

Response To Comments Received From Industry Review

Bob Schafer

File 0080604-Prosecution and Progress
Username: Bob Schafer
UserEmail: bschafer@rangerconstruction.com
UserTel: 772-464-6460
UserFAX: 722-466-9559
Remote Name: 12.26.125.68
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2005
Time: 09:23:39 AM

Comments:

Revision seems fair for both the Department and Contractors. Will the Contractors be required to request the time extension for holidays or will it be automatically granted?

Response:

Thanks, that is what we were trying to achieve. In response to your question, the intent was for the holiday periods to be treated just as weather days, thereby not requiring the contractor to request the extension.

J. C. Miseroy

File: 0080604-Prosecution and Progress
Username: J.C. Miseroy
UserEmail: jc.miseroy@gcinc.com
Remote Name: 64.162.136.201
Date: Friday, June 24, 2005
Time: 08:15:59 AM

Comments:

This revision is long overdue. On many projects there are restrictions on closing lanes due to sporting events, cultural events, etc. that are beyond the control of the contractor. If these events impact the critical path of the project, these should also be eligible for a time extension.

Response:

JC, we will take your comments into consideration for a future revision. You make a good point but we want to assess how this proposed change works before adding additional events.
