

1020302 MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Duane Brautigam
414-4130

Comment: (4-21-11)

Assuming the last paragraph of this spec is meant to apply to all detours, the addition of the proposed language at the end of 102-6.2 appears out of place. As drafted, it follows a very detailed discussion of detour bridge components, and could be construed as applying only to bridge detours. Also, please consider utilizing the cross reference to Design Index Nos. 600 and 660 as proposed in 556-3.1 of the concurrent proposed modification 5560200.

Response:

C. A. Harper
414-412

Comments: (5-3-11)

Suggest changing the last paragraph to read:

*Where pedestrian facilities are detoured, blocked or closed during the **workconstruction**, provide a **safe** alternate accessible route through or around the work zone meeting the requirements of the ADA Standards for Transportation Facilities.*

Response:

James T. Barfield
415-9200

Comments: (5-4-11)

Per Roadway Design Bulletin 11-04, Volume I, Chapter 8, Section 8.1.1 Pedestrians and Bicyclists of the PPM has been modified to clarify a shoulder (paved or unpaved) or footpath meets the need for a pedestrian way when one mile outside of the urban area. Using the term "sidewalk" could lead to these less obvious pedestrian ways not being accommodated during the bore. Consider revising the term "sidewalk" or include "pedestrian way."

Response:

Ken Zinck
386-740-3471

Comments: (5-17-11)

In comparing the Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) and Jack and Bore (J&B) spec changes, shouldn't these be consistent? MOT 102 mentions compliance with ADA, J&B 556 mentions compliance with our design standards. Since they are both talking about pedestrian facilities. Why would they not both reference the same standard or criteria. Or list both ADA and the standards in both specs.

Response:

Loreen Bobo
407-858-5900

Comments: (5-17-11)

Section 102-3.2 mentions compliance with ADA; Section 556-3.1 mentions compliance with our design standards. Since they are both talking about pedestrian facilities, why would they not both reference the same standard or criteria? Or list both ADA and the standards in both specs?

Response:
