

Specification Section 665

Subarticle 665-2

ORIGINATION

Date: 5-31-2024

Name: Ronald Meyer

Email: Ronald.Meyer@dot.state.fl.us

COMMENTARY

The language needs to be changed to update requirements based on stakeholder input and for consistency with warranty language for other traffic control devices.

INDUSTRY COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

BLACK = Comment BLUE = Specifications Response GREEN = Change Made to Specification

Name: John Battle

Date: 6-27-2024

COMMENT: Regarding 665-4 Installation referencing "and Standard Plans, Index 700-102". Some maintaining agencies prefer the R10-3e or R10-3i. Standard Plans Index 700-102 only includes FTP-68B-06 which does not have the directional arrow on sign. Adding this language would require the all maintaining agencies to use FTP-68B-06.

RESPONSE: The use of FTP-68A-06 and FTP-68B-06 are options in addition to the standard MUTCD signs for use cases where a directional arrow in the legend causes confusion or constructability issues. Index 700-102 will be updated to more closely match the general layout and symbology in the MUTCD 11th Edition and the actual signs that have been used when directional arrows are omitted. The proposed specification changes will be modified to clarify that standard MUTCD designs as well as those depicted in 700-102 without directional arrows can be used as needed to meet project requirements.

ACTION TAKEN: The proposed specification changes have been modified to clarify that the MUTCD designs and the special sign details depicted in 700-102 are both allowed.

Name: David Zeller

Date: 8-14-2024

COMMENT: Deleting FTP-68B-06 from Index 700-102 is a terrible mistake. This design is very frequently used in our district's signalization plans and there is nothing in the new MUTCD that sufficiently replaces it. We need the flexibility to use pedestrian detector signs which do not include directional arrows.

RESPONSE: The use of FTP-68A-06 and FTP-68B-06 are options in addition to the standard MUTCD signs for use cases where a directional arrow in the legend causes confusion or constructability issues. Index 700-102 will be updated to more closely match the general layout and symbology in the MUTCD 11th Edition and the actual signs that have been used when directional arrows are omitted. The proposed specification changes will be modified to clarify that standard MUTCD designs as well as those depicted in 700-102 without directional arrows can be used as needed to meet project requirements.

ACTION TAKEN: The proposed specification changes have been modified to clarify that the MUTCD designs and the special sign details depicted in 700-102 are both allowed.