

DGNSTDS2STDPLANS
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Raul Alessandri
305-640-1345
raul.alessandri@alvarezeng.com

Comments: (11-29-17)

4-3.9.8.1 Notice of Intellectual Property Interests, Line 12: suggest the following: instead of "the Standard Plan Indexes", say simply "the Standard Plans". I've never liked using the word "Index" to refer to a Standard, where the correct expression might have been Index No.; but better to eliminate this usage altogether.

Response: Agree. Change made.

Neil A Monkman
239-462-7371
neil.monkman@wcgfl.com

Comments: (11-29-17)

I have no comments as to the content, but would like to say thank you to all that participated in this amazing improvement to the standards. It will be so much easier now that we are referencing by specification section. Thanks again for everyones hard work and dedication

Response: No Response Needed.

Cheryl Hudson
414-5332
Cherl.hudson@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (11-29-17)

1. I noticed that most of the "No" and "Nos" in front of Index(es) were deleted, but some are still there.

Response: Changes Made Prior to Industry Review.

2. Additionally, 462-7.4.2 has a missed Index # change and Section 635-3.4 has the incorrect number as do Sections 641-1, 695-8.3.1, 695-8.3.2, 715-11, 985-4.1.1 (table) and 992-2.1.2

Response: Changes Made Prior to Industry Review.
