

3380505 VALUE ADDED ASPHALT PAVEMENT
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Rhonda Taylor
414-4371
rhonda.taylor@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (11-2-18)

What is the proposed method or procedure for determining whether raveling that occurs during the warranty period is construction related, or traffic related?

Response: It'll be an engineering judgement call (as some warranty issues are), based on whether the raveling was due to turning movements or not.

The language has been added to waive the warranty for raveling if FC-5 raveling, but only when it was due to turning movements in the listed areas. In other words, we don't want to give a carte blanche warranty waiver any time raveling occurs in the specified areas.

For example, if raveling occurs in the straight section of a turn lane, the raveling isn't being caused by the turning movements. Therefore, the Contractor would be held to the warranty since raveling wasn't caused by turning movements. However, if there is raveling in a turn lane at a location where turning movements occur, then unless there was some construction or materials issue evident, we'd waive the warranty.

The determination may not always be clear cut, but we want to waive warranty criteria for FC-5 raveling due to turning movements, but not waive it in those areas if the raveling isn't due to turning movements.

Please let me know if you have any suggestions that you feel may improve the Spec language.
