

5210700 Concrete Barriers, Traffic Railing Barriers and Parapets.
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Charles E. Boyd, P.E.
(850) 414-4275

Comments: (2-8-11) I have concerns about the crashworthiness of an Index 410 barrier with 3/8” cracks (shrinkage cracks, presumably) in it. The proposed spec language appears to only address the cosmetics of a cracked barrier without restoring the strength necessary to resist a vehicle impact at the crack.

A 3/8” crack is more like a “true joint” (see Index 410 Sheet 2 of 25) and as such would necessitate the use of Free End Reinforcement on either side of it. But since the locations where these cracks will form cannot be predicted, the use of Free End Reinforcement adjacent to the cracks is not practical.

The two #4 bars running longitudinally thru the barrier would have to yield locally in order for a 3/8” wide vertical crack to form and load transfer thru shear interlock between the exposed aggregate would not seem possible at a 3/8” crack. Thus the crashworthiness of the barrier must be called into question.

Response:

Thomas, Frances
frances.thomas@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (3-28-11) Recommend the following in 5210700:
For precast and cast-in-place concrete barrier wall that has been installed, the disposition of concrete cracks shall be in accordance with 400-21. *However, Cracks in unreinforced-concrete barrier walls ~~which are barrier walls~~ that have no vertical reinforcing steel as detailed in Design Standards Index, No 410 do not require repair.*

Response:

Dan L. Hurtado, P.E.
(850) 414-4155
dan.hurtado@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (3-30-11) 5210700, “*However, cracks in unreinforced concrete barrier walls which are barrier walls that have no vertical reinforcing steel as detailed in Design Standards Index No. 410, do not require repair.*”

More concise wording would be “Cracks in unreinforced concrete barrier walls as detailed in Design Standards Index No. 410 do not require repair.”

Also:

- Which detail in Index 410?
- Sheet 5 calls unreinforced walls “plain” concrete barrier walls. If we refer to an Index, the phrasing should be consistent.
- Do we want a blanket statement that cracks in these types of walls do not require repair? As written, this means all cracks, no matter how severe do not require repair. Would it be better to state that cracks smaller than a certain size do not require repair?

Response:

OHagan, David

Comments: (4-5-11) 5210700: This proposed revision has not been discussed between Design and Construction Offices. Past proposals on a minimum median barrier crack width that required repairing had not been agreed upon, and thus previous attempts at modification were withdrawn. This proposal lifts any requirement for repair regardless of crack width, length and depth. Needless to say, this is also unacceptable. Furthermore, as I read it, 521-7 seems to apply only to precast concrete barrier walls. To permit a crack of unspecified minimum size (width, depth, length) to remain in the precast barrier is a safety concern as subsequent handling of the barrier will only compromise its in-place performance, not to mention its ability to be handled at all.

Response:

Marshall Dougherty

863-370-4079

mharddj@tampabay.rr.com

Comments: (5-2-11) The intent of the revision is to emphasize the differences between standard precast wall sections and plain concrete poured-in-place barrier walls (as detailed in Design Standards Index No. 410). I would like to see this single sentence become a separate paragraph, following the existing text, as a way to separate the two types of walls and to emphasize the differences. Also, the word "however" is superfluous and should be removed.

Response:

Bob Dion

386 740-0665

bob_dion@urscorp.com

Comments: (5-4-11) Suggest you change 'plain concrete' to 'unreinforced concrete' in the last sentence of 521-7. The Origination Form and the cover memo both mention unreinforced barrier walls.

Response:

.....

Katie Bettman

904-360-5391

katie.bettman@dot.state.fl.us

Comment: (5-11-11) Text: "However, do not repair cracks in plain concrete barrier walls as detailed in Design Standards Index No. 410, unless directed by the Engineer." Design Standards 410 does not detail repairing cracks. The statement that was added is confusing. I first looked through 410 to see what was being referenced. I think this could be written clearer.

Response



Pat McCann
(954) 777-4387

pat.mccann@dot.state.fl.us

Comment: (5-23-11) : I realize the word "plain" is meant to reference un-reinforced concrete. Is this explained anywhere in our documents? If not I would suggest adding "(un-reinforced)" after "plain". Index 410 includes both plain and reinforced walls so we do need to make the distinction.

Response:
