis or delineation testing, at a minimum, evaluate all material located between passing QC, PC or IV test results. Any additional PC samples obtained in the same work shift after an IV sample has been obtained shall include enough material for three complete sets of tests (PC, IV and IV check samples) in the event the Contractor requests using the PC test results for engineering analysis or delineation. These additional PC samples must compare with verified IV test results as determined by the comparison process of 340-5.7.1 in order to be used for engineering analysis or delineation. Exceptions to this requirement shall be approved by the Engineer.

340-6 Comparison Testing.

At the start of the project (unless waived by the Engineer) and at other times as determined necessary by the Engineer, provide split samples for comparison testing with the Engineer. The purpose of these tests is to verify that the testing equipment is functioning properly and that the testing procedures are being performed correctly. In the event that the Engineer determines that there is a problem with the Contractor's testing equipment and/or testing procedures, immediately correct the problem to the Engineer's satisfaction. In the event that the problem is not immediately corrected, cease production of the asphalt mixture until the problem is adequately resolved to the satisfaction of the Engineer.

If so agreed to by both the Contractor and the Engineer, the split sample used for comparison testing may also be used for the QC sample. The split sample used for comparison testing must also meet the requirements for IV testing described in 340-5.7.

340-7 Method of Measurement.

For the work specified under this Section (including the pertinent provisions of Sections 320 and 330), the quantity to be paid for will be the weight of the mixture, in tons. For each pay item, excluding overbuild, the pay quantity will be based on the quantity placed on the project, limited to 110% of the adjusted plan quantity for the pay item. The adjusted plan quantity will be determined by dividing the pay item's original plan quantity (including any Engineer approved quantity revisions) by the design G_{mm} stated in 340-1.4, then multiplying it by the tonnage-weighted average G_{mm} of the mixes used for the pay item.

The bid price for the asphalt mix will include the cost of the liquid asphalt and the tack coat application as directed in 300-8. There will be no separate payment or unit price adjustment for the asphalt binder material in the asphalt mix. For the calculation of unit price adjustments of bituminous material, the average asphalt content will be based on the percentage specified in 9-2.1.2. The weight will be determined as provided in 320-3.2 (including the provisions for the automatic recordation system).

Prepare and submit a Certification of Quantities to the Engineer in accordance with 9-2.1.2.

340-8 Basis of Payment.

340-8.1 General: Price and payment will be full compensation for all the work specified under this Section (including the applicable requirements of Sections 320 and 330).

For materials accepted in accordance with 340-5, based upon the quality of the material, a pay adjustment will be applied to the bid price of the material as determined on a LOT by LOT

basis. The pay adjustment will be assessed by calculating a Pay Factor for the following individual quality characteristics: pavement density, air voids, asphalt binder content, and the percentage passing the No. 200 and No. 8 sieves. The pay adjustment will be computed by multiplying a Composite Pay Factor (CPF) for the LOT by the bid price per ton.

340-8.2 Pay Factors:

340-8.2.1 Partial LOTs: For Partial LOTs where no random sample is obtained due to insufficient tonnage, a CPF of 1.00 shall be applied.

340-8.2.2 Two or Less Sublot Test Results: In the event that two or less sublot test results are available for a LOT, Pay Factors will be determined based on Table 340-6, using the average of the accumulated deviations from the target value. (Except for density, deviations are absolute values with no plus or minus signs.) Use the 1-Test column when there is only one sublot test result and use the 2-Tests column when there are two sublots.

