

7010400 Audible and Vibratory Pavement Markings  
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

\*\*\*\*\*

Duane F. Brautigam, P.E.

Comment: (11-9-10)

In the proposed changes to 701, 702, 709, 711 and 713, I see the phrase “The test reading should be representative of the Contractor’s striping performance.” I strongly suggest we use words other than “should be”, as that sounds optional. I am sure you can come up with better wording.

Maybe you can combine the new sentence with the previous one, something like ...

“Conduct field testing in accordance with FM 5-541, taking test readings representative of the Contractor’s striping performance.” **OR maybe ...** “Conduct field testing in accordance with FM 5-541, taking test readings representative of the actual striping installation.”

Response: Change made. “Take test readings representative of the striping performance.”

\*\*\*\*\*

Previte, John

Comments: (11-18-10)

Every marking has a profile or is ‘profiled’ whether it is raised, flat, zero, inverted, or some other shape. “Provide profiles” is meaningless; we need to describe the shape or shapes of the profile or profiles we will accept. Personally, I cannot draw a picture from the description provided. (Or is the desired profile shape elsewhere given?)

Response: Clarification has been provided.

\*\*\*\*\*

Ken Zinck  
386-740-3471  
[ken.zinck@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:ken.zinck@dot.state.fl.us)

Comments: (12-1-10)

D5 Ocala Operations:<<>>7010400- under 701-4.1- it tells the contractor to conduct field test- but it does not say when to take the readings. Is it right after, is it in 14 days or 30 days? How long to they have to turn in the readings to the Department give us something that we can hold the contractor to in submitting. Same goes for section 701-4.2. and 701-4.4

Response: Central Construction office has issued guidance to the District’s on this issue. No changes made.

\*\*\*\*\*