

# Before and After-Implementation Studies of Advanced Signal Control Technologies in Florida

**BDV32-977-05**

## **Final Report**

Submitted to Raj Ponnaluri, Ph.D., P.E., PTOE  
*Florida Department of Transportation*

Submitted by Lily Elefteriadou, Ph.D.  
Pruthvi Manjunatha, Ph.D.

and

Sivaramakrishnan Srinivasan

Scott Washburn

Yafeng Yin

Xi Duan

Marian Ankomah

Yinan Zheng

Thomas Chase

Tyler Valila

Wei Sun

Zhuofei Li

Marilo Martin-Gasulla

Hope Sotolongo

Xiaotong Sun

Ria Kontou

Liteng Zha

September, 2019

## **DISCLAIMER**

The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the State of Florida Department of Transportation.

## UNITS CONVERSION PAGE

| SYMBOL          | WHEN YOU KNOW        | MULTIPLY BY | TO FIND      | SYMBOL         |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| LENGTH          |                      |             |              |                |
| in              | inches               | 25.4        | millimeters  | mm             |
| ft              | feet                 | 0.305       | meters       | m              |
| mi              | miles                | 1.61        | kilometers   | km             |
| VOLUME          |                      |             |              |                |
| gal             | gallons              | 3.785       | liters       | L              |
| ft <sup>3</sup> | cubic feet           | 0.028       | cubic meters | m <sup>3</sup> |
| MASS            |                      |             |              |                |
| oz              | ounces               | 28.35       | grams        | g              |
| lb              | pounds               | 0.454       | kilograms    | kg             |
| T               | short tons (2000 lb) | 0.907       | metric ton   | Mg             |

## TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                             |                                                                                          |           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Report No.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 2. Government Accession No.                                 | 3. Recipient's Catalog No.                                                               |           |
| 4. Title and Subtitle<br><b>Before and After-Implementation Studies of Advanced Signal Control Technologies in Florida</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                             | 5. Report Date<br><b>August 2019</b>                                                     |           |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                             | 6. Performing Organization Code                                                          |           |
| 7. Author(s)<br><b>Lily Elefteriadou, Pruthvi Manjunatha, Sivaramakrishnan Srinivasan, Scott Washburn, Yafeng Yin, Xi Duan, Marian Ankomah, Yinan Zheng, Thomas Chase, Tyler Valila, Wei Sun, Zhuofei Li, Marilo Martin-Gasulla, Hope Sotolongo, Xiaotong Sun, Ria Kontou, Liteng Zha</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                             | 8. Performing Organization Report No.                                                    |           |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                             |                                                                                          |           |
| 9. Performing Organization Name and Address<br><b>University of Florida Transportation Institute<br/>512 Weil Hall, PO Box 116580<br/>Gainesville, FL 32611</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                             | 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)                                                                |           |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                             | 11. Contract or Grant No.<br><b>BDV32-977-05</b>                                         |           |
| 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address<br><b>Florida Department of Transportation<br/>605 Suwannee Street, MS 30<br/>Tallahassee, FL 32399</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                             | 13. Type of Report and Period Covered<br><b>Final Report<br/>May 2014 to August 2019</b> |           |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                             | 14. Sponsoring Agency Code                                                               |           |
| 15. Supplementary Notes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                             |                                                                                          |           |
| 16. Abstract<br><b>The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has proposed the implementation of Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT) on eight corridors in Florida to overcome the limitations of traditional signal systems in cases of changes in traffic demand, weather, incidents, etc. The main objectives of this project were to evaluate the implementation of proposed ASCT traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the installation of specific ASCT, document the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT. The mobility and safety benefits of the ASCT implementation were assessed by comparing performance measures of time of the day (TOD) plans versus ASCT through field data collection. Two critical intersections were identified within each corridor and performance measures, such as corridor travel time, intersection delay, major and minor street queues, turning movement, etc, were collected. Crash data were collected over a period of fifty-nine months for safety analysis. A benefit-cost analysis is conducted by monetizing safety and mobility benefits. The summary field data were used to build regression models of performance measures as functions of site characteristics. Qualitative observations and institutional issues were obtained by interviewing local staff. Recommendations were made on the suitability of corridors for ASCT implementation, and guidelines were provided for effective field implementation.</b> |                                                             |                                                                                          |           |
| 17. Key Word<br><b>Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT), Mobility, Safety, Benefit-Cost Analysis.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                             | 18. Distribution Statement<br><b>No Restrictions</b>                                     |           |
| 19. Security Classif. (of this report)<br><b>Unclassified</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 20. Security Classif. (of this page)<br><b>Unclassified</b> | 21. No. of Pages<br><b>624</b>                                                           | 22. Price |



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this research was to evaluate the implementation of proposed Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT) traffic operations at eight arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the installation of specific ASCT (InSync and Synchron Green), document the effectiveness of these systems, their advantages and disadvantages, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT.

A literature review was first conducted to document the state of the industry and best practices for adaptive systems. Next, the impact of ASCT was evaluated by comparing traffic operational measures and crash statistics before and after their implementation at the eight corridors.

Table EX-1 shows the detailed information on the corridor characteristics and data collection times.

Table EX-1 Data Collection Sites and Description

| Site                 | Route                                | Cross Section    | Length (mile) | #Signalized Int. per mile | #Unsignalized Int. per mile | AADT  | Speed Limit (mph) | Data Collection Time Periods |                  |           |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
|                      |                                      |                  |               |                           |                             |       |                   | AM Peak                      | Off Peak         | PM Peak   |
| Gainesville          | Newberry Road                        | 6-Lane Divided   | 1.45          | 8.3                       | 13.8                        | 45750 | 35                | 7am – 9am                    | 1pm – 3pm        | 4pm – 6pm |
| Deland               | US 17/92                             | 6-Lane Divided   | 2.28          | 2.2                       | 6.6                         | 31500 | 50 / 45           | 7am – 9am                    | 1pm – 3pm        | 4pm – 6pm |
| Panama City Beach    | Beach Parkway                        | 4/6-Lane Divided | 8.5           | 1.1                       | 5.6                         | 28750 | 55                | 7am – 9am                    | 1pm – 3pm        | 4pm – 6pm |
| Sarasota and Manatee | University Parkway                   | 4/6-Lane Divided | 7.8           | 2.3                       | 3.1                         | 49110 | 40 / 45 / 50      | 7am – 9am                    | 1pm – 3pm        | 4pm – 6pm |
| Panama City          | 23rd Street                          | 4-Lane Undivided | 2             | 4.5                       | 6.5                         | 46875 | 45                | 7am – 9am                    | 11am – 1pm       | 4pm – 6pm |
| Pinellas             | 66th Street                          | 6-Lane Divided   | 5             | 2.4                       | 8                           | 35585 | 45                | 7am – 9am                    | 1pm – 3pm        | 4pm – 6pm |
| Manatee              | SR 70                                | 6-Lane Divided   | 9.2           | 2.4                       | 1.7                         | 52185 | 40 / 50           | 7am – 9am                    | 10am – 12pm      | 4pm – 6pm |
| Bartow               | E.Van Fleet Drive & N. Broadway Ave. | 6-Lane Divided   | 1.1           | 4.5                       | 1.8                         | 41180 | 45 / 35           | 7am – 9am                    | 9.30am – 11.30am | 4pm – 6pm |

The staff at Traffic Management Centers (TMCs) and other agencies responsible for the installation and maintenance of the ASCT at these corridors were interviewed in order to obtain their perspective on the effectiveness of these systems. Based on the quantitative and qualitative information collected, the research team conducted a benefit-cost analysis, and developed recommendations and guidance for further implementation of ASCT.

## **Traffic Operations**

To evaluate the impact of ASCT on traffic operations, two critical intersections and three critical time periods (AM, PM, and off-peak) were identified for each corridor. Five performance measures were obtained for the before and after study periods: link/route travel time, delay at intersections, queue length (at critical intersections), queue to lane storage ratio (at critical intersections), and passenger car equivalent (PCE) flows (at critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data was conducted.

It was found that the implementation of ASCT led to an average overall reduction in travel time of 9.36%. All corridors show travel time reduction in at least one direction of travel, and four corridors show reduction in both directions. US-17 in Deland and 66<sup>th</sup> Street in Pinellas showed the most improvement, whereas Newberry Road in Gainesville was adversely affected by the ASCT installation, and the system was removed.

The ASCT generally helped increase major street throughput (6.96%) and reduce major street queues (15.57%). The minor street queues increased (16.98%) while the throughput remained almost the same (0.69%), i.e., ASCT was able to maintain the same levels of side street flows despite an increase in minor street queues.

Regression analysis showed that lower AADT, lower intersection density (signalized and unsignalized), and lower initial operating speed (before implementation of ASCT) resulted in higher traffic operational improvement. The sites that showed consistent improvements had minimal detection or construction issues, low-volume side streets, and simpler geometry (for example, no left turns as part of the main corridor).

## **Safety**

The crash data along six of the eight corridors were obtained from the Signal Four Analytics System in order to evaluate the impact of ASCT on safety. Safety analysis was not conducted for the other two sites due to a lack of data for the after period. The data extracted from the Signal Four Analytics System was for the period January 2013 – November 2017.

The research team examined changes in total crashes and changes in crashes by severity (fatal and injury crashes), crash type (such as rear end, intersection related), and time of day (peak, off-peak, weekend). Estimates of traffic volume for the entire corridor (mainline) were obtained, and these were used to compare annual crash rates. All crash data were collected over a period of 59 months (2013 to 2017). Depending on the date of ASCT implementation, the sites had varying data ranges for before and after crash data. We call this comparison “long term.” To have uniformity in comparison a 14-month window was used (7 before implementation and 7 after) for all sites. We call this comparison “short term”.

US-17 in Deland, 23rd Street in Panama City, and East Van fleet Drive in Bartow showed reduction in crashes in both short and long term. University Parkway in Sarasota showed only short-term improvement. Regarding the two corridors that showed an increase in crashes, SR-693 in Pinellas had a short after period for data collection. Beach Parkway in Panama City has

had increasing tourist-related demand, which has led to higher seasonal traffic. This increase is potentially offsetting any safety benefits of signal coordination.

## **Interviews and Benefit-Cost (B/C)**

The data collected from traffic engineering and safety analyses were used to compute the benefits for each site, while costs were obtained during interviews with operating agencies.

The interviews were conducted either through an on-site meeting or through video calls when in-person interviews could not be arranged. A questionnaire was developed and provided to the agency in advance of the interview, and it consisted of five sections and approximately 40 questions which focused on previous traffic control technologies used by the agency, their experience with ASCT, cost components, and institutional issues.

Based on the interviews, the level of staff satisfaction correlated with objective measurements such as travel time and queue improvement. Some of the key components for successful ASCT implementation identified through these interviews were:

- Regular maintenance and checks of the detection system and cameras.
- ASCT software needs regular updating, and it is important to include maintenance funding.
- Extensive training of five days or more is required, with providing additional staff for ASCT.
- Sites where vendors installed the system and did the initial fine tuning performed better.
- ASCT proved to be effective during the off-peak periods at all sites and during peak hours in some sites.

The benefit-cost analysis revealed overall net positive monetized benefits (12.8 considering safety, 5.4 without safety). The ASCT perform well for most of the corridors and for the overall program. The benefits were mainly attributed to reduced travel time along the corridors. The crashes were classified into five categories labeled K, A, B, C, or O: killed (K), incapacitating (A), non-incapacitating (B), possible injury (C), and property damage only (O). Since KABCO values weigh the fatalities heavily, safety benefits are extremely variable and could swing from net negative to net positive due to a single fatality.

Overall, the research team has concluded that ASCT generally yield better performance and a higher return on investment when implemented on corridors with lower intersection density, low-volume side streets, and high demand but not oversaturated traffic conditions. Based on interviews conducted, ASCT is not a “set it and forget it” system. Maintenance (especially detectors and cameras), training (at least five days), and appropriate staffing are some of the key factors contributing to its success.

## Contents

|                                                                                    |      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| DISCLAIMER.....                                                                    | i    |
| UNITS CONVERSION PAGE .....                                                        | ii   |
| TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE.....                                           | iii  |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....                                                            | iv   |
| LIST OF FIGURES.....                                                               | xiii |
| LIST OF TABLES.....                                                                | xvii |
| 1. INTRODUCTION .....                                                              | 1    |
| 1.1. Background and Objectives.....                                                | 1    |
| 1.2. Project Overview .....                                                        | 1    |
| 2. LITERATURE REVIEW .....                                                         | 5    |
| 2.1. Insync Adaptive Traffic Signal Control System .....                           | 6    |
| 2.1.1. Background .....                                                            | 6    |
| 2.1.2. System Architecture and Hardware.....                                       | 6    |
| 2.1.3. Signal Control Optimization Framework.....                                  | 8    |
| 2.1.4. Development Process .....                                                   | 10   |
| 2.1.5. Monitoring .....                                                            | 10   |
| 2.1.6. Case Studies .....                                                          | 11   |
| 2.2. Synchrogreen Adaptive Traffic Signal Control System.....                      | 11   |
| 2.2.1. Introduction .....                                                          | 11   |
| 2.2.2. System Components .....                                                     | 12   |
| 2.2.3. Signal Control Optimization Frameworks.....                                 | 12   |
| 2.2.4. System Requirements .....                                                   | 13   |
| 2.2.5. Software Packages .....                                                     | 13   |
| 2.2.6. Case Studies .....                                                          | 13   |
| 2.3. Other Advanced Signal Control Technologies.....                               | 14   |
| 2.3.1. Split Cycle Offset Optimization Technique (SCOOT).....                      | 14   |
| 2.3.2. Sydney Coordinated Adaptive Traffic System (SCATS) .....                    | 15   |
| 2.3.3. Los Angeles Adaptive Traffic Control System (LA-ATCS) .....                 | 16   |
| 2.3.4. Real-Time Hierarchical Optimized Distributed Effective System (RHODES)..... | 17   |

|        |                                                                                        |    |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.3.5. | Optimized Policies for Adaptive Control (OPAC) .....                                   | 18 |
| 2.3.6. | Adaptive Control Software Lite (ACS-Lite) .....                                        | 20 |
| 2.4.   | Best Practices in ASCT .....                                                           | 21 |
| 2.4.1. | Pinellas County, Florida (RHODES and OPAC) .....                                       | 21 |
| 2.4.2. | City of Irvine, California (SWARM/OPAC) .....                                          | 21 |
| 2.4.3. | VDOT Pilot Implementation (InSync) .....                                               | 22 |
| 2.4.4. | Park City, Utah (SCATS) .....                                                          | 22 |
| 2.4.5. | City of Surrey, Canada (MAC) .....                                                     | 23 |
| 2.5.   | Summary of Best Practices and Lessons Learned .....                                    | 23 |
| 2.6.   | Conclusions and Recommendations .....                                                  | 24 |
| 3.     | TRAFFIC ENGINEERING ANALYSIS .....                                                     | 25 |
| 3.1.   | Comparison of Traffic Performance Measures .....                                       | 29 |
| 3.2.   | Regression Analysis and Findings .....                                                 | 31 |
| 3.2.1. | Linear Regression Model for Predicting Percentage Travel Time Change .....             | 35 |
| 3.2.2. | Linear Regression Model for Predicting Queue Storage Ratio Change (Major Street) ..... | 35 |
| 3.3.   | Travel Time Reliability .....                                                          | 36 |
| 3.4.   | Conclusions from Traffic Engineering Analysis .....                                    | 37 |
| 4.     | SAFETY ANALYSIS .....                                                                  | 39 |
| 4.1.   | Background on Safety Studies .....                                                     | 39 |
| 4.2.   | Data Assembly .....                                                                    | 42 |
| 4.3.   | Methodology .....                                                                      | 45 |
| 4.4.   | Safety Analysis Results .....                                                          | 45 |
| 4.4.1. | US-17/92 Corridor .....                                                                | 46 |
| 4.4.2. | Panama City Beach Parkway Corridor .....                                               | 49 |
| 4.4.3. | 23rd Street Corridor .....                                                             | 52 |
| 4.4.4. | University Parkway Corridor .....                                                      | 55 |
| 4.4.5. | Bartow Corridor .....                                                                  | 58 |
| 4.4.6. | SR-693 Corridor .....                                                                  | 61 |
| 4.5.   | Summary and Conclusions from Safety Analysis .....                                     | 64 |
| 5.     | FINDINGS FROM STAFF INTERVIEWS .....                                                   | 67 |

|        |                                                          |     |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1.   | Interview Process .....                                  | 67  |
| 5.2.   | Signal System.....                                       | 67  |
| 5.3.   | Performance and Satisfaction .....                       | 69  |
| 5.4.   | Staff, Training, and Cost .....                          | 71  |
| 5.5.   | Institutional Issues .....                               | 72  |
| 5.5.1. | Organization and Management.....                         | 72  |
| 5.5.2. | Regulatory and Legal.....                                | 72  |
| 5.5.3. | Human and Facility Resources .....                       | 72  |
| 5.5.4. | Financial .....                                          | 72  |
| 5.6.   | Summary of Lessons Learned from the Interviews.....      | 73  |
| 6.     | BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS.....                               | 74  |
| 6.1.   | Background on Benefits and Costs.....                    | 74  |
| 6.2.   | Calculation of Benefits .....                            | 75  |
| 6.2.1. | Time Savings.....                                        | 75  |
| 6.2.2. | Fuel Consumption Savings .....                           | 76  |
| 6.2.3. | Emission Reduction .....                                 | 78  |
| 6.2.4. | Crash Reduction .....                                    | 80  |
| 6.3.   | Calculation of Costs .....                               | 81  |
| 6.4.   | Benefit-Cost Analysis and Findings .....                 | 82  |
| 6.5.   | Benefit-Cost Findings and Conclusion.....                | 87  |
| 7.     | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....                     | 88  |
| 8.     | REFERENCES .....                                         | 90  |
|        | APPENDIX A: Summary of Newberry Rd., Alachua County..... | 96  |
| A.1.   | Excusive Summary .....                                   | 96  |
| A.2.   | Corridor Information .....                               | 97  |
| A.3.   | Performance Measures .....                               | 100 |
| A.4.   | Questionnaire .....                                      | 142 |
| A.5.   | Benefit-Cost Analysis .....                              | 149 |
|        | APPENDIX B: Summary of US-17/92, Volusia County.....     | 150 |
| B.1.   | Excusive Summary .....                                   | 150 |
| B.2.   | Corridor Information .....                               | 151 |

|                                                                    |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| B.3. Performance Measures .....                                    | 154 |
| B.4. Questionnaire .....                                           | 188 |
| B.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis .....                                   | 196 |
| APPENDIX C: Summary of Panama City Beach Parkway, Bay County ..... | 197 |
| C.1. Excusive Summary .....                                        | 197 |
| C.2. Corridor Information.....                                     | 198 |
| C.3. Performance Measures.....                                     | 200 |
| C.4. Questionnaire .....                                           | 241 |
| C.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis .....                                   | 248 |
| APPENDIX D: Summary of University Parkway, Sarasota County.....    | 250 |
| D.1. Excusive Summary .....                                        | 250 |
| D.2. Corridor Information .....                                    | 251 |
| D.3. Performance Measures .....                                    | 254 |
| D.4. Questionnaire .....                                           | 312 |
| D.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis .....                                   | 320 |
| APPENDIX E: Summary of 23rd Street, Bay County .....               | 321 |
| E.1. Excusive Summary .....                                        | 321 |
| E.2. Corridor Information.....                                     | 322 |
| E.3. Performance Measures.....                                     | 324 |
| E.4. Questionnaire .....                                           | 370 |
| E.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis .....                                   | 377 |
| APPENDIX F: Summary of 66th St, Pinellas County .....              | 379 |
| F.1. Excusive Summary.....                                         | 379 |
| F.2. Corridor Information.....                                     | 380 |
| F.3. Performance Measures.....                                     | 383 |
| F.4. Questionnaire.....                                            | 438 |
| F.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis.....                                    | 447 |
| APPENDIX G: Summary of SR-70, Manatee County.....                  | 448 |
| G.1. Excusive Summary .....                                        | 448 |
| G.2. Corridor Information .....                                    | 449 |
| G.3. Performance Measures .....                                    | 453 |

|                                                                                 |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| G.4. Questionnaire .....                                                        | 502 |
| G.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis .....                                                | 509 |
| APPENDIX H: Summary of E. Van Fleet Dr. and N. Broadway Ave., Polk County ..... | 511 |
| H.1. Excusive Summary .....                                                     | 511 |
| H.2. Corridor Information .....                                                 | 513 |
| H.3. Performance Measures .....                                                 | 515 |
| H.4. Questionnaire .....                                                        | 569 |
| H.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis .....                                                | 576 |
| APPENDIX I: Time Taken to Add Crash Data .....                                  | 578 |
| APPENDIX J: Monthly Variation of Long and Short Form Crashes.....               | 580 |
| APPENDIX K: Historical AADT for Each Corridor .....                             | 584 |
| APPENDIX L: Short-Term Changes in Crashes.....                                  | 585 |



## LIST OF FIGURES

|                                                                                                            |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 1.1 locations of the study corridors.....                                                           | 2   |
| Figure 2.1 Market share of adaptive signal systems in 2010 [4] .....                                       | 5   |
| Figure 2.2 InSync cameras detection through image processing [6].....                                      | 7   |
| Figure 2.3 States and sequences digitally called in InSync [6].....                                        | 9   |
| Figure 2.4 Token distribution at the intersection level [6] .....                                          | 10  |
| Figure 2.5 SCOOT operation procedure [22] .....                                                            | 15  |
| Figure 2.6 A simplified diagram of RHODES operation [26] .....                                             | 17  |
| Figure 2.7 Middle and lower levels of RHODES architecture [26] .....                                       | 18  |
| Figure 4.1 Impacts of coordination on safety .....                                                         | 40  |
| Figure 4.2 Temporal profile of total crashes along US-17/92 corridor .....                                 | 46  |
| Figure 4.3 Temporal profile of total crashes in Volusia County .....                                       | 46  |
| Figure 4.4 Temporal profile of total crashes along PCB Parkway corridor.....                               | 49  |
| Figure 4.5 Temporal profile of total crashes in Bay County .....                                           | 50  |
| Figure 4.6 Temporal profile of total crashes along 23 <sup>rd</sup> St corridor .....                      | 53  |
| Figure 4.7 Temporal profile of total crashes in Bay County .....                                           | 53  |
| Figure 4.8 Temporal profile of total crashes along University Parkway corridor.....                        | 56  |
| Figure 4.9 Temporal profile of total crashes in Sarasota County .....                                      | 56  |
| Figure 4.10 Temporal profile of total crashes along Bartow corridor .....                                  | 59  |
| Figure 4.11 Temporal profile of total crashes in Polk County .....                                         | 59  |
| Figure 4.12 Temporal profile of total crashes along SR-693 corridor .....                                  | 62  |
| Figure 4.13 Temporal profile of total crashes in Pinellas County.....                                      | 62  |
| Figure A-2.1 Schematic of the Newberry Rd. corridor, Gainesville .....                                     | 97  |
| Figure A-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical Intersections.....                                         | 99  |
| Figure A-3.1 Travel times along Newberry Rd. corridor, Gainesville.....                                    | 101 |
| Figure A-3.2 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction .....               | 103 |
| Figure A-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction.....                | 104 |
| Figure A-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction .....               | 105 |
| Figure A-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction.....                | 106 |
| Figure A-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB Direction.....  | 109 |
| Figure A-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB Direction.....  | 109 |
| Figure B-2.1 Schematic of the US-17/92, Deland corridor .....                                              | 152 |
| Figure B-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections.....                                         | 153 |
| Figure B-3.1 Travel times along US-17/92, Deland .....                                                     | 155 |
| Figure B-3.2 Delay (sec) for each Intersection through movement along the NB direction.....                | 156 |
| Figure B-3.3 Delay (sec) for each Intersection through movement along the SB direction.....                | 157 |
| Figure B-3.4 Delay (sec) for each Intersection through movement along the NB direction.....                | 158 |
| Figure B-3.5 Delay (sec) for each Intersection through movement along the SB direction.....                | 159 |
| Figure B-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction ..... | 161 |

|                                                                                                                               |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure B-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each Intersection through movement along the SB direction .....                    | 161 |
| Figure B-3.8 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                                               | 162 |
| Figure B-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                                               | 171 |
| Figure C-2.1 Schematic of the Panama City Beach Pkwy corridor.....                                                            | 198 |
| Figure C-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections.....                                                            | 200 |
| Figure C-3.1 Travel times along Panama City Beach Pkwy .....                                                                  | 202 |
| Figure C-3.2 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction.....                                   | 203 |
| Figure C-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction .....                                  | 204 |
| Figure C-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction.....                                   | 205 |
| Figure C-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction .....                                  | 206 |
| Figure C-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction .....                    | 208 |
| Figure C-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction .....                    | 209 |
| Figure C-3.8 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                                               | 210 |
| Figure C-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                                               | 221 |
| Figure D-2.1 Schematic of the University Parkway, Sarasota corridor.....                                                      | 251 |
| Figure D-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections .....                                                           | 253 |
| Figure D-2.3 Schematic of the western part of the University Parkway corridor considered for delay comparison, Sarasota ..... | 254 |
| Figure D-3.1 Travel times along University Parkway, Sarasota .....                                                            | 255 |
| Figure D-3.2 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction .....                                  | 257 |
| Figure D-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction.....                                   | 259 |
| Figure D-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction - After .....                          | 260 |
| Figure D-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction - After .....                          | 261 |
| Figure D-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB Direction.....                     | 264 |
| Figure D-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB Direction.....                     | 264 |
| Figure D-3.8 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection on Western part of the corridor along the EB direction .....     | 265 |
| Figure D-3.9 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection on Western part of the corridor along the WB direction .....     | 265 |
| Figure D-3.10 Lane configuration of critical intersections.....                                                               | 267 |
| Figure D-3.11 Lane configuration of critical intersections.....                                                               | 281 |
| Figure E-2.1 Schematic of the 23 <sup>rd</sup> street, Panama City corridor. ....                                             | 322 |
| Figure E-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections .....                                                           | 324 |
| Figure E-3.1 Schematic incident location.....                                                                                 | 325 |
| Figure E-3.2 Travel times Along 23 <sup>rd</sup> street., Panama City .....                                                   | 326 |
| Figure E-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction .....                                  | 328 |
| Figure E-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction .....                                  | 329 |

|                                                                                                            |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure E-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction .....               | 330 |
| Figure E-3.6 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction .....               | 331 |
| Figure E-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction ..... | 333 |
| Figure E-3.8 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction ..... | 334 |
| Figure E-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                            | 335 |
| Figure E-3.10 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                           | 347 |
| Figure F-2.1 Schematic of 66 <sup>th</sup> street, Pinellas County corridor .....                          | 380 |
| Figure F-2.2 Lane configuration schematic and overview aerial photo of critical intersections .....        | 382 |
| Figure F-3.1 Travel times along 66 <sup>th</sup> street, Pinellas County .....                             | 384 |
| Figure F-3.2 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the SB direction-before study.....     | 386 |
| Figure F-3.3 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction – before study.....   | 387 |
| Figure F-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the SB direction – After study.....  | 389 |
| Figure F-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction – After study.....  | 391 |
| Figure F-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the SB direction ..... | 393 |
| Figure F-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction ..... | 394 |
| Figure F-3.8 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                            | 395 |
| Figure F-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                            | 407 |
| Figure G-2.1 Schematic of SR-70, Manatee County corridor.....                                              | 449 |
| Figure G-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections .....                                        | 451 |
| Figure G-2.3 Schematic and overview of critical intersections .....                                        | 452 |
| Figure G-3.1 Travel times along SR-70, Manatee County .....                                                | 454 |
| Figure G-3.2 Control points for US-301 travel time.....                                                    | 455 |
| Figure G-3.3 Travel times along US-301 .....                                                               | 457 |
| Figure G-3.4 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction – before study.....   | 459 |
| Figure G-3.5 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction – before study.....   | 461 |
| Figure G-3.6 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction – After study.....    | 463 |
| Figure G-3.7 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction – After study.....    | 465 |
| Figure G-3.8 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction ..... | 468 |
| Figure G-3.9 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction ..... | 468 |
| Figure G-3.10 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                           | 470 |

|                                                                                                    |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure G-3.11 Changes near Lockwood Ridge intersection of SR-70 corridor.....                      | 481 |
| Figure G-3.12 Lane configuration of critical intersections .....                                   | 482 |
| Figure H-2.1 Schematic of the E. Van Fleet and N. Broadway Ave, Bartow corridor .....              | 514 |
| Figure H-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections .....                                | 515 |
| Figure H-3.1 Travel times along E. Van Fleet Dr. and N. Broadway ave., Bartow .....                | 517 |
| Figure H-3.2 Delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the EB direction .....               | 519 |
| Figure H-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the WB direction.....                | 519 |
| Figure H-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the EB direction – After study ..... | 520 |
| Figure H-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the WB direction – After study ..... | 521 |
| Figure H-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the EB direction.....  | 523 |
| Figure H-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the WB direction ..... | 523 |
| Figure H-3.8 Lane configuration of critical intersections.....                                     | 525 |
| Figure H-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections.....                                     | 536 |
| Figure J-1 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Volusia County .....           | 580 |
| Figure J-2 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Alachua County .....           | 580 |
| Figure J-3 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Bay County .....               | 581 |
| Figure J-4 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Sarasota County .....          | 581 |
| Figure J-5 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Polk County .....              | 582 |
| Figure J-6 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Pinellas County .....          | 582 |
| Figure J-7 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Manatee County.....            | 583 |
| Figure K-1 Segment level AADT data .....                                                           | 584 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|                                                                                                                                                                                         |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Table EX-1 Data Collection Sites and Description .....                                                                                                                                  | iv |
| Table 1.1 Data Collection Sites and Description .....                                                                                                                                   | 3  |
| Table 3.1 Summary of Performance Measures for All Corridors (Direction of Change) .....                                                                                                 | 27 |
| Table 3.2 Summary of Performance Measures for All Corridors (Magnitude of Change) .....                                                                                                 | 28 |
| Table 3.3 Travel Time Changes for Each Corridor, by Direction .....                                                                                                                     | 30 |
| Table 3.4 Throughput and Queue Changes at Critical Intersections .....                                                                                                                  | 31 |
| Table 3.5 Correlation Matrix .....                                                                                                                                                      | 34 |
| Table 3.6 Percentage Travel Time Change Model Results .....                                                                                                                             | 35 |
| Table 3.7 Queue Storage Ratio Change (Major Street) Model Results .....                                                                                                                 | 35 |
| Table 3.8 Travel Time Index (TTI) For All Corridors in Both Directions .....                                                                                                            | 37 |
| Table 4.1 Comparison of Observed Crashes before and after Retiming (Adapted From [6]) .....                                                                                             | 40 |
| Table 4.2 Comparison of Expected Crashes without Retiming with Observed Crashes with Retiming (adapted from [6]) .....                                                                  | 41 |
| Table 4.3 Corridor Characteristics and Installation Dates of New Adaptive System for Each Site .....                                                                                    | 43 |
| Table 4.4 Description of Main Crash Attributes Used for Analysis .....                                                                                                                  | 44 |
| Table 4.5 Comparison of Crashes on US-17/92 and Volusia County before (Jan 1, 2013 – Nov 14, 2014) and after (Nov 15, 2014 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT .....             | 47 |
| Table 4.6 Comparison of Cash Rates on US-17/92 .....                                                                                                                                    | 48 |
| Table 4.7 Comparison of Crashes on PCB Parkway and Bay County before (Jan 1, 2013 – Jan 8, 2015) and after (Jan 9, 2015 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT .....                | 51 |
| Table 4.8 Comparison of Cash Rates on PCB Parkway .....                                                                                                                                 | 52 |
| Table 4.9 Comparison of Crashes on 23 <sup>rd</sup> St. and Bay County before (Jan 1, 2013 – April 23, 2015) and after (April 24, 2015 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT ..... | 54 |
| Table 4.10 Comparison of Cash Rates on 23 <sup>rd</sup> St. ....                                                                                                                        | 55 |
| Table 4.11 Comparison of Crashes on University Parkway Before (Jan 1, 2013 – Feb 14, 2016) and after (Feb 15, 2016 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT .....                     | 57 |
| Table 4.12 Comparison of Cash Rates on University Parkway .....                                                                                                                         | 58 |
| Table 4.13 Comparison of Crashes on Bartow Corridor and Polk County Before (Jan 1, 2013 – March 7, 2016) and after (March 8, 2016 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT .....      | 60 |
| Table 4.14 Comparison of Cash Rates on Bartow .....                                                                                                                                     | 61 |
| Table 4.15 Comparison of Crashes on SR-693 and Pinellas County Before (Jan 1, 2013 – April 23, 2017) and after (April 24, 2017 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT .....         | 63 |
| Table 4.16 Comparison of Cash Rates on SR-693 with AADT .....                                                                                                                           | 64 |
| Table 4.17 Summary of Safety Results .....                                                                                                                                              | 65 |
| Table 5.1 Signal System Details .....                                                                                                                                                   | 68 |
| Table 5.2 Performance and Satisfaction .....                                                                                                                                            | 70 |
| Table 5.3 Staff, Training, and Cost .....                                                                                                                                               | 71 |
| Table 6.1 Relationship between Fuel Consumption Rate and Operating Speed .....                                                                                                          | 77 |
| Table 6.2 Emission Factors for Passenger Cars and Trucks [19] .....                                                                                                                     | 79 |
| Table 6.3 Damage Costs for Pollutant Emission [16] .....                                                                                                                                | 79 |
| Table 6.4 FDOT KABCO Crash Costs .....                                                                                                                                                  | 81 |

