

0081002 PROSECUTION AND PROGRESS
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Anonymous

Comments: (5-10-17)

The Daily Charge Per Calendar Day increased in all categories except \$2,500,000-\$5,000,000, and \$5,000,000-\$10,000,000 decreased. Is that correct?

Response:

Robbie Powell
239- 481-5000
robbie.powell@wcgfl.com

Comments: (5-11-17)

Please provide the reason for liquidated damages being raised and the logic on how the new dollar amounts were reached. If liquidated damages are not assessed as a penalty, then the increase does not seemed warranted without verifiable support.

Response:

Gustavo Quesada
305-726-5981
gquesada@nmdceng.net

Comments: (5-18-17)

What factors were considered to make the changes to the individual amounts?

Response:

Brian J Gibbs
954-321-9336
brian.g@russellengineering.com

Comments: (5-31-17)

What is the justification for increasing the LD cost per day? If you intend to increase the LD's...will the formula for overhead/markups be increased as well? Is there any plans to invest more dollars in contract plans review which will limit contractors exposure to LD's and FDOT's exposure to additional time and money. It doesn't take fancy formulas for either side of our teams to determine that a good set of plans is the absolute key to every jobs success!

Response:

Daniel Dejeu
954-857-6687
ddejeu@ionelectricllc.com

Comments: (6-2-17)

I believe the LD's were already high as they were. I'm not sure how is justified to raise the over 20Mill contracts LD's by almost 50%. At the same time the under 50K are being raised by almost 30%. I believe even \$ 762.00 a day is excessive for a contract under 50K. Basically it comes to almost 2% of the maximum contract per day. As most of the under 50K contracts are being performed by small business, this can put a small business out of business really fast.

Response:
