

COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM INDUSTRY REVIEW

Bill Richards

File: 7130000 – Preformed Pavement Stripes and Markings
Username: Bill Richards
UserEmail: william.richards@dot.state.fl.us
UserTel: (386) 943-5161 or SC 373-5161
UserFAX: (386) 736-5302
Date: Tuesday, June 07, 2005
Time: 08:07:22 AM

Comments:

In 713-4.1, why place the removal of existing pavement markings in 102-5.9. 102-5.9 specifies that the removal of marking for specific reasons is covered in the Lump Sum MOT items and the BOE is also covers what is to be handled in this Lump Sum pay item. Since there is a pay item for removal of existing pavement markings (709-7), this sub article should have the last sentence of the first paragraph, concerning payment for removal, removed.

In 713-7, you required a 180 day observation period. Since pavement markings are the last item done in a construction contract this will extend the contract for a 6 month period. How will this 180 period affect final acceptance covered in the specs? How will the extra time be covered in the contract, time extension? Have you coordinated this 180 day period with Ananth Prasad’s office? It is important that feedback be obtained from the State Construction Office on this extra time.

Mike Ruland

File: 7130000 – Preformed Pavement Stripes and Markings
Username: Mike Ruland, P.E
UserEmail: michael.ruland@dot.state.fl.us
UserTel: (386)258-4445 or SUNCOM 380-4445
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2005
Time: 11:11:41 AM

Comments:

Possibly add verbiage that any asphalt pavement that is damaged as a result of existing striping removal shall be replaced at no additional cost.

Eric Jagers

File: 7130000 – Preformed Pavement Stripes and Markings
Username: Eric Jagers
UserEmail:
UserTel: 352-315-3100
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2005
Time: 09:26:57 AM

Comments:

1 mile to reapply due to deficiency seems excessive.

CLOVERLEAF CORPORATION

Comments for 713

713-1: Clarifies that standard and high performance markings are differentiated by their reflectivity and durability. While the specification does have differences in the reflectivity requirements for these two classes of tape, their durability requirements are the same (See specification 102 – both have the same durability requirements – loss of line not to exceed 5%).

713-4.1: “*The strips and markings shall be installed immediately following the paving operation.*” Suggest this be removed since not always the case (concrete road, maintenance project to apply as final markings or remove existing markings and apply tape) “*Apply traffic strips and markings to the pavement by means approved by the Engineer.*” 713-3 is particular in the required equipment and process. If anything, change “means approved by the Engineer” to “manufacturer’s recommendations”

Sincerely,

Matthew G. Schindler

Mayur Patel

File: 7130000 – Preformed Pavement Stripes and Markings
Username: Mayur Patel
UserEmail: mayur.patel@dot.state.fl.us
UserTel: 352 955 6626
UserFAX: 352 955 6644
Date: Friday, June 24, 2005
Time: 05:24:02 PM

Comments:

700 series:

701-4.1, 702-4.1, 709-4.1, 711-4.1 and 713-4.1, Make the change to these sections as it is written in 710-4.1, remove "The Engineer change will conduct field testing in accordance to....." to "conduct field testing in accordance to....."

701-4.6, 702-4.3, 709-4.3, 710-4.3,711-4.3 and 713-4.3, the reference to FM 5-541 should be changed to FM 5-579 for retro-reflectivity measurements. Also, the wording should be "Measure and record test data, certify..... no later than the next working day..." Make the language same as SMO proposal for the draft Spec 713 regarding use of CQC arrangements and frequencies.