|                                                                                                          |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 6.5 Monetized Cost for Each Corridor.....                                                          | 81  |
| Table 6.6 Data Collection Dates and Peak Hours.....                                                      | 82  |
| Table 6.7a Monetized Benefit Value for Each Corridor (Includes Emission Savings) .....                   | 83  |
| Table 6.7b Monetized Benefit Value for Each Corridor (Excludes Emission Savings) .....                   | 84  |
| Table 6.8a Benefit and Cost Summary (Includes Emission Savings).....                                     | 85  |
| Table 6.8b Benefit and Cost Summary (Excludes Emission Savings) .....                                    | 86  |
| Table A-2.1 Intersections along the Newberry Rd., Gainesville Corridor .....                             | 98  |
| Table A-3.1 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                | 100 |
| Table A-3.2 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                | 100 |
| Table A-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (After – Before) .....                             | 101 |
| Table A-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction .....              | 102 |
| Table A-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction .....              | 104 |
| Table A-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction .....              | 105 |
| Table A-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction .....              | 106 |
| Table A-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction..... | 107 |
| Table A-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction..... | 108 |
| Table A-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at I-75 N & Newberry Rd .....                       | 111 |
| Table A-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at I-75 N & Newberry Rd.....                                | 112 |
| Table A-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....                       | 113 |
| Table A-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....                               | 114 |
| Table A-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at I-75 N & Newberry Rd. ....                       | 115 |
| Table A-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at I-75 N & Newberry Rd.....                                | 116 |
| Table A-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 75 ST & Newberry Rd. ....                        | 116 |
| Table A-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 75 ST & Newberry Rd.....                                 | 117 |
| Table A-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at I-75 N & Newberry Rd. ....         | 117 |
| Table A-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at I-75 N & Newberry Rd.....                  | 118 |
| Table A-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....         | 118 |
| Table A-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) ) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....               | 118 |
| Table A-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at I-75 N & Newberry Rd..                 | 120 |
| Table A-3.23 Number of Cycles with Spillback at I-75 N & Newberry Rd.....                                | 121 |
| Table A-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 75 St. & Newberry Rd...                | 122 |
| Table A-3.25 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....                               | 123 |
| Table A-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at I-75 N & Newberry Rd. ....                 | 124 |
| Table A-3.27 Number of Cycles with Spillback at I-75 N & Newberry Rd.....                                | 125 |
| Table A-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.....                  | 126 |
| Table A-3.29 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....                               | 127 |
| Table A-3.30 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at I-75 N & Newberry Rd. ....                    | 128 |
| Table A-3.31 Difference in Percent of Cycles with Spillback at I-75 N & Newberry Rd.....                 | 128 |
| Table A-3.32 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.....                      | 128 |
| Table A-3.33 Difference in Percent of Cycles with Spillback at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....                | 129 |

|                                                                                                             |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table A-3.34 Truck Percentages at I-75 N & Newberry Rd.....                                                 | 130 |
| Table A-3.35 Truck Percentages at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....                                                | 132 |
| Table A-3.36 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at I-75 N & Newberry Rd.<br>.....      | 133 |
| Table A-3.37 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.<br>.....      | 134 |
| Table A-3.38 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at I-75 N& Newberry Rd.<br>.....       | 135 |
| Table A-3.39 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.<br>.....      | 136 |
| Table A-3.40 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at I-75 N & Newberry Rd. ....                         | 137 |
| Table A-3.41 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....                         | 137 |
| Table A-3.42 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at I-75 N & Newberry Rd.                 | 138 |
| Table A-3.43 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at I-75 N& Newberry Rd.<br>.....     | 139 |
| Table A-3.44 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....                     | 140 |
| Table A-3.45 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at 75 St. & Newberry Rd. ....                 | 141 |
| Table B-2.1 Field Data Collection Time Period (US-17/92 Corridor, Deland) .....                             | 151 |
| Table B-2.2 Intersections along the US-17/92, Deland Corridor .....                                         | 152 |
| Table B-3.1 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                   | 154 |
| Table B-3.2 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                   | 155 |
| Table B-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (After – Before) .....                                | 155 |
| Table B-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction .....                 | 156 |
| Table B-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction.....                  | 157 |
| Table B-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction .....                 | 158 |
| Table B-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction.....                  | 159 |
| Table B-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB<br>Direction..... | 160 |
| Table B-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB<br>Direction..... | 160 |
| Table B-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd .....                          | 163 |
| Table B-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd .....                                  | 164 |
| Table B-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....                     | 164 |
| Table B-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....                             | 165 |
| Table B-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd. ....                          | 166 |
| Table B-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd. ....                                  | 167 |
| Table B-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....                     | 167 |
| Table B-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....                             | 168 |
| Table B-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.<br>.....        | 169 |
| Table B-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.....                     | 169 |
| Table B-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Orange<br>Camp Rd. ....    | 170 |

|                                                                                                          |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table B-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) ) at US-17/92 & Orange.....                   | 170 |
| Table B-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd...                | 172 |
| Table B-3.23 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....        | 173 |
| Table B-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.....                  | 174 |
| Table B-3.25 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at US-17/92 & Orange Rd.....                  | 175 |
| Table B-3.26 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd. ....                    | 176 |
| Table B-3.27 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....                | 176 |
| Table B-3.28 Truck Percentages at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd. ....                                             | 177 |
| Table B-3.29 Truck Percentages at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....                                        | 179 |
| Table B-3.30 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd. ....       | 180 |
| Table B-3.31 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....  | 181 |
| Table B-3.32 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd. ....       | 182 |
| Table B-3.33 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....  | 183 |
| Table B-3.34 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at US-17/92 & Talor Rd.....                        | 184 |
| Table B-3.35 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.....                  | 184 |
| Table B-3.36 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.              | 185 |
| Table B-3.37 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd. ....     | 186 |
| Table B-3.38 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd..                 | 186 |
| Table B-3.39 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. ....         | 187 |
| Table B-5.1 Monetized Saving .....                                                                       | 196 |
| Table B-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions .....                                                     | 196 |
| Table C-2.1 Intersections along the PCB Pkwy Corridor .....                                              | 199 |
| Table C-3.1 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                | 201 |
| Table C-3.2 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                | 201 |
| Table C-3.3 Change in Travel time in Min and as a Percentage (After – Before) .....                      | 201 |
| Table C-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction.....               | 203 |
| Table C-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction .....              | 204 |
| Table C-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction.....               | 205 |
| Table C-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction .....              | 206 |
| Table C-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction..... | 207 |
| Table C-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction..... | 208 |
| Table C-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson.....                | 211 |
| Table C-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson .....                       | 212 |
| Table C-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79 .....                         | 212 |
| Table C-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.....                                  | 214 |



|                                                                                                              |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table C-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson.....                    | 215 |
| Table C-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson .....                           | 216 |
| Table C-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79 .....                             | 216 |
| Table C-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79. ....                                     | 218 |
| Table C-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson .....     | 219 |
| Table C-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson .....             | 219 |
| Table C-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79. ....               | 220 |
| Table C-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.....                        | 220 |
| Table C-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson .....         | 222 |
| Table C-3.23 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.....                    | 223 |
| Table C-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson .....         | 224 |
| Table C-3.25 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.....                        | 226 |
| Table C-3.26 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson..                    | 227 |
| Table C-3.27 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.....                            | 228 |
| Table C-3.28 Truck Percentages at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson .....                                         | 229 |
| Table C-3.29 Truck Percentages at PCB Pkwy and SR-79 .....                                                   | 230 |
| Table C-3.30 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson .....   | 231 |
| Table C-3.31 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79 .....             | 233 |
| Table C-3.32 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson .....   | 234 |
| Table C-3.33 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79 .....             | 235 |
| Table C-3.34 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson.....                   | 236 |
| Table C-3.35 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79 .....                            | 237 |
| Table C-3.36 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson. ....     | 238 |
| Table C-3.37 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson. .... | 239 |
| Table C-3.38 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at PCB Pkwy and SR-79. ....                        | 239 |
| Table C-3.39 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.....                     | 240 |
| Table C-5.1 Monetized Saving .....                                                                           | 248 |
| Table C-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions .....                                                         | 249 |
| Table D-2.1 Intersections along the University Parkway, Sarasota Corridor .....                              | 252 |
| Table D-3.1 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                    | 254 |
| Table D-3.2 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                    | 254 |
| Table D-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (After – Before).....                                  | 255 |
| Table D-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction .....                  | 256 |

|                                                                                                                                      |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table D-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction.....                                           | 258 |
| Table D-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction - After .....                                  | 259 |
| Table D-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction - After .....                                  | 260 |
| Table D-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction.....                             | 262 |
| Table D-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction.....                             | 263 |
| Table D-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301.....                                                | 268 |
| Table D-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301 .....                                                       | 269 |
| Table D-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B.....                  | 270 |
| Table D-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B. ....                         | 271 |
| Table D-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301 – After Study .....                                 | 272 |
| Table D-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301 – After Study ..                                            | 274 |
| Table D-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B – After Study.....    | 275 |
| Table D-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B – After Study .....           | 277 |
| Table D-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301 .....                                 | 278 |
| Table D-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301 ...                                           | 279 |
| Table D-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B .....   | 279 |
| Table D-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B.....            | 280 |
| Table D-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at University Pkwy & US-301 .....                                     | 282 |
| Table D-3.23 Number of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy & US-301.....                                                        | 284 |
| Table D-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek.....           | 285 |
| Table D-3.25 Number of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek.....                             | 288 |
| Table D-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at University Pkwy & US-301 – After Study .....                           | 289 |
| Table D-3.27 Number of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy & US-301 – After Study.....                                          | 291 |
| Table D-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek – After Study..... | 292 |
| Table D-3.29 Number of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek – After Study.....               | 294 |
| Table D-3.30 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at University Pkwy & US-301.....                                             | 296 |

|                                                                                                                                                 |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table D-3.31 Difference in Percent of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy & US-301. ....                                                   | 297 |
| Table D-3.32 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek.....                              | 298 |
| Table D-3.33 Difference in Percent of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek.....                         | 298 |
| Table D-3.34 Truck Percentages at University Pkwy & US-301. ....                                                                                | 300 |
| Table D-3.35 Truck Percentages at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek....                                                       | 301 |
| Table D-3.36 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at University Pkwy & US-301 .....                                          | 303 |
| Table D-3.37 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek .....               | 304 |
| Table D-3.38 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at University Pkwy & US-301 – After Study .....                            | 305 |
| Table D-3.39 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek – After Study ..... | 306 |
| Table D-3.40 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at University Pkwy & US-301.....                                                          | 307 |
| Table D-3.41 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek.....                               | 307 |
| Table D-3.42 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at University Pkwy & US-301 .....                                            | 308 |
| Table D-3.43 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at University Pkwy & US-301 .....                                        | 309 |
| Table D-3.44 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek.....                           | 309 |
| Table D-3.45 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek.....                       | 310 |
| Table D-5.1 Monetized Saving .....                                                                                                              | 320 |
| Table D-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions.....                                                                                             | 320 |
| Table E-2.1 Intersections along the 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street, Panama City Corridor.....                                                          | 323 |
| Table E-3.1 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                                                       | 325 |
| Table E-3.2 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                                                       | 325 |
| Table E-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (After – Before) .....                                                                    | 326 |
| Table E-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction.....                                                      | 327 |
| Table E-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction .....                                                     | 328 |
| Table E-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction.....                                                      | 329 |
| Table E-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction .....                                                     | 330 |
| Table E-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction.....                                        | 332 |
| Table E-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction.....                                        | 333 |
| Table E-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                                                | 336 |
| Table E-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....                                                       | 337 |
| Table E-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street. ....                                                   | 337 |
| Table E-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                                                            | 339 |

|                                                                                                                     |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table E-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                    | 339 |
| Table E-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....                           | 341 |
| Table E-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....                       | 341 |
| Table E-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                                | 343 |
| Table E-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....     | 344 |
| Table E-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....             | 344 |
| Table E-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .             | 345 |
| Table E-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....                 | 345 |
| Table E-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at Jenks Ave. & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street              | 348 |
| Table E-3.23 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....             | 349 |
| Table E-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at Jenks Ave. & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....            | 351 |
| Table E-3.25 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                  | 352 |
| Table E-3.26 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at Jenks Ave. & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                | 354 |
| Table E-3.27 Difference in Percent of Average Queue Storage Ratios at Jenks Ave. & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....    | 355 |
| Table E-3.28 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                      | 355 |
| Table E-3.29 Difference in Percent of Average Queue Storage Ratio at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street ....           | 356 |
| Table E-3.30 Truck Percentages at Jenks Ave. & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street. ....                                        | 358 |
| Table E-3.31 Truck Percentages at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                                              | 359 |
| Table E-3.32 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at Jenks Ave. & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....  | 360 |
| Table E-3.33 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.            | 361 |
| Table E-3.34 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Hourly Volume (pce/hour) at Jenks & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....      | 362 |
| Table E-3.35 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Hourly Volume (pce/hour) at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....      | 363 |
| Table E-3.36 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at Jenks Ave. & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                  | 365 |
| Table E-3.37 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....                      | 365 |
| Table E-3.38 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at Jenks Ave. & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....    | 366 |
| Table E-3.39 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at Jenks Ave. & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street..... | 367 |
| Table E-3.40 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at SR-77 & 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street .....                  | 367 |
| Table E-3.41 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at SR-77 & Street .....                               | 368 |
| Table E-5.1 Monetized Saving.....                                                                                   | 377 |
| Table E-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions .....                                                                | 378 |
| Table F-2.1 List of Intersections along SR-693 (66 <sup>th</sup> Street) Corridor .....                             | 381 |
| Table F-3.1 Route Travel Time (min).....                                                                            | 383 |
| Table F-3.2 Route Travel Time (min).....                                                                            | 383 |
| Table F-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (After – Before-no rain).....                                 | 383 |
| Table F-3.4 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction.....                            | 385 |
| Table F-3.5 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction – Before Study .....            | 387 |

|                                                                                                                             |     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table F-3.6 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction –After Study .....                      | 388 |
| Table F-3.7 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction– After Study .....                      | 390 |
| Table F-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction.....                    | 392 |
| Table F-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction.....                    | 393 |
| Table F-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – Before Study. ....          | 396 |
| Table F-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – Before Study.                       | 397 |
| Table F-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – before Study. ....            | 398 |
| Table F-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – before Study. ....                    | 399 |
| Table F-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – After Study .....           | 400 |
| Table F-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – After Study. .                      | 401 |
| Table F-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – After Study. ....             | 402 |
| Table F-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – After Study. ....                     | 403 |
| Table F-3.18 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd .....              | 404 |
| Table F-3.19 Difference in Avg. Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd .....                      | 405 |
| Table F-3.20 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd ..                   | 405 |
| Table F-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd .....                     | 406 |
| Table F-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – Before Study..... | 408 |
| Table F-3.23 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – Before Study. ..                    | 410 |
| Table F-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – Before Study.....   | 411 |
| Table F-3.25 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – Before Study. ....                    | 413 |
| Table F-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – After Study ..... | 414 |
| Table F-3.27 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – After Study .....                   | 416 |
| Table F-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – After Study .....   | 417 |
| Table F-3.29 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – After Study.....                      | 419 |
| Table F-3.30 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd .....                         | 421 |
| Table F-3.31 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd .....                           | 422 |
| Table F-3.32 Truck Percentages at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd. ....                                               | 423 |
| Table F-3.33 Truck Percentages at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd. ....                                                 | 424 |
| Table F-3.34 PCE Flow Rates (pce/15 min and pce/hour) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd.....                         | 425 |
| Table F-3.35 PCE Flow Rates (pce/15 min and pce/hour) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd. ....                          | 427 |
| Table F-3.36 Truck Percentages at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – After Study .....                                 | 428 |

|                                                                                                                      |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table F-3.37 Truck Percentages at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – After Study.....                             | 429 |
| Table F-3.38 PCE Flow Rates (pce/15 min and pce/hour) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – After Study. ....   | 431 |
| Table F-3.39 PCE Flow Rates (pce/15 min and pce/hour) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – After Study .....     | 432 |
| Table F-3.40 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd .....                 | 433 |
| Table F-3.41 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd.....                    | 433 |
| Table F-3.42 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd .....    | 434 |
| Table F-3.43 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd ..... | 435 |
| Table F-3.44 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd            | 435 |
| Table F-3.45 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at 66 <sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd .....   | 436 |
| Table F-5.1 Monetized Saving.....                                                                                    | 447 |
| Table F-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions .....                                                                 | 447 |
| Table G-1 List of Intersections along SR-70 Corridor .....                                                           | 450 |
| Table G-3.1 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                            | 453 |
| Table G-3.2 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                            | 453 |
| Table G-3.3 Change in Amount and Percentage of Route Travel Time (After – Before).....                               | 454 |
| Table G-3.4 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                            | 456 |
| Table G-3.5 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                            | 456 |
| Table G-3.6 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (After – Before).....                                          | 456 |
| Table G-3.7 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction – Before Study .....             | 458 |
| Table G-3.8 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction – Before Study .....             | 460 |
| Table G-3.9 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction – After Study .....              | 462 |
| Table G-3.10 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction – After Study .....             | 464 |
| Table G-3.11 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction.....            | 466 |
| Table G-3.12 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction.....            | 467 |
| Table G-3.13 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-70 & US-301 – Before Study ...                            | 471 |
| Table G-3.14 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-70 & US-301 – Before Study.....                                   | 472 |
| Table G-3.15 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – Before Study .....               | 473 |
| Table G-3.16 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – Before Study .....                       | 474 |
| Table G-3.17 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-70 & US-301 – After Study .....                           | 475 |
| Table G-3.18 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-70 & US-301 – After Study .....                                   | 476 |

|                                                                                                                        |                                     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Table G-3.19 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – After Study .....                  | 476                                 |
| Table G-3.20 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – After Study .....                          | 477                                 |
| Table G-3.21 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-301 & SR-70.....                                 | 478                                 |
| Table G-3.22 Difference in Avg. Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-301 & SR-70 .....                                        | 479                                 |
| Table G-3.23 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Lockwood Ridge & SR-70 .....                        | 479                                 |
| Table G-3.24 Difference in Avg. Queue Length (veh/lane) at Lockwood Ridge & SR-70 .....                                | 480                                 |
| Table G-3.25 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-70 & US-301– Before Study .....                   | 483                                 |
| Table G-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – Before Study .....       | 484                                 |
| Table G-3.27 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-70 & US-301 – After Study .....                   | <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b> |
| Table G-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – After Study .....        | 486                                 |
| Table G-3.29 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at SR-70 & US-301.....                                            | 487                                 |
| Table G-3.30 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge.....                                    | 488                                 |
| Table G-3.31 Truck Percentages at SR-70 & US-301 – Before Study.....                                                   | 489                                 |
| Table G-3.32 Truck Percentages at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – Before Study.....                                        | 490                                 |
| Table G-3.33 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-70 & US-301 – Before Study.....             | 491                                 |
| Table G-3.34 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – Before Study ..... | 492                                 |
| Table G-3.35 Truck Percentages at SR-70 & US-301 – After Study .....                                                   | 493                                 |
| Table G-3.36 Truck Percentages at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – After Study.....                                         | 494                                 |
| Table G-3.37 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-70 & US-301 – After Study .....             | 495                                 |
| Table G-3.38 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – After Study .....  | 496                                 |
| Table G-3.39 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at SR-70 & US-301 .....                                          | 497                                 |
| Table G-3.40 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd .....                               | 497                                 |
| Table G-3.41 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at SR-70 & US-301 .....                             | 498                                 |
| Table G-3.42 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at SR-70 & US-301 .....                          | 499                                 |
| Table G-3.43 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd .....                  | 500                                 |
| Table G-3.44 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd. ....               | 500                                 |
| Table G-5.1 Monetized Saving .....                                                                                     | 509                                 |
| Table G-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions.....                                                                    | 510                                 |
| Table H-1 Intersections along the E. Van Fleet and N. Broadway Ave, Bartow Corridor .....                              | 514                                 |
| Table H-3.1 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                              | 516                                 |
| Table H-3.2 Route Travel Time (min) .....                                                                              | 516                                 |
| Table H-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (After – Before-no rain) .....                                   | 517                                 |

|                                                                                                                                |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table H-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the EB Direction .....                                            | 518 |
| Table H-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the WB Direction .....                                            | 519 |
| Table H-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the EB Direction – After Study                                    | 520 |
| Table H-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the WB Direction – After Study .....                              | 521 |
| Table H-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the EB Direction                                    | 522 |
| Table H-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the WB Direction .....                              | 522 |
| Table H-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study .....           | 526 |
| Table H-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study.....                    | 527 |
| Table H-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study .....         | 528 |
| Table H-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study.....                  | 529 |
| Table H-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study.....             | 529 |
| Table H-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study .....                    | 530 |
| Table H-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet – After Study .....                | 531 |
| Table H-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet – After Study .....                        | 532 |
| Table H-3.18 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive .....                 | 533 |
| Table H-3.19 Difference in Avg. Queue Length (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive .....                         | 534 |
| Table H-3.20 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd and E. Van Fleet Drive.....               | 534 |
| Table H-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive .....                  | 535 |
| Table H-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study ..... | 537 |
| Table H-3.23 Number of Cycles with Spillback at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study.....                    | 539 |
| Table H-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet – Before Study .....     | 540 |
| Table H-3.25 Number of Cycles with Spillback at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study.....                  | 541 |
| Table H-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study.....   | 543 |
| Table H-3.27 Number of Cycles with Spillback at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study .....                    | 545 |



|                                                                                                                                    |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table H-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study.....     | 546 |
| Table H-3.29 Number of Cycles with Spillback at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study .....                      | 548 |
| Table H-3.30 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet.....                                       | 550 |
| Table H-3.31 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at Walmart Access Rd, E. Van Fleet.....                                       | 551 |
| Table H-3.32 Truck Percentages at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet .....                                                          | 552 |
| Table H-3.33 Truck Percentages at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet .....                                                        | 553 |
| Table H-3.34 Truck Percentages at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study ..                                        | 555 |
| Table H-3.35 Truck Percentages at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study                                         | 556 |
| Table H-3.36 Truck Percentages at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study.....                                       | 557 |
| Table H-3.37 Truck Percentages at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study .                                        | 559 |
| Table H-3.38 Traffic Vol. (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study .....   | 560 |
| Table H-3.39 Traffic Vol. (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive – Before Study ..... | 561 |
| Table H-3.40 Traffic Vol. (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study.....     | 562 |
| Table H-3.41 Traffic Vol. (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study.....   | 563 |
| Table H-3.42 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive .....                               | 564 |
| Table H-3.43 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive .....                             | 564 |
| Table H-3.44 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive.....                   | 565 |
| Table H-3.45 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q), N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive.....                  | 566 |
| Table H-3.46 Difference in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive.....                  | 566 |
| Table H-3.47 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive .....             | 567 |
| Table H-5.1 Monetized Saving .....                                                                                                 | 576 |
| Table H-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions.....                                                                                | 577 |
| Table I-1 Days to Incorporate 90% and 95% of Crashes on the Corridors .....                                                        | 578 |
| Table I-2 Days to Incorporate 90% and 95% of Crashes in the Counties.....                                                          | 578 |
| Table K-1 Corridor AADT from 2013-2016.....                                                                                        | 584 |
| Table L-1 US-17/92 (Deland).....                                                                                                   | 585 |
| Table L-2 Panama City Beach (PCB) .....                                                                                            | 585 |
| Table L-3 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.....                                                                                             | 585 |
| Table L-4 University Parkway .....                                                                                                 | 586 |
| Table L-5 Bartow .....                                                                                                             | 586 |
| Table L-6 SR-693.....                                                                                                              | 586 |

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Adaptive signal control technologies (ASCT) conduct real-time optimization of traffic control using a variety of sensors and algorithms. The primary objective of implementing ASCT is to minimize travel time and decrease the number of stops through arterial corridors. Such systems are known to reduce traffic delays and crashes and may result in fewer periodic re-timings of traffic signals.

## **1.1. Background and Objectives**

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has been interested in evaluating the effectiveness of ASCT under various conditions, in order to develop guidelines for statewide deployment. Previous implementations and evaluations of these technologies have found that ASCT may not be effective or warranted for all types of corridors and traffic conditions.

The main objectives of this project were to evaluate the effectiveness of ASCT at several arterial corridors in Florida, compare traffic operations and safety before and after their installation, and provide recommendations for statewide implementation of ASCT. This evaluation is based on a quantitative and qualitative analysis, including equipment and personnel cost, and it concludes with a benefit-cost analysis for each corridor. A total of eight corridors are studied in this project.

## **1.2. Project Overview**

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) commissioned this project in May 2014 to evaluate the effectiveness of ASCT across Florida. InSync and SychroGreen are the two adaptive systems deployed at the eight corridors studied (Figure 1.1). Table 1.1 provides an overview of these corridors, including geometry features, speed limits, intersection density, and annual average daily traffic (AADT).

All corridors studied have similar cross-sections, but their total length varies from 1.1 to 9.2 miles. Most of the corridors have a relatively low density of signalized and unsignalized intersections (less than 0.5 and 1.6 intersections/mile, respectively); however, Newberry Rd (Gainesville) has by far the highest density for both types of intersections (5.7 and 9.5 intersections/mile). The AADT along these corridors are 41,000 veh/day on average, with the SR-70 corridor having the highest (52,185 veh/day) and Panama City Beach Pkwy having the lowest (28,750 veh/day).




Figure 1.1 Locations of the study corridors

Table 1.1 Data Collection Sites and Description

|                                             | <b>Gainesville</b> | <b>Deland</b>  | <b>Panama City Beach</b> | <b>Sarasota and Manatee</b> | <b>Panama City</b> | <b>Pinellas</b> | <b>Manatee</b> | <b>Bartow</b>                        |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| <b>Route</b>                                | Newberry Road      | US-17/92       | Beach Parkway            | University Parkway          | 23rd Street        | 66th Street     | SR-70          | E.Van Fleet Drive & N. Broadway Ave. |
| <b>Cross Section</b>                        | 6-Lane Divided     | 6-Lane Divided | 4/6-Lane Divided         | 4/6-Lane Divided            | 4-Lane Undivided   | 6-Lane Divided  | 6-Lane Divided | 6-Lane Divided                       |
| <b>Length (mile)</b>                        | 1.45               | 2.28           | 8.5                      | 7.8                         | 2.0                | 5.0             | 9.2            | 1.1                                  |
| <b>#Signalized Intersections per mile</b>   | 8.3                | 2.2            | 1.1                      | 2.3                         | 4.5                | 2.4             | 2.4            | 4.5                                  |
| <b>#Unsignalized Intersections per mile</b> | 13.8               | 6.6            | 5.6                      | 3.1                         | 6.5                | 8               | 1.7            | 1.8                                  |
| <b>Pedestrian Signals</b>                   | No                 | No             | No                       | No                          | No                 | No              | No             | No                                   |
| <b>AADT</b>                                 | 45750              | 31500          | 28750                    | 49110                       | 46875              | 35585           | 52185          | 41180                                |
| <b>Speed Limit (mph)</b>                    | 35                 | 50 / 45        | 55                       | 40 / 45 / 50                | 45                 | 45              | 40 / 50        | 45 / 35                              |
| <b>Data Collection Time Periods</b>         | <b>AM Peak</b>     | 7am – 9am      | 7am – 9am                | 7am – 9am                   | 7am – 9am          | 7am – 9am       | 7am – 9am      | 7am – 9am                            |
|                                             | <b>Off Peak</b>    | 1pm – 3 pm     | 1pm – 3 pm               | 1pm – 3 pm                  | 1pm – 3 pm         | 11am – 1 pm     | 1pm – 3 pm     | 10am – 12pm<br>9.30am – 11.30am      |
|                                             | <b>PM Peak</b>     | 4pm – 6pm      | 4pm – 6pm                | 4pm – 6pm                   | 4pm – 6pm          | 4pm – 6pm       | 4pm – 6pm      | 4pm – 6pm                            |

Prior to the data collection, the research team conducted a thorough literature review (Appendix A). The literature review focuses on traffic signal optimization approaches and provides an overview of existing products, their computational capability and functionality, and their approach to signal control optimization, along with their perceived advantages and disadvantages. It also includes an overview of industry best practices with regard to implementing ASCT, and the experience of other agencies. It also identifies pertinent performance measures that should be collected before and after installation of ASCT. These measures include arterial travel time, delay at each signal, turning movements, queue length, and quality of existing signal control and coordination.

The following chapters report the data, analyses, and results from this project. Chapter 2 provides an overview of the traffic engineering analysis conducted which considers travel time savings, reduction in queues, and other operational performance measures. The results of safety analysis are reported in Chapter 3. Chapter 4 summarizes the results from a series of discussions and interviews with local agencies responsible for installing the ASCT at each corridor. Chapter 5 provides the results of the benefit/cost analysis, which considers travel time savings, safety effects, and operational and maintenance costs of ASCT. The last chapter of this report provides recommendations and guidelines for implementation of ASCT.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The objective of this project is to evaluate the implementation of Advanced Signal Control Technologies (ASCT) for several corridors across Florida. This document summarizes the work conducted under Task 1 which reviewed and assessed existing ASCT, their computational capability and functionality and their approach to signal control optimization, along with their perceived advantages and disadvantages identified to-date.

The term Adaptive Signal Control Technology (ASCT) describes any system that collects data, evaluates traffic signal performance on the basis of one or more of the system's functional objectives and then updates signal timing in response to that evaluation [1]. These systems are expensive and take considerable time for deployment. Hence, it is necessary to evaluate the benefits and appropriateness of such a system for various corridor designs and demand conditions. Each ASCT varies in the extent and type of detection required, equipment deployed, as well as in the definition and algorithmic use of split, cycle, offsets and phase sequences.

SCOOT and SCATS are two of the first such systems and they have been the most popular (Figure 2.1). SCOOT was developed in the United Kingdom, while SCATS was developed in Australia. In an attempt to introduce this technology in the US, RHODES and OPAC were developed as part of the RT-TRACS program by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). The Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LA-DOT) independently developed its own ASCT system [2] while ACS-Lite was initially developed by the US DOT in partnership with Siemens, Purdue University, and the University of Arizona [3]. InSync and SynchroGreen are the latest adaptive signal control systems and these are being installed in several corridors across Florida and will be evaluated in this study.




Figure 2.1 Market share of adaptive signal systems in 2010 [4]

The next sections detail the ASCT methods and algorithms used in InSync and SynchroGreen followed by an overview of other ASCT that have been implemented elsewhere. The fourth section summarizes industry experiences with these systems as documented in the literature. The last section provides the conclusions of this task.

## **2.1. Insync Adaptive Traffic Signal Control System**

### **2.1.1. Background**

The InSync adaptive traffic control system is invented by Dr. Reggie Chandra, P.E., PTOE. The system is developed by Rhythm Engineering and was launched in 2008 after three years of research and development [5]. The company has been granted four patents for its unique design [6]. According to the company's website [6], this adaptive traffic signal control system has been deployed at more than 1,000 intersections all across the United States. The system is currently deployed at 22 states: Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia and Wisconsin. There are scheduled deployments of the InSync adaptive signal control system in numerous sites for Idaho, Illinois, Florida, Ohio and South Dakota locations [6].

### **2.1.2. System Architecture and Hardware**

#### *Detection Methods*

The InSync adaptive signal control is a distributed system of processors that need to be installed at each intersection that is part of the adaptive signal controlled corridor [5]. According to the company's website [6], the processor can work with any signal controller that the local agency has decided to implement, implying that there should not be any additional costs for controller upgrades. Furthermore, it is stated that InSync's processor is compatible with any signal control cabinet [6], and thus there is no need for upgrading the pre-installed ones to ensure functionality. Rhythm Engineering provides three options for detection: 1) implement their detection method, which consists of InSync cameras; 2) keep the agencies' detection devices, which could include inductive loop detectors, cameras, microwave techniques and radars; or 3) integrate all available devices and InSync cameras, an option that yields most accurate results [6]. Each of these three options is discussed in the following paragraphs

#### *InSync Cameras*

The InSync detection method consists of Samsung SNZ-5200 IP cameras with detection technology [6]. At each intersection up to four of those cameras can be installed, one for each approach, and there are options for installing additional cameras if needed. Those cameras have remote aim and focus and are used to monitor the intersection by detecting and measuring traffic demand at all intersection's approaches every second. The datasets that are acquired from this detection system include vehicles in queues. Figure 2.2 illustrates the queue detection through the InSync cameras. Each approach camera categorizes each lane as a detection lane; each detection lane is subdivided by vertical zones based on the average length

of a car. Monitoring the intersection through these cameras can be done online from any web browser [6].



Figure 2.2 InSync cameras detection through image processing [6]

#### *Using Existing Detection: InSync Tesla*

For this detection option, the agency can keep their detection and monitoring devices, saving the InSync cameras installation costs. This way, if the corridor's agency has invested in installing their own detection method, they can still take advantage of it and yet have the benefits of the adaptive traffic signal control that InSync yields [6]. The "Tesla system" is reported to accept most third party cameras, detectors and radars; in this system, stop bar detection is required for all lanes of the monitored intersection.

#### *Using InSync and Existing Detection: InSync Fusion*

This InSync detection option can be used to combine the benefits from installing InSync cameras and at the same time use other available detection methods. This way, the accuracy of the detection is increased since data sets are used from multiple sources.

#### *InSync Processor*

In order for the system to be successfully deployed the company recommends that one InSync processor is located at every signal cabinet of each intersection adaptively controlled. Ethernet connections are required for every signal cabinet [5]. The processor weighs approximately 7 lbs and works as follows: it gathers data from each intersection and vehicles monitoring sensor, pre-installed or newly installed, such as vehicle loop detectors, cameras and/or radars. The processor analyzes the datasets received and communicates with the upstream and downstream intersections to ensure data validity in corridor analysis [5] [6]. At every second



the processor determines the traffic movement priority (additional information on phasing and traffic movement options is provided below). The processor communicates the results of the optimization to the controller and the changes appear on the network immediately.

#### *Equipment Panel*

This panel is essentially the power supply channel and the Ethernet switch for the processor and the InSync cameras [6].

#### *Detector Card*

This card supports the integration of the video detection and the traffic controller [6].

#### *User's Software*

CentralSync is the software used for the initial and ongoing configuration of the system. The deployment of the 'traffic management variables and strategies' is conducted through this interface. This software is Windows-based, which might be an issue for any agency that has a different operating system. An advantageous option is that plans and strategies can be uploaded or downloaded remotely [6].

### **2.1.3. Signal Control Optimization Framework**

According to Federal Highway's Administration report [7], adaptive signal control systems continuously adjust daily signal schedules to accommodate traffic accumulation, react promptly to changed traffic patterns and progressively improve travel time reliability along the implementation's corridor. The so-called real time adaptive signal control characteristics are usually listed as: effectively utilizing traffic flow models to predict vehicle arrivals and effectively adapt the signal timing in order to accommodate the progression of the vehicles in the corridor globally, and minimize delays locally (intersection level) [8]. The manufacturer of InSync indicates that the system is completely digital and operates without being restricted to the analog sequencing, splits, offsets and cycles. Instead, InSync introduces the concept of states: each state is a phase or a pair of phases that occur simultaneously without conflict [6]. The InSync adaptive signal control system achieves dynamic adaptation by altering signaling states, sequences or the green time so as to accommodate the current state of traffic. The state machine/processor can pick from any state or sequence that is allowable (these are pre-defined) in order to serve the current demand more efficiently. Figure 2.3 provides a series of 8 states and 16 sequences as an example of states and sequences that the digital model can choose from and implement in real-time [9]. InSync eliminates signal cycles and transition periods.




Figure 2.3 States and sequences digitally called in InSync [6]

After gathering all available detection data, the logic of the “greedy” algorithm is implemented on the “local” intersection level in order to minimize delay. This algorithm works as follows: tokens are distributed to vehicles arriving at the intersection on red. Every 5 seconds that the vehicle is waiting on the intersection it gets a token (Figure 2.5); the algorithm aims to minimize the delay for the intersection’s approaches by minimizing the number of tokens handed out. The process is described as fully-actuated and the signal timing is optimized according to the number of cars waiting and the duration of their waiting time (delay).

Apart from optimizing traffic signal times locally, the InSync system’s objective is to progressively ensure the minimization of delay along the corridor [9]. This is achieved by creating speed lines through the corridor. Over time, speed lines are generated across the corridors so that a car travelling at the desired speed goes through the corridor without stopping. Note that not only through movements can be coordinated; any state including left turns can be part of the adaptive coordination [5]. The global optimizer guarantees progression of platoons of vehicles along the corridor; then control is turned over to the local optimizer at the intersection level and the optimal phase combination is served in order to satisfy the current demand [10]. The process doesn’t require deterministic cycle lengths or timing plans [10].



Figure 2.4 Token distribution at the intersection level [6]

#### 2.1.4. Development Process

Rhythm Engineering provides the service of implementation to the sites; installation teams are sent to the corridor's location in order to proceed with the hardware installation and the cabinets' wiring [6]. According to the company's website, before integrating the system, the following information is needed: traffic counts, phases, SYNCHRO files and information on the controllers, the detection systems and the signal cabinets currently in use.

The system's company also provides complete documentation including manuals and guides for installation, operation, and maintenance. Training support is also included in the installation package, incorporating classroom sessions and hands-on training in the field. Technical support is provided during the operation process [5].

#### 2.1.5. Monitoring

In addition to the CentralSync software that needs to be installed in the agency's computers, there is a web-user interface where information can be accessed online. The WebUI interface allows for monitoring and can also be used to re-configure cameras and adjust detection areas [6]. Real-time information is provided at any time, following InSync's operation and showing statistics and diagnostics by calling the processor. The web-based user environment can also generate statistical reports or csv files for download after specifying the type of data needed to be exported.

### **2.1.6. Case Studies**

The InSync adaptive signal control has been implemented in various corridor sites since its introduction in 2008. TJKM Transportation Consultants reported the benefits of InSync's implementation along a 1.24-mile corridor in the city of Salinas, CA [11]. The results indicated that travel time along the corridor after the InSync deployment was reduced by 42%, the average speed increased by 69%, and fuel savings were reported up to 33%. Kittelson and Associates, Inc., presented the results of an InSync implementation along a 1.7-mile corridor in Hillsboro, OR [12]. The consultants reported that the InSync system metered traffic along corridor entrances in order to facilitate the platoons' progressive movements. Average daily travel time was reduced by a range of 4-24%, but average intersection delay was not improved. This report also brought into light small issues with the monitoring and detecting processes that were eventually addressed [12]. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., conducted a study analyzing before and after deployment data at a 2.3-mile long corridor in Pinellas County, Florida [13]. The results of the benefit-cost analysis indicated benefits for the motorists from reduced travel times and fuel consumption [13]. HDR Engineering, Inc., reported improved travel times after the implementation of InSync along a six signalized intersection corridor at the Town of Mt. Pleasant, SC [14]. Atkins evaluated the implementation of InSync along a 4-mile corridor with 11 signalized intersections at the City of Greeley, CO [15]. Findings indicated that the implementation resulted in reducing average travel times along the corridor and led to higher average speeds. Also, they reported that there was overall reduction of the average delay along the corridor and fewer stops per vehicle that resulted in better level of service of the facility [15]. Missouri DOT reported on an adaptive traffic signal system installed in 2010 along a 12-signal and 2.5-mile arterial, located in Lee's Summit, MO [16]. The deployment results indicated savings in travel time ranging from 0-39%. However, the implementation yielded a slight increase in delay for minor street traffic [16].

## **2.2. SynchroGreen Adaptive Traffic Signal Control System**

### **2.2.1. Introduction**

SynchroGreen is a real-time Adaptive signal control technology (ASCT) solution developed by Trafficware. It considers side street, pedestrian traffic, and mainline traffic in providing an adaptive solution to the varying traffic dynamics. Trafficware claims the following features for SynchroGreen [17]:

- "Adjusts traffic signal timing in real time based on traffic demand."
- "Utilizes three optimization engines to allocate green time and promote better traffic flow."
- "Compatible with existing traffic control infrastructure, including many common traffic controllers and various forms of detection."
- "Allows user selection of various strategies to facilitate balanced traffic flow, progression bandwidth, and critical movements."

- “Adaptive traffic control seamlessly integrates with Synchro and SimTraffic for modelling and evaluating different system settings before deployment.”

### 2.2.2. System Components

SynchroGreen consists of three key components: the management system (server), local traffic controllers and the vehicle detection units [17]. According to Trafficware, the management system is also called the Signal System Master (SSM) with the SynchroGreen Central Server Software installed on the Window PC Server. The local traffic controllers are called Signal System Locals (SSLs). The SSM is responsible for processing and calculating the updated signal timing plans while the purpose of SSLs is to gather detector data and execute the commands from SSM. When in operation, communication between SSM and SSLs occurs every several seconds to guarantee the accuracy of the signal timing.

### 2.2.3. Signal Control Optimization Frameworks

The primary goal of the SynchroGreen algorithm is to minimize the network delay while providing reasonable mainline progression bandwidth [17]. SynchroGreen also provides three different adaptive control modes to cater to potential needs. The Balanced Mode provides for an equitable distribution of green time with reasonable mainline bandwidth. The Progression mode gives priority to mainline progression. The Critical Movement Mode weights more heavily the identified critical movements. In summary, SynchroGreen follows a more traditional approach to signal control optimization, and utilizes the current traffic conditions to optimize the phase allocation (splits), period (cycle length) as well as start time (offsets) in real time.

#### *Phase Allocation*

Phase allocation determines the amount of green time each phase should receive. A targeted phase allocation time is initially calculated based on the green utilization, which is the duration of time that the current movement is served assuming saturation flow levels. The estimation of green utilization utilizes the stop-bar detectors after being calibrated considering their sizes, positions and the prevailing vehicle speed. However, the targeted phase allocation is not necessarily the final phase allocation that is sent to the controllers. The actual phase allocation will rely on the targeted period (or cycle length) of the intersections.

#### *Period*

Period is the adaptive counterpart of cycle length in a traditional coordination system. After the targeted phase allocation is determined, SynchroGreen establishes the targeted period of each intersection by constructing the respective ring-and-barrier diagrams. The intersection with the highest targeted period will serve as the critical intersection. This period of the critical intersection is assigned to all other intersections, and the actual phase allocation is enlarged proportionally to the previously targeted phase allocation.

#### *Start Time*

Start time consists of lag time and travel path. The lag time is similar to the concept of offset in traditional signal coordination. In SynchroGreen, lag time is dynamically modified based on

detected traffic conditions, the determination of which often considers the existence of queuing and platoon arrival distribution which is extracted from advance detectors. The travel path is selected based on the predominant travel direction. It can vary by time-of-day and determines when each SSL should receive the updated timing plan. This maintains coordination in the order in which the platoon is expected to arrive at downstream intersections.

#### **2.2.4. System Requirements**

SynchroGreen can operate using existing infrastructure. SynchroGreen software supports 2070 and ATC-type traffic controllers and will operate on traffic controllers from various vendors. SynchroGreen requires advance detection on the mainline and stop-bar detection for each lane in order to better monitor and predict the traffic conditions. However, it supports any common detection technology such as loops, video and advance radar, and also allows for multiple detection methods to be used at the same time at an intersection.

#### **2.2.5. Software Packages**

Based on the software capability and the number of adaptive intersections that need to be served, SynchroGreen is available for three different levels [18].

SynchroGreen Lean – “includes the Local Intersection Software and Central Server Software, and provides a web-based interface for monitoring and controlling the system. This option is an economical way for a city to experience the benefits of adaptive traffic control.” This package is used in the Newberry Road study corridor.

SynchroGreen Premium – “includes the local intersection software and enhanced central server software, and operates up to 150 intersections. It provides agencies with the ability to analyze real-time system performance, create detailed reports, log system calculations, and much more. This solution is designed to be easily integrated as part of federally-funded adaptive traffic control projects.”

SynchroGreen Enterprise – “integrates directly with your ATMS.now central management system and also qualifies for federal funding. It allows agencies to operate up to 150 adaptive intersections and 9,999 total intersections.”

#### **2.2.6. Case Studies**

Since the introduction of SynchroGreen, several field implementations have been conducted. According to Cheek et al. [19], SynchroGreen was deployed along a 1.7 mile arterial in Seminole County, Florida in 2012. The corridor consists of 12 signals and features large fluctuation of day-to-day traffic flow. An over 35% reduction of delay on the arterial was observed after installing SynchroGreen; side-street traffic also benefited by an average of 19% delay reduction. Another SynchroGreen system was recently deployed at nine intersections along Glades Rd. in Boca Raton, Florida [20]. Results indicated that SynchroGreen efficiently handled the flow variation both at hourly and daily levels. Travel time was reduced by a range of 2.4% to 8.6% in three of the four study segments. However, not many of the improvements were found statistically significant.

## 2.3. Other Advanced Signal Control Technologies

This section introduces and discusses other adaptive signal control methods found in use in the United States.

### 2.3.1. Split Cycle Offset Optimization Technique (SCOOT)

SCOOT is an adaptive traffic control system originally developed by the Transport Research Laboratory (TRL) [21]. Its algorithm is based on the TRANSYT optimization program (TRANSYT 7F is its US version.) SCOOT is installed in a central computer and has three optimizers that compute the best signal plan for the network based on detected traffic demand on all approaches to the system intersections. The optimizers are used to continuously adapt these parameters for all intersections in the SCOOT controlled area, aiming to minimize wasted green time at intersections as well as to reduce stops and delays by synchronizing adjacent sets of signals.

The operation of the SCOOT model is summarized in Figure 2.5. SCOOT obtains information on traffic flows from detectors, which are typically required on every link. Their location is important and they are usually positioned at the upstream end of the approach link. Inductive loops are used most often, but other methods are also feasible.

SCOOT receives traffic information and converts the data into its internal units and uses them to construct "Cyclic flow profiles" for each link as shown in the top left of Figure 2.5. The data from the model are then used by SCOOT in the three optimizers which are continuously adapting three key traffic control parameters - the cycle time, the splits, and the offsets. The cycle time optimizer computes an optimum cycle length for the critical intersection in the network. The split optimizer then assigns green splits for each intersection based on this cycle length and the offset optimizer calculates offsets. Phase sequence is also optimized.




Figure 2.5 SCOOT operation procedure [22]

SCOOT was originally designed to control dense urban networks, such as large towns and cities. It has also been successful in small networks, especially for areas where traffic patterns are unpredictable. It is used extensively throughout the United Kingdom (England, Ireland, and Wales) as well as in other countries (including USA, China, Canada, Brazil, Thailand, Chile, etc.). In the US, SCOOT has been implemented in Ann Arbor, MI, Minneapolis, MN, Arlington, VA, Orange County, FL, Santa Barbara, CA, San Diego, CA, Oxnard, CA and Anaheim, CA.

Some of the advantages of SCOOT are that the cyclic flow profiles are created on-line and are updated every four seconds. It optimizes phase sequence, and estimates queue lengths based on flow-occupancy profiles from upstream detectors. It uses the profiles to determine splits and offsets for the next cycles as well. SCOOT has interfaces for CORSIM, S-Paramics, VISSIM, and Aimsun.

One of the drawbacks of SCOOT is its inability to handle closely-spaced signals. Due to its particular detection configuration requirements, it requires some time to detect vehicles and estimate arrivals from the upstream detectors. It is primarily designed to react to long-term, slow variations in traffic demand, and not to short-term random fluctuations.

### 2.3.2. Sydney Coordinated Adaptive Traffic System (SCATS)

SCATS is an intelligent transportation signal control strategy that automatically selects signal control plans from a background library in response to the detected traffic demands. Its



objective is to achieve maximum throughput while minimizing stops and delay. It has been successfully deployed on arterial roads, downtown grid networks, and at small groups of intersections [4] [23] [24]. SCATS was developed by the Roads and Traffic Authority (RTA) of New South Wales, Australia (the former constituents of the Roads and Maritime Services) in the late 1970s. It is maintained by Roads and Maritime Services.

The input data for SCATS are collected by a system of traffic detectors. Two basic measures from detectors are used to adjust signal timings: degree of saturation and traffic flows. The system uses sensors at each traffic signal to detect vehicle presence in each lane and pedestrians waiting to cross at the local site. Sensors may be inductive loop detectors embedded in the pavement or video image devices mounted overhead on the signal strain poles. Pedestrian sensors are generally push buttons. SCATS is designed to automatically calibrate itself, and it is a cycle-by-cycle system that optimizes cycle length, split, and offset.

SCATS has been implemented in 27 countries worldwide, including Australia, Bangladesh, Brazil, Brunei, Chile, China, Ecuador, Fiji, Indonesia, Iran, Ireland, Jordan, Laos, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Pakistan, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, Thailand, USA and Vietnam. In the US it has been implemented in Oakland County, MI and Newark, DE.

SCATS supports automatic reconfiguration of the subsystems based on predefined criteria. The developers indicate that SCATS replaces the manual collection of data which are required for road planning and provides a greater volume of original data with good accuracy level. It can interface with S-Paramics, VISSIM, and Aimsun.

Some of the limitations of SCATS are that it relies upon plan selection with some local adaptation. The cycle time and split are updated each cycle. It does not provide arrival prediction, queue estimation and phase sequence optimization so the system acts only reactively to an identified traffic pattern.

### **2.3.3. Los Angeles Adaptive Traffic Control System (LA-ATCS)**

LA-ATCS is a computer-based traffic signal control system which provides fully automated traffic responsive signal control based on prevailing real-time traffic conditions [25]. LA-ATCS was first deployed as part of the Automated Traffic Surveillance and Control (ATSAC) Center in 1984 for the Los Angeles Olympic Games. The PC window-based system was completed in 1999. It has been implemented in over 3,000 intersections in the city of Los Angeles.

There are three operation modes in LA-ATCS: adaptive, time-of-day and operator control. In the adaptive mode it requires current flow conditions as input to determine a common section cycle time, splits, and offsets. In the time-of-day mode it operates on fixed-time plans as determined by the engineer. In the operator control mode it is used to handle traffic in special cases.

The input data (primarily flows) are collected by the detectors upstream of the stop bar for each system intersection. LA-ATCS automatically selects the intersection with the highest level of traffic, and the minimum and maximum cycle length and splits are determined by the engineers. Offset is also optimized at the end of the optimization process.

An advantage of this system is that cycle lengths can be different for each intersection. Any of its three optimized measures (cycle length, split and offset) can be disabled for selected links in a section. Also, it can interface with CORSIM (offline post-processing interface) and applies a set of logics to handle oversaturated traffic conditions in its network.

Its limitations are that it updates the cycle time and split each cycle which can lead to frequent transitions, and that it does not provide phase sequence optimization.

#### 2.3.4. Real-Time Hierarchical Optimized Distributed Effective System (RHODES)

RHODES is an adaptive traffic control system developed in the 1990s with FHWA support at the University of Arizona. The system is now managed by Siemens traffic solutions. RHODES uses input sensor data from detectors, AVLs, transponders, and so on. It produces real-time predictions of traffic flow and “optimally” controls the flow through the transportation network, using phase timing [26]. A schematic of the RHODES operation is shown in Figure 2.6.




Figure 2.6 A simplified diagram of RHODES operation [26]

RHODES employs “proactive” traffic-adaptive signal control architecture, which decomposes the traffic control problem into sub-problems that are interconnected hierarchically (dynamic programming). Then, it predicts traffic flow at appropriate resolution levels (individual vehicles, platoons, transit vehicles, emergency response units, and trains) to enable proactive control. RHODES uses a data structure and computation and communication approaches that allow fast solution to solve those sub problems.

RHODES uses a three-level hierarchy for characterizing and managing traffic. It explicitly predicts traffic at these levels utilizing detector and other sensor information. It requires lane traffic data (e.g., through detectors- both stop line and upstream), real-time communication to/from processors, and PC-level computational capability.

The highest level in the hierarchy is a “**Dynamic network loading**” model which captures the slow-varying characteristics pertaining to the network geometry and the typical route selection of travelers.

Based on the traffic load on each particular link, RHODES allocates green time for each demand pattern and each phase. These decisions are made at the middle level of the hierarchy, referred to as “**Network flow control**.”

Given the approximate green times, the “**Intersection control**” at the third level selects the appropriate phase change epochs based on observed and predicted arrivals of individual vehicles at each intersection as shown in Figure 2.7.




Figure 2.1 Middle and lower levels of RHODES architecture [26]

RHODES has been employed in the following locations in the US: Seattle, Santa Clara County (CA), Pinellas County (FL) and two locations in Arizona. Before and after studies conducted in the Pinellas County application showed a 14% improvement in travel times.

According to Mirchandani and Wang [26], the strengths of the RHODES system are automated setup, amenable to lab testing and consistency with traffic response objectives. RHODES is suitable for use in undersaturated arterials and widely-spaced grids. It can be applied as a signal control strategy for diamond interchanges.

### 2.3.5. Optimized Policies for Adaptive Control (OPAC)

The “Optimized Policies for Adaptive Control” (OPAC) strategy is implemented within the real-time adaptive control system (RT-TRACS), achieving the dual notion of “individual intersection

control and coordinated control of intersection in a network” [27]. The University of Massachusetts at Lowell developed this strategy in the mid 1980’s [28], aiming at introducing the first demand-responsive signal control. OPAC is designed for implementation on single intersections as well as arterials and networks. The OPAC strategy is essentially minimizing the objective function of the total intersections’ delay and stops by adjusting the traffic signal timing through a dynamic optimization algorithm, over a pre-specified horizon [27].

According to the developers, the important advantages of the strategy is that it provides better results compared to the implementation of the TOD, off-line strategies and that it is truly demand-responsive, adapting to the real traffic conditions [29]. Four versions of OPAC strategy have been developed with each one minimizing intersections’ performance measures, and constrained only by the minimum and maximum phase lengths. OPAC-1 (developed in 1979) introduced dynamic programming techniques for signal timing. However, this approach was not implemented in real time because processing real time data was not achievable at that point [28]. OPAC-2 (1980) applied an “optimal sequential constrained search methodology” in order to exhaustively search all the possible combinations of valid switching times and achieve OPAC objectives [29]. In OPAC-3 (1981), traffic patterns are projected based on upstream data, and the optimal switching times are optimized for the whole horizon. This new approach introduced the dynamic revision of decisions based on the most recent data [29]. OPAC-4 (1995) incorporates the signal coordination synchronization factor and was introduced within the RT-TRACS. Thus, the optimization control provided within this framework is continuous and on-line [29]. The fourth OPAC version developed flow profiles for each phase using a pre-specified horizon length with data from online upstream link detectors and projected data from smoothed volume counts [30]. These flow profiles are evaluated for each control setting and the decision is made in real time to extend the phase by 1-2 seconds or terminate it. This strategy allows for flexibility in the cycle length based on the virtual fixed cycle concept, a feature that is absent from adaptive systems with a fixed cycle length. The OPAC strategy can optimize up to eight phases within the dual ring configuration and all combinations of left turn lag/lead phasing [30].

The strategy was evaluated through a before-and-after study implemented by ITT Systems in the Reston Parkway test bed in Northern Virginia in 1997 and 1998 [29]. Results suggest that this type of control showed improvement on the order of 5% to 6% in average delays and stops compared to the time of day control used previously [27]. The implementation also revealed the effectiveness of the strategy during instances of loss of communication to the central monitoring system/control as well as instances when there is no communication between adjacent signals [27].

The OPAC strategy is capable of handling individual intersections as well as coordinated controlled arterials and networks. It allows prioritization of preemption control over OPAC, considering the movement of transit and emergency vehicles, recovering from preemption control immediately [30].

### 2.3.6. Adaptive Control Software Lite (ACS-Lite)

The “Adaptive Control Software Lite” is an initiative developed and funded by the FHWA in order to address the need to have widely-deployable, low-installation and operational cost adaptive control systems in the United States [31]. This “on-street” software is mainly used for monitoring traffic signal performance and adjusting signal timing for linear arterials [32]. The ACS-Lite software can control up to 16 consecutive intersections in a loop. The system is constantly updated with field data from upstream and approach detection, providing information on each intersection’s performance. The main goal of the software is to be adaptive to the changes of the traffic but, at the same time, maintain the time-of-day (TOD) schedules that have been specified, complying with all the traffic engineering principles that have been set [32]. The software is successfully integrated with CORSIM simulation for testing purposes and adheres to the NTCIP communication protocol, incorporating the concepts of interoperability and interchangeability [29]. It operates in a closed-loop control system, as sensors monitor the system’s outputs and feed the data into the controller in order to adjust the operation, if necessary. ACS-Lite deployment requires a low-cost upgrading to local intersection controllers, and agencies can retain the advantage of the familiarity with the controller software they currently use. ACS-Lite is flexible with the controller firmware update as well as the type of detectors used in the field. ACS-Lite needs stop line detectors for each phase to be installed in the field [32].

The adaptation logic of the ACS-Lite is based on making incremental adjustments to splits and offsets as often as every 5 to 10 minutes (which is the optimization step.) More specifically, during each phase, split adjustments are made based on the measure of utilization ( $v/c$  ratio) of each phase [31]. The same cycle length is maintained based on the time-of-day scheduler and the traffic engineer’s judgement. Fully actuated gap-out and coordination logic are used by the controllers’ software together with ACS-Lite during every cycle, so as to manage the duration of each split. Phase status data are obtained once per minute from the NTCIP detector (which can be loop or other point detection). The software detects the occupancy of each detector on coordinated approaches during the green and red intervals of each phase and the software determines whether traffic is using all the phases’ split time. Optimization algorithms reallocate split times from phases that do not use their entire split time to phases where more split time is needed, attempting to balance the  $v/c$  ratio for all the phases [32]. This optimization is constrained by minimum and maximum green times and pedestrian intervals. With respect to the offset adjustment logic, the changes to the offset time values are quite small per cycle (from 2 to 5 seconds earlier or later). This type of adjustment is based on cycle flow profiles which are compiled by the monitoring of advance loops on progression approaches [31]. ACS-Lite develops a statistical flow profile using the data collected from the detectors and optimizes the offset, implementing it at the coordinated phase [33]. The frequency of the adjustments can also be controlled by the traffic engineer supervising the control process each time.

The ACS-Lite software has been independently evaluated in a simulation environment and in field studies. Using CORSIM, the controller was adjusted in order to handle multiple intersections’ coordination. Results in this simulation test case indicate savings up to 4% in control delay and traffic travel time compared to suboptimal offset values. Compared to

suboptimal split values, travel time ranged from 4.9% shorter to 6.8% longer [31]. Initial field tests were conducted evaluating the system's operation with different signal controller manufacturers. Test beds were deployed in 2006 at Gahanna, Ohio; Houston, Texas; Bradenton Florida, and El Cajon, California [31]. These tests indicated travel time savings up to 11%, as well as delay time reductions up to 35%, and fuel consumption reductions and cost benefits [31]. The Bradenton, FL location is unique in that it is an L-shaped corridor with two adjoining, perpendicular arterials. At this location, an 11% decrease in travel time and a 28% decrease in number of stops were found after implementation.

The ACS-Lite software was designed specifically to minimize procurement, operations and maintenance costs. ACS-Lite can also respond to unexpected incidents and accommodate changes regarding the signal time monitoring scheme [31].

## **2.4. Best Practices in ASCT**

Adaptive signal systems have been applied in many areas of the U.S., including Florida. Some of the implementations and the experiences reported are discussed below, followed by an overview of lessons learned and best practices observed in these studies.

### **2.4.1. Pinellas County, Florida (RHODES and OPAC)**

Pinellas County, Florida, has experience in multiple adaptive signal control systems. The RHODES system was implemented on a 17-intersection corridor with average intersection spacing of  $\frac{1}{4}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile. According to an evaluation of the system [34], the RHODES configuration parameters had to be modified via text files and were found to be difficult to use for operators. Also the vendor support from University of Arizona was found to be inconsistent and the system was discontinued.

Pinellas County additionally tested OPAC on an 11-intersection corridor with average intersection spacing of 1 mile. 'Major' cycle and offset adjustments made by OPAC resulted in skipping of phases, and there was a VME communication failure between the OPAC single board processor and the 2070 controller CPU. Eventually, the system was upgraded to Econolite Centrac Adaptive (no specifics have been found in the literature on this approach).

In both cases, training of the operators and maintenance of detectors were found to be vital factors in achieving success with the system. The county currently uses In-sync, Econolite Centrac Adaptive, and ACS-Lite.

### **2.4.2. City of Irvine, California (SWARM/OPAC)**

A systematic evaluation of the performance and effectiveness of a Field Operational Test (FOT) of an integrated corridor-level adaptive control system was attempted from fall 1994 through spring 1999 in the City of Irvine, California. This test included OPAC as well as other new technologies for ramp metering, ITS, and new 2070 ATCs. This study began developing software prior to the purchase of the new test controllers and noted that basing the software

on hardware that was still in development complicated the software porting tasks. The study concluded that lack of consistent communication and training prevented the arterial consultant from effectively deploying the test technology. [35]

#### **2.4.3. VDOT Pilot Implementation (InSync)**

The Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) employed the InSync system along thirteen corridors in Virginia between years 2011 to 2015 [36]. Corridors were selected on the basis of criteria like variability in traffic patterns, heavy side street flows, conflicts with other modes, and support from local authorities.

Floating car probes, bluetooth and INRIX data were used. Travel times, speeds, and number of stops were considered as the performance measures. A statistically significant 17% reduction in total intersection crashes and 71.8% reduction in total stops were found. About 70% of the corridors showed statistically significant reduction in travel time. The system generally showed improvement in the mainline performance, but it was not significant when intersections were oversaturated and/or when the existing system (Time of Day) already performed well. Although corridors were retimed 3-5 years ago, no significant development occurred in the immediate vicinity of them, hence researchers assumed that it is safe to attribute the improvements to ASCT.

Widely-spaced intersections had problems with platoons breaking up and a break in communications was found to affect performance significantly. Side street delays generally increase (commonly between 5 to 10 s) when ASCT is deployed, although there is usually a net reduction in overall corridor delay. In quantifying improvements in operations, the agency reported that off-peak and queue data were found to be useful. INRIX data showed 15% to 20% improvement in travel time reliability.

The report noted that the public expectation was too high when the system was announced, and therefore advised to lower public expectations in urban areas, as the advantages of adaptive control are not aimed at the most highly congested time periods.

#### **2.4.4. Park City, Utah (SCATS)**

UDOT implemented ATCS in Park City as a pilot project on a 12=intersection corridor [37]. The following suggestions were made in the report after the evaluation of the project:

- There was no evaluation plan in advance of implementation and therefore the agency had to use existing limited “before” data. For such cases, the report recommends limiting such evaluations to comparing only individual intersections as opposed to comparisons of the performance of the entire system before and after SCATS is installed.
- The report recommended conducting a “with/without” evaluation instead of a “before/after” approach – this approach depends on the political decision to turn SCATS off for few weeks.

A VISSIM network simulation was developed and calibrated to get a larger data set in order to evaluate alternative geometric configurations. It also gave UDOT the opportunity to explore

various traffic signal scenarios and future expansions of the system (not only in Park City, but on any other network), such as: “before/after” approach, system expansion and “freak” events.

#### **2.4.5. City of Surrey, Canada (MAC)**

The City of Surrey implemented their pilot ATSC project using “Multi-criteria Adaptive Control” system for 7 closely-spaced intersections on a single corridor [38]. Some of the important lessons that were reported are:

- To maximize the benefits of deploying ASCT, arterial corridors and signalized intersections with more highly variable and/or unpredictable traffic volumes should be selected as preferred locations.
- The length of the arterial corridor must be long enough to appreciate the travel time variations.
- Techniques to further fine-tune the configuration data and/or enhance the ASCT algorithms to improve the duration of the transition periods should be investigated. As a minimum, the local traffic signal controllers should always be configured to use the “short-way” offset transition method, which shortens the cycle in order to make offset corrections.
- Robust and reliable communications between the Central Server and all MAC Adaptors in the field is a key consideration in the deployment of the ASCT system (as the ASCT algorithms cannot run until all the MAC Adaptors have reported data for the last completed cycle).

### **2.5. Summary of Best Practices and Lessons Learned**

The following is a summary of best practices identified in previous studies:

- Robust communication and adaptability of controllers to any new adaptive signal control systems are both important factors in the effectiveness and functionality of any system.
- Functioning and maintenance of detectors is key from the operating agency’s perspective.
- Transition from emergency events to regular patterns should be studied; any pre-emptive events during data collection would be useful in this regard.
- Since there are sometimes problems during pre- and post- congested periods, collecting off-peak and queue data is necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of the system.
- Infrastructure, such as communication cables, controllers and detection should be thoroughly tested before the data collection.
- The old systems must be re-calibrated and coordinated for the “before” data collection, so that the benefits derived can be measured more accurately.



- “With/without” evaluation instead of a “before/after” approach must also be considered.
- Whenever available, high resolution signal timing and detector data should be used and whenever necessary, simulation tools should be employed for rigorous evaluation of the system. Phase splits and other controller information can be useful in evaluating the access equity of the intersections [1].
- Travel demand can, and typically does change between before and after studies due to a variety of reasons such as site development and seasonal changes. This variability is often mitigated by collecting data on the same days of the week and within a given season.

## **2.6. Conclusions and Recommendations**

The two adaptive systems of focus in this study, InSync and SynchroGreen, are at the leading edge of development among ASCTs. InSync approaches adaptive control in a different way than most other systems in allowing more possible phasing and patterns, while SynchroGreen optimizes currently used phasing based on changing traffic patterns. Both systems have existing user bases with positive experiences and target improvements in locations with large variability of traffic flows by time of day and day of the week.

The University of Florida will be evaluating the test corridors over the next two years with the principles mentioned in this document. Maintenance of the systems and selection of appropriate measures of effectiveness, time and points of data collection will be helpful in evaluating the systems.

### 3. TRAFFIC ENGINEERING ANALYSIS

The “before and after ASCT” data collection included geometric and signal control information, as well as demand data, turning movements, queuing patterns, the presence of preemption, and other information that may affect signal coordination (presence of pedestrians and bicycles, presence of heavy vehicles, speed limits, etc.).

Prior to the data collection, the research team identified all pertinent performance measures that should be collected before and after installation of ASCT. These measures included: arterial travel time, delay at each signal, mid-block delays, and quality of existing signal control and coordination. When previously collected information was available by FDOT and other agencies, the research team included such data in the analysis of those sites, and collected in the field the remaining data. Data were collected during three separate 2-hour time periods at each site: the morning peak (AM), the evening peak (PM), and an off-peak period. The research team coordinated with the local agencies to identify suitable study periods for each corridor, and to obtain any relevant data in advance of the data collection.

Travel time data were primarily collected using our instrumented vehicle in a floating vehicle study. Travel time is estimated based on the time difference between arrivals at the first and last intersections for each corridor, when the vehicle has crossed the intersection at a speed approximately equal to the average operating speed. The number of runs along each corridor were a function of the time it took to traverse it during each period of analysis. A minimum of 5 runs were conducted at all corridors. In addition to travel time data collection, turning movement counts and queue lengths were collected at two critical intersections for each corridor. These were identified in consultation with the corresponding city, county, or managing agency. Based on these data, the following performance measures were obtained for the designated peak and off-peak study periods: queue length, queue to lane storage ratio, and passenger car equivalent (pce) flows.

Table 3.1 and 3.2 provide the summary of changes in performance measures for the corridors. As shown:

- Four of eight corridors (Panama City Beach Parkway, 23<sup>rd</sup> St, US-17 and 66<sup>th</sup> St) showed clear improvement in all performance measures on major streets, with increases in queues on minor streets
- Of the remaining four, SR-70 and University Parkway showed improvement upon further analysis:
  - SR-70 intersects with US-301, which carries heavy volumes and is a part of an ASCT implementation. Hence cross street travel time was also considered.
  - Intersections affected from DDI construction were removed from analysis for the University Parkway corridor.
- ASCT was removed from Newberry Rd, shortly after installation, as it was adversely affecting operations.
- E. Van Fleet Dr. in Bartow did not show clear operational improvement. This may be due to its unusual shape, which includes major left turns within the corridor.

The data and analysis for each site have been included in Appendices A through H. The next subsection presents a statistical comparison of selected traffic measures, while the last subsection presents the regression analysis conducted to show the relationship between site characteristics and operational improvements with ASCT implementation.

Table 3.1 Summary of Performance Measures for All Corridors (Direction of Change)

| Corridor                                      | Number of Signals | Length (mi) | ASCT Type    | Performance Measures |                      |                      |                       |                                                                                                                            |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                               |                   |             |              | Travel Time          | Delay                | Queue Length         | Volume                | Comment                                                                                                                    |
| Newberry Road-Gainesville                     | 12                | 1.45        | SynchroGreen | Increased            | Increased            | Increased            | Increased             | - Short links adversely affect detection                                                                                   |
| US-17/92- Deland                              | 5                 | 2.28        | InSync       | Decreased            | Decreased            | Decreased/ Increased | Increased             | -Well-supported by the vendor                                                                                              |
| Panama City Beach Parkway-Bay County          | 10                | 8.5         | InSync       | Decreased            | Decreased            | Decreased            | Increased             | - Overall improved performance                                                                                             |
| University Parkway-Sarasota/Manatee County    | 19                | 7.8         | InSync       | Decreased /Increased | Decreased            | Decreased /Increased | Decreased (Work Zone) | -While overall performance of the corridor slightly improved, operations at some intersections (close to DDI) deteriorated |
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> street- Panama City          | 9                 | 2.0         | InSync       | Decreased            | Decreased            | Increased            | Increased             | - Travel time reduced<br>- Side streets suffered significantly                                                             |
| 66 <sup>th</sup> Street- Pinellas             | 12                | 5.0         | InSync       | Decreased            | Decreased/ Increased | Decreased            | Increased             |                                                                                                                            |
| SR-70- Manatee                                | 21                | 9.2         | SynchroGreen | Decreased /Increased | Increased            | Increased/ No Change | Decreased             | The system extends to cross street US-301, where the travel time and queues decreased                                      |
| E. Van Fleet Drive & N. Broadway Ave.- Bartow | 5                 | 1.1         | InSync       | Decreased /Increased | Decreased /Increased | Increased            | Increased             | Corridor includes major left turns along the mainline                                                                      |

Table 3.2 Summary of Performance Measures for All Corridors (Magnitude of Change)

| Corridor                                      | Number of Signals | Length (mi) | ASCT Type    | Performance Measures        |                                               |                                              |                                                                                                             |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                               |                   |             |              | Travel Time                 | Queue Length                                  | Volume                                       | Comment                                                                                                     |
| Newberry Road- Gainesville                    | 12                | 1.45        | SynchroGreen | (D1) -22.70%<br>(D2) -0.01% | Major Through 20.48%<br>Minor Through 36.8%   | Major Through 9.98%<br>Minor Through -4.83%  | - Would be more effective with advance detection                                                            |
| US-17/92- Deland                              | 5                 | 2.28        | InSync       | (D1) 10.57%<br>(D2) 25.0%   | Major Through -12.68%<br>Minor Through 33.69% | Major Through 3.96%<br>Minor Through 11.87%  | -Well-supported by the supplier                                                                             |
| Panama City Beach Parkway-Bay County          | 10                | 8.5         | InSync       | (D1) 5.7%<br>(D2) 13.7%     | Major Through -21.58%<br>Minor Through 3.50%  | Major Through 5.00%<br>Minor Through 1.75%   | - Overall improved performance                                                                              |
| University Parkway- Sarasota/Manatee County   | 19                | 7.8         | InSync       | (D1) -11.22%<br>(D2) 25.17% | Major Through -54.40%<br>Minor Through 19.67% | Major Through -9.67%<br>Minor Through 3.33%  | -While overall performance of the corridor slightly improved, operations at some intersections deteriorated |
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> street- Panama City          | 9                 | 2.0         | InSync       | (D1) 7.57%<br>(D2) 13.07%   | Major Through 3.58%<br>Minor Through 16.33%   | Major Through 10.08%<br>Minor Through -1.83% | - Travel time reduced<br>- Side streets suffered significantly                                              |
| 66 <sup>th</sup> Street- Pinellas             | 12                | 5.0         | InSync       | (D1) 10.56%<br>(D2) 20.31%  | Major Through -35.58%<br>Minor Through 25.83% | Major Through 7.50%<br>Minor Through -16.42% |                                                                                                             |
| SR-70- Manatee                                | 21                | 9.2         | SynchroGreen | (D1) -5.80%<br>(D2) 4.78%   | Major Through 1.00%<br>Minor Through 4.00%    | Major Through 3.00%<br>Minor Through 0.00%   | The system extends to cross street US-301, where the travel time and queues decreased                       |
| E. Van Fleet Drive & N. Broadway Ave.- Bartow | 5                 | 1.1         | InSync       | (D1) 12.82%<br>(D2) -14.22% | Major Through 10.75%<br>Minor Through 15.83%  | Major Through 28.83%<br>Minor Through -3.50% | Corridor has left turns in major street directions                                                          |

### 3.1. Comparison of Traffic Performance Measures

In order to measure the effects of ASCT on the corridors' traffic operational conditions, several performance measures (PM) are evaluated. The change in travel time, major street throughput, and minor street throughput for each corridor are summarized in Table 3.3 and 3.4. The change rate of each measure is calculated as:

$$Change = \frac{PM_i - PM_i'}{PM_i'} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

The  $PM_i$  and  $PM_i'$  represent the  $i^{th}$  PM after and before the implementation of ASCT respectively, where  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$  and it represents the specific performance measure evaluated (travel time, main street throughput-through, main street queue length-through, main street throughput-left, main street queue length-left, minor street throughput-left, and minor street queue length left). The travel time changes are summarized in Table 3.3. D1 indicates the travel time change for direction 1 (EB/ NB) and D2 indicates the travel time for direction 2 (WB/SB). Green shading represents improved travel times with ASCT, while red shading represents worsening conditions with ASCT. Note that ASCT was not performing as expected along the Newberry Rd. (see Appendix B regarding the operational performance of this corridor), and therefore it is not considered in the calculation of the overall average.

All corridors show travel time improvement in at least one direction of travel and four corridors show improvement in both directions. Of the four, US-17 in Deland, 66<sup>th</sup> St in Pinellas, and 23<sup>rd</sup> St. in Panama City, showed statistically significant improvement in travel time in both directions. Overall, an average reduction of 9.36% in travel time (both directions) was observed across all study corridors. Detailed information on each of these is provided in Appendices A to H.

There were four instances where travel time increased after ASCT installation:

- Newberry Road EB, where the ASCT was eventually removed
- University Parkway EB, which had a new DDI at the east part of the corridor under construction during the after study
- E. Van fleet in Bartow, where the mainline includes left turns
- SR-70, where the ASCT favored the higher-volume cross street US-301. Upon further analysis, the cross street (US-301) which also had an ASCT installation but was not part of the study corridor for this project, showed a 20% reduction in travel time.

While travel time is important to analyze in order to evaluate the driver perception of the corridor level of service, the flows and queues collected at two critical intersections in each corridor indicate how ASCT is serving the major and minor streets. Due to the limited availability of time and resources to collect data and to have uniformity across sites, it was decided to collect data at only two "critical" intersections. These were identified for each corridor with the help of local agencies and FDOT district staff.

Table 3.3 Travel Time Changes for Each Corridor, by Direction

| Corridor                                  | Travel Time Change (D1)                                              | Stat. Sig. | Travel Time Change (D2) | Stat. Sig. | Comments                                                        |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| US-17/92                                  | -10.57%                                                              | ***        | -25.41%                 | **         | Detection and other issues, system currently not in use         |
| Newberry Road                             | 22.70%                                                               | -          | -0.06%                  | -          |                                                                 |
| Panama City Beach (PCB) Parkway           | -5.39%                                                               | -          | -12.08%                 | -          |                                                                 |
| 23rd Street                               | -8.26%                                                               | **         | -13.60%                 | *          | DDI construction and Mall upgrades during the “after” study     |
| University Parkway                        | 11.22%                                                               | -          | -27.86%                 | -          |                                                                 |
| 66th street                               | -10.56%                                                              | **         | -20.31%                 | ***        |                                                                 |
| SR-70                                     | 5.80%                                                                | -          | -4.78%                  | *          | US-301 (High volume cross street)- 20% reduction in travel time |
| E. Van Fleet and N. Broadway Ave (Bartow) | -12.82%                                                              | -          | 14.22%                  | -          | D2 had left turns along the mainline                            |
| <b>Overall</b>                            | <b>-9.36%</b><br>(Average change in travel time for both directions) |            |                         |            |                                                                 |

\*\*\* indicates the travel time change is statistically significant with  $P \text{ value} \leq 0.01$

\*\* indicates the travel time change is significant with  $P \text{ value} \leq 0.05$

\* shows the travel time change is significant with  $P \text{ value} \leq 0.10$

- shows the travel time change is insignificant with  $P \text{ value} > 0.10$

The throughput change and queue length change of the major street/through, major street/left, and minor street/through are presented in Table 3.4. The values reported represent the average change for an approach and for the given performance measure. For example, “average percentage change in major street thru flows” is an average of twelve values: percentage changes in flow in two major street directions (2) x three time periods (3) x two critical intersections (2).

The corridors where the throughput increases and the queue length decreases at the same time, which are the best-performing cases, are marked in green. The corridors that incurred throughput reduction and queue length increase, which are the worst-performing cases, are marked in red. The instances where there was no clear trend in the changes observed (i.e., both throughput and queues either increase or decrease together) are marked in beige.

As indicated in Table 3.4, when noticeable improvements are observed for the major street there is typically a deterioration in the minor street traffic operations. The 66<sup>th</sup> Street corridor in Pinellas County achieved the best improvement with 7.50% throughput increase and 35.58% reduction in queues on the major street (through). However, its minor street (through) had a reduction of 16.42% in throughput and an increase of 25.83% in queues. Some of the percentages in queues seem large; however, they often correspond to short queues consisting

of only a few vehicles. Additional information regarding queue lengths for each corridor is provided in Appendices A to H.

Overall, the ASCT are generally effective as they leads to increases in throughput (6.96%) and reduction in queues (15.57%) for the major streets. Although they result in increases in queues (16.98%) on the minor streets, the throughput remains almost the same (-0.69%) i.e., ASCT is able to maintain the same levels of side street flows despite an increase in queues.

Table 3.4 Throughput and Queue Changes at Critical Intersections

| Corridor                                  | Major Street (Through) |                | Major Street (Left) |              | Minor Street (Through) |               | Comments                                                                                         |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                           | Flow/Throughput        | Queue          | Flow/Throughput     | Queue        | Flow/Throughput        | Queue         |                                                                                                  |
| US-17/92                                  | 3.96%                  | -12.68%        | 1.18%               | 2.88%        | 11.87%                 | 33.69%        | One of the critical intersection s not considered due to vicinity to construction in after study |
| Newberry Road                             | 9.98%                  | 20.48%         | 24.50%              | 10.43%       | -4.83%                 | 36.83%        |                                                                                                  |
| Panama City Beach (PCB) Parkway           | 5.00%                  | -21.58%        | 0.67%               | -17.83%      | 1.75%                  | 3.50%         |                                                                                                  |
| 23rd Street                               | 10.08%                 | 3.58%          | 20.17%              | 21.83%       | -1.83%                 | 16.33%        |                                                                                                  |
| University Parkway                        | -9.67%                 | -54.50%        | -17.67%             | -33.00%      | 3.33%                  | 19.67%        |                                                                                                  |
| SR-693                                    | 7.50%                  | -35.58%        | -20.92%             | -11.33%      | -16.42%                | 25.83%        | 24% reduction in queue on US-301                                                                 |
| SR-70                                     | 3.00%                  | 1.00%          | 17.00%              | 34.00%       | 0.00%                  | 4.00%         |                                                                                                  |
| E. Van Fleet and N. Broadway Ave (Bartow) | 28.83%                 | 10.75%         | 10.92%              | 38.83%       | -3.50%                 | 15.83%        |                                                                                                  |
| <b>Overall</b>                            | <b>6.96%</b>           | <b>-15.57%</b> | <b>1.62%</b>        | <b>5.05%</b> | <b>-0.69%</b>          | <b>16.98%</b> |                                                                                                  |

## 3.2. Regression Analysis and Findings

In order to understand the relationship between the characteristics of the study corridors and operational performance with the ASCT, linear regression analysis is performed and the results are reported in this subsection. The regression models developed quantify the ability of ASCT to improve performance as a function the corridors' characteristics. The resulting models can also be used to anticipate the effect of ASCT on other corridors, providing guidance on which corridors are best candidates for ASCT implementation.



Table 3.5 shows the correlation between explanatory variables, and the correlation between each response variable (PM) and the explanatory variables.

In the regression analysis, the response variables are:

- Percentage change in travel time (PTT\_Diff)
- Difference in queue storage ratio (QS\_Diff)
- Percentage change in major street throughput (PTR\_Diff)
- Percentage change in minor street throughput (PTRMI\_Diff)
- Percentage change in intersection delay (PDelay\_Diff)

The corridor characteristics evaluated and used as explanatory variables are:

- Speed limit (Speed.limit) in mph
- Number of lanes (X.lanes)
- Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) in vehicles
- Average distance between intersections (Intersection.Distance) in feet
- Length of the corridor (Length) in miles
- Number of signalized intersections (X.Signals) per mile
- Number of unsignalized intersections (X.Unsignals) per mile

In addition, the following variables were created as a combination of the variables above:

- Number of access points (access.point = X.Signals+X.Unsignals) per mile
- Nominal variables based on the length of the corridor: short (short\_dis) if it is less than 2 miles; long if it is more than 8 miles (long\_dis); medium (med\_dis) if it is 2-8 miles long
- Operating speed (before) to free flow speed (speed limit) ratio (Speed.FFS)

The correlation matrix provides helpful information in determining the combination of variables to be used in predicting each of the independent (response) variables. For example, with ASCT application (correlation coefficients provided in the parenthesis):

- Corridors with higher AADT, show less improvement in queues (0.46)
- Medium length corridors (2 to 8 miles) show relatively higher improvement (reduction) in travel time than short and long corridors (-0.36)
- Corridors with higher intersection density show less improvement in queues (0.47)

The correlation matrix is also helpful in identifying the exploratory variables which interact or have similar effects on the response variables. This information is helpful in reducing the number of exploratory variables or creating a new combination variable. For example:

- Longer corridors (0.76) with more lanes (0.31) show higher speed (before ASCT is implemented) to FFS ratio
- The number of signalized and unsignalized intersections per mile have a high correlation (0.63) and produce a similar effect on the response variables. Hence it might be better to use a combined variable for these.

Based on the information obtained from the correlation matrix a stepwise linear regression analysis was conducted. Other types of regression models (log-log, log-linear) yielded similar

results. Linear models were chosen for simplicity and ease of comparison. Out of five, only two response variables resulted in meaningful and statistically significant models: Percentage Travel Time Change and Queue Storage Ratio Change (Major Street). The following subsections discuss each of these models.

Table 3.5 Correlation Matrix

|                       | Speed.Limit | X.Lanes | Divide | X.Signals | X.Unsignals | AADT  | Intersection.Distance | medium_dis | long_dis | access.point | Length | Speed.FFS | PTT_Diff | PTR_Diff | QS_Diff | PTRMI_Diff | PDelay_Diff |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|----------|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Speed.Limit           | 1.00        | -0.04   | -0.04  | -0.86     | -0.39       | -0.50 | 0.38                  | 0.09       | 0.62     | -0.62        | 0.74   | 0.57      | -0.35    | -0.13    | -0.32   | -0.16      | 0.18        |
| X.Lanes               | -0.04       | 1.00    | 1.00   | -0.18     | -0.06       | -0.26 | 0.29                  | 0.29       | 0.22     | -0.12        | 0.42   | 0.31      | 0.14     | -0.11    | -0.20   | 0.12       | 0.05        |
| Divide                | -0.04       | 1.00    | 1.00   | -0.18     | -0.06       | -0.26 | 0.29                  | 0.29       | 0.22     | -0.12        | 0.42   | 0.31      | 0.14     | -0.11    | -0.20   | 0.12       | 0.05        |
| X.Signals             | -0.86       | -0.18   | -0.18  | 1.00      | 0.63        | 0.40  | -0.60                 | -0.42      | -0.46    | 0.84         | -0.78  | -0.47     | 0.40     | 0.29     | 0.35    | 0.08       | -0.03       |
| X.Unsignals           | -0.39       | -0.06   | -0.06  | 0.63      | 1.00        | -0.18 | -0.89                 | 0.00       | -0.35    | 0.95         | -0.38  | -0.15     | 0.09     | 0.37     | 0.47    | -0.10      | -0.13       |
| AADT                  | -0.50       | -0.26   | -0.26  | 0.40      | -0.18       | 1.00  | 0.31                  | -0.25      | -0.06    | 0.03         | -0.23  | -0.14     | 0.30     | -0.29    | 0.46    | 0.08       | -0.07       |
| Intersection.Distance | 0.38        | 0.29    | 0.29   | -0.60     | -0.89       | 0.31  | 1.00                  | -0.05      | 0.61     | -0.86        | 0.64   | 0.41      | 0.02     | -0.46    | -0.23   | 0.07       | 0.15        |
| medium_dis            | 0.09        | 0.29    | 0.29   | -0.42     | 0.00        | -0.25 | -0.05                 | 1.00       | -0.45    | -0.17        | 0.12   | -0.07     | -0.36    | -0.16    | 0.02    | 0.05       | -0.34       |
| long_dis              | 0.62        | 0.22    | 0.22   | -0.46     | -0.35       | -0.06 | 0.61                  | -0.45      | 1.00     | -0.43        | 0.83   | 0.73      | 0.06     | -0.19    | -0.04   | -0.14      | 0.31        |
| access.point          | -0.62       | -0.12   | -0.12  | 0.84      | 0.95        | 0.03  | -0.86                 | -0.17      | -0.43    | 1.00         | -0.58  | -0.29     | 0.22     | 0.37     | 0.47    | -0.04      | -0.10       |
| Length                | 0.74        | 0.42    | 0.42   | -0.78     | -0.38       | -0.23 | 0.64                  | 0.12       | 0.83     | -0.58        | 1.00   | 0.76      | -0.15    | -0.31    | -0.04   | -0.13      | 0.13        |
| Speed.FFS             | 0.57        | 0.31    | 0.31   | -0.47     | -0.15       | -0.14 | 0.41                  | -0.07      | 0.73     | -0.29        | 0.76   | 1.00      | 0.15     | -0.25    | -0.07   | -0.13      | 0.07        |
| PTT_Diff              | -0.35       | 0.14    | 0.14   | 0.40      | 0.09        | 0.30  | 0.02                  | -0.36      | 0.06     | 0.22         | -0.15  | 0.15      | 1.00     | 0.11     | 0.14    | 0.19       | 0.11        |
| PTR_Diff              | -0.13       | -0.11   | -0.11  | 0.29      | 0.37        | -0.29 | -0.46                 | -0.16      | -0.19    | 0.37         | -0.31  | -0.25     | 0.11     | 1.00     | 0.03    | 0.32       | 0.00        |
| QS_Diff               | -0.32       | -0.20   | -0.20  | 0.35      | 0.47        | 0.46  | -0.23                 | 0.02       | -0.04    | 0.47         | -0.04  | -0.07     | 0.14     | 0.03     | 1.00    | -0.09      | -0.19       |
| PTRMI_Diff            | -0.16       | 0.12    | 0.12   | 0.08      | -0.10       | 0.08  | 0.07                  | 0.05       | -0.14    | -0.04        | -0.13  | -0.13     | 0.19     | 0.32     | -0.09   | 1.00       | -0.07       |
| PDelay_Diff           | 0.18        | 0.05    | 0.05   | -0.03     | -0.13       | -0.07 | 0.15                  | -0.34      | 0.31     | -0.10        | 0.13   | 0.07      | 0.11     | 0.00     | -0.19   | -0.07      | 1.00        |

### 3.2.1. Linear Regression Model for Predicting Percentage Travel Time Change

Table 3.6 presents the model predicting percentage travel time change ((After TT-Before TT)/Before TT). A negative percentage travel time change indicates travel time improvement. The number of signalized intersections per mile represents the density of signalized intersections along the corridor. Higher density limits the capabilities of the signal system to provide efficient traffic progression.

Corridors with higher operating speed (before ASCT) to FFS ratio limits the capabilities of the signal system to improve travel time. Higher speed (before ASCT)-FFS ratio means lower congestion levels to begin with, hence not much opportunity for improvement.

Table 3.6 Percentage Travel Time Change Model Results

|                          | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t ) |
|--------------------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept)              | -0.45527 | 0.10321    | -4.411  | 6.34E-05 |
| #Signalized intersection | 0.04562  | 0.01064    | 4.288   | 9.40E-05 |
| Speed/FFS                | 0.47904  | 0.15474    | 3.096   | 0.00337  |
| R <sup>2</sup>           | 0.3069   |            |         |          |
| Adj. R <sup>2</sup>      | 0.2761   |            |         |          |

Based on the above analysis, the percentage travel time change can be predicted as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \%Travel\ time\ change \\
 = & -0.4553 + 0.0456\#signalized\ intersections\ per\ mile \\
 & + 0.4790\frac{Speed}{FFS}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

### 3.2.2. Linear Regression Model for Predicting Queue Storage Ratio Change (Major Street)

Queue storage ratio is the ratio of queue length over the lane storage capacity. Table 3.7 presents the model predicting Queue Storage Ratio Change (After Q/S – Before Q/S) on the major street at the critical intersections along the corridors. Negative queue storage ratio change indicates improvement.

Table 3.7 Queue Storage Ratio Change (Major Street) Model Results

|                     | Estimate  | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t ) |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept)         | -0.66750  | 0.11180    | -5.973  | 3.43E-07 |
| #access.points      | 0.01542   | 0.00384    | 4.018   | 0.000221 |
| AADT                | 9.900E-06 | 0.00000    | 3.899   | 0.000319 |
| R <sup>2</sup>      | 0.4185    |            |         |          |
| Adj. R <sup>2</sup> | 0.3927    |            |         |          |

The number of access point (includes both signalized and unsignalized intersections) per mile represents the density of intersections along the corridor. Higher density limits the capabilities of the signal system to improve traffic progression, and results in higher queue storage ratios. The AADT positively affects queue storage change, i.e., higher AADT results in longer queues.

Based on the above analysis, the queue storage ratio change can be predicted as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Queue storage ratio change (Major Street)} \\ = -0.6675 + 0.01542 \# \text{access point per mile} + 9.9 \\ \times 10^{-6} \text{AADT} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

### 3.3. Travel Time Reliability

Travel time reliability is an important component when analyzing corridor performance. Travel Time index (TTI), as an indicator of travel time reliability, is calculated for all corridors and for each time period:

$$TTI = \frac{\text{Peak-Period Travel Time}}{\text{Free-Flow Travel Time}}$$

TTI index represents the average additional time required during peak times as compared to travel times of light traffic. The difference in TTI before and after system installation is shown in Table 3.8. The average change in TTI was -0.13 across all sites.

All corridors except 23<sup>rd</sup> Street have higher travel time and hence TTI in the PM peak. The last column in Table 3.8 shows the percentage difference in TTI between the least congested and most congested periods for a given site. A higher number indicates very congested peaks (usually in the PM peak). The three sites that have minimal difference between off-peak and peak congestion levels are US-17 (16%), Panama City Beach Parkway (9%) and 66<sup>th</sup> Street (11%). All three show the most improvement in travel times. Hence, the least improvement in travel time reliability was observed on corridors with oversaturated peaks.

