

0050901 CONTROL OF THE WORK
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Liz Howard
407-259-1457
lhoward@princecontracting.com

Comments: (4-10-15)

Do not perform work or furnish materials without obtaining inspection by the Engineer or his representative AT THE TIME THE WORK IS COMPLETED. Furnish the Engineer with means of access to the work DURING THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK, so the Engineer can determine whether the work performed and materials used are in accordance with the requirements and intent of the Contract Documents. If the Engineer so requests XXX REMOVE PHRASE at any time before final acceptance of the work XXX, remove or uncover such portions of the finished work as directed. After examination, restore the uncovered portions of the work to the standard required by the Contract Documents. If the Engineer determines that the work so exposed or examined is unacceptable, perform the uncovering or removal, and the replacing of the covering or making good of the parts removed, at no expense to the Department. However, if the Engineer determines that the work thus exposed or examined is acceptable, the Department will pay for the uncovering or removing, and the replacing of the covering or making good of the parts removed in accordance with Section 4-4.

Response:

Neil Monkman
239-462-7371
neil.monkman@wrightg.com

Comments: (4-10-15)

I feel the proposed verbiage should not be changed or should be revised for the following reason. Based on the verbiage this puts liability on the contractor and/or can cause issues depending on how it is interpreted. The contractor is responsible for maintaining a safe work area, this is agreed and known, but the question becomes, how far does "access" go? Example: The contractor is installing barrier wall on a bridge deck and has anchor points installed and all fall protection equipment and devices in place. A representative from the Department comes to inspect the steel, but does not have a harness or lanyard and expects the contractor to provide this. The argument becomes "you have to provide me safe access to inspect and it is not safe to access the area without a harness. If you don't provide me a harness and lanyard, you are not providing me access." The other issue is as follows. The contractor provides a harness and lanyard and it fails causing injury. Is the contractor now liable for the injury? While I think I understand the intent of the proposed revision, I think this needs to be more carefully worded.

Response:

Alice Custis (via Deborah Ihsan)
954-777-4387
deborah.ihsan@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (4-22-15)

Section 5-9.1 Cooperation by Contractor: Recommend replacing the "his" in the first sentence with "Engineer's" to make it a gender neutral statement, such that it would now read: "Do not

perform work or furnish materials without obtaining inspection by the Engineer or Engineer's representative."

Response:

Chad Rucks (via Deborah Ihsan)

954-777-4387

deborah.ihsan@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (4-22-15)

Section 5-9.1 Cooperation by Contractor: Recommend adding "or the Engineer's representative" to the second sentence similar to the first sentence such that the representative also has the ability to determine if there is safe means to access the work.

Response:

Dan Hurtado

850-414-4155

dan.hurtado@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (4-28-15)

In the second sentence, suggest deleting the words, ", so the Engineer can determine whether the work performed and materials used are in accordance with the requirements and intent of the Contract Documents." Suggest the second sentence to simply read, "Provide the Engineer with safe means of access to the work." As currently written, the Spec addresses "why" the Engineer needs access which is unnecessary language.

Response:
