

.....

Comments: (Internal, 5-15-18)

“I believe the FDOT is moving away from requiring so much temporary lighting and will only require it when the Designer depicts the need and depicts his temporary lighting design in the plans. This new spec makes us responsible only for the temporary lighting shown in the plans.

However, I am still concerned that Designers will try to take the easy route on this by using a plan note. We should try to insert language in this spec to guard against a Designer that provides no details in the plans and instead uses a Plan Note to require temporary lighting. I've seen this note before "Contractor to maintain the current level of illumination throughout the contract duration using a combination of existing, temporary or permanent lighting".

My suggestion would be to add some verbiage in the spec that if temporary lighting is required, then the EOR Designer will include in the Contract Plans a detailed temporary lighting design.”

Response:

\*\*\*\*\*

Thomas O Craft  
(407) 764-5500  
Tom.craft@hubbard.com

Comments: (Industry, 5-25-18)

Utilizing a unit price pay item would provided a better defined cost of the item of work.

Response:

\*\*\*\*\*

Dee Kane  
(386) 789-2833  
Dee.kane@edeliveryserivesllc.com

Comments: (Industry, 5-31-18)

Subarticle 102-13.23. Change "in the Plans" to "in this Section" This will make it consistent with other Specs.

Response:

\*\*\*\*\*