Table 3.8 Travel Time Index (TTI) For All Corridors in Both Directions

| Site                                  | Time Period | Direction 1 |       |            | Direction 2 |       |            | TTI (Max-Min) /Min % |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|------------|-------------|-------|------------|----------------------|
|                                       |             | Before      | After | Difference | Before      | After | Difference |                      |
| Newberry Rd                           | AM          | 1.94        | 2.00  | 0.05       | 1.75        | 1.93  | 0.18       | 60%                  |
|                                       | Off         | 2.11        | 2.43  | 0.33       | 2.00        | 2.15  | 0.16       |                      |
|                                       | PM          | 2.59        | 3.73  | 1.13       | 3.26        | 2.92  | -0.34      |                      |
| US-17 Deland                          | AM          | 1.90        | 1.73  | -0.18      | 1.93        | 1.71  | -0.22      | 16%                  |
|                                       | Off         | 2.02        | 1.80  | -0.23      | 2.19        | 1.62  | -0.58      |                      |
|                                       | PM          | 2.24        | 1.99  | -0.25      | 2.52        | 1.63  | -0.89      |                      |
| Beach Parkway                         | AM          | 1.21        | 1.11  | -0.10      | 1.44        | 1.51  | 0.08       | 9%                   |
|                                       | Off         | 1.09        | 1.14  | 0.05       | 1.83        | 1.51  | -0.32      |                      |
|                                       | PM          | 1.24        | 1.10  | -0.14      | 1.69        | 1.34  | -0.35      |                      |
| University Parkway                    | AM          | 1.59        | 1.52  | -0.08      | 1.43        | 1.38  | -0.06      | 57%                  |
|                                       | Off         | 1.72        | 1.96  | 0.24       | 1.86        | 1.61  | -0.25      |                      |
|                                       | PM          | 2.21        | 2.67  | 0.46       | 3.33        | 1.80  | -1.54      |                      |
| 23rd Street                           | AM          | 2.30        | 2.01  | -0.29      | 2.25        | 1.70  | -0.54      | 27%                  |
|                                       | Off         | 2.86        | 2.63  | -0.23      | 2.97        | 2.53  | -0.44      |                      |
|                                       | PM          | 2.52        | 2.40  | -0.12      | 2.59        | 2.51  | -0.08      |                      |
| 66th Street                           | AM          | 2.08        | 1.77  | -0.31      | 1.94        | 1.59  | -0.36      | 11%                  |
|                                       | Off         | 1.96        | 1.79  | -0.17      | 2.16        | 1.72  | -0.43      |                      |
|                                       | PM          | 2.16        | 1.98  | -0.18      | 2.23        | 1.73  | -0.50      |                      |
| SR-70                                 | AM          | 1.72        | 1.75  | 0.03       | 1.66        | 1.51  | -0.15      | 32%                  |
|                                       | Off         | 1.28        | 1.37  | 0.08       | 1.28        | 1.27  | -0.01      |                      |
|                                       | PM          | 1.61        | 1.76  | 0.15       | 1.75        | 1.68  | -0.06      |                      |
| E. Van Fleet Drive & N. Broadway Ave. | AM          | 2.66        | 2.55  | -0.12      | 2.59        | 3.22  | 0.63       | 22%                  |
|                                       | Off         | 2.56        | 2.62  | 0.05       | 2.82        | 3.58  | 0.76       |                      |
|                                       | PM          | 3.52        | 2.46  | -1.06      | 3.41        | 3.27  | -0.13      |                      |

### 3.4. Conclusions from Traffic Engineering Analysis

The traffic engineering analysis of the study corridors shows that the implementation of ASCT led to an average overall reduction in travel time of 9.36% (excluding the Newberry corridor, as indicated above). All corridors show travel time reduction in at least one direction of travel and four corridors show reduction in both directions. The travel time reliability improved overall.

The ASCT also helps to increase major street throughput (6.96%) and reduce major street queues at the same time (15.57%). The minor street queues increase (16.98%) while the

throughput remains almost the same (0.69%), i.e., ASCT is able to maintain the same levels of side street flows despite an increase in minor street queues.

The linear regression analysis shows that higher AADT, higher intersection density (signalized and unsignalized), and higher initial operating speed (before implementation of ASCT) results in less traffic operational improvement. Qualitatively, the sites that showed consistent improvements had minimal detection or construction issues, low volume side streets, and simpler geometry (for example, no left turns as part of the main corridor).

In summary, ASCT is not suitable for implementation for all types of corridors and traffic conditions. The analysis shows that ASCT would yield better performance and a higher return on investment when implemented on corridors with low intersection density, low volume side streets, and high demand but not oversaturated traffic conditions. It is important to address any detection issues that stem from the corridor design (for example, short distances between intersections, where detection may not be effective) before ASCT is selected for implementation. Construction along the corridor reduces the effectiveness of ASCT.

## 4. SAFETY ANALYSIS

While it is essential to conduct traffic-engineering analysis to assess the improvements made by implementation of ASCT on traffic operations, it is also important to understand the impact of such technology on traffic safety. Often the introduction of new traffic patterns result in increase of rear-end crashes. In this chapter, we collect historical crash data for before and after the implementation of ASCT and analyze their effects. The next subsection provides an overview of the literature, followed by a description of the data assembled. The third subsection summarizes the analysis methodology, while the fourth subsection provides the results of the analysis by corridor. The last subsection summarizes the conclusions of the safety analysis.

### 4.1. Background on Safety Studies

In 2013, out of the 10.1 million motor vehicle crashes, about 10% of them occurred at signalized intersections [39]. This represents an increase from 9% out of the 6.3 million crashes reported in 2003 [10]. There is indeed an extensive body of literature on intersection safety. In this project, we focus our attention on the operational characteristics of traffic signals with particular emphasis on adaptive signal control and signal coordination.

Several operational characteristics of the signal can have impacts on safety. For example, increasing the yellow time and all red times could reduce red light running and hence improve safety [41-43]. Chin and Quddus [44] reported that crashes at signalized intersections increase with increasing number of phases and hypothesize that most crashes happen during phase changes. This result is also supported by Poch and Mannering [45].

Sunkari [46] reports that signal retiming, in general, has safety benefits as it reduces the number of stops and improves the smoothness of traffic flow which translates into reduced severe collisions. Also, the report by Hicks and Carter [47] states that ASCT reduce the number of stops by 28% to 41% reinforcing the point that ASCT improves coordination hence reducing the number of stops. Furthermore, a report from HRG, Inc., [48] supports the point that ASCT reduce the frequency of stops. Their report states that injury crashes decreased by half in Troy, Michigan and crashes reduced by 38% in West Des Moines, Iowa after the implementation of the adaptive control signals. In addition, FHWA [49] considers signal coordination a “proven” strategy for improving intersection safety and the rear-end crashes along the major street. Based on before-and-after studies, reductions in crash frequencies range from about 6% to 38%. Coordinated intersections have also been estimated to have 3-18% fewer total crashes and 14-43% fewer rear-end crashes compared to uncoordinated intersections [50]. The ITE toolbox on intersection safety design [51] also reports a 12% reduction in crashes during the peak periods based on a study in Illinois.

Based on before-and-after studies conducted in five corridors [52], adaptive signal control with coordination was shown to reduce crashes between 15% and 30% (Figure 4.1).



| TAC Corridor Implementation Summary                  |                   |                |               |                     |              |                     |                   |             |                       |             |                                  |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Corridor and Agency                                  | Scope of Corridor |                | Before Period |                     | After Period |                     | Change In Crashes |             | Signal Years Exposure |             | Annual Crash-Related Cost Saving |
|                                                      | Inter-sections    | Length (miles) | Years         | Ave. Annual Crashes | Years        | Ave. Annual Crashes | Frequency         | Percentage  | Before                | After       |                                  |
| Washington Road<br>Columbia County, GA               | 5                 | 1              | 1.0           | 162                 | 1.0          | 120                 | -42               | -26%        | 5.0                   | 5.0         | \$1,164,702                      |
| 21st Street<br>City of Topeka, KS                    | 7                 | 1              | 2.0           | 142                 | 2.0          | 108                 | -34               | -24%        | 14.0                  | 14.0        | \$942,854                        |
| Missouri Highway 291, Lee's Summit<br>(Missouri DOT) | 12                | 2.5            | 3.0           | 262                 | 1.0          | 217                 | -45               | -17%        | 36.0                  | 12.0        | \$1,247,895                      |
| Chipman Road<br>City of Lee's Summit, MO             | 8                 | 1              | 2.0           | 89                  | 0.6          | 76                  | -13               | -15%        | 16.0                  | 4.7         | \$360,503                        |
| Thompson Road/<br>Hwy 71, City of Springdale, AR     | 8                 | 3              | 0.9           | 63                  | 0.9          | 44                  | -19               | -30%        | 7.3                   | 7.3         | \$526,889                        |
| <b>Total</b>                                         | <b>40</b>         | <b>8.5</b>     | <b>8.9</b>    | <b>718</b>          | <b>5.5</b>   | <b>565</b>          | <b>-153</b>       | <b>-22%</b> | <b>78.3</b>           | <b>41.0</b> | <b>\$4,242,843</b>               |

Figure 4.1 Impacts of coordination on safety<sup>5</sup>

Based on a before-and-after (2 years before and 2 years after) study of crashes along 6 corridors in Florida that were treated with signal retiming, Yauch [53] reports reductions in crashes based on both a simple comparison of observed crashes (Table 4.1) and using the Highway Safety Manual (HSM) approach which compared the observed crashes after the treatment with the expected number of crashes in the same corridor without the treatment (Table 4.2).

Table 4.1 Comparison of Observed Crashes before and after Retiming (Adapted from [6])

| Corridor | Observed Before | Observed After | Difference | % Difference |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
| 1        | 1,812           | 1,532          | -280       | -15.45       |
| 2        | 1,053           | 915            | -138       | -13.11       |
| 3        | 564             | 509            | -55        | -9.75        |
| 4        | 1,180           | 850            | -330       | -27.97       |
| 5        | 2,623           | 2,151          | -472       | -17.99       |
| 6        | 802             | 723            | -79        | -9.85        |

Table 4.2 Comparison of Expected Crashes without Retiming with Observed Crashes with Retiming (adapted from [6])

| Corridor | Observed After | Expected After | Difference | % Difference |
|----------|----------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
| 1        | 1,532          | 1,670          | -138       | -9.01        |
| 2        | 915            | 1,043          | -128       | -13.99       |
| 3        | 509            | 585            | -76        | -14.93       |
| 4        | 850            | 896            | -46        | -5.41        |
| 5        | 2,151          | NA             | NA         | NA           |
| 6        | 723            | 834            | -111       | -15.35       |

In contrast to the above study which has looked at the corridor as a whole, others have examined the crashes at individual intersections while considering its operational attributes such as coordination as an explanatory variable. Based on a study of intersections in Singapore, Chin and Quddus [44] report that intersections with adaptive signals are safer than those with pre-timed signals based on fewer estimated crashes (5% less). The authors argue that adaptive signals force traffic into a more regular discharge pattern and reduce long gaps in opposing flows leading to safety benefits. Such effects may also be observed in the case of signal coordination, although the effect of coordination was not the focus of that study.

Guo et al. [54] examined the safety of intersections using data from central Florida. Of the 170 intersections in their study, 50 were “isolated” and the rest were a part of a coordinated scheme. The model results showed that coordinated intersections on the mainline highways had more crashes than those that were isolated. The authors argue that this must not be interpreted as a causal effect (i.e., coordination increases crashes); rather, this could be a manifestation of endogeneity effects (unsafe corridors are more likely to get coordinated systems) and/or the impact of higher speeds in coordinated corridors.

Turner et al. [55] examined intersections in New Zealand. Although the analysis focused on individual intersections, one of the factors considered was whether the intersection was coordinated with an upstream intersection. The effect of coordination was mixed. It was found to be associated with increased right-angle crashes (except in some metropolitan cities where the effects were negative) and right-turning vehicle-pedestrian crashes. Coordination was also associated with fewer “other” vehicle crash types. There was no statistically significant effect of coordination on other crash types such as rear-end crashes.

In a study by Li and Tarko [56], crash patterns on six arterials with coordinated signals were studied. All data were collected after the signal coordination plan was implemented. The researchers studied the likelihood of rear-end and right turn crashes on every 15-minute interval. Their analysis indicates that traffic streams that are more likely to arrive at an

intersection in periods without an existing queue (i.e., they arrive in the later part of a green phase) are less likely to have a rear-end crash. Since the intent of coordination is to eliminate such queues, the authors argue that coordination has a net positive effect on reducing the incidence of rear-end crashes near intersections. As already indicated, this study did not look at crashes along the same corridors before the coordination.

In a most recent study on the safety benefit of ASCT, Osorio and Benekohal [57] examined six intersections on the Neil Street corridor in Champaign, IL, through a before-and-after study utilizing the Empirical Bayes method for the study period of 2012-2016. Their study developed crash modification factors (CMFs) for different crash types and severity classifications. For multiple-vehicle fatal and injury crashes, they developed a CMF of 0.67 at all intersections and four-legged-only intersections. However, although the CMFs developed were not statistically significant at 95% confidence interval, they showed a decreasing trend in multiple vehicles fatal and injury crashes. For Property Damage Only (PDO) and total crashes, the CMFs developed were 1.04 and 0.96, respectively. This finding also indicates that there is no change in PDO and total crashes as their CMFs developed were close to one.

Overall, the literature review shows that adaptive and coordinated signal systems can be expected to improve the safety of arterials. This is because improved progression leads to reduced number of stops and thus a reduction on rear-end crashes. Also, increased platooning allows for more gaps in opposing traffic streams, which results in reduced angle and turning crashes. Disaggregate modeling studies also imply that self-selection bias should be considered as well, i.e., unsafe corridors are perhaps more likely to warrant treatments in the first place.

## 4.2. Data Assembly

Table 4.3 summarizes the corridor data used for the safety analysis. The crash data along these corridors were obtained from the Signal Four Analytics System<sup>1</sup>. Although the Signal Four system receives data updates very night, there is a natural lag period between the date of the crash and the date on which this is included in the Signal Four system with a geolocation attached to it. Our analysis (for details see Appendix J) indicates that 95% of all crashes generally appear in Signal Four within one month of the crash in most corridors. This is true for crashes reported on both Long and Short Forms. A Long Form Report is an extended form which includes a narrative, diagram, and additional crash-related details. Long Form Reports are used for crashes that involve death or personal injury, property damages and driving under the influence. Short Form Reports are typically provided for all other types of traffic crashes.

Therefore, for this project we include only crashes that occurred at least one month prior to the date of the analysis. This will limit the possibility of the “after” period crashes being biased because they have not been processed and added into the system yet.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://s4.geoplan.ufl.edu/>

Table 4.3 Corridor Characteristics and Installation Dates of New Adaptive System for Each Site

| <b>Corridor</b>                           | <b>County</b> | <b>Length (mile)</b> | <b>Cross Section</b> | <b># of Signalized Intersections per mile</b> | <b>New System Start Date</b> |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| US-17/92                                  | Volusia       | 2.28                 | 6-Lane Divided       | 2.2                                           | 14-Nov                       |
| Newberry Road                             | Alachua       | 1.45                 | 6-Lane Divided       | 8.3                                           | 14-Nov                       |
| Panama City Beach (PCB) Parkway           | Bay           | 8.5                  | 4/6-Lane Divided     | 1.1                                           | 1/9/2015                     |
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street                   | Bay           | 2                    | 4-Lane Undivided     | 4.5                                           | 4/24/2015                    |
| University Parkway                        | Sarasota      | 7.8                  | 4/6-Lane Divided     | 2.3                                           | 16-Feb                       |
| E. Van Fleet and N. Broadway Ave (Bartow) | Polk          | 1.1                  | 6-Lane Divided       | 4.5                                           | 3/8/2016                     |
| SR-693                                    | Pinellas      | 5                    | 6-Lane Divided       | 2.4                                           | 4/24/2017                    |
| SR-70                                     | Manatee       | 9.2                  | 6-Lane Divided       | 2.4                                           | Sept 2018                    |

A second issue of concern is ensuring that crash reporting practices have not changed over the analysis period. While the recording of long-form crashes into electronic databases have generally remained consistent practice all across the state, there have also been recent initiatives to start recording all crashes (both long- and short- form). The recording of short-form crashes is also known to be varied across the state. Our analysis (see Appendix K) suggest that there is no systematic variation (specifically, an increase over time) in the proportions of short form crashes over time. Therefore, we use both long- and short- form crashes for analysis in this study, as this will not bias the “after” period crashes.

The data extracted from the Signal Four Analytics System was for the period January 2013 – November 2017. Note that the earliest installation of ASCT at the eight study corridors was November 2014 and the latest was April 2017. Initially, the research team extracted all crashes within 300 feet of the entire corridor. Therefore, crashes occurring within 300 feet upstream of the first ASCT intersection and those crashes occurring within 300 feet downstream of the last ASCT intersection were also included. Crashes occurring on side streets along the corridor (irrespective of whether the side-street intersection is signalized or not) were also included. Subsequently, the research team also created a subset of data comprising only the mainline

crashes (i.e., crashes along the corridor in which the signals are coordinated)<sup>2</sup>. Broadly, mainline crashes represented 50-80% of all crashes along the corridors. Crashes occurring between 1 am and 6 am were filtered out since the vast majority of signals operate on flash during those times. Regardless, there were relatively few crashes recorded during these times.

The data assembled includes all crashes along these corridors, and not all of them are related to the signal system. The literature review presented previously indicates that certain types of crashes are more likely to be influenced by signal progression. Table 4.4 presents the various types of crashes examined (in addition to all crashes along the corridor) and the expected impact of signal improvements on these crash types.

Analyzing crash trends must also account for exposure, i.e., changes in traffic volumes in the study corridors over time. The best consistent estimates of traffic volumes over time were the AADT values obtained from FDOT (Florida Traffic Online<sup>3</sup>). The methodology for aggregating AADT estimated at different points along the corridor into a single estimate for each corridor is presented in Appendix L.

We also assembled crash data for the entire counties in which the corridors are located, during the same analyses period. This was done to examine whether there were any broader temporal trends in crashes over the analysis period, which are not related to ASCT.

Table 4.4 Description of Main Crash Attributes Used for Analysis

| Crash Type                                  | Potential Impact of Signal Progression                                                                                                                                                                    |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rear-End crashes                            | A reduction in the number of stops because of the smoothening of the flow decreases the probability of a rear-end crash occurring                                                                         |
| Peak and Off-Peak Crashes / Weekend Crashes | Traffic volumes and platooning patterns differ between peak and off-peak periods. Increased platooning allows more gaps in the opposing traffic stream decreasing the chances of turning or angle crashes |
| Fatal and Injury Crashes                    | Smoothening of flow decreases the chance of injuries or fatalities because of decrease in rear-end crashes                                                                                                |
| Intersection-related/influenced Crashes     | While signal coordination systems can be expected to impact safety along the entire corridor, it can potentially have a greater impact on crashes happening at or in the vicinity of intersections.       |

<sup>2</sup> Feedback from engineers responsible for the individual corridors also suggested this to be a preferred approach. We show later in the analysis that including side-streets may be a better approach for the Bartow corridor as this is not a straight-line corridor.

<sup>3</sup> <http://flto.dot.state.fl.us/website/FloridaTrafficOnline/viewer.html>

### 4.3. Methodology

The objective of this analysis is to determine the impact of ASCT deployment on the overall safety (crash patterns) of the entire corridor. The methods employed aim to accomplish this goal while recognizing (1) the analyses are being conducted right after the installation limiting the amount of “after” data, (2) estimates of traffic volume are available on a yearly basis (even though implementations may happen during the middle of a year), and (3) there may be other factors impacting the safety along the corridor .

The analysis results section presents the results by corridor followed by an overall summary. For each corridor, the following are presented and discussed:

- The temporal (monthly) profile of crashes along the corridor and for the entire county in which the corridor is located
- Crash frequencies per month before and after the implementation by crash type (all crashed, fatal and injury crashes, intersection-related crashes, rear-end crashes, weekday AM peak crashes, Weekday PM peak crashes, Weekday Off-peak crashes, and Weekend crashes) along the corridor (mainline and side streets)
- Crash frequencies per month before and after the implementation by crash type (all crashed, fatal and injury crashes, intersection-related crashes, rear-end crashes, weekday AM peak crashes, Weekday PM peak crashes, Weekday off-peak crashes, and Weekend crashes) along the main line of the corridor
- Crash frequencies per month before and after the implementation by crash type (same types as before) for the entire county in which the corridor is located.
- Crash rate (Crashes / AADT) per year before and after the implementation by crash type (all crashes, fatal and injury crashes, rear-end crashes, and intersection-related crashes) along the corridor

The reader will note that crash types representing certain time of day periods (peak / off-peak) or day of the week (weekday / weekend) are not included in the crash rate analysis as the AADT will not be representative of traffic volumes during specific time-of-day or day-of-the week periods.

It is useful to note that the duration of the “before” and “after” periods for the sites are different as the deployments happened at different points in time. In order to examine the effects over the same time frame, we also present the crash frequencies for a seven month period before the deployment and a seven month period after deployment (see Appendix D). It is important to note that, while ensuring consistency in time frame of analysis, these represent short-term effects and potentially reflect different seasonal effects for the before-and-after periods (for example for the Panama City Beach corridor, the “after” 7 months capture the spring break and summer effects while the “before” 7 months capture the summer and winter periods.

### 4.4. Safety Analysis Results

In this section, the detailed analysis and results are presented for six of the eight total corridors. The new signal system was installed in the Newberry road corridor by November 2014. The system was run intermittently until June 2015. At this time, this was disabled and switched back to the manual co-ordination system already in place. There isn't adequate "after" data for the SR-70 corridor considering the late implementation date for this location.

#### 4.4.1. US-17/92 Corridor

Figure 4.2 presents the temporal (monthly) profile of crashes along the corridor (mainline only and mainline + side streets) and Figure 4.3 presents the crash profile for Volusia County. The vertical line in the figure separates the before-and-after periods.




Figure 4.2 Temporal profile of total crashes along US-17/92 corridor




Figure 4.3 Temporal profile of total crashes in Volusia County

Table 4.5 presents the aggregate before-and-after comparison of the monthly crashes on this corridor and its county, Volusia. The monthly crash frequencies decreased for all crash types

(with the exception of weekday PM peak) along the corridor after the ASCT implementation compared to the before-deployment period. However, the monthly crash frequencies increased for the entire county. The short-term (7-month) comparison also reflect these trends to a large extent (Table L1 in Appendix L).

Travel times for both directions on this corridor decreased by an average of 0.66 min (10.6%) for the NB and 1.67 min (25%) for the SB. Also, the intersection through movement delay decreased for almost all the intersections in both directions by an average of more than 11 seconds per intersection.

Table 4.5 Comparison of Crashes on US-17/92 and Volusia County before (Jan 1, 2013 – Nov 14, 2014) and after (Nov 15, 2014 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT

|                                            |          |              | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off-Peak | Weekend |
|--------------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| US-17/92 Parkway (Mainline + Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 325         | 83               | 66                   | 88       | 32              | 68              | 154              | 71      |
|                                            |          | Crash/ Month | 14.44       | 3.69             | 2.93                 | 3.91     | 1.42            | 3.02            | 6.84             | 3.16    |
|                                            | After    | Crash Freq.  | 430         | 98               | 85                   | 122      | 44              | 106             | 194              | 86      |
|                                            |          | Crash/ Month | 11.78       | 2.68             | 2.33                 | 3.34     | 1.21            | 2.90            | 5.32             | 2.36    |
|                                            | Diff (%) |              | -18.44      | -27.22           | -20.61               | -14.54   | -15.24          | -3.91           | -22.34           | -25.33  |
| US-17/92 Parkway (Mainline Corridor)       | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 207         | 48               | 32                   | 62       | 18              | 40              | 102              | 47      |
|                                            |          | Crash/ Month | 9.23        | 2.14             | 1.43                 | 2.76     | 0.80            | 1.78            | 4.55             | 2.10    |
|                                            | After    | Crash Freq.  | 288         | 58               | 21                   | 72       | 26              | 68              | 135              | 59      |
|                                            |          | Crash/ Month | 7.88        | 1.59             | 0.57                 | 1.97     | 0.71            | 1.86            | 3.69             | 1.61    |
|                                            | Diff (%) |              | -14.64      | -25.87           | -59.74               | -28.76   | -11.38          | 4.29            | -18.80           | -22.99  |
| Volusia County                             | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 21,827      | 6,367            | 4,771                | 6,431    | 2,325           | 3,900           | 10,324           | 5,278   |
|                                            |          | Crash/ Month | 970.09      | 282.98           | 212.04               | 285.82   | 103.33          | 173.33          | 458.84           | 234.58  |



|  |          |              | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off-Peak | Weekend |
|--|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
|  | After    | Crash Freq.  | 38,955      | 11,486           | 8,833                | 12,457   | 4,484           | 7,031           | 18,267           | 9,173   |
|  |          | Crash/ Month | 1067.26     | 314.68           | 242.00               | 341.29   | 122.85          | 192.63          | 500.47           | 251.32  |
|  | Diff (%) |              | 10.02       | 11.20            | 14.13                | 19.41    | 18.89           | 11.13           | 9.07             | 7.14    |

Table 4.6 presents the annual crash rates (crashes per AADT) on this corridor (mainline only) for each of the crash types not related to time of day<sup>4</sup>. As shown, the AADT values are fluctuating (increasing in some years and decreasing in others) over the analysis period. Meanwhile, the before and after traffic volume counts conducted showed a 4% and 11.9% increase in both the major street and minor street throughput, respectively. It is useful to note that, the traffic volume changes were measured only at the critical signalized intersections along the corridor during peak hours.

The crash rates during the after years (2015-2017) do not appear substantially different from crash rates during the before years (2013-2014) with the exception of the rate of intersection-related crashes which decreased.

Table 4.6 Comparison of Cash Rates on US-17/92

| Year | AADT   | Rear End (RE) | RE /AADT | Fatalities & Injuries (FI) | FI /AADT | Intersection Related (IR) | IR /AADT | Total Crashes (TC) | TC /AADT |
|------|--------|---------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| 2013 | 38,880 | 23            | 0.0006   | 20                         | 0.0005   | 15                        | 0.0004   | 86                 | 0.0022   |
| 2014 | 37,070 | 42            | 0.0011   | 31                         | 0.0008   | 19                        | 0.0005   | 131                | 0.0035   |
| 2015 | 37,030 | 20            | 0.0005   | 15                         | 0.0004   | 7                         | 0.0002   | 88                 | 0.0024   |
| 2016 | 42,410 | 28            | 0.0007   | 20                         | 0.0005   | 6                         | 0.0001   | 86                 | 0.0020   |
| 2017 | 41,550 | 21            | 0.0005   | 20                         | 0.0005   | 6                         | 0.0001   | 104                | 0.0025   |

<sup>4</sup>As already discussed, peak-period crashes, weekend crashes etc. are not included in the crash rate analysis as we do not have the traffic volumes for these specific periods. Further traffic volumes are also not available for all cross streets and so the crash rate analysis is restricted to mainline crashes only.

#### 4.4.2. Panama City Beach Parkway Corridor

Figure 4.4 presents the temporal (monthly) profile of crashes along the corridor (mainline only and mainline + side streets) and Figure 4.5 presents the crash profile for Bay County. The vertical line in the figure separates the before-and-after periods. The profile shows peaking of crashes in March and July of each year, potentially coinciding with spring-break and summer traffic to the beaches. A steady increase in crashes over time is also noticeable. A report from the Bay County Tourist Development Council shows that there had been an increase in tourism and the development tax collection for July 2016 compared to July 2015<sup>5</sup>. Thus, an increase in the number of tourists over the past few years and the corresponding increase in traffic during specific months could explain the increase in the crash rates. Another reason could be due to changes in the crash reporting methodology.




Figure 4.4 Temporal profile of total crashes along PCB Parkway corridor

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.newsherald.com/business/20160906/update-july-breaks-all-time-tourism-record-in-panama-city-beach>




Figure 4.5 Temporal profile of total crashes in Bay County

Table 4.7 Comparison of Crashes on PCB Parkway and Bay County before (Jan 1, 2013 – Jan 8, 2015) and after (Jan 9, 2015 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT

|                                       |          |              | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off-Peak | Weekend |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| PCB Parkway (Mainline + Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 698         | 129              | 46                   | 161      | 66              | 121             | 287              | 224     |
|                                       |          | Crash /Month | 28.77       | 5.32             | 1.90                 | 6.64     | 2.72            | 4.99            | 11.83            | 9.23    |
|                                       | After    | Crash Freq.  | 2127        | 287              | 110                  | 513      | 194             | 370             | 918              | 645     |
|                                       |          | Crash /Month | 61.22       | 8.26             | 3.17                 | 14.77    | 5.58            | 10.65           | 26.42            | 18.57   |
|                                       | Diff (%) |              | 112.77      | 55.34            | 66.97                | 122.48   | 105.24          | 113.51          | 123.34           | 101.05  |
| PCB Parkway (Mainline Corridor)       | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 571         | 120              | 64                   | 194      | 56              | 111             | 228              | 176     |
|                                       |          | Crash /Month | 23.54       | 4.95             | 2.64                 | 8.00     | 2.31            | 4.58            | 9.40             | 7.26    |
|                                       | After    | Crash Freq.  | 1770        | 229              | 76                   | 452      | 152             | 299             | 778              | 541     |
|                                       |          | Crash /Month | 50.95       | 6.59             | 2.19                 | 13.01    | 4.38            | 8.61            | 22.39            | 15.57   |
|                                       | Diff (%) |              | 116.44      | 33.25            | -17.08               | 62.68    | 89.52           | 88.08           | 138.26           | 114.63  |
| Bay County                            | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 13,467      | 2,545            | 2,024                | 4,189    | 1,575           | 2,586           | 6,148            | 3,158   |
|                                       |          | Crash /Month | 555.16      | 104.91           | 83.44                | 172.68   | 64.93           | 106.60          | 253.44           | 130.18  |
|                                       | After    | Crash Freq.  | 23,216      | 4,071            | 3,562                | 7,128    | 2,811           | 4,327           | 10,730           | 5,348   |
|                                       |          | Crash /Month | 668.24      | 117.18           | 102.53               | 205.17   | 80.91           | 124.55          | 308.85           | 153.94  |
|                                       | Diff (%) |              | 20.37       | 11.69            | 22.88                | 18.81    | 24.62           | 16.83           | 21.86            | 18.24   |

Table 4.7 presents the aggregate before-and-after comparison of the monthly crashes on this corridor and its county, Bay. The monthly crash frequencies increased for all crash types (with the exception of intersection-related crashes along the mainline, which decreased) compared to the before-deployment period. At the same time, the monthly crash frequencies increased for the entire county as well. The short-term (7 month) comparison also reflected these trends to a large extent (Table L2 in Appendix L). Also, the data quality for this corridor was problematic. There were relatively few crashes classified as “intersection related”, and the corresponding data field in the original database had a high proportion of missing cases for reasons not readily apparent.

Generally, there was an overall improvement in travel time for both directions in this corridor after the installation of the ASCT system. Table 4.8 presents the annual crash rates (crashes per AADT) on this corridor for each of the crash types not related to time of day. As shown, the AADT values are systematically increasing over the analysis period. Similarly, the before and after traffic volume counts showed a 5% and 1.8% increase in the major street and minor street throughput, respectively. However, the traffic volume changes were measured only at the critical signalized intersections along the corridor during peak hours.

The rate of total crashes and rear-end crashes during the after years (2015-2017) do appear to be systematically higher than crash rates during the before years (2013-2014). The rate of intersection-related crashes decreased, and there is no trend in the case of fatal and injury crashes.

Table 4.8 Comparison of Cash Rates on PCB Parkway

| Year | AADT   | Rear End (RE) | RE /AADT | Fatalities & Injuries (FI) | FI /AADT | Intersection Related (IR) | IR /AADT | Total Crashes (TC) | TC /AADT |
|------|--------|---------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| 2013 | 42,268 | 83            | 0.0020   | 67                         | 0.0016   | 34                        | 0.0008   | 239                | 0.0057   |
| 2014 | 45,076 | 110           | 0.0024   | 53                         | 0.0012   | 30                        | 0.0007   | 331                | 0.0073   |
| 2015 | 46,155 | 116           | 0.0025   | 58                         | 0.0013   | 25                        | 0.0005   | 515                | 0.0112   |
| 2016 | 46,745 | 162           | 0.0035   | 99                         | 0.0021   | 25                        | 0.0005   | 622                | 0.0133   |
| 2017 | 48,202 | 175           | 0.0036   | 72                         | 0.0015   | 26                        | 0.0005   | 634                | 0.0132   |

#### 4.4.3. 23rd Street Corridor

Figure 4.6 presents the temporal (monthly) profile of crashes along the corridor (mainline only

and mainline + side streets) and Figure 4.7 presents the crash profile for Bay County. The vertical line in the figure separates the before-and-after periods. Unlike the Panama City Beach Corridor which is in the same county, we do not see a systematic seasonal profile in the crashes as this corridor is not along the beach. Further, the temporal trend also shows a decrease in the number of crashes over time.