Do Not Use Without
CO Specs Authorization

Table 340-6 Small Quantity Pay Table		
Pay Factor	1 Sublot Test Deviation	2 Sublot Test Average Deviation
Asphalt Binder Content		
1.05	0.00-0.23	0.00-0.16
1.00	0.24-0.45	0.17-0.32
0.90	0.46-0.55	0.33-0.39
0.80	>0.55	>0.39
No. 8 Sieve		
1.05	0.00-2.25	0.00-1.59
1.00	2.26-4.50	1.60-3.18
0.90	4.51-5.50	3.19-3.89
0.80	>5.50	>3.89
No. 200 Sieve		
1.05	0.00-0.55	0.00-0.39
1.00	0.56-1.10	0.40-0.78
0.90	1.11-1.50	0.79-1.06
0.80	>1.50	>1.06
Air Voids		
1.05	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.35
1.00	0.51-1.00	0.36-0.71
0.90	1.01-1.70	0.72-1.20
0.80	1.71-2.00	1.21-1.41
0.70	2.01-2.50	1.42-1.77
0.55	>2.50	>1.77
Density ⁽¹⁾ Target = 96.50 percent of G _{mm}		
1.05	+ (0.00-3.50), - (0.00-0.50)	+ (0.00-3.50), - (0.00-0.35)
1.00	- (0.51-1.00)	- (0.36-0.71)
0.95	- (1.01-2.00)	- (0.72-1.41)
0.90	- (2.01-3.00)	- (1.42-2.12)
0.80	- (>3.00)	- (>2.12)
Density ⁽¹⁾ Target = 95.50 percent of G _{mm}		
1.05	+ (0.00-4.50), - (0.00-0.50)	+ (0.00-4.50), - (0.00-0.35)
1.00	- (0.51-1.00)	- (0.36-0.71)
0.95	- (1.01-1.50)	- (0.72-1.41)
0.90	- (1.51-2.00)	- (1.42-2.12)
0.80	- (>2.00)	- (>2.12)
(1). Each density test result is the average of three to five randomly located cores. The target density is 96.50 percent of G _{mm} (95.50 percent when compaction is limited to the static mode or for layers specified to be one inch thick). When compaction is limited to the static mode, no vibratory mode in the vertical direction will be allowed. Other vibratory modes will be allowed, if approved by the Engineer. In this case, the target density is 95.50 percent of G _{mm} .		

340-8.2.3 Three or More Sublot Test Results: When three or more sublot test results are available for a LOT, the variability-unknown, standard deviation method will be used to determine the estimated percentage of the LOT that is within the specification limits. The

number of significant figures used in the calculations will be in accordance with requirements of AASHTO R 11/ASTM E29, Absolute Method.

340-8.2.3.1 Percent Within Limits: The percent within limits (PWL) and Pay Factors for the LOT will be calculated as described below. Variables used in the calculations are as follows:

x	= individual test value (sublot)
n	= number of tests (sublots)
s	= sample standard deviation
$\Sigma(x^2)$	= summation of squares of individual test values
$(\Sigma x)^2$	= summation of individual test values squared
Q_U	= upper quality index
USL	= upper specification limit (target value plus upper specification limit from Table 340-7)
Q_L	= lower quality index
LSL	= lower specification limit (target value minus lower specification limit from Table 340-7)
P_U	= estimated percentage below the USL
P_L	= estimated percentage above the LSL

1. Calculate the arithmetic mean ($\bar{X}$) of the test values:

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum x}{n}$$

2. Calculate the sample standard deviation (s):

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{n \sum (x^2) - (\sum x)^2}{n(n-1)}}$$

3. Calculate the upper quality index (Q_U):

$$Q_U = \frac{USL - \bar{X}}{s}$$

4. Calculate the lower quality index (Q_L):

$$Q_L = \frac{\bar{X} - LSL}{s}$$

5. From Table 340-8, determine the percentage of work below the USL (P_U).

6. From Table 340-8, determine percentage of work above the LSL (P_L) Note: If USL or LSL is not specified; percentages within (USL or LSL) will be 100.

7. If Q_U or Q_L is a negative number, then calculate the percent within limits for Q_U or Q_L as follows: enter Table 340-8 with the positive value of Q_U or Q_L and obtain the corresponding percent within limits for the proper sample size. Subtract this number from 100.00. The resulting number is the value to be used in the next step (Step 8) for the calculation of quality level.

