

RESPONSE TO 9160000 INDUSTRY REVIEW COMMENTS

Dave Drehmer

COMMENT:

We are fine with the revisions to Section 916 in this version out for review.

There is one addition to the language, however, that we feel should be made. This concerns the addition of antistripping agent in FC-5 mixes with 100% Oolitic limestone and PG76-22 as the binder. We feel the addition of antistrip with the limestone aggregate serves no purpose, in this blend. The inherent bond of the PG76-22 binder with the limestone aggregate, which has shown no historical disposition to stripping, yields a situation where there is no need for this antistrip inclusion.

Suggest the addition of a sentence in next to last paragraph of 916-1.1. 8., between sentences beginning with *All PG binder.....* and *Where FMI-T 283.....*, stating **The exception to this is for FC-5 mixtures containing 100% Oolitic limestone as the aggregate blend with PG76-22 as the binder.**

This is submitted for your consideration as an effort to trim unnecessary cost without any sacrifice to quality.

RESPONSE (Gale Page)

The comment is well taken. The Specification has been revised.

Sonny Sutton

COMMENT:

It's good to give time limits on all results. Including positive spot test specifications, and updated AASHTO tests. Separating the binder into Lots will give more structure to the sampling process. Thanks for allowing me to comment on this issue.

RESPONSE (Gale Page)

You are welcome.