Figure 4.6 Temporal profile of total crashes along 23<sup>rd</sup> St corridor




Figure 4.7 Temporal profile of total crashes in Bay County

Table 4.9 presents the aggregate before-and-after comparison of the monthly crashes on this corridor and its county, Bay. The monthly crash frequencies decreased for all crash types (with the exception of intersection-related crashes, which increased) compared to the before-deployment period. At the same time, the monthly crash frequencies increased for the entire county as well. The short-term (7-month) comparison also reflect these trends (the

intersection-related crashes also decreased in the short term; see Table L3 in Appendix L). There was a decrease in travel time in both directions during all time periods. However, the PM Peak had minimal improvements.

Table 4.9 Comparison of Crashes on 23<sup>rd</sup> St. and Bay County before (Jan 1, 2013 – April 23, 2015) and after (April 24, 2015 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT

|                                   |          |              | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off-Peak | Weekend |
|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| 23rd St. (Mainline +Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 1,086       | 185              | 95                   | 428      | 91              | 189             | 608              | 198     |
|                                   |          | Crash/ Month | 39.11       | 6.66             | 3.42                 | 15.41    | 3.28            | 6.81            | 21.9             | 7.13    |
|                                   | After    | Crash Freq.  | 935         | 152              | 182                  | 427      | 94              | 172             | 514              | 155     |
|                                   |          | Crash/ Month | 29.94       | 4.87             | 5.83                 | 13.67    | 3.01            | 5.51            | 16.46            | 4.96    |
|                                   | Diff (%) |              | -23.46      | -27              | 70.32                | -11.3    | -8.17           | -19.1           | -24.84           | -30.41  |
| 23rd St. (Mainline Corridor)      | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 574         | 143              | 67                   | 347      | 39              | 106             | 332              | 97      |
|                                   |          | Crash/ Month | 20.67       | 5.15             | 2.41                 | 12.5     | 1.4             | 3.82            | 11.96            | 3.49    |
|                                   | After    | Crash Freq.  | 506         | 88               | 100                  | 297      | 44              | 105             | 264              | 93      |
|                                   |          | Crash/ Month | 16.2        | 2.82             | 3.2                  | 9.51     | 1.41            | 3.36            | 8.45             | 2.98    |
|                                   | Diff (%) |              | -21.63      | -45.29           | 32.69                | -23.91   | 0.3             | -11.94          | -29.31           | -14.77  |
| Bay County                        | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 15,784      | 2,955            | 2,326                | 4,861    | 1,856           | 2,989           | 7,267            | 3,672   |
|                                   |          | Crash/ Month | 568.45      | 106.42           | 83.77                | 175.07   | 66.84           | 107.65          | 261.72           | 132.24  |
|                                   | After    | Crash Freq.  | 20,889      | 3,661            | 3,260                | 6,456    | 2,530           | 3,924           | 9,611            | 4,834   |
|                                   |          | Crash/ Month | 668.8       | 117.21           | 104.38               | 206.7    | 81              | 125.64          | 307.72           | 154.77  |
|                                   | Diff (%) |              | 17.65       | 10.14            | 24.6                 | 18.07    | 21.18           | 16.71           | 17.58            | 17.03   |

Table 4.10 presents the annual crash rates (crashes per AADT) on this corridor for each of the crash types not related to time of day. As shown, the AADT values are systematically increasing over the analysis period. Similarly, the before and after traffic volume counts showed a 10.1% increase in the major street throughput although a 1.8% decrease in the minor street throughput. However, the traffic volume changes were measured only at the critical signalized intersections along the corridor during peak hours.

The rate of total crashes, fatal and injury crashes, and rear-end crashes during the after years (2015-2017) do appear to be systematically lower than crash rates during the before years (2013-2014). The rate of intersection-related crashes, however, increased.

Table 4.10 Comparison of Crash Rates on 23<sup>rd</sup> St.

| Year | AADT   | Rear End (RE) | RE /AADT | Fatalities & Injuries (FI) | FI /AADT | Intersection Related (IR) | IR /AADT | Total Crashes (TC) | TC /AADT |
|------|--------|---------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| 2013 | 21,870 | 164           | 0.0075   | 75                         | 0.0034   | 14                        | 0.0006   | 86                 | 0.0039   |
| 2014 | 29,879 | 145           | 0.0049   | 52                         | 0.0017   | 48                        | 0.0016   | 131                | 0.0044   |
| 2015 | 31,495 | 114           | 0.0036   | 44                         | 0.0014   | 22                        | 0.0007   | 88                 | 0.0028   |
| 2016 | 33,027 | 110           | 0.0033   | 31                         | 0.0009   | 39                        | 0.0012   | 86                 | 0.0026   |
| 2017 | 33,772 | 111           | 0.0033   | 29                         | 0.0009   | 44                        | 0.0013   | 104                | 0.0031   |

#### 4.4.4. University Parkway Corridor

Figure 4.8 presents the temporal (monthly) profile of crashes along the corridor (mainline only and mainline + side streets) and Figure 4.9 presents the crash profile for Sarasota County. The vertical line in the figure separates the before-and-after periods. A gradual increase in crashes over time is observed for the corridor. During the deployment of the ASCT there was construction of a Diverging Diamond Interchange (DDI) at the interstate I-75 and University Parkway, which caused additional traffic issues.






Figure 4.8 Temporal profile of total crashes along University Parkway corridor




Figure 4.9 Temporal profile of total crashes in Sarasota County

Table 4.11 presents the aggregate before-and-after comparison of the monthly crashes on this corridor and its county, Sarasota. The monthly crash frequencies increased for all crash types along the corridor compared to the before-deployment period. At the same time, the monthly crash frequencies increased for the entire county as well. The short-term (7-month) comparison indicate reductions in crash frequencies of various types; see Table L4 in Appendix L). There was an overall improvement in travel time and delay in this corridor after ASCT was installed.

Table 4.11 Comparison of Crashes on University Parkway before (Jan 1, 2013 – Feb 14, 2016) and after (Feb 15, 2016 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT

|                                             |          |             | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off-Peak | Weekend |
|---------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| University Parkway (Mainline +Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq. | 517         | 138              | 240                  | 326      | 57              | 119             | 222              | 119     |
|                                             |          | Crash/Month | 13.78       | 3.68             | 6.40                 | 8.69     | 1.52            | 3.17            | 5.92             | 3.17    |
|                                             | After    | Crash Freq. | 313         | 95               | 143                  | 185      | 49              | 60              | 135              | 69      |
|                                             |          | Crash/Month | 14.57       | 4.42             | 6.66                 | 8.61     | 2.28            | 2.79            | 6.28             | 3.21    |
|                                             | Diff (%) |             | 5.73        | 20.22            | 4.06                 | -0.90    | 50.13           | -11.95          | 6.20             | 1.26    |
|                                             |          |             |             |                  |                      |          |                 |                 |                  |         |
| University Parkway (Mainline Corridor)      | Before   | Crash Freq. | 410         | 134              | 175                  | 275      | 56              | 98              | 172              | 84      |
|                                             |          | Crash/Month | 10.93       | 3.57             | 4.66                 | 7.33     | 1.49            | 2.61            | 4.58             | 2.24    |
|                                             | After    | Crash Freq. | 322         | 82               | 119                  | 216      | 58              | 67              | 143              | 54      |
|                                             |          | Crash/Month | 14.99       | 3.82             | 5.54                 | 10.05    | 2.70            | 3.12            | 6.66             | 2.51    |
|                                             | Diff (%) |             | 37.16       | 6.87             | 18.75                | 37.17    | 80.88           | 19.40           | 45.19            | 12.27   |
|                                             |          |             |             |                  |                      |          |                 |                 |                  |         |
| Sarasota County                             | Before   | Crash Freq. | 30,774      | 7,404            | 8,829                | 11,221   | 3,750           | 6,173           | 14,621           | 6,230   |
|                                             |          | Crash/Month | 820.26      | 197.35           | 235.33               | 299.09   | 99.95           | 164.54          | 389.71           | 166.06  |
|                                             | After    | Crash Freq. | 20,024      | 4,567            | 5,010                | 7,404    | 2,674           | 4,037           | 9,397            | 3,916   |
|                                             |          | Crash/Month | 932.10      | 212.59           | 233.21               | 344.65   | 124.47          | 187.92          | 437.42           | 182.29  |
|                                             | Diff (%) |             | 13.63       | 7.72             | -0.90                | 15.23    | 24.53           | 14.21           | 12.24            | 9.77    |
|                                             |          |             |             |                  |                      |          |                 |                 |                  |         |

Table 4.12 presents the annual crash rates (crashes per AADT) on this corridor for each of the crash types not related to time of day. The AADT values are fluctuating over the analysis period (increases till 2016 and decreases). Meanwhile, the before and after traffic volume counts showed a 9.7% decrease in the major street throughput and a 3.3% increase in the minor street throughput. However, the traffic volume changes were measured only at the critical signalized intersections along the corridor during peak hours.

In general, there was a systematic increase in crash rates in 2017 compared to the previous years.

Table 4.12 Comparison of Crash Rates on University Parkway

| Year | AADT   | Rear End (RE) | RE /AADT | Fatalities & Injuries (FI) | FI /AADT | Intersection Related (IR) | IR /AADT | Total Crashes (TC) | TC /AADT |
|------|--------|---------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| 2013 | 40,864 | 65            | 0.0016   | 29                         | 0.0007   | 44                        | 0.0011   | 108                | 0.0026   |
| 2014 | 41,331 | 99            | 0.0024   | 51                         | 0.0012   | 67                        | 0.0016   | 153                | 0.0037   |
| 2015 | 45,011 | 95            | 0.0021   | 46                         | 0.0010   | 53                        | 0.0012   | 127                | 0.0028   |
| 2016 | 46,552 | 97            | 0.0021   | 41                         | 0.0009   | 54                        | 0.0012   | 149                | 0.0032   |
| 2017 | 40,373 | 135           | 0.0033   | 49                         | 0.0012   | 76                        | 0.0019   | 195                | 0.0048   |

#### 4.4.5. Bartow Corridor

Figure 4.10 presents the temporal (monthly) profile of crashes along the corridor (mainline only and mainline + side streets) and Figure 4.11 presents the crash profile for Polk County. The vertical line in the figure separates the before-and-after periods.




Figure 4.10 Temporal profile of total crashes along Bartow corridor




Figure 4.11 Temporal profile of total crashes in Polk County

Table 4.13 presents the aggregate before-and-after comparison of the monthly crashes on this corridor and its county, Polk. The monthly crash frequencies increased for all crash types with the exception of weekday PM peak and intersection-related crashes along the mainline of the corridor. However, when considering the side-streets along with the mainline, there is a reduction in the total crashes, intersection crashes, weekday off-peak and PM peak crashes, and weekend crashes. Unlike the other study corridors which are generally straight lines, the Bartow corridor is “L” shaped. Therefore, it might be more appropriate to consider both mainline and side streets in analyzing this corridor. The monthly crash frequencies increased for the entire county as well. The short-term (7-month) comparison, however, indicates reductions in crash frequencies of various types for both the mainline and mainline with side streets (see

Table L5 in Appendix L).

The installation of the ASCT system generally shows improvement in travel time. Travel time reduced by 12.9% for the EB and increased by 14.2% for the WB.

Table 4.13 Comparison of Crashes on Bartow Corridor and Polk County before (Jan 1, 2013 – March 7, 2016) and after (March 8, 2016 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT

|                                  |          |              | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off-Peak | Weekend |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| Bartow (Mainline + Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 354         | 85               | 87                   | 142      | 39              | 70              | 158              | 87      |
|                                  |          | Crash/ Month | 9.26        | 2.22             | 2.28                 | 3.71     | 1.02            | 1.83            | 4.13             | 2.28    |
|                                  | After    | Crash Freq.  | 178         | 51               | 26                   | 95       | 26              | 33              | 74               | 45      |
|                                  |          | Crash/ Month | 8.57        | 2.45             | 1.25                 | 4.57     | 1.25            | 1.59            | 3.56             | 2.17    |
|                                  | Diff (%) |              | -7.48       | 10.4             | -45.01               | 23.1     | 22.67           | -13.25          | -13.82           | -4.82   |
| Bartow (Mainline Corridor)       | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 162         | 47               | 37                   | 85       | 15              | 33              | 80               | 34      |
|                                  |          | Crash/ Month | 4.24        | 1.23             | 0.97                 | 2.22     | 0.39            | 0.86            | 2.09             | 0.89    |
|                                  | After    | Crash Freq.  | 116         | 33               | 11                   | 63       | 22              | 16              | 46               | 32      |
|                                  |          | Crash/ Month | 5.58        | 1.59             | 0.53                 | 3.03     | 1.06            | 0.77            | 2.21             | 1.54    |
|                                  | Diff (%) |              | 31.76       | 29.2             | -45.3                | 36.38    | 169.88          | -10.78          | 5.8              | 73.18   |
| Polk County                      | Before   | Crash Freq.  | 42,238      | 12,961           | 10,676               | 14,485   | 5,314           | 8,332           | 19,465           | 9,127   |
|                                  |          | Crash/ Month | 1104.96     | 339.06           | 279.29               | 378.93   | 139.02          | 217.97          | 509.21           | 238.77  |
|                                  | After    | Crash Freq.  | 24,824      | 7,166            | 5,943                | 8,668    | 3,305           | 4,861           | 11,371           | 5,287   |
|                                  |          | Crash/ Month | 1194.94     | 344.95           | 286.08               | 417.25   | 159.09          | 233.99          | 547.36           | 254.5   |
|                                  | Diff (%) |              | 8.14        | 1.74             | 2.43                 | 10.11    | 14.44           | 7.35            | 7.49             | 6.59    |

Table 4.14 presents the annual crash rates (crashes per AADT) on this corridor for each of the crash types not related to time of day. The AADT values are fluctuating over the analysis period. Meanwhile, the before and after traffic volume counts showed a 28.8% increase in the major street throughput and a 3.5% decrease in the minor street throughput. It is useful to note that, the traffic volume changes were measured only at the critical signalized intersections along the corridor during peak hours. Correspondingly there is no clear pattern in crash rates in 2016-2017 compared to the previous years.

Table 4.14 Comparison of Crash Rates on Bartow

| Year | AADT   | Rear<br>End<br>(RE) | RE<br>/AADT | Fatalities<br>&<br>Injuries<br>(FI) | FI<br>/AADT | Intersection<br>Related<br>(IR) | IR<br>/AADT | Total<br>Crashes<br>(TC) | TC<br>/AADT |
|------|--------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| 2013 | 34,595 | 17                  | 0.0010      | 12                                  | 0.0005      | 6                               | 0.0005      | 42                       | 0.0032      |
| 2014 | 32,706 | 32                  | 0.0014      | 14                                  | 0.0008      | 12                              | 0.0007      | 57                       | 0.0031      |
| 2015 | 36,405 | 29                  | 0.0014      | 17                                  | 0.0010      | 12                              | 0.0009      | 49                       | 0.0032      |
| 2016 | 37,773 | 33                  | 0.0014      | 18                                  | 0.0007      | 12                              | 0.0008      | 60                       | 0.0026      |
| 2017 | 36,015 | 37                  | 0.0014      | 19                                  | 0.0007      | 6                               | 0.0008      | 70                       | 0.0026      |

#### 4.4.6. SR-693 Corridor

Figure 4.12 presents the temporal (monthly) profile of crashes along the corridor (mainline only and mainline plus side streets) and Figure 4.13 presents the crash profile for Pinellas County. The vertical line in the figure separates the before-and-after periods.




Figure 4.12 Temporal profile of total crashes along SR-693 corridor




Figure 4.13 Temporal profile of total crashes in Pinellas County

Table 4.15 presents the aggregate before-and-after comparison of the monthly crashes on this corridor and its county, Pinellas. The monthly crash frequencies decreased for fatal and injury crashes, intersection related crashes, weekday PM peak crashes, and weekday off-peak crashes along the mainline of this corridor. When considering the mainline and side streets, there was a reduction in only the fatal and injury crashes. The monthly crash frequencies also increased for the entire county (with the exception of fatal and injury crashes and weekend crashes). The short-term<sup>6</sup> (7-month) comparisons are largely consistent with this trend (see Table L6 in Appendix L).

The system shows an overall improvement in travel time and delay on this corridor. For all

<sup>6</sup> The long-term analysis uses the same 7 months of “after” data

travel periods, travel time decreased by 20.3% for the SB and 10.5% for the NB directions.

Table 4.15 Comparison of Crashes on SR-693 and Pinellas County before (Jan 1, 2013 – April 23, 2017) and after (April 24, 2017 – Nov 30, 2017) the Implementation of ASCT

|                                    |          |             | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off-Peak | Weekend |
|------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| SR-693<br>(Mainline +Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq. | 1799        | 597              | 814                  | 861      | 252             | 355             | 892              | 300     |
|                                    |          | Crash/Month | 34.75       | 11.53            | 15.72                | 16.63    | 4.87            | 6.86            | 17.23            | 5.8     |
|                                    | After    | Crash Freq. | 272         | 73               | 129                  | 142      | 43              | 58              | 126              | 45      |
|                                    |          | Crash/Month | 37.6        | 10.09            | 17.83                | 19.63    | 5.94            | 8.02            | 17.42            | 6.22    |
|                                    | Diff (%) |             | 8.21        | -12.49           | 13.42                | 18.03    | 22.12           | 16.93           | 1.09             | 7.35    |
| SR-693<br>(Mainline Corridor)      | Before   | Crash Freq. | 884         | 333              | 414                  | 445      | 124             | 181             | 437              | 142     |
|                                    |          | Crash/Month | 17.08       | 6.43             | 8                    | 8.6      | 2.4             | 3.5             | 8.44             | 2.74    |
|                                    | After    | Crash Freq. | 127         | 36               | 54                   | 68       | 24              | 23              | 56               | 24      |
|                                    |          | Crash/Month | 17.56       | 4.98             | 7.47                 | 9.4      | 3.32            | 3.18            | 7.74             | 3.32    |
|                                    | Diff (%) |             | 2.82        | -22.63           | -6.65                | 9.36     | 38.52           | -9.06           | -8.29            | 20.96   |
| Pinellas County                    | Before   | Crash Freq. | 111,740     | 29,568           | 27,547               | 36,437   | 14,564          | 22,691          | 50,036           | 24,449  |
|                                    |          | Crash/Month | 2158.53     | 571.18           | 532.14               | 703.87   | 281.34          | 438.33          | 966.57           | 472.29  |
|                                    | After    | Crash Freq. | 16,294      | 4,072            | 5,527                | 5,951    | 2,182           | 3,449           | 7,317            | 3,346   |
|                                    |          | Crash/Month | 2252.63     | 562.95           | 764.1                | 822.72   | 301.66          | 476.82          | 1011.57          | 462.58  |
|                                    | Diff (%) |             | 4.36        | -1.44            | 43.59                | 16.89    | 7.22            | 8.78            | 4.66             | -2.06   |

Table 4.16 presents the annual crash rates (crashes per AADT) on this corridor for each of the crash types not related to time of day. The AADT values are fluctuating over the analysis period. Meanwhile the before and after traffic volume counts showed a 7.5% increase in the major street throughput and a 16.4% decrease in the minor street throughput. However, the traffic volume changes were measured only at the critical signalized intersections along the corridor during peak hours.



Table 4.16 Comparison of Cash Rates on SR-693 with AADT

| Year | AADT   | Rear End (RE) | RE /AADT | Fatalities & Injuries (FI) | FI /AADT | Intersection Related (IR) | IR /AADT | Total Crashes (TC) | TC /AADT |
|------|--------|---------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| 2013 | 35,740 | 78            | 0.0022   | 77                         | 0.0022   | 65                        | 0.0018   | 160                | 0.0045   |
| 2014 | 36,172 | 115           | 0.0032   | 106                        | 0.0029   | 131                       | 0.0036   | 259                | 0.0072   |
| 2015 | 37,554 | 118           | 0.0031   | 63                         | 0.0017   | 103                       | 0.0027   | 211                | 0.0056   |
| 2016 | 35,830 | 89            | 0.0025   | 62                         | 0.0017   | 76                        | 0.0021   | 173                | 0.0048   |
| 2017 | 36,830 | 113           | 0.0031   | 61                         | 0.0017   | 93                        | 0.0025   | 208                | 0.0056   |

#### 4.5. Summary and Conclusions from Safety Analysis

The objective of this task was to evaluate the impacts of ASCT deployments on the overall safety (crash patterns) of six corridors. Crash data from January 2013 to November 2017 were used for the analysis. Crash trends along the mainline and those along the mainline and side streets were analyzed. These were also compared to crash trends at the county level. Changes in total crashes were first examined, followed by changes in crashes by severity (fatal and injury crashes), crash type (such as rear end, intersection related), and time of day (peak, off-peak, weekend). Estimates of traffic volume for the entire corridor (mainline) were obtained, and these were used to examine patterns in annual crash rates. The duration of the before and after periods for the sites were different as the deployments were completed at different times. In order to examine the effects over the same time frame, we also compared the crash frequencies for a seven-month period before the deployment and a seven-month period after deployment for all sites (representing a short-term impact of the ASCT deployment). The results should be considered recognizing that (1) the analyses were conducted shortly after the ASCT installation limiting the amount of after data, (2) estimates of traffic volume are available on a yearly basis (even though implementations may have been completed in the middle of a year) impacting crash-rate analyses, and (3) there may have been other factors impacting the safety along the corridor.

Table 4.17 presents an overall summary of results. Although we examined multiple metrics, we focused on total crashes and intersection-related crashes to present the major results.

Table 4.17 Summary of Safety Results

| Site                      | Long Term                  |                          |                 |                          | Short Term                 |                          |                 |                          |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
|                           | Main Line and Side Streets |                          | Main Line       |                          | Main Line and Side Streets |                          | Main Line       |                          |
|                           | All Crashes (%)            | Intersection Crashes (%) | All Crashes (%) | Intersection Crashes (%) | All Crashes (%)            | Intersection Crashes (%) | All Crashes (%) | Intersection Crashes (%) |
| US-17/92                  | -18.44                     | -20.61                   | -14.64          | -59.74                   | -19.81                     | 0.00                     | -36.00          | -20.00                   |
| Bartow                    | -7.48                      | -45.01                   | 31.76           | -45.30                   | -28.00                     | -48.15                   | -13.89          | -63.64                   |
| 23rd Street               | -23.46                     | 70.32                    | -21.63          | 32.69                    | -11.11                     | -42.86                   | -29.94          | -53.57                   |
| University Parkway        | 5.73                       | 4.06                     | 37.16           | 18.75                    | -24.32                     | -25.49                   | -4.71           | -11.11                   |
| Panama City Beach Parkway | 112.77                     | 66.97                    | 116.44          | -17.08                   | 40.96                      | 23.81                    | 43.72           | 0.00                     |
| SR-693                    | 8.21                       | 13.42                    | 2.82            | -6.65                    | 13.19                      | 15.74                    | 12.61           | 15.22                    |

Among all corridors, US-17/92 in Deland showed consistent benefits in terms of crash reductions (both overall and intersection related crashes) and in both the short term and long term. The results hold for both mainline and mainline and side streets. In fact, there were reductions in nearly all crash types while the county as a whole saw increases in crashes over the same period of time. Bartow also showed decreases in crashes with the exception of all crashes along the mainline. However, as discussed earlier, it would be more appropriate to examine mainline and side street crashes in the context of Bartow as the corridor is “L” shaped.

Both 23<sup>rd</sup> Street and University Parkway showed short term benefits in terms of crash reductions. However, when examined over a longer term, University Parkway showed increases in crashes while 23<sup>rd</sup> Street showed increases in intersection –related crashes and reductions in all crashes. Regarding the University Parkway corridor, the construction of the interchange at I-75 could also have an effect on the overall crash patterns.

The corridor along Panama City Parkway showed systematic increases in crashes. It is possible that the effect of the increasing tourist demand over the years leading to higher seasonal traffic is potentially offsetting any safety benefits of signal coordination. It is also useful to note that this is the longest corridor with the least number of signalized intersections / mile, and therefore, the impact of signal improvements on the overall corridor may be limited. SR-693 also showed increases in crashes. This location had the shortest “after” period for analysis and as such the results could largely be reflecting short-term impacts.

In the overall, based on an examination of the temporal profiles of crash patterns in several corridors in which the advanced signal control technologies were deployed, this study finds that there are safety benefits to such deployments. Specifically, the reductions in crashes (crashes / month) along the deployment corridor could be up to 18% in locations which have reasonable intersection

density (1.5/mile or more) and when there are no other major extraneous factors (such as construction or county-wide increases in crashes) which could have critically impacted safety. The corridor can experience a crash reduction post the signal coordination even though the crash rates may be increasing during the same period in the county in which the corridor is located. The study also demonstrated that the safety benefits can accrue along the main line and the side streets. Therefore it is important to consider the appropriate measure (crashes long main line only versus crashes along main line + side streets) depending on the corridor geometry and relative magnitudes of traffic along the main line and cross streets. This study also calculated crash reductions by crash type, crash severity, time of day, and day of the week.

As already acknowledged in the report, the analysis of crashes were undertaken fairly quickly after the final deployments and, therefore, the volume of “after” data are limited and varied across the corridors. By re-examining these corridors in the future by considering additional “after” years of data, it would be possible to develop Florida-specific crash modification factors (CMFs) to capture the benefits of advanced signal control technology deployments . Such CMFs would represent a more robust quantitative measure of safety benefits.

In the next chapter, the crash data from the safety analysis are used along with traffic operational measures to conduct benefit-cost analysis.

## **5. FINDINGS FROM STAFF INTERVIEWS**

Appendices A through H provide the detailed information collected during interviews with TMC staff in a question-and-answer format. In this chapter, we describe the interview process followed by summaries of the interview data in four categories: Signal System; Performance and Satisfaction; Staff, training and cost; Institutional Issues. The last section summarizes the lessons learned from the interviews conducted.

### **5.1. Interview Process**

The interviews were conducted at each site either through an in-person interview or through video calls when in-person interviews could not be arranged. The questionnaire was provided to the agency in advance of the interview. It consisted of five sections and approximately 40 questions which focused on previous traffic control technologies used by the agency, their experience with adaptive traffic control systems (ASCT), cost components, and institutional issues. In some cases questions were partially answered or not answered, and in those cases follow-up attempts were made whenever possible. A single interview was conducted at Bay County to discuss two corridors: Panama City Beach Parkway and Panama City 23<sup>rd</sup> Street, and the responses regarding these two corridors are identical. Therefore, the data are summarized below by agency, rather than by corridor.

### **5.2. Signal System**

Table 5.1 shows the type of signal control applied at each corridor (before and after), and information regarding their maintenance and life expectancy. All sites studied had actuated coordinated control prior to ASCT installation. Of all the sites, only DeLand did not use NEMA TS-2. They used NEMA TS-1 instead, which was used in conjunction with NEMA TS-2 in other sites. Life expectancies and retiming frequencies had a large range of results for these controllers.

SynchroGreen was selected for installation at two of the eight corridors and InSync was used at the remaining six. InSync has an upgrade version called InSync Fusion which in addition to InSync cameras allows for the ASCT to connect with existing detectors, such as ground loop detectors. Most sites did not need to change controllers, with six of the eight corridors keeping the same controllers. The remaining two corridors had to replace the controllers with new ones. Maintenance was largely provided across the board on an as-needed or yearly basis. Often, it was found that the ASCT software needs updating on a regular basis.

It was found that most counties did not have a regular retiming schedule and the time of last retiming varied greatly from 1 year to 8 years before ASCT deployment. This could be a key factor in evaluating the effectiveness of the ASCT, especially in the areas where there has been significant land development and increases in traffic over the past several years.

Table 5.1 Signal System Details

| Site/Question                              | Gainesville                  | DeLand                         | Manatee                      | Sarasota                     | Bartow                       | Bay                          | Pinellas                     |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Traffic Control Type                       | Actuated coordinated control | Actuated coordinated control   | Actuated coordinated control | Actuated coordinated control | Actuated coordinated control | Actuated coordinated control | Actuated coordinated control |
| Traffic Controller (before ASCT)           | NEMA TS-1<br>NEMA TS-2       | NEMA TS-1                      | NEMA TS-2                    | NEMA TS-2                    | NEMA TS-2                    | NEMA TS-1<br>NEMA TS-2       | NEMA TS-2                    |
| Life expectancy of detectors (before ASCT) | Do not know                  | 14 years                       | 4 years                      | Do not know                  | Do not know                  | 5 years                      | 10 years                     |
| Last retime before data collection         | 3 years                      | 5 years                        | 4 years                      | 1 year                       | 8 years                      | 3 years                      | 3 years                      |
| Initial criteria for new detector          | Extended cycle length        | Adaptability to change         | N/A                          | Mobility needs met           | Complexity needs met         | N/A                          | Simpler system               |
| ASCT deployed                              | Synchro Green                | InSync: Fusion                 | Synchro Green, ATMS 2.8      | InSync, InTraffic            | InSync, InTraffic            | InSync: Fusion and Regular   | InSync                       |
| Others considered?                         | InSync                       | ACS-Lite, SCOOT, Synchro Green | No                           | No                           | No                           | No                           | OPAC, RHODES                 |
| Traffic Controller (after ASCT)            | Trafficware Nema 980/ATC     | NEMA TS-2                      | NEMA TS-2                    | NEMA TS-2 with updates       | NEMA TS-2 with updates       | NEMA TS-1<br>NEMA TS-2       | NEMA TS-2                    |
| Life expectancy of detectors (after ASCT)  | N/A                          | 6 years                        | 4 years                      | 4 years                      | 1.5 years                    | 5 years                      | 10 years                     |
| Maintenance timing                         | As needed                    | As needed, twice a year        | Once a year                  | Once a year                  | Once a year                  | Quarterly                    | As needed                    |
| Software update?                           | Yes                          | No                             | Yes                          | No                           | No                           | Yes                          | Yes                          |

### **5.3. Performance and Satisfaction**

This section summarizes the system performance and satisfaction of the local staff with the ASCT (Table 5.2). The most common challenges faced by TMC staff in each of the sites were with the camera detection and communication. Public perception was an issue only in Bartow and in Gainesville (understandably, since the system resulted in deterioration of operations at both locations). In the remaining six corridors, staff indicated that the complaints from the public went back to normal levels or lower within one month after ASCT deployment.

At failure, the ASCT primarily reverts to an off-line mode with a given time of day (TOD) plan. ASCT was found to be most effective during the off-peak hours, and in some sites also during peak hours as indicated by local staff.

The management of saturation, the relatively low amount of complaints for a site, and especially sustained performance, contributed to staff satisfaction with ASCT. For example, the staff in Gainesville reported that ASCT did not perform well during oversaturated conditions and operations were often worse than TOD plans. This, in addition to 12 months of increasing complaints from the public, led to removal of ASCT on Newberry Road. Gainesville staff gave a “neutral” satisfaction rating to the ASCT product. The satisfaction of the staff also affected the likelihood of expansion to other corridors. One site with staff that indicated neutral satisfaction, Bartow, seemed hesitant to expand ASCT to other corridors. The staff in Gainesville indicated that they would expand the implementation under different circumstances. The staff who indicated they were very satisfied with the existing installation wanted to expand ASCT to other corridors, with one site, Bay, already expanding as of the date of the interview.

