

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM INDUSTRY REVIEW

Jennifer Taylor

File: 00902LS - Measurement and Payment-Fuels
Username: Steve Lange / Jennifer Taylor
UserEmail: jennifer.taylor@dot.state.fl.us
UserTel: 386-740-3471 sc 373-3471
Date: Wednesday, June 08, 2005
Time: 07:23:29 AM

Comments:

The specification referenced is what we did prior to the June 2004 letting. The contractor has to certify gas & diesel now per design build and lump sum project specifications which has nothing to do with the \$ adjustment listed under 9-2.1.1 (1/2 way down the page). This spec should match the latest version of the supplemental specifications.

Response:

The initial reason for the spec allowing Fuel and Bit adjustments on work added by SA was a Site Manager reporting issue. A decision has been reached about that which led to the decision to return the specification to its pre-2004 language of not allowing Fuel and Bit adjustments on work added by contract modification.

Gene Strickland

File: 00902LS - Measurement and Payment-Fuels
Username: Gene Strickland
UserEmail: genes@andersoncolumbia.com
UserTel: 850-526-4440 x305
UserFAX: 850-526-7106
Date: Wednesday, June 08, 2005
Time: 08:06:35 AM

Comments:

It appears that the reason for not allowing the fuel adjustment to be applied to supplementals is that the additional cost will be captured when the supplemental is negotiated. Many time the Department will require the use of existing unit prices when the additional work is basically the same as a an existing bid item. If this spec change is

to go into affect, DCE's must require their field people to consider current fuel and bituminous prices when negotiating a change and wishing to use existing contract unit prices.

Response:

It is anticipated that the pricing from the contractor used for the contract modification would be inclusive of all costs associated with the additional work.