

7100000, PAINTED PAVEMENT MARKINGS
COMMENTS FROM INDUSTRY REVIEW

Duane Brautigam

Comments:

710-4.4 - Disagree with the removal of the Color requirements cross reference from to Section 971 from this Division II spec. The Contractor still has the obligation to provide a product meeting color requirements. This is true whether or not the Department chooses to test for color.

Mike Ruland, P.E.

(386)943-5761

michael.ruland@dot.state.fl.us

Comments:

Would this still apply if we are placing thermo as our second application- seems like this would only apply for MOT striping and first application of final surface with second application being thermoplastic striping ? **710-4.1.1 Final Surface:** Painted Pavement Markings (Final Surface) will include two applications of painted pavement markings and one application of retro-reflective pavement markers applied to the final surface.

This has been confusing with the plan notes being used to say second application is thermo- we should really get it in the spec that second appl. Is thermo instead of using plan notes. **710-11.2 Lump Sum Payment:** *When the item for Painted Pavement Markings (Final Surface) is included in the proposal, price and payment will be full compensation two applications of all painted pavement markings applied to the final surface (could say one application of all painted pavement markings and one application of thermoplastic striping to the final surface), and one application of retro-reflective pavement markers applied to the final surface in accordance with Section 706. Item No. 710-90 Painted Pavement Markings (Final Surface) – lump sum.*

Christopher Wood

(904)360-5673

Christopher.Wood@dot.state.fl.us

Comments:

Text: Is there some reason we can't just make Temp. Striping a lump sum pay item. or just include the Temp. striping under the MOT lump sum pay item, since temp striping is for MOT purposes.