Table 5.2 Performance and Satisfaction

| Site/Question                                      | Gainesville                       | DeLand                               | Manatee                              | Sarasota                             | Bartow                      | Bay                          | Pinellas                  |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Top Challenge/s                                    | Communication                     | Camera Detection                     | Detection                            | Detection, Communication             | Public Perception           | Camera Detection             | Camera Detection          |
| ASCT response at failure                           | Nothing                           | Off-line mode with time of day plans | Off-line mode with time of day plans | Off-line mode with time of day plans | Other                       | Other                        | Historic data time splits |
| Performance evaluation                             | In house                          | In house                             | In house and FDOT                    | In house                             | In house and independent    | In house                     | In house                  |
| When is it effective?                              | Off-Peak                          | Peak and Off-Peak                    | Peak, off, shoulders of peak         | Off-Peak                             | Off-Peak                    | Peak and Off-Peak            | Off-Peak                  |
| Manages oversaturation? 1- worst, 5-best           | 1                                 | No oversaturation occurs             | 3                                    | 4                                    | 2                           | 1                            | 4                         |
| Months before complaints returned to normal levels | 12                                | 0.5                                  | 1                                    | 1                                    | 6                           | 0                            | 1                         |
| Sustained performance?                             | No, demand too high               | Yes                                  | Yes                                  | Yes                                  | Yes, improving              | Yes                          | Yes                       |
| Level of Satisfaction 1- worst, 5- best            | 3                                 | 5                                    | 4                                    | 4                                    | 3                           | 5                            | 5                         |
| Expanding to other places?                         | Yes, to a less congested corridor | Yes                                  | Yes, under right conditions          | Yes, but not InSync                  | Maybe, dependent on results | Yes, one additional corridor | Yes                       |

## 5.4. Staff, Training, and Cost

Table 5.3 highlights staff-related issues and the cost per intersection at each site. Staff training was conducted through the respective vendors. Except for Pinellas, no additional staff were used to monitor the ASCT performance. The number of training days per site varied, and for the most part correlated with the staff satisfaction (shown in Table 5.2): the more training days, the more satisfied with the ASCT the staff were.

Each county had a different type of contract with the vendors. Some paid a lump sum amount, whereas others paid separately by line item (procurement, installation, and maintenance). Since the ASCT packages, length of the corridor and type of contract vary, for ease of comparison, all costs are shown per intersection for each corridor (Table 5.3). As discussed earlier, the details of cost breakdown and type of contract are provided in the appendices. Except for Bartow, the cost per intersection varies from \$20k to \$45k. These costs are used in conducting benefit/cost analysis (summarized in Chapter 5 of this report).

Corridors that had lower performance and lower staff satisfaction generally had the following implementation characteristics (Table 5.2 and 5.3):

- The majority of the implementation was conducted in-house with little help from vendors
- The staff responsible for the corridor had fewer training days, and no specialized staff for ASCT
- There was no maintenance contract with the vendor.

Table 5.3 Staff, Training, and Cost

| Site/Question                           | Gainesville | DeLand   | Manatee  | Sarasota | Bartow   | Bay      | Pinellas                            |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Staff training                          | External    | External | External | External | External | External | Initially external, mostly internal |
| Training days                           | 1           | 10       | 5        | 2        | 5        | 5        | 5                                   |
| Staff change                            | No          | No       | No       | No       | No       | No       | Yes, 1                              |
| Overall capital cost (per intersection) | \$21,666    | \$30,000 | \$45,350 | \$36,500 | \$96,400 | \$42,500 | \$32,871                            |



## **5.5. Institutional Issues**

This section provides a brief overview of institutional issues encountered with the installation and implementation of the ASCT devices (details on these by site are provided in the Appendices A to H). These are categorized into: organization and management; regulatory and legal; human and facility resources; and financial.

### **5.5.1. Organization and Management**

Most of the institutional issues identified during the interviews relate to the organization and management of ASCT. Gainesville staff indicated they had limited time to devote to correcting various issues with the system. In DeLand, the staff reported issues regarding the funding for maintenance of ASCT cameras. Sarasota staff indicated they had issues with the two different contractors. Bartow staff indicated they faced skepticism about the installation of the new ASCT system. Bay County staff indicated there was a significant amount of time that had to be devoted on learning the operations of ASCT.

### **5.5.2. Regulatory and Legal**

Only Manatee faced institutional issues in terms of regulatory and legal bounds. The staff indicated there were legal issues with assigning the grant to an existing contract instead of bidding it out.

### **5.5.3. Human and Facility Resources**

Several institutional issues identified were related to human and facility resources. Manatee staff indicated they faced difficulties in determining several aspects, such as changing traffic controllers, and complications with the involvement of multiple parties and contracts. Sarasota staff indicated they had issues with staffing, as employees were pulled away from their regular schedules to help complete the installation quickly. The public in both Sarasota and Bay initially found it difficult to adjust to the new system, which operated differently than they were used to.

### **5.5.4. Financial**

There were also financial institutional issues. DeLand staff indicated they experienced issues in finding funding for the maintenance of the ASCTs installed. Sarasota staff indicated they experienced financial issues due to additional Ethernet ports that had to be installed at some of the intersections, extra maintenance costs, and expensive cameras.

## 5.6. Summary of Lessons Learned from the Interviews

The following are concluded from the interviews conducted with staff at the agencies responsible for installing, operating and maintaining ASCT:

- Regular maintenance and checks of the detection system and cameras are essential for effective ASCT operation
- ASCT software needs regular updating, and it is important to include maintenance funding as part of the overall project
- Extensive training of 5 days or more is required for the successful operation of ASCT, which leads to more efficiency, functionality, and staff satisfaction
- The sites where the vendors installed the system and did the initial fine-tuning performed better
- Most counties were able to use their existing signal controllers (NEMA-TS2) for ASCT implementation
- The frequency of signal retiming prior to ASCT installation varies widely among corridors (1 to 8 years), and this could be an important factor in evaluating the effectiveness of the ASCT relative to “before” operations
- Issues such as accounting for additional staff hours for learning ASCT operations, arranging for funds for additional costs such as Ethernet ports, camera maintenance etc. need to be considered as part of the overall project
- ASCT proved to be effective during off-peak hours at all sites and during peak hours in some sites
- The level of staff satisfaction was correlated with objective measurements such as travel time and queue improvement
- Staff at all sites (even when the system did not perform well at their corridor) were open to the idea of expanding the use of ASCT to other locations if the corridor characteristics were favorable for ASCT. One site (Pinellas) has already expanded to another corridor due to their satisfaction with an existing installation.

The next chapter utilizes the costs from the interviews and compares it with monetized benefits from Chapters 3 and 4 in conducting a benefit-cost analysis.

## 6. BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS

The benefit-cost analysis is a systematic process for identifying, quantifying, and comparing expected benefits and costs of the implementation of ASCT. The benefits include the economic value of positive outcomes as the result of the implementation of ASCT and may be experienced by users of the transportation systems or the public at large. The costs include the spending on installation, equipment, and personnel costs.

### 6.1. Background on Benefits and Costs

The benefit components considered in this study include travel time savings, vehicle cost savings (gas consumption savings), air pollution reduction, and safety benefits. The cost part contains the equipment, installation, training, and maintenance cost. While some sites paid the vendors separately for each line item, others paid a lump-sum amount per intersection. Hence for the purposes of benefit-cost analysis, costs are listed as “total costs per site” as well as “cost per intersection.”

The travel time savings consider the reduction of users’ time spent traveling through the corridor into consideration. Since the personal trips and business trips have different monetary value, our analysis assumes that all truck trips are for business purposes. As for passenger cars, it is assumed that 20% are personal trips and 80 % are business trips during the AM and PM peak hours, while 80% are personal trips and 20% are business trips during the off-peak. We also assume that the average vehicle occupancy for trucks and passenger cars are 1 person/veh and 1.39 person/veh, respectively [58]. The main vehicle cost savings included in this study was the reduced fuel consumption, which resulted from the improved average travel speed. The economic damages caused by exposure to air pollution represented externalities which are not considered here, because their impacts are borne by society, rather than by the travelers and operators whose activities generate those emissions. The air pollution reduction was associated with the reduced combustion of transportation fuel.

Implementation of ASCT may also affect the likelihood of signalization-related accidents. In this benefit-cost analysis, we considered the intersection-related crashes. The crash data along the corridors were obtained from the Signal Four Analytics System. The crashes were classified into five categories labeled KABCO: killed (K), incapacitating (A), non-incapacitating (B), possible injury (C), and property damage only (O). Also, the analysis was conducted both with and without safety-related effects. This approach was followed due to the differences in crash reporting across the state. While all counties report the severe (long form) crashes, the short form crashes are not always available in the database for every county. In practice, this difference can result in including more crashes for some sites only because there are more crashes reported. At one of the sites (Panama City), the reporting changed from the before to the after period of our analysis, making the safety comparison uneven.

## 6.2. Calculation of Benefits

Four benefit components are included in the calculations: travel time savings, vehicle cost savings, air pollution savings, and safety savings. Each component is monetized as indicated below.

### 6.2.1. Time Savings

Ideally, the “consumer’s surplus” should be used to measure the benefit of travel time improvement. However, this method is not applicable to this project since it assumes by default that demand increases with the decreased travel time. This demand- travel time relationship is not consistent with the data we collected from this project. For example, for the University Parkway corridor (Sarasota and Manatee Counties, District 1), the travel time and demand decrease at the same time after ASCT was implemented. Therefore, the average traffic flow of before and after ASCT implementation is used, and the travel time saving is calculated as:

$$T_{personal_i} = T_i \times (q_{pc_i} + q'_{pc_i})/2 \times o_{pc} \times (1 - B_i) \quad (3)$$

$$T_{business_i} = T_i \times (q_{truck_i} + q'_{truck_i})/2 \times o_{truck} + T_i \times (q_{pc_i} + q'_{pc_i})/2 \times o_{pc} \times B_i \quad (4)$$

$$T'_{personal_i} = T'_i \times (q_{pc_i} + q'_{pc_i})/2 \times o_{pc} \times (1 - B_i) \quad (5)$$

$$T'_{business_i} = T'_i \times (q_{truck_i} + q'_{truck_i})/2 \times o_{truck} + T'_i \times (q_{pc_i} + q'_{pc_i})/2 \times o_{pc} \times B_i \quad (6)$$

Where:

$T_{personal_i}$  and  $T'_{personal_i}$  (person·h/h) are the hourly time consumption for personal trips on the corridor during period  $i$  before and after implementation, respectively;

$T_{business_i}$  and  $T'_{business_i}$  (person·h/h) are the hourly time consumption for business trips on the corridor during period  $i$  before and after implementation, respectively;

$i=1,2,3$  represent AM peak hours, off-peak hours and PM peak hours, respectively;

$T_i$  and  $T'_i$  (h) are the average travel time during period  $i$  before and after implementation

$q_{truck_i}$  and  $q'_{truck_i}$  (veh/ h) are the truck flow rates during period  $i$  before and after implementation;

$q_{pc}$  and  $q'_{pc_i}$  (veh/ h) are the passenger car flow rates during period  $i$  before and after implementation;

$B_i$  is the percentage of passenger cars traveling for business purposes during period  $i$ ;

$o_{pc}$  and  $o_{truck}$  (person/ veh) are the average occupancy for passenger cars and trucks, respectively (in this study we use 1.39 and 1 for  $o_{pc}$  and  $o_{truck}$ );

$m_{personal}$  and  $m_{business}$  (\$/ h) are the unit monetized travel time cost;

$h_i$  (h/ day) is the number of hours considered for period  $i$  of a weekday, where  $i = 1,2,3$  represent AM peak hours, off-peak hours and PM peak hours, respectively;

$w$  is the number of weekdays considered for a year.

Based on these,  $T_m$  is the annually monetized time saving for the corridor and it is calculated as:

$$T_m = \sum_{i=1}^3 (T_{personal_i} \times m_{personal} + T_{business_i} \times m_{business}) \times h_i \times w - \sum_{i=1}^3 (T'_{personal_i} \times m_{personal} + T'_{business_i} \times m_{business}) \times h_i \times w \quad (7)$$

The calculation assumes the following:

- All truck trips are for business;
- The hourly monetized time saving of a personal trip ( $m_{personal}$ ) is \$14.20/h [58];
- The hourly monetized time saving of a business trip ( $m_{business}$ ) is \$26.50/h [58];
- There are 260 weekdays in a year ( $w$ );
- There are 2 AM peak, 2 PM peak and 7 off-peak hours in a day ( $h_i$  )
- 80% of car trips during AM and PM and 20% of car trips in off-peak are business trips (B).

### 6.2.2. Fuel Consumption Savings

There are several state-of-the-art emission estimation models which require use of second-by-second vehicle speed and acceleration, as well as grade, elevation, friction resistance and vehicle weight, drag coefficient, engine performance, and several other variables. Thus, these cannot be used in this project. Instead, a fuel consumption estimation model that is solely based on the average travel speed is used [59]. Table 6.1 shows the relationship between the average operating speed and the corresponding fuel consumption rate (gallons /mile). The fuel consumption rate for speed values in between those provided can be interpolated. The gas price is obtained through [60].

Table 6.1 Relationship between Fuel Consumption Rate and Operating Speed

| Operating Speed (mph) | Auto (gallons/mile) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 10                    | 0.123               |
| 15                    | 0.089               |
| 20                    | 0.068               |
| 25                    | 0.054               |
| 30                    | 0.044               |
| 35                    | 0.037               |
| 40                    | 0.034               |
| 45                    | 0.033               |
| 50                    | 0.033               |
| 55                    | 0.034               |
| 60                    | 0.037               |

All trucks are assumed to burn diesel while all passenger cars consume gasoline only. The hourly diesel and gasoline consumption are calculated as a function of the fuel consumption rate, corridor length and traffic flow rate as follows:

$$Q_{gasoline_i} = F_i \times q_{pc_i} \times L \quad (8)$$

$$Q_{diesel_i} = F_i \times q_{truck_i} \times L \quad (9)$$

$$Q'_{gasoline_i} = F'_i \times q'_{pc_i} \times L \quad (10)$$

$$Q'_{diesel_i} = F'_i \times q'_{truck_i} \times L \quad (11)$$

Where:

$Q_{gasoline_i}$  and  $Q'_{gasoline_i}$  (gallon-car/h) are the hourly gasoline consumption for the corridor during period  $i$  before and after implementation;

$Q_{diesel_i}$  and  $Q'_{diesel_i}$  (gallon-car/h) are the hourly diesel consumption for the corridor during period  $i$  before and after implementation;

$F_i$  and  $F'_i$  (gallons/ mile) are the fuel consumption rate during period  $i$  before and after implementation;

$L$  is the length of the corridor (miles).

The annually monetized fuel saving is the difference between “before” and “after” fuel cost of vehicles on the corridors. They are calculated as follows:

$$Q_F = \sum_{i=1}^3 (Q_{gasoline_i} \times h_i \times w \times f_{gasoline} + Q_{diesel_i} \times h_i \times w \times f_{diesel}) - \sum_{i=1}^3 (Q'_{gasoline_i} \times h_i \times w \times f_{gasoline} + Q'_{diesel_i} \times h_i \times w \times f_{diesel}) \quad (12)$$

Where:

$Q_F$  (\$/ year) is the annually monetized fuel saving,  $f_{gasoline}$  and  $f_{diesel}$  are the unit price (\$/ gallon) of gasoline and diesel, respectively.

It is assumed that all passenger cars use gasoline while trucks use diesel. The price of the gasoline and diesel are taken as \$2.66/gal and \$3.18/gal, respectively [60].

### 6.2.3. Emission Reduction

EPA methods to calculate emissions require detailed data sets. Hence, a simplified emission model [61] was chosen for use in this project, and the emissions are estimated according to the fuel type and consumption rate.  $E_{ij}$  and  $E'_{ij}$  (short ton/ h) are the hourly emission production rate of substance  $j$  for period  $i$ , and are calculated as:

$$E_{ij} = Q_{gasoline_i} \times EF_{gasoline_j} \times \left( \frac{100 - ER_j}{100} \right) + Q_{diesel_i} \times EF_{diesel_j} \times \left( \frac{100 - ER_j}{100} \right) \quad (13)$$

$$E'_{ij} = Q'_{gasoline_i} \times EF_{gasoline_j} \times \left( \frac{100 - ER_j}{100} \right) + Q'_{diesel_i} \times EF_{diesel_j} \times \left( \frac{100 - ER_j}{100} \right) \quad (14)$$

Where:

$EF_{gasoline_j}$  and  $EF_{diesel_j}$  (short ton/ gallon) are the emission factors of substance  $j$  from gasoline and diesel, respectively;

$ER_j$  is the emission reduction efficiency for substance  $j$  [61]. If a specialized emission reduction equipment is installed on a vehicle, this parameter would have a value based on the extent to which the equipment reduces the emissions. We have made a conservative assumption that no vehicles in our study have any special emission reduction equipment, hence  $ER_j = 0$ .

$E_{p_i}$  is the hourly monetized air pollution cost (\$/ hour);

$e_j$  is the unit air pollution price for substance  $j$ .

Table 6.2 provides the emission factors for passenger cars and trucks [61]. The emission factor relates the quantity of a pollutant released to the atmosphere with an activity associated with the release of that pollutant.

Table 6.2 Emission Factors for Passenger Cars and Trucks [61]

| Type of air pollution              | Emission factor for Gasoline Passenger Cars (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Emission factor for Gasoline Passenger Cars (short ton/gallon) | Emission Factor For Diesel Trucks(Kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Emission Factor for Diesel Trucks(short ton/gallon) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )  | /                                                                | /                                                              | /                                                     | /                                                   |
| Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)  | 2.5                                                              | 1.04318E-05                                                    | 1.8                                                   | 7.51086E-06                                         |
| Nitrogen oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> ) | 6.7                                                              | 2.79571E-05                                                    | 23                                                    | 9.59721E-05                                         |
| Particulate matter (PM)            | 0.129                                                            | 5.38279E-07                                                    | 3.5                                                   | 1.46045E-05                                         |
| Sulfur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )  | 0.098                                                            | 4.08925E-07                                                    | 0.017                                                 | 7.09359E-08                                         |

The recommended monetized value [58] for each type of air pollutant is shown in Table 6.3.

Table 6.3 Damage Costs for Pollutant Emission [58]

| Type of air pollution              | cost (\$/short ton \$2017) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )  | /                          |
| Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)  | 1,872                      |
| Nitrogen oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> ) | 7,377                      |
| Particulate matter (PM)            | 337,459                    |
| Sulfur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )  | 43600                      |



The yearly monetized air pollution saving  $E$  (\$/ year) is calculated as follows:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_j E_{ij} \times e_j \times h_i \times w - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_j E'_{ij} \times e_j \times h_i \times w \quad (15)$$

Emission savings calculated by these simplified methods are likely to be small compared to other savings. Therefore, the research team conducted the benefit/cost analysis with and without the consideration of emissions.

#### 6.2.4. Crash Reduction

Safety evaluation is conducted based on the estimated crash increase/reduction before and after the implementation of the ASCT. Only intersection-related crashes which do not involve direct human error are considered for a fair comparison. As indicated earlier, additional analysis was conducted with rear-end only crashes but the results are not reported here because it is based on sparse data.

The number of crashes for five different types of crash were collected from the corridor. The annually monetized safety savings  $S_c$  (\$ /year) are calculated as follows:

$$S_c = \sum_k C_k \times CM_k - \sum_k C'_k \times CM_k \quad (16)$$

Where:

$C_k$  (crashes/ year) is the annual crash rate of crash type  $k$ , where  $k = 1,2,3,4,5$  represents possible injury, non-incapacitating injury, incapacitating injury, fatality, and property damages;

$CM_k$  (\$/ crash) is the unit monetized cost for crash type  $k$ .

Table 6.4 provides the suggested monetized value for each type of crash type [62].

Table 6.4 FDOT KABCO Crash Costs

| KABCO Level                     | Monetized Value(\$) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| C – Possible Injury             | \$97,650            |
| B – Non-incapacitating          | \$157,170           |
| A – Incapacitating              | \$580,320           |
| K – Killed                      | \$10,230,000        |
| O- Property damage only crashes | \$7,600             |

### 6.3. Calculation of Costs

Table 6.5 shows the total cost of ASCT for each corridor. The values shown include equipment, installation, training, and maintenance costs. While some sites paid the vendors by each of these as line-items, others paid a lump-sum amount per intersection. Hence, for the purposes of this analysis, costs are listed as “total costs per corridor” as well as “cost per intersection”.

Table 6.5 Monetized Cost for Each Corridor

| Corridors name                 | Location                         | Monetized Cost      |                         |            |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|
|                                |                                  | Cost / intersection | Number of Intersections | Total cost |
| University Parkway             | Sarasota and Manatee, District 1 | \$36,500            | 18                      | \$657,000  |
| East Van Fleet Drive and North | Bartow, District 1               | \$96,400            | 5                       | \$482,000  |
| Newberry Road                  | Gainesville, District 2          |                     |                         |            |
| 23rd Street                    | Bay County, District 3           | \$40,000            | 9                       | \$360,000  |
| Panama City Beach Parkway      | Bay County, District 3           | \$45,000            | 10                      | \$450,000  |
| SR-70 & US-301                 | Manatee, Districts 4 and 5       | \$45,350            | 22                      | \$997,700  |
| US-17 92                       | DeLand, District 5               | \$30,000            | 5                       | \$150,000  |

|              |                      |          |    |                    |
|--------------|----------------------|----------|----|--------------------|
| 66th Street  | Pinellas, District 7 | \$32,871 | 12 | \$394,452          |
| <b>Total</b> |                      |          |    | <b>\$3,491,152</b> |

Note: ASCT was removed at Newberry Rd. Hence it is not considered in B/C calculations

## 6.4. Benefit-Cost Analysis and Findings

This section calculates the monetized benefit-cost of ASCT for each corridor according to the process described earlier. The before-after data collection dates and peak hours evaluated for each site are included in Table 6.6. The total monetized benefit value with and without including safety benefits are calculated for each corridor and the results are shown in Table 6.7a (with emission savings) and Table 6.7b (without emission savings). Also, the benefit-cost ratio with and without including safety benefits are calculated for each corridor and the results are shown in Table 6.8a (with emission savings) and Table 6.8b (without emission savings).

Table 6.6 Data Collection Dates and Peak Hours

| Site Name                      | AM Peak | Off-Peak       | PM Peak | Before Dates            | After Dates             |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| University Parkway             | 7am—9am | 1pm—3pm        | 4pm—6pm | March 18 & 19, 2015     | March 14 & 15, 2017     |
| East Van Fleet Drive and North | 7am—9am | 9:30am—11:30pm | 4pm—6pm | February 22 & 23, 2017  | March 28 & 29, 2017     |
| Newberry Road                  | 7am—9am | 1pm—3pm        | 4pm—6pm | September 17 & 18, 2014 | November 19 & 20, 2014  |
| 23rd Street                    | 7am—9am | 11am—1pm       | 4pm—6pm | January 27 & 28, 2015   | September 22 & 23, 2015 |
| Panama City Beach Parkway      | 7am—9am | 11am—1pm       | 4pm—6pm | October 22 & 23, 2014   | February 3 & 4, 2015    |
| SR-70 & US-301                 | 7am—9am | 10am—12pm      | 4pm—6pm | December 8 & 9, 2016    | October 3 & 4, 2018     |
| US-17 92                       | 7am—9am | 11am—1pm       | 4pm—6pm | October 1 & 2, 2014     | December 16 & 17, 2014  |
| 66th Street                    | 7am—9am | 1pm—3pm        | 4pm—6pm | November 9 & 10, 2016   | May 16 & 17, 2017       |

Table 6.7a Monetized Benefit Value for Each Corridor (Includes Emission Savings)

| Corridors name                 | Location                                  | Monetized Benefit   |                      |                      |                     |                     |                      |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                                |                                           | Travel Time Saving  | Vehicles Cost Saving | Air Pollution Saving | Safety benefit      | Total with safety   | Total without safety |
| University Parkway             | Sarasota and Manatee Counties, District 1 | \$4,373,802         | \$473,639            | \$30,469             | \$4,880,524         | \$9,758,434         | \$4,877,910          |
| East Van Fleet Drive and North | Bartow, District 1                        | -\$401,717          | -\$60,823            | -\$32,104            | \$472,349           | -\$22,295           | -\$494,644           |
| Newberry Road                  | Gainesville, District 2                   |                     |                      |                      |                     |                     |                      |
| 23rd Street                    | Bay County, District 3                    | \$1,588,365         | \$195,702            | \$39,451             | -\$189,008          | \$1,634,509         | \$1,823,518          |
| Panama City Beach Parkway      | Bay County, District 3                    | \$3,016,459         | \$358,578            | \$74,906             |                     | \$3,449,942         | \$3,449,942          |
| SR-70 & US-301                 | Manatee, Districts 4 and 5                | -\$108,204          | -\$53,528            | -\$95,495            |                     | -\$257,227          | -\$257,227           |
| US-17 92                       | DeLand, District 5                        | \$3,454,869         | \$489,110            | \$91,940             | -\$2,565,559        | \$1,470,361         | \$4,035,920          |
| 66th Street                    | Pinellas, District 7                      | \$4,652,124         | \$665,447            | \$132,495            | \$23,316,234        | \$28,766,300        | \$5,450,066          |
| <b>Total</b>                   |                                           | <b>\$16,575,697</b> | <b>\$2,068,125</b>   | <b>\$241,663</b>     | <b>\$25,914,540</b> | <b>\$44,800,025</b> | <b>\$18,885,485</b>  |

Table 6.7b Monetized Benefit Value for Each Corridor (Excludes Emission Savings)

| Corridors name                 | Location                                  | Monetized Benefit   |                      |                     |                     |                      |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                                |                                           | Travel Time Saving  | Vehicles Cost Saving | Safety benefit      | Total               | Total without safety |
| University Parkway             | Sarasota and Manatee Counties, District 1 | \$4,373,802         | \$473,639            | \$4,880,524         | \$9,727,965         | \$4,847,441          |
| East Van Fleet Drive and North | Bartow, District 1                        | -\$401,717          | -\$60,823            | \$472,349           | -\$9,809            | -\$462,540           |
| Newberry Road                  | Gainesville, District 2                   |                     |                      |                     |                     |                      |
| 23rd Street                    | Bay County, District 3                    | \$1,588,365         | \$195,702            | -\$189,008          | \$1,595,058         | \$1,784,067          |
| Panama City Beach Parkway      | Bay County, District 3                    | \$3,016,459         | \$358,578            |                     | \$3,375,037         | \$3,375,037          |
| SR-70 & US-301                 | Manatee, Districts 4 and 5                | -\$108,204          | -\$53,528            |                     | -\$161,731          | -\$161,731           |
| US-17 92                       | DeLand, District 5                        | \$3,454,869         | \$489,110            | -\$2,565,559        | \$1,378,421         | \$3,943,979          |
| 66th Street                    | Pinellas, District 7                      | \$4,652,124         | \$665,447            | \$23,316,234        | \$28,633,805        | \$5,317,571          |
| <b>Total</b>                   |                                           | <b>\$16,575,697</b> | <b>\$2,068,125</b>   | <b>\$25,914,540</b> | <b>\$44,558,363</b> | <b>\$18,643,823</b>  |

Table 6.8a Benefit and Cost Summary (Includes Emission Savings)

| Corridors name                 | Location                | Total cost         | Total Benefits      |                     | B/C Ratio   |                | Comments                            |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                |                         |                    | With Safety         | Without safety      | With safety | Without safety |                                     |
| University Parkway             | Sarasota and Manatee D1 | \$657,000          | \$9,758,434         | \$4,877,910         | 14.9        | 7.4            |                                     |
| East Van Fleet Drive and North | Bartow, D1              | \$482,000          | -\$22,295           | -\$494,644          | 0           | -1             |                                     |
| Newberry Road                  | Gainesville, D2         |                    |                     |                     |             |                | ASCT was removed                    |
| 23rd Street                    | Bay County, D3          | \$360,000          | \$1,634,509         | \$1,823,518         | 4.5         | 5.1            |                                     |
| Panama City Beach Parkway      | Bay County, D3          | \$450,000          | \$3,449,942         | \$3,449,942         |             | 7.7            | Crash reporting methodology changed |
| SR-70 & US-301                 | Manatee, D4 and D5      | \$997,700          | -\$257,227          | -\$257,227          |             | -0.3           | No safety data for after            |
| US-17 92                       | DeLand, D5              | \$150,000          | \$1,470,361         | \$4,035,920         | 9.8         | 26.9           |                                     |
| 66th Street                    | Pinellas, D7            | \$394,452          | \$28,766,300        | \$5,450,066         | 72.9        | 13.8           |                                     |
| <b>Total</b>                   |                         | <b>\$3,491,152</b> | <b>\$44,800,025</b> | <b>\$18,885,485</b> | <b>12.8</b> | <b>5.4</b>     |                                     |

Table 6.8b Benefit and Cost Summary (Excludes Emission Savings)

| Corridors name                 | Location                 | Total cost         | Total Benefits      |                      | B/C Ratio   |                | Comments                            |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                |                          |                    | Total               | Total without safety | With safety | Without safety |                                     |
| University Parkway             | Sarasota and Manatee, D1 | \$657,000          | \$9,727,965         | \$4,847,441          | 14.8        | 7.4            |                                     |
| East Van Fleet Drive and North | Bartow, D1               | \$482,000          | -\$9,809            | -\$462,540           | 0           | -1             |                                     |
| Newberry Road                  | Gainesville, D2          |                    |                     |                      |             |                | ASCT was removed                    |
| 23rd Street                    | Bay County, D3           | \$360,000          | \$1,595,058         | \$1,784,067          | 4.4         | 5              |                                     |
| Panama City Beach Parkway      | Bay County, D3           | \$450,000          | \$3,375,037         | \$3,375,037          |             | 7.5            | Crash reporting methodology changed |
| SR-70 & US-301                 | Manatee, D4 and D5       | \$997,700          | -\$161,731          | \$161,731            |             | -0.2           | No safety data for after            |
| US-17 92                       | DeLand, D5               | \$150,000          | \$1,378,421         | \$3,943,979          | 9.2         | 26.3           |                                     |
| 66th Street                    | Pinellas, D7             | \$394,452          | \$28,633,805        | \$5,317,571          | 72.6        | 13.5           |                                     |
| <b>Total</b>                   |                          | <b>\$3,491,152</b> | <b>\$44,558,363</b> | <b>\$18,643,823</b>  | <b>12.8</b> | <b>5.3</b>     |                                     |

Since the ASCT was removed from Newberry Road (Gainesville), it was not considered in the benefit-cost analysis. For SR-70 (Manatee), there was not adequate time after ASCT installation to collect crash-related data. An increase in the number of tourists over the past few years and the corresponding increase in traffic along with changes in crash reporting methodology resulted in a significant increase in the reported number of crashes at Panama City Beach. Hence, it was decided to include safety results for this site in the benefit-cost analysis.

As expected, emission savings are negligible compared to other benefits, and the overall B/C for the project did not change with the inclusion of emission savings. However, considering the safety benefits, the overall B/C increased from 5.4 to 12.8.

Without considering safety benefits, five of the seven corridors had positive outcomes by adopting the new system. The 66<sup>th</sup> Street (Pinellas) and University Parkway (Sarasota and Manatee) had the highest monetized benefit value of \$5,450,066 and \$4,877,910, respectively. The US-17 92 (Deland) had the best B/C: 26.9. Although the East Van Fleet Drive and North (Bartow) and SR-70 had negative benefit values, the value was close to zero (i.e., the introduction of ASCT does not produce significant changes to quality of service for these two corridors).

All the results above are for a 1-year analysis. To conduct a 10-year analysis, one would need to consider annual discounting for the monetized benefits rate (i.e., how the commuters perceive the benefits from year 2 to year 10), recurring maintenance costs (how much additional dollars it would cost to maintain ASCT versus the system before), and fuel pricing scenarios (which need to consider whether fuel prices remain same or change over time). Due to significant uncertainty in all these parameters, it was decided to present only the Year One benefit-cost analysis.

## **6.5. Benefit-Cost Findings and Conclusion**

Overall, as indicated by the positive monetized benefits, the ASCT performed well for most of the corridors and for the overall program. The benefits were mainly attributed to reduced travel time along the corridors. Since KABCO values weigh the fatalities heavily, safety benefits were extremely variable and could swing from net negative to net positive due to a single fatality.



## 7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This project evaluated the implementation of ASCT along eight corridors in Florida. Traffic engineering and safety analyses were conducted and several quantitative relationships between site characteristics and performance measures were developed.

