

Specification Section 330

Subarticle 330-7

ORIGINATION

Date: 6-30-2025

Name: Richard Hewitt

Email: Richard.Hewitt@dot.state.fl.us

COMMENTARY

Adequate compaction can be obtained with steel-wheeled rollers, so traffic rollers (rubber-tired rollers) are less commonly used and are not required to achieve adequate compaction.

INDUSTRY COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

(Please note all comments and responses are verbatim as received. The Specifications Office does not alter typos or grammar.)

BLACK = Comment **BLUE** = Specifications Response **GREEN** = Change Made to Specification

Name: Gene Strickland

Date: 8-11-25

COMMENT: This spec needs further clarification that should vibratory compaction not be allowed by spec, a vibratory roller in static mode may be used. Almost every roller we use can operate in either mode.

RESPONSE:

If someone was to not allow use of a roller in static mode when Plans call for “static compaction only”, that is a misunderstanding of what static compaction is, since, as you know, static compaction can be provided by a roller regardless if it also has the ability to operate in other modes (such as vibratory mode or oscillatory mode).

If we’re going to add such language, I believe it would best be added to a higher level, essentially a more general area of the specifications, so it would apply to all instances where asphalt compaction is discussed in the specifications, not just for the specific instance of overbuild.

If the issue of being prevented from using a roller in static mode, on a project requiring “static compaction only”, please let me know and we will address it as soon as possible.

ACTION TAKEN:

No action taken. Reasoning provided in “RESPONSE” above.