

9320100 NONMETALLIC ACCESSORY MATERIALS FOR CONCRETE PAVEMENT AND
CONCRETE STRUCTURES.
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Cheryl Hudson
414-5332

Comment: (5-9-11)

1. The following might need to be left unchanged; if the Contractor receives the product unmarked and then he marks it with this information, is it valid?

932-1.2.5-4 Shipment Identification: ~~The material shall be delivered in containers~~
~~Plainly marked~~ *containers* with the manufacturer's name or trademark product name, LOT number and date of expiration.

Response: Agree. Keep original language. Change made.

2. The following is valid if many containers come inside a box and the box is marked but not the individual containers, then this would make sense.

932-1.3.5 Identification: *Plainly mark containers with the manufacturer's name or trademark product name, LOT number and date of expiration.*

Response: Agree. Keep original language. Change made.

Duane Brautigam

Comments: (5-10-11)

1. **932-1.2.4** - I disagree with the active voice conversion of this subarticle. As written, it would be the Contractor plainly marking the containers. The existing language requiring the product to be delivered in plainly marked containers is more accurate and descriptive of our intent for the manufacturer to supply this information.

Response: Agree. Keep original language. Change made.

2. **932-1.3.5** - Similar to the comment listed above for 932-1.2.4, use that language here.

Response: Agree. Keep original language. Change made.

Katie Bettman
904-360-5391

Comments: (5-11-11)

932-1.2.4 has been changed to read the same as what was added in 935-1.3.5. Both tell the Contractor to mark the containers. I think these markings should come from the manufacturer. They should be on the containers prior to arriving at the jobsite. I'm not sure why this was

changed. It doesn't state why in the Origination form.

932-1.3.5 Identification: Plainly mark containers with the manufacturer's name or trademark product name, LOT number and date of expiration.

Response: Agree. Keep original language. Change made.

George Heckendorn
231-675-6228

Comments: (5-19-11)

Are metallic's used in any form in reinforcements for MSE or panel walls under FLDOT scrutiny and/or study for long term sustainability? Concerns of native soils adversely affecting overall life of metal(s) reinforcement ability?

Response: This comment was not about the proposed revision. Mr. Heckendorn was referred to the Product Evaluation Office. No change necessary.

Karen Byram
414-4353

Comments: (5-26-11)

Are these products used during the construction phase? If so, will the tack free time changes affect the project?

Response: The products that are currently on the QPL meet the requirements for tack free time using the new method and the adjusted limits. Therefore, these changes will not affect the QPL or construction processes. No change necessary.
