### Index 400 Guardrail

#### **ORIGINATION**

Date: August 4, 2017 Name: Richard Stepp Phone: (850) 414-4319 Email: richard.stepp@dot.state.fl.us

#### COMMENTARY

Sheet 20: add details for terminating pipe rail on steel posts

#### **COMMENTS AND RESPONSES**

BLACK = Industry Review Comments RED = Standard Plans Response

Name: Russell Gilbert Date: Thursday, August 31, 2017 10:06 AM

#### COMMENT:

Suggestion for additional revision to the 2018-19 Index 400.

Index 400 sheet 20 of 22 Rail Splice Detail. The ½" Hex Head bolt is only needed on one side of the splice. (Similar to Index 870 and 880 Handrail splices) After it is plugged 6" into the next piece of pipe and bolted to the post mount brackets the Rail splice cannot move. This would save some field drilling of the pipe rail thus save the department some cost on this item.

#### **RESPONSE:** Date: 9/19/2017

One bolt is placed on either side of the Pipe Rail splice (two bolts per splice) for crashworthiness considerations. The intent is to prevent free ends of Pipe Rail from becoming spearing hazards after being dislodged by errant vehicle impacts with the guardrail. As a result, the Index will remain as-is, with two bolts per splice. Okay as-is.

### 1 of 3 Index 400

# Guardrail

Name: Steven Wright Date: Wednesday, September 13, 2017 1:12 PM

#### COMMENT:

- 1) Summary of the changes (Origination Form, Sheet 2)
  - a. Origination form says 6'-0" CRT post option is on Sheet 11, but it's actually Sheet 12
  - Drigination form says update 1.25" bolt on Sheet 15 among others, but bolt is not on Sheet 15
- 2) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 11 of 22
  - a. See number 1 above
- 3) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 15 of 22
  - a. <u>Hole Layout:</u> On Thrie-Beam Terminal Connector, callout looks to say 5 bolt holes, but 7 are shown.
  - b. <u>Hole Size:</u> These are called out as 15/16" holes, but are usually 1" diameter.
- 4) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 18 of 22
  - a. Should 1¼" length bolts get changed to 2" bolts like the other sheets (at Thrie-Beam Terminal connectors).
- 5) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 19 of 22
  - a. Carriage Bolts are typically used for panel splices and connection to Post.
- 6) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 21 of 22
  - a. Does 13/16" hole size substitution per Sheet 5 apply on Sheet 21?

### **RESPONSE:**

#### Date: 9/19/2017

- 1) Summary of the changes (Origination Form, Sheet 2)
  - a. Sheet 11: Correct. The 6'-0" CRT post option made it into the previous Errata on Sheet 12 (it references from Sheet 11).
  - b. Sheet 15: Correct. This wasn't required on Sheet 15.
- 2) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 11 of 22
  - a. See number 1 above
- 3) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 15 of 22
  - a. <u>Hole Layout:</u> The callout refers to 5 rows of bolt holes (only 5 bolt holes are used). This is the same Standard piece RTE01b that's been in use for prior decades (<u>http://mwrsf-ga.unl.edu/attachments/575de1d94ad6db47b4300e40ddf86c7c.pdf</u>) Since this is a Standard piece that manufacturers have been producing for past decades to accommodate Florida and other states, the FDOT is continuing use of this standard piece without changing its configuration. The callout will be revised to remove the quantity to avoid misinterpretation.

# Index 400 Guardrail

- b. <u>Hole Size:</u> General Note 3 explains that these components are based on English Unit conversations of the AASHTO-AGC-ARTBA Task Force 13 Guide to Standardized Barrier Hardware (per the link above). If the English unit conversion is reasonably close to the AASHTO hardware details and will not affect the bolt's performance, you can use what pieces you've used in prior years given a history of acceptable performance. The FDOT hasn't changed these hole size requirements since the late 1990's, so we don't expect a new impact to fabrication. Okay as-is.
- 4) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 18 of 22
  - a. Panels are not nested at this location, so 1¼" length bolts still apply. Okay as-is.
- 5) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 19 of 22
  - a. The bolts you've specified follow the same policy as last year's Standard. If you cannot use the bolt types called for, then please inform of specific (dimensional) information on the bolt types you require. Okay as-is.
- 6) PDF Index 536-001 Sheet 21 of 22
  - a. Backwards compatibility to 13/16" holes are applicable to this Special Steel Post, where the hole layout and function are identical to Standard Posts it is substituting for per Note 1. We will update Note 3 on Sheet 5 to further clarify applicability.

Name: Karina Fuentes, P.E. Date: Monday, September 25, 2017 5:30 PM

#### COMMENT:

- 1. Sheet 5 of 22: Recommend to maintain the 13/16 in. bolt holes specification for steel posts and steel block since holes are pre-drilled the greater diameter will allow for hole alignment adjustment without field modification.
- 2. Sheet 1 General Notes: Nested W-Beam Suggest to further clarify the definition of nested beams: "Where called for in the Plans, install two W-Beam panels mounted flush (stacked together)..."
- 3. Sheet 6 of 22 (Guardrail Sections): In the "Shoulder Sections", should retain the "1:10 max." label; it is explained in the new added note.
- 4. Sheets 7/8 of 22: Recommend to include the note regarding the disallowance of special steel posts, encased posts, etc. in approach terminal segments to sheet 1 (general notes) of this Index. Also, the note should be expanded to clarify that it applies to ALL approach treatments (approaches to bridges, etc.) and also to trailing ends of end anchorages.

#### **RESPONSE:**

#### Date: 9/26/2017

- 1) The 13/16" bolt hole size is still permitted. It is being maintained for backwards compatibility per the new Note 3 on Sheet 5 (Note: The default 3/4" bolt hole follows the national MGS design). Okay as-is.
- 2) Nested panels have historically been a common practice, and the new General Note has a sufficient description. Okay as-is.
- 3) We've made the 1:10 max callout less prominent, particularly on shoulders, in order to avoid the interpretation that 1:10 max is the only shoulder slope requirement.

Contractors should look to the Plans for these actual slopes, and designers should look to the FDM. Okay as-is.

4) There is no requirement to prevent usage of Special Posts within an Approach Transition Connection to Rigid Barrier, and the Trailing Anchorage has the required posts specifically defined in the drawing. As a result, the exclusion policy can be directed solely to Approach Terminals on Sheet 7. This Special Post requirement is grouped with similar requirements for Approach Terminals on Sheet 7. Okay as-is.









017 3:14:26 PM

9/22/2017