

1101103 CLEARING AND GRUBBING
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Rudy Powell
414-4280
rudy.powell@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (12-12-13)

Should this phrase remain with the last paragraph?

“When a separate item for Removal of Existing Pavement is provided”

Will sometimes a pay item be provided and sometimes not?

Response: We do not want to have an option to include the pay item. This was leading to inconsistent use of the pay item, in conflict with the design standards.

As a result of changes to the standards, the curb and sidewalk specs were updated to include payment for removal of curb/sidewalk for repair/replacement; no separate payment is to be made under 110. All other concrete removal should be quantified and paid as noted above.

No changes made.

D4 Const.

Comments: (1-8-14)

Not sure what problem we are trying to fix with the existing spec. but the reference to 520-11 should be to 520-12.1 and 522-9 should be 522-11. If we have a 3R project that requires isolated sdwk and c&g work for ADA ramps, median revisions, etc. is the intent to include the removal cost in the cost of replacement sdwk/ c&g? If we are doing total reconstruction and all the existing sdwk/c&g are removed how is this any different? The purpose for this change and recent change to 520 are unclear.

Response: The problem brought to our attention was the inconsistent use of 110 for removal of curb and sidewalk. The references were to the current (2014) specifications- Method of Measurement articles.

For all FDOT projects, including 3R and maintenance, the removal of concrete curb/sidewalk for repairs/replacements would be included in the curb/sidewalk cost.

As noted above, the purpose of the change is one consistent method for all projects.

No changes made.
