

**1021110 MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW**

Anonymous

Comments: (9-23-15)

We are not in agreement for the specification as it relates to LCD. The delineator is not necessary and will only make the stacking and transporting of these devices difficult.

Response: The MUTCD states that LCDs should be supplemented with reflective material or delineation for improved nighttime visibility. The Department made the decision to require barrier delineators in order to provide reflectivity facing the direction of traffic. Reflective sheeting is also beneficial, however it does not provide the same transverse reflective qualities as delineators.

FDOT, D3 (via Barbara Strickland)

Comments: (10-8-15)

D3 Design comment: The Originator deleted through the word “or” and replaced it with the word “and”. A delineator cannot be installed on top of both a barrier wall and a vehicular LCD. It must be installed on either the barrier wall or a vehicular LCD.

Response: By using the word “or” implies that barrier delineators are only required for one or the other, not both. The Roadway Design Office feels that by using the word “and” will prohibit contractors from choosing which device they prefer to install the delineators.
