

Responses 2 Comments Received From Industry Review

Bill Richards

File: 1020000 - Maintenance of Traffic
Username: Bill Richards
UserEmail: william.richards@dot.state.fl.us
UserTel: (386) 943-5161 or SC 373-5161
Date: Thursday, June 09, 2005
Time: 09:19:20 AM

Comments:

102-5.9, I think this change is good. However, all of the changes in the markings sections (done by Chester Henson) state that payment for removal of the existing pavement markings will be in accordance with 102-5.9.

RESPONSE: Your changes will not encompass and is not compatible with his changes. There can be pavement markings that must be removed that will not be Conflicting Pavement Markings, will not be in areas where normal vehicle paths are altered nor conflict with adjusted vehicle paths.

Your change must be coordinated with Chester's change or additional language added to all of Chester's changes to cover the other situations that could arise.

The change has been coordinated with Chester Henson.

Gene Strickland

File: 1020000 - Maintenance of Traffic
Username: Gene Strickland
UserEmail: genes@andersoncolumbia.com
UserTel: 850-526-4440 x305
Date: Wednesday, June 08, 2005
Time: 09:02:45 AM

Comments:

A unit price pay item for work required under article 102-5.9 Conflicting Pavement Markings needs to be provided. If the Department believes that the totality of this work can be determined prior to the bid by the contractor or its striping subcontractor so that a lump sum cost can be covered in the MOT pay item, then it is equally determinable by the designer to figure the quantity and include a unit price for the removal. This is an inequity in the bid process and

causes conflicts with the striping subcontractors when some LS quantity used at bid time is exceeded or underrun. The Department can pay for the work that is done by the unit thereby minimizing conflicts and possible need to claim for extra compensation.

RESPONSE: The Department does not plan to have a unit price pay item for work at this time.

Matthew G. Schindler
Cloverleaf Corp.

102-10.1 (d) *“Work Zone Pavement Markings shall be water borne paint only, unless otherwise identified in the plans or approved by the Engineer. The most common types of Work Zone Pavement Markings are water borne paint and removable tape.”* Suggest striking the first sentence as there is some conflict with the second sentence. With the deletion of the application table, suggest adding back in guidance for designers and CEI’s as to when they should be requiring removable markings such as transitions lines outside of the limits of resurfacing or when temporary markings applied to the finish pavement surface will not be the final marking scheme.

RESPONSE: I struck the word “only” from the first sentence. Guidance on the use of removable markings will be included in the Basis of Estimates Manual.

Maxie Hicks

File: 1020000 - Maintenance of Traffic
Username: Maxie Hicks
UserEmail: maxie.hicks@dot.state.fl.us
UserTel: 352-955-6657
Date: Thursday, June 09, 2005
Time: 10:21:27 AM

Comments:

QPL products that were listed as non-removal traffic tape-products that are incorporating into the paving mixture during milling-
Question * Will all products on the QPL so listed be moved if so where?, or will they be eliminated?

RESPONSE: The non-removable tape will be eliminated from spec 102 and the QPL.