8. Calculate the percent within limits (PWL) = ($P_U + P_L$) – 100

9. Calculate the Pay Factor (PF) for each quality characteristic using the equation given in 340-8.2.3.2.

Table 340-7 Specification Limits	
Quality Characteristic	Specification Limits
Passing No. 8 Sieve (percent)	Target ± 3.1
Passing No. 200 Sieve (percent)	Target ± 1.0
Asphalt Content (percent)	Target ± 0.40
Air Voids (percent)	2.00 ± 1.20
Density, vibratory mode (percent of G_{mm}):	$96.50 + 3.50, - 1.20$
Density, static mode (percent of G_{mm}):	$95.50 + 4.50, - 1.50^{(1)}$
(1): No vibratory mode in the vertical direction will be allowed. Other vibratory modes will be allowed, if approved by the Engineer.	

Table 340-8 Percent Within Limits		
Quality Index	Percent within Limits for Selected Sample Size	
	n = 3	n = 4
0.00	50.00	50.00
0.05	51.38	51.67
0.10	52.76	53.33
0.15	54.15	55.00
0.20	55.54	56.67
0.25	56.95	58.33
0.30	58.37	60.00
0.35	59.80	61.67
0.40	61.26	63.33
0.45	62.74	65.00
0.50	64.25	66.67
0.55	65.80	68.33
0.60	67.39	70.00
0.65	69.03	71.67
0.70	70.73	73.33
0.75	72.50	75.00

Table 340-8
Percent Within Limits

Quality Index	Percent within Limits for Selected Sample Size	
	n = 3	n = 4
0.80	74.36	76.67
0.85	76.33	78.33
0.90	78.45	80.00
0.95	80.75	81.67
1.00	83.33	83.33
1.05	86.34	85.00
1.10	90.16	86.67
1.15	97.13	88.33
1.20	100.00	90.00
1.25	100.00	91.67
1.30	100.00	93.33
1.35	100.00	95.00
1.40	100.00	96.67
1.45	100.00	98.33
1.50	100.00	100.00
1.55	100.00	100.00
1.60	100.00	100.00
1.65	100.00	100.00
1.70	100.00	100.00
1.75	100.00	100.00
1.80	100.00	100.00
1.85	100.00	100.00
1.90	100.00	100.00
1.95	100.00	100.00
2.00	100.00	100.00
2.05	100.00	100.00
2.10	100.00	100.00
2.15	100.00	100.00
2.20	100.00	100.00
2.25	100.00	100.00
2.30	100.00	100.00
2.35	100.00	100.00
2.40	100.00	100.00
2.45	100.00	100.00

Table 340-8 Percent Within Limits		
Quality Index	Percent within Limits for Selected Sample Size	
	n = 3	n = 4
2.50	100.00	100.00
2.55	100.00	100.00
2.60	100.00	100.00
2.65	100.00	100.00

340-8.2.3.2 Pay Factors (PF): Pay Factors will be calculated by using the following equation:

$$\text{Pay Factor} = (55 + 0.5 \times \text{PWL}) / 100$$

The PWL is determined from Step (8) of 340-8.2.3.1.

340-8.3 Composite Pay Factor (CPF): A CPF for the LOT will be calculated based on the individual PFs with the following weighting applied: 40% Density (D), 25% Air Voids (V_a), 20% asphalt binder content (P_b), 10% Passing No. 200 (P_{200}) and 5% Passing No. 8 (P_8). Calculate the CPF by using the following formula:

$$\text{CPF} = [(0.400 \times \text{PF } D) + (0.250 \times \text{PF } V_a) + (0.200 \times \text{PF } P_b) + (0.100 \times \text{PF } P_{200}) + (0.050 \times \text{PF } P_8)]$$

Where the PF for each quality characteristic is determined in either 340-8.2.2 or 340-8.2.3, depending on the number of subplot tests. Note that the number after each multiplication will be rounded to the nearest 0.01.

The pay adjustment shall be computed by multiplying the CPF for the LOT by the bid price per ton.

340-8.4 Payment: Payment will be made under:

Item No. 0926-340 Asphalt Concrete Crack Relief Mixture – per ton.