

9160201 BITUMINOUS MATERIALS
INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW COMMENTS

Reebie Simms
813-415-5751
Reebie.Simms@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (5-26-16)

1. 916-2.1 Consider rewording the following statement: “When the Contract Documents specify either a PG 76-22 (PMA). PG 76-22 (ARB), or PG 76-22 binder, either binder can be used interchangeably at no additional cost to the Department.” The word “either” implies one or the other, but there are three potential binder types to choose from.

Response:

2. 916-4 Proposed wording in 916-4 is considerably different than that in the latest July 2016 specification. Additional markups should be shown so that it is clear what revisions are being made.

Response:

3. 916-4.2 Consider revising the following statement if liquid anti-strip agents will be limited to 0.50% as suggested in proposed specification 287-2: “Results from this testing may meet the Department’s requirement of minimum tensile strength ratio of 0.80 or may indicate the need for a larger dosage rate of anti-strip agent (up to 0.75% maximum) or may require a different anti-strip agent to meet the specification requirements.”

Response:
