

**RESPONSE 2 COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM INDUSTRY REVIEW**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Allen Schrupf**

**Subject:** Comments on FDOT Proposed Specification 4160601

I had Jeff O'Connor of Collins Engineering and Kevin Fischer of DRMP review the Specification. The specification change is a good one. Our only comment on the specification is that the percentage may not be clear enough to be understood by CEI or Contractor staff. I also seek to clarify that the percentages should be rounded up, not down. Suggested are one of two options:

Option A - Add something like the chart below to clarify the desired intent to all parties:

**Required Number of Anchor/Dowel Field Tests per LOT**

# anchors/dowels in LOT	First LOT (*) # Tested	Next 3 LOT's # Tested	Remaining LOT's (without failures) # Tested
1-25	1	1	1
26-50	2	1	1
51-75	3	2	1
76-100	4	2	1

(\*) or Next LOT after a failed LOT

Option B – Add to the text of the third sentence of the second paragraph: “Randomly select **at least** 4% of the anchors and dowels.....”

**Response from Steven Plotkin: The draft has been revised to clarify how many tests per LOT are required.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Ghulam Mujtaba**

I reviewed the proposed specifications and the following is my comment related to Section 416:

The specification does not mention if the results are reported as the average of all the tests within the Lot or if the reported results are based on the average of the individual specimen per Lot.

Ghulam Mujtaba, P.E., C.P.M.  
State Prestressed Concrete Engineer  
Florida Department of Transportation

**Response from Steven Plotkin:** I discussed this with Ghulam by phone and after explaining what was meant he verbally withdrew his comment and said that the way it is written is OK with him.