

7020400 Wet Weather Pavement Marking  
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

\*\*\*\*\*

Duane F. Brautigam, P.E.

Comment: (11-9-10)

In the proposed changes to 701, 702, 709, 711 and 713, I see the phrase “The test reading should be representative of the Contractor’s striping performance.” I strongly suggest we use words other than “should be”, as that sounds optional. I am sure you can come up with better wording.

Maybe you can combine the new sentence with the previous one, something like ...

“Conduct field testing in accordance with FM 5-541, taking test readings representative of the Contractor’s striping performance.” **OR maybe ...** “Conduct field testing in accordance with FM 5-541, taking test readings representative of the actual striping installation.”

Response: Change Made.

\*\*\*\*\*

Previte, John

Comments: (11-18-10)

Every marking has a profile or is ‘profiled’ whether it is raised, flat, zero, inverted, or some other shape. “Provide profiles” is meaningless; we need to describe the shape or shapes of the profile or profiles we will accept. Personally, I cannot draw a picture from the description provided. (Or is the desired profile shape elsewhere given?)

Response: Additional clarification provided.

\*\*\*\*\*

Ken Zinck  
386-740-3471  
[ken.zinck@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:ken.zinck@dot.state.fl.us)

Comments: (12-1-10)

Comments from D5 Ocala Operations<<>>7020400- under 702-4.1- it tells the contractor to conduct field test- but it does not say when to take the readings. Is it right after, is it in 14 days or 30 days? How long do they have to turn in the readings to the Department give us something that we can hold the contractor to in submitting. Same goes for section 702-4.2. and 702-4.4

Response: Central Construction office has issued guidance to the District’s on this issue. No changes made.

\*\*\*\*\*

Ken Zinck  
386-740-3471  
[ken.zinck@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:ken.zinck@dot.state.fl.us)

Comments: (12-13-10)

Comments from D5-Orlando Construction:<<>>7020400 Wet Weather Pavement Markings-

The changes to the thickness measurements requirements will help the Techs and Contractors measure the new installed wet weather marking on existing roadways. We have had issues obtaining consistent thickness measurements on older existing surfaces after the initial thermoplastic stripes have been removed. <<>>(1) The removal leaves a thin groove on the roadway surface making our newly installed markings measure thin. <<>>(2) This also may be something to consider in the spec change. Another comment would be that, shouldn't the 702 pay items also be certified for thickness measurements since we are proposing to require it on the 709 and 711 thermoplastic striping contracts.

Response: (1) FM 5-541 addresses how to take measurement for refurbishment markings. (2) The certification is in the specification- see last paragraph of 702-4.2. No changes made.

\*\*\*\*\*