

0060600 CONTROL OF MATERIALS
COMMENTS AND RESPONSES FROM INDUSTRY REVIEW

David Chason
David.chason@dot.state.fl.us
414-4171

Comments:

Do we have a conflict on days with this section an section 9-11.

Response: Please get with me about the specific concern with section 9-11.

Edward L. Nowak, Esq., Senior Attorney
District Two
904-360-5661, Fax 904-360-5589
Florida Dept of Transportation
2198 Edison Street Mail Station 2819
Jacksonville Florida 32204

Comments:

The revision states that "Article 6" is deleted and replaced by the proposed 6.6. I believe that the intent was to delete SubArticle 6.6 and replace it with the new 6.6 and not to delete Article 6.

Response: Correction made. (ft)

Kenneth Whitfield
kenneth.whitfield@dot.state.fl.us
414-4303

Comments:

(REV 2-19-072-5-07) (FA 3-7-07) (7-07)
ARTICLE 6 (of the Supplemental Specifications) is deleted and the following substituted:

6-6 Additional Requirements for Lump Sum Projects.

Submit a Job Guide Schedule (JGS) at least 21 calendar days prior to commencement of work. Include a project-specific summary of material items and quantities. List the materials and quantities in the same format as the Sampling, Testing, and Reporting Guide. (See the State Materials Office website.)

Ensure that JGS items are updated monthly for:

1. Quantity placed since the previous submittal.
2. Total to-date quantity.
3. Any additional materials placed.

Furnish an updated JGS with each request for payment or the request may be denied.

Do not commence work activities that require testing, until the Job Guide Schedule has been approved.

At final acceptance, submit a final Job Guide Schedule that includes all materials used on the project.

Response: What is the question/comment? We will leave the proposed changes as they are.

Kevin L. Price
QC Manager
D.A.B. Constructors, Inc
PO Box 1589, Inglis, FL 34449
Office (352)447-5488 x243
Fax (352)447-4133; Cell (352)302-1515
WWW.FLACQC.COM

Comments:

I am pretty sure that the goal with Lump sum projects was to reduce the documentation, but this change is once again adding to the mess that has become Lump Sum.

I have never seen any value to the STRG requirement. The specifications outline the testing frequency, what is the point of submitting what the spec states in another format?

Quantities are not submitted in a job guide format on conventional jobs and when estimates come due there will be problems with the contractors' submittals due to the fact that every district and every project administrator is going to want things different from everyone else.

Typical monthly estimate submittals for pay include quantities by pay item and state what has been previously submitted, what is being submitted this period, and what the totals are to date. I cannot see the value of having two different submittal systems for pay.

Also consider that with the exception of the occasional lump sum project (which I create the document), I never see a job guide schedule and I am the QC manager. Point is, we should not have a whole separate system for the occasional project. Separate occasional systems just create confusion.

Response: Kevin, the purpose of the change was to ensure that at the conclusion of the Lump

Sum project, that all of the necessary samples have been taken to reflect the quantity of materials place by the contractor.

There is a need for these two different systems because these are two different contract delivery methods. On conventional bid item projects, FDOT and the contractor both know the quantities of materials from the bid item quantities. For LS jobs, this is not readily available and is generated from the JGS. Since LS contracting comprising around 20 – 25% of the contracting we do, it does need a method to capture this information.

As for formatting differences between districts or PA's, I am open to your suggestions on a format that would be simple for both contractors and FDOT. If you have any ideas on this, I'd be glad to hear/see them.

I appreciate you taking the time to review spec revisions.
