

0080903 PROSECUTION AND PROGRESS – COMPLETION OF WORK BY  
DEPARTMENT  
INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW COMMENTS

\*\*\*\*\*

Calvin Johnson  
[calvin.johnson@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:calvin.johnson@dot.state.fl.us)  
850-414-5287

Comments: (6-23-16)

1<sup>st</sup> sentence, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph – change ‘power’ to ‘authority’.  
Last sentence, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph – change ‘State’ to ‘Department’.

Response:

\*\*\*\*\*

Bob Burleson  
Florida Transportation Builders Association  
1007 E. DeSoto Park Drive  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
[bburleson@ftba.com](mailto:bburleson@ftba.com)

Comments: (6-23-16)

I received the following comment and, while I believe the likelihood of this is rare, I would have to agree. What precipitated this?

Bob

This spec is a bit absurd. In what scenario should the Department be allowed to collect a windfall from a defaulting contractor. While I presume this is rare, it will very likely drive bonding rates up. This overreaches significantly and is not necessary. Moreover it carries an “anti-contractor” theme to it.

Response:

\*\*\*\*\*