Field data (before and after ASCT installations) were collected through floating car runs and turning movement counts along the study corridors during AM, PM and off-peak periods. Changes in travel time, major street queue storage ratio and traffic throughput show that ASCT generally resulted in an average overall reduction in travel time (9.36%) and major street queues (15.57%). The minor street queues increase (16.98%), however their throughput remains almost the same (0.69%). Regression analysis showed that higher AADT, higher intersection density (signalized and unsignalized), and higher initial operating speed (before implementation of ASCT) result in less traffic operational improvement.

US-17 in Deland, 23rd street in Panama City and East Van fleet Drive in Bartow showed reduction in crashes when conducting a longer-term analysis, whereas University Parkway in Sarasota showed improvement only for the shorter-term analysis. Among the two corridors that showed increase in crashes, SR-693 in Pinellas had a short “after” period for data collection and Beach Parkway in Panama City had increasing tourist demand over the years leading to higher seasonal traffic, potentially offsetting any safety benefits of signal coordination.

Benefit-cost Analysis revealed overall net positive monetized benefits (12.8 considering safety, 5.4 without safety). Overall, ASCT performed well for most of the corridors and for the entire program.

The following are recommendations from this study:

- A high density of access points (i.e., both signalized and unsignalized intersections) along the corridor reduces the effectiveness of ASCT. This was very evident on the Newberry Rd. corridor where, on an average there was an access point every 1/22th of a mile and an unsignalized intersection every 1/14th of a mile. Some of these access points had heavy left turning traffic to/from major establishments such as the Oaks Mall and the North Florida Regional Medical Center. Also, many of these trips originate and end on the same side of Newberry Rd. but they have to use the mainline arterial because there is no connectivity between adjacent businesses.
- While an upper threshold on distance between signalized intersections ( $\frac{3}{4}$  miles, as specified in the FDOT guidance document [63]) is necessary to maintain the progression, high density of access points creates difficulties with detection and ASCT may not be effective at such locations. Access management steps such as closing non-essential access roads, linking internal roads along the same side of the corridor, increasing the distance between

intersections, planning effective median openings and street connections, may be considered before ASCT is introduced.

- Detection and Communication were noted as top challenges by all the TMCs in their interviews. Regular maintenance of the detection and the ASCT system is necessary for a successful operation. Generally, the counties that had a maintenance contract with vendors had better ASCT performance. Only one county (Pinellas) had an internal training program for the staff and had an additional staff member to monitor the performance of ASCT. Pinellas showed the best overall improvement in terms of traffic operations (travel time, queues and throughput) among all sites. ASCT is not a “set it and forget it” system. Maintenance, training and appropriate staffing are key factors in the success of ASCT.
- ASCT is unlikely to show improvements at corridors with high volume cross streets (for example, SR-70 at Manatee County with cross street US-301). It simply redistributes the green to the cross street and the gains from improvement on the side streets are nullified by deterioration on the major street.
- Observation from the data, interviews and statistical analyses showed that ASCTs are not suitable for implementation for all types of corridors and traffic conditions. The analyses confirmed the empirical observations that ASCT would yield better performance and a higher return on investment when implemented on corridors with low intersection density, low volume side streets, and high demand but not oversaturated traffic conditions.
- Several corridors had minor to major ongoing construction projects during ASCT implementation. The installation and initial fine tuning periods have to be planned accordingly.
- Florida attracts heavy seasonal traffic (for example, snowbirds during winter at Sarasota and college students during spring break at Panama City beach). Counties should avoid introducing new and unfamiliar traffic patterns at the start of these seasons. Steps must be taken to educate travelers about ASCT and particularly unfamiliar phasing and timing patterns.

## 8. REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Highway Administration, "Measures of Effectiveness and Validation Guidance for Adaptive Signal Control Technologies," July 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop13031/fhwahop13031.pdf>. [Accessed 29 October 2013].
- [2] City of Los Angeles: Department of Transportation, "Automated Traffic Surveillance and Control (ATSAC) System," [Online]. Available: <http://trafficinfo.lacity.org/about-atsac.php>. [Accessed 25 March 2015].
- [3] Federal Highway Administration, "ACS Lite," [Online]. Available: <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/research/operations/06083/index.cfm> [Accessed 25 March 2015].
- [4] Stevanovic, Aleksandar, "Adaptive Traffic Control Systems: Domestic and Foreign State of Practice", No. Project 20-5 (Topic 40-03). 2010.
- [5] R. Whitelock, "Adaptive Traffic Control Systems (ATCS)-Part 3-InSync," *IMSA Journal*, vol. 52, no. 3, 2014.
- [6] Rhythm Engineering, "InSync's Performance," 2014. [Online]. Available: <http://rhythmtraffic.com/insyncs-performance/>.
- [7] K. Fehon, M. Krueger, J. Peters, R. Denny, P. Olson and E. Curtis, "Model Systems Engineering Documents for Adaptive Signal Control Technology Systems- Guidance Document," Federal Highway Administration, 2012.
- [8] F. Pooran, C. D. Vick and J. C. R. Martinez, " Adaptive Control Algorithms—A Comparison of Alternatives," *Traffic Engineering and Control*, vol. 53, no. 9, 2012.
- [9] Chandra, Reggie, and Chris Gregory. "Insync adaptive traffic signal technology: Real-time artificial intelligence delivering real-world results.", Rhythm Engineering, 2010.
- [10] S. Siromaskul and M. Selinger, "InSync: The Next Generation of Adaptive Signal Systems," in *Western District ITE Annual Meeting*, San Francisco, 2010.
- [11] TJKM Transportation Consultants, "Evaluation of Main Street Adaptive Traffic Signal System," Report Prepared for the City of Salinas, 2011.

- [12] E. Hathaway, T. Urbanik and S. Tsoi, "Transportation Operation Innovation & Demonstration Evaluation/Statewide; Cornell Road InSync System Evaluation," Oregon Department of Transportation, 2012.
- [13] Kimley Horn and Associates Inc., "InSync Evaluation. Before and After Study," Prepared for Pinellas County, FL, 2012.
- [14] HDR Engineering Inc., "US Route 17, Adaptive Traffic Control System; Phase 1 Before and After Travel Time and Delay Study, Mt. Pleasant, SC," 2011.
- [15] D. Sprague, "Adaptive Signal Timing Comparison Between the Insync and Quictrac Adaptive Signal System Installed in Colorado," Colorado Department of Transportation, 2012.
- [16] J. Hutton, C. Bokenkroger and M. Meyer, "Evaluation of an Adaptive Traffic Signal System: Route 291 in Lee's Summit, Missouri," Missouri Department of Transportation, 2010.
- [17] Trafficware, "SynchroGreen Technical White Paper," 2012. [Online]. Available: [http://www.trafficware.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/SynchroGreen-Technical-White-Paper\\_10-03-2013.pdf](http://www.trafficware.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/SynchroGreen-Technical-White-Paper_10-03-2013.pdf). [Accessed 23 May 2014].
- [18] Trafficware, "SynchroGreen: Real Time Adaptive Traffic Control System," 5 December 2013. [Online]. Available: [http://www.trafficware.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Synchro-Four-Panel-Brochure\\_12-05-2013.pdf](http://www.trafficware.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Synchro-Four-Panel-Brochure_12-05-2013.pdf). [Accessed 26 August 2014].
- [19] M. Cheek, C. Wetzel and C. Dickson, "Synchrogreen Real-Time Adaptive Traffic Control System Seminole County Deployment," in *ITE 2012 Annual Meeting and Exhibit*, 2012.
- [20] J. So, A. Stevanovic, E. Posadas and R. Awwad, "Field Evaluation of a SynchroGreen Adaptive Signal System," in *T&D Congress 2014*, 2014.
- [21] SCOOT, Transportation Research Laboratory, 2014. [Online]. Available: <http://www.scoot-utc.com/WhatIsSCOOT.php?menu=Overview>.
- [22] Imtech Traffic, "How SCOOT Works," [Online]. Available: <http://www.scoot-utc.com/HowSCOOTWorks.php>. [Accessed 25 March 2015].
- [23] P. Martin and A. Stevanovic, "Adaptive Signal Control V: SCATS Evaluation in Park City, UT," July 2008. [Online]. Available: <http://www.mountain-plains.org/pubs/pdf/MPC08-200.pdf>. [Accessed 25 March 2015].

- [24] J. Peters, J. McCoy and R. Bertini, "Evaluating an Adaptive Signal Control System in Gresham," in *Compendium of Technical Papers, Institute of Transportation Engineers, District 6 Annual Meeting*, Portland, 2007.
- [25] Martin, Peter T., Joseph Perrin, Bhargava Rama Chilukuri, Chantan Jhaveri, and Yuqi Feng. "Adaptive signal control II". No. UT-03.28, UTL-0902-60. *University of Utah. Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, 2003.
- [26] P. Mirchandani and F.-Y. Wang, "RHODES to Intelligent Transportation Systems," *Intelligent Systems, IEEE*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 10-15, 2005.
- [27] N. Gartner and e. al, "Optimized policies for adaptive control strategy in real-time traffic adaptive control systems: Implementation and field testing," *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, vol. 1811, pp. 148-156, 2002.
- [28] N. Gartner, "OPAC: demand-responsive strategy for traffic signal control," *Transportation Research Record*, vol. 906, 1983.
- [29] N. Gartner and e. al, "Implementation of the OPAC adaptive control strategy in a traffic signal network," *Intelligent Transportation Systems Proceedings, 2001*, Oakland, CA, 2001.
- [30] N. Gartner, "Optimized Policies for Adaptive Control (OPAC) Session 1: Principles of Operation," in *Transportation Research Board Signal Systems Committee Workshop*, Washington, DC, 2001.
- [31] S. Shelby and e. al, "An overview and performance evaluation of ACS Lite- A low cost adaptive signal control system," in *Transportation Research Board Annual Meetings*, Washington, DC, 2008.
- [32] F. Luyanda and e. al, "ACS-Lite Algorithmic Architecture: applying adaptive control system technology to closed-loop traffic signal control systems," *Transportation Research Record*, vol. 1856, pp. 175-184, 2003.
- [33] J. Wang and e. al, "Evaluation of ACS Lite Adaptive Control using Sensys Arterial Travel Time Data," in *ITS America 20th Annual Meeting and Exposition*, Houston, TX, 2010.
- [34] A. Moser, "RT-TRACS ADAPTIVE SIGNAL OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND LESSONS LEARNED," [Online]. Available: [ftp://ftp.itsa.org/WC08\\_Presentations/Technical%20Sessions/TS82/9012630343.pdf](ftp://ftp.itsa.org/WC08_Presentations/Technical%20Sessions/TS82/9012630343.pdf). [Accessed 26 August 2014].

- [35] C. e. a. MacCarley, "Lessons Learned from the Irvine Integrated Freeway Ramp Metering / Arterial Adaptive Signal Control Field Operational Test," *Transportation Research Record*, vol. 1811, pp. 76-83, 2002.
- [36] M. Fontaine, J.Ma and J.Hu, " Evaluation of the Virginia Department of Transportation Adaptive Signal Control Technology Pilot Project," [Online]. Available: [http://www.virginiadot.org/vtrc/main/online\\_reports/pdf/15-r24.pdf](http://www.virginiadot.org/vtrc/main/online_reports/pdf/15-r24.pdf) [Accessed 26 August 2015].
- [37] P. T. Martin, A. Stevanovic and I. Vladisavljevic, "ADAPTIVE SIGNAL CONTROL IV: Evaluation of the Adaptive Traffic Control System in Park City, Utah," March 2006. [Online]. Available: <http://www.mountain-plains.org/pubs/pdf/MPC06-182.pdf>. [Accessed 26 August 2014].
- [38] J. Lam, S. Petrovic and P. Craig, "ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL PILOT PROJECT FOR THE CITY OF SURREY," in *Road Safety Strategies and Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Session of the 2013 Conference of the Transportation Association of Canada*, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, 2013.
- [39] National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. "Traffic Safety Facts 2013: A Compilation of Motor Vehicle Crash Data From the Fatality Analysis Reporting System and the General Estimates System." Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Transportation, National Center for Statistics and Analysis, 2015.
- [40] National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. "Traffic Safety Facts 2003: A Compilation of Motor Vehicle Crash Data From the Fatality Analysis Reporting System and the General Estimates System." Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Transportation, National Center for Statistics and Analysis, 2005.
- [41] Retting, Richard A., Susan A. Ferguson, and Charles M. Farmer. "Reducing red light running through longer yellow signal timing and red light camera enforcement: results of a field investigation." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 40.1 (2008): 327-333.
- [42] Bonneson, J, and Ho S. "Prediction of expected red-light-running frequency at urban intersections." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 1830 (2003): 38-47.
- [43] Datta, T. K., et al. "Mitigation of rear-end accident problems at signalized intersections." *Traffic Management and Road Safety. Proceedings from Seminar H held at the 24th European Transport Forum, Brunel University, England, September 1996. Volume P407*. 1996.
- [44] Chin, H C, and Quddus, MA. "Applying the random effect negative binomial model to examine traffic accident occurrence at signalized intersections." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 35.2 (2003): 253-259.

- [45] Poch, Mark, and Fred Mannering. "Negative binomial analysis of intersection-accident frequencies." *Journal of Transportation Engineering* 122.2 (1996): 105-113.
- [46] Sunkari S (2004) "The Benefits of Retiming Traffic Signals", ITE Journal, pp 26-29.
- [47] Hicks, B., and M. Carter. "What have we learned about ITS? Arterial management." *What have we learned about intelligent transportation systems* (2000): 45-63.
- [48] Herbert, Rowland & Grubic, Inc. (HRG), "Adaptive Traffic Signals Reduce Delay, Increase Safety, and Improve Public Satisfaction", [Online]. Available: <http://www.hrg-inc.com/adaptive-traffic-signals-reduce-delay-increase-safety-and-improve-public-satisfaction/?print=print>
- [49] Federal Highway Administration, "Signalized Intersection Safety Strategies", [Online]. Available: [https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/other\\_topics/fhwasa08008/sa4\\_Signal\\_Coordination.pdf](https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/other_topics/fhwasa08008/sa4_Signal_Coordination.pdf)
- [50] Federal Highway Administration, "Signalized Intersections: An Informational Guide - Safety", Chapter 8, [Online]. Available: <https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/conventional/signalized/fhwasa13027/ch8.cfm#s833>
- [51] Institute of Transportation Engineers, "Toolbox on Intersection Safety and Design", [Online]. Available: <https://www.ite.org/pub/?id=e1d08c51%2D2354%2Dd714%2D51e9%2Df3967064dfb9>
- [52] Rhythm Engineering, "Safety Benefits Associated with Adaptive Traffic Signal Control", [Online]. Available: <http://rhythmtraffic.com/safety-benefits-associated-with-adaptive-traffic-signal-control/>
- [53] Peter J. Yauch, "Effects of Traffic Signal Retiming on Safety", [Online]. Available: <https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5771719ae4fcb57b45f0450e/t/5797882a725e25c408307ed5/1469548587406/2%29+Effects-of-Traffic-Signal-Retiming-on-Safety.pdf>
- [54] Guo, F, Wang,X and Abdel-Aty, MA. "Modeling signalized intersection safety with corridor-level spatial correlations." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 42.1 (2010): 84-92.
- [55]Turner, S. A., R. Singh, and G. D. Nates. "Crash prediction models for signalized intersections: signal phasing and geometry June 2012." (2012). Available: <http://www.nzta.govt.nz/assets/resources/research/reports/483/docs/483.pdf>
- [56] Li, W and Tarko, A.P (2011) "Safety Effect of Arterial Signal Coordination", Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, 2011, Available: <http://rebar.ecn.purdue.edu/CRS/Publications/Conference%20Papers/2011%20TRB%20-%20Signal%20coordination%20safety%20effect%20-%20paper.pdf>
- [57] Osorio, Jesus J, and Rahim F Benekohal. "Safety Analysis and Crash Modification Factors of an Adaptive Signal Control Technology along a Corridor." Jan. 2019,

- [58] U.S. Department of Transportation, "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs," December 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/eab/docs/benefit-cost-analysis-guidance-2018.pdf>.
- [59] Transportation Economics Committee of the Transportation Research Board (TRB), "Transportation Benefit-Cost Analysis," [Online]. Available: <http://bca.transportationeconomics.org/benefits/vehicle-operating-cost/vehicle-operating-costs-methodology>.
- [60] U.S. Energy Information Administration, "Gasoline and Diesel Fuel Update," [Online]. Available: <http://www.eia.gov/petroleum/gasdiesel/>. Accessed May., 13, 2018.
- [61] Australian Government Department of the Environment and Energy, "Emission Estimation Technique Manual for Combustion Engines Version 3.0," Jun, 2008. [Online]. Available: <http://npi.gov.au/resource/emission-estimation-technique-manual-combustion-engines-version-30>.
- [62] Florida Department of Transportation, "ROADWAY DESIGN BULLETIN 16-08", August 17, 2016. [Online]. Available: [https://fdotwww.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity/docs/default-source/content/design/bulletins/rsdb16-08.pdf?sfvrsn=44ea2f37\\_0](https://fdotwww.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity/docs/default-source/content/design/bulletins/rsdb16-08.pdf?sfvrsn=44ea2f37_0).
- [63] Florida Department of Transportation. "Advanced Signal Control Technology", May 2016, [Online]. Available: [https://fdotwww.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity/docs/default-source/content/traffic/its/arterialmanagement/fdot\\_asct.pdf?sfvrsn=c149f6c\\_0v](https://fdotwww.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity/docs/default-source/content/traffic/its/arterialmanagement/fdot_asct.pdf?sfvrsn=c149f6c_0v)



## **APPENDIX A: Summary of Newberry Rd., Alachua County**

### **A.1. Executive Summary**

The objective of this research is to evaluate the implementation of proposed Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT) traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the installation of specific ASCT, document the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT. This Appendix summarizes the before and after field data collected along the Newberry Rd., Gainesville corridor from NW 76th Blvd to NW 8th Ave.

The SynchroGreen advanced signal control system was implemented along this corridor in December 2014. Floating car runs were conducted with the UFTI instrumented vehicle to collect vehicle travel times before the implementation of SynchroGreen, during three time periods (AM peak, off-peak and PM peak). In addition, turning movement counts and queue lengths were collected at two critical intersections (NW 75th St. and I-75 N). Based on these, five performance measures were obtained for the before and after study periods: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (at critical intersections), Queue to Lane Storage Ratio (at critical intersections), and Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) flows (at critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and presented in this appendix.

The following were observed:

- The travel time in the EB direction increased during all study time periods (AM peak, off-peak and PM peak) by an average of 1.25 min (22.6%). The travel time in the WB direction increased during the AM peak and off-peak by an average of 0.42 min (9.0%) and it decreased during the PM peak by 0.84 min (10.4%).
- The intersection through movement delay in the EB direction increased for 9 out of the 12 intersections along the corridor by an average of 6.10 sec/intersection (43.6%). The intersection through movement delay in the WB direction increased at 8 out of 12 intersections by an average of 2.11 sec/intersection (14.6%).
- The average queue length for the I-75 NB & Newberry Rd. intersection decreased by an average of 0.32 vehicles (-7.2%) over all analysis periods. The average queue length for the I-75 SB & Newberry Rd. intersection increased during all analysis periods by an average of 1.38 vehicles (18.5%).
- The traffic volume at both intersections (I75N and 75 St.) increased during all three analysis periods by an average of 78 pce/h/ln (9.9%) and 30 pce/h/ln (8.4%), respectively.

City of Gainesville staff were interviewed regarding the effectiveness of the signal control along the corridor, and they also confirmed that the performance of the corridor deteriorated with the installation of SynchroGreen. They speculated that SynchroGreen could be more effective for less congested corridors as well as when advance detection is present (there is currently no advance detection.)

## A.2. Corridor Information

Gainesville is college-based, and Newberry Rd. is one of the major corridors in the city of Gainesville, which connects the University of Florida campus area (east-end) and the Interstate-75 for entering/leaving town (west-end). The adjacent land uses include malls, restaurants, hotels, gas stations, drug stores, automotive service shops and banks. Interstate-75 represents a major north-south freeway link for inter-city commuters throughout the entire North Central Florida region, and is thus expected to serve very high volumes of traffic entering/leaving the Gainesville area during the morning or afternoon peak period. A shopping mall is also located along the corridor, which is expected to generate/attract high traffic demands.

The dominant traffic during the morning peak is eastbound towards the city center, while the dominant traffic during the afternoon peak is westbound for leaving Gainesville. The traffic volume was quite high during the peak periods and congestions were often observed.

Figure A-2.1 provides a schematic of the Newberry Rd., Gainesville corridor. Table A-2.1 lists the intersections along the corridor. Two intersections (I-75 N and 75<sup>th</sup> St) were selected as the critical intersections along the corridor and detailed turning movement and queue counts were collected at these. Data collection was conducted during three time periods: 7 - 9 am, 1 pm – 3pm, and 4 - 6 pm. Figure A-2.2 provides the lane configuration of these two critical intersections.






Figure A-2.1 Schematic of the Newberry Rd. corridor, Gainesville

Table A-2.1 Intersections along the Newberry Rd. Corridor, Gainesville

|          | Intersection                                    | Distance in Between<br>(mile) | No. of Unsignalized<br>Intersections in Between |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1        | NW 76 <sup>th</sup> Blvd & Newberry Rd.         |                               |                                                 |
| <b>2</b> | <b>NW 75<sup>th</sup> St &amp; Newberry Rd.</b> | <b>0.1</b>                    | <b>0</b>                                        |
| 3        | I-75 S & Newberry Rd.                           | 0.14                          | 2                                               |
| <b>4</b> | <b>I-75 N &amp; Newberry Rd.</b>                | <b>0.13</b>                   | <b>1</b>                                        |
| 5        | NW 69 <sup>th</sup> Terrace & Newberry Rd.      | 0.08                          | 1                                               |
| 6        | Oaks Mall West & Newberry Rd.                   | 0.15                          | 0                                               |
| 7        | NW 66 <sup>th</sup> St & Newberry Rd.           | 0.09                          | 1                                               |
| 8        | NW 62 <sup>nd</sup> St & Newberry Rd.           | 0.2                           | 1                                               |
| 9        | NW 60 <sup>th</sup> St & Newberry Rd.           | 0.14                          | 1                                               |
| 10       | NW 57 <sup>th</sup> St & Newberry Rd.           | 0.18                          | 0                                               |
| 11       | NW 55 <sup>th</sup> St & Newberry Rd.           | 0.12                          | 1                                               |
| 12       | NW 8 <sup>th</sup> Ave & Newberry Rd.           | 0.12                          | 1                                               |
| Total    | 12 intersections                                | 1.45                          |                                                 |



(a) I75N & Newberry Rd.



(b) 75 ST & Newberry Rd.

Figure A-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections

### A.3. Performance Measures

Five performance measures were evaluated: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (critical intersections), Queue-to-Lane Storage Ratio (critical intersections), and PCE Flows (critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and the results of the differences (“after data” – “before data”) are presented.

#### A.3.1. Route Travel Time

The average travel time (min) along the route was measured using a floating car. During the before data collection there was an incident on the I-75 ramp. The research team collected data during that time, and in the following tables we report separately any data collected when the incident was active. A total of 54 runs for EB and 51 runs for WB were conducted during the before study, and a total of 51 runs for EB and 51 runs for WB were conducted during the after study. The data are summarized below.

*Before Study (Sept. 17 & Sept.18, 2014)*

Table A-3.1 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)  | AM Incident | AM No Incident | Off-Peak | PM   | Average     |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|----------|------|-------------|
| Newberry Rd. EB | 7.7         | 4.83           | 5.24     | 6.45 | <b>5.51</b> |
| Newberry Rd. WB | 5.93        | 4.35           | 4.96     | 8.1  | <b>5.8</b>  |

*After Study (Nov. 19 & Nov. 20, 2014)*


Table A-3.2 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)  | AM   | Off-Peak | PM   | Average |
|-----------------|------|----------|------|---------|
| Newberry Rd. EB | 4.96 | 6.05     | 9.26 | 6.76    |
| Newberry Rd. WB | 4.79 | 5.35     | 7.26 | 5.8     |

### Comparisons of Before and After Travel Times

Table A-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (after – before)

| Route TT (min)  | AM           | Off-Peak     | PM             | Average              |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Newberry Rd. EB | 0.13 (2.7%)  | 0.80 (15.3%) | 2.82 (43.7%)   | <b>1.25 (22.7%)</b>  |
| Newberry Rd. WB | 0.44 (10.1%) | 0.38 (7.7%)  | -0.84 (-10.4%) | <b>-0.01 (-0.1%)</b> |



(a) Newberry Rd. EB

(b) Newberry Rd. WB

Figure A-3.1 Travel times along Newberry Rd., Gainesville

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of travel times:

- The travel time along Newberry Rd. in the EB increased in the AM peak, off-peak and PM peak by an average of 1.25 min (22.7%). The EB direction carries the majority of the traffic during the AM peak. The highest increase occurred during the PM peak when the demand is relatively lower, with an increase of 2.82 min (43.7%).
- The travel time along Newberry Rd. in the WB increased in the AM peak and off-peak by an average of 0.42 min (9.0%), while it decreased in the PM peak by 0.84 min (10.4%). It is the WB direction that carries the majority of the traffic in the PM peak, and this shows improved travel times.
- In the before data, the AM peak travel time along Newberry in the EB direction is higher than that of the WB direction, which is reasonable as the majority of the traffic travels EB toward the University of Florida. The reverse occurs during the PM peak, and it is the WB that has the higher travel time. However, in the after study, the heavily traveled WB direction has a lower travel time. It appears that the system favors the peak direction at a significant detriment to the opposing lower demand direction.

### A.3.2. Delay

Delay (sec) at each intersection along the corridor was also obtained using the floating car measurements.

*Before Study (Sept. 17 & Sept.18, 2014)*

Table A-3.1 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay by Intersection (sec) | AM Incident | AM No Incident | Off-Peak | PM    |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|-------|
| NW 76 <sup>th</sup> Blvd    | 78.4        | 18.6           | 35.28    | 50.52 |
| NW 75 <sup>th</sup> St      | 73.3        | 32.13          | 23.4     | 36.75 |
| I-75 S                      | 43.7        | 14.83          | 19.68    | 37.58 |
| I-75 N                      | 34.4        | 16.1           | 4.45     | 4.01  |
| NW 69 <sup>th</sup> Terrace | 5           | 10.47          | 4.12     | 25.13 |
| Oaks Mall W                 | 12.4        | 15.07          | 5.24     | 13.69 |
| NW 66 <sup>th</sup> St      | 15.5        | 4.5            | 12.21    | 7.69  |
| NW 62 <sup>nd</sup> St      | 10.6        | 8.13           | 11.61    | 48.15 |
| NW 60 <sup>th</sup> St      | 13.9        | 2.73           | 3.68     | 5.55  |
| NW 57 <sup>th</sup> St      | 2.95        | 5.43           | 1.5      | 7.37  |
| NW 55 <sup>th</sup> St      | 9           | 1.67           | 1.45     | 7.54  |
| NW 8 <sup>th</sup> Ave      | 6.9         | 3.13           | 2.04     | 2.7   |




Figure A-3.2 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction



Table A-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay at Intersections<br>(sec) | AM<br>Incident | AM No<br>Incident | Off-<br>Peak | PM    |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|
| NW 8 <sup>th</sup> Ave          | 9.8            | 4.27              | 11           | 43.38 |
| NW 55 <sup>th</sup> St          | 20.5           | 16.47             | 3.41         | 11.88 |
| NW 57 <sup>th</sup> St          | 2.7            | 1.6               | 1.39         | 4.34  |
| NW 60 <sup>th</sup> St          | 7.5            | 17.9              | 10.73        | 20.7  |
| NW 62 <sup>nd</sup> St          | 6.9            | 3.2               | 6.52         | 25.2  |
| NW 66 <sup>th</sup> St          | 3.6            | 3.8               | 23.78        | 58.76 |
| Oaks Mall W                     | 1.6            | 1.03              | 12.85        | 25.1  |
| NW 69 <sup>th</sup> Terrace     | 82             | 22.6              | 19.69        | 29.24 |
| I-75 N                          | 13.8           | 5.8               | 2.51         | 11.1  |
| I-75 S                          | 14.46          | 13                | 17.07        | 23.66 |
| NW 75 <sup>th</sup> St          | 11.94          | 3.67              | 22.39        | 23.66 |
| NW 76 <sup>th</sup> Blvd        | 8.16           | 11.33             | 4.2          | 3.35  |




Figure A-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

After Study (Nov. 19 & Nov. 20, 2014)

Table A-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| NW 76 <sup>th</sup> Blvd     | 17.7    | 61.14    | 85.55   |
| NW 75 <sup>th</sup> St       | 31.8    | 26.46    | 71.5    |
| I-75 S                       | 27.16   | 49.05    | 84.7    |
| I-75 N                       | 18.84   | 3.82     | 6.75    |
| NW 69 <sup>th</sup> Terrace  | 2.75    | 6.03     | 1.5     |
| Oaks Mall W                  | 11.27   | 9.93     | 18.8    |
| NW 66 <sup>th</sup> St       | 1.85    | 11.46    | 7.4     |
| NW 62 <sup>nd</sup> St       | 21.16   | 19.47    | 71.81   |
| NW 60 <sup>th</sup> St       | 4.61    | 5.4      | 14.9    |
| NW 57 <sup>th</sup> St       | 1.03    | 3.06     | 5.48    |
| NW 55 <sup>th</sup> St       | 0.92    | 2.29     | 7.5     |
| NW 8 <sup>th</sup> Ave       | 1.36    | 6.16     | 3.2     |




Figure A-3.4. Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction

Table A-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| NW 8 <sup>th</sup> Ave       | 10.55   | 30       | 36.15   |
| NW 55 <sup>th</sup> St       | 8.76    | 9.88     | 12      |
| NW 57 <sup>th</sup> St       | 1.37    | 2.65     | 10.4    |
| NW 60 <sup>th</sup> St       | 8.43    | 11.86    | 21.95   |
| NW 62 <sup>nd</sup> St       | 5.91    | 9.44     | 14.95   |
| NW 66 <sup>th</sup> St       | 11.58   | 20.62    | 45.15   |
| Oaks Mall W                  | 4.56    | 7.94     | 15.8    |
| NW 69 <sup>th</sup> Terrace  | 57.5    | 20.23    | 46.05   |
| I-75 N                       | 8.87    | 2.27     | 16.48   |
| I-75 S                       | 31.63   | 9.65     | 24.62   |
| NW 75 <sup>th</sup> St       | 13.6    | 27.33    | 16.7    |
| NW 76 <sup>th</sup> Blvd     | 5.5     | 8.16     | 8.17    |




Figure A-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

### *Comparisons of Before and After Intersection Delay Times*

The differences in delay between the before and after study periods are shown in Table A-3.8 and Table A-3.9. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant deterioration in delay.

Table A-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| <b>Delay (sec)</b>          | <b>AM Peak</b> | <b>Off-Peak</b> | <b>PM Peak</b> | <b>Average</b> |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| NW 76 <sup>th</sup> Blvd    | -0.9           | 25.86           | 35.04          | 20             |
| NW 75 <sup>th</sup> St      | -0.33          | 3.06            | 34.75          | 12.49          |
| I-75 S                      | 12.33          | 29.37           | 47.12          | 29.61          |
| I-75 N                      | 2.73           | -0.62           | 2.74           | 1.62           |
| NW 69 <sup>th</sup> Terrace | -7.72          | 1.91            | -23.63         | -9.81          |
| Oaks Mall W                 | -3.79          | 4.69            | 5.11           | 2              |
| NW 66 <sup>th</sup> St      | -2.65          | -0.75           | -0.29          | -1.23          |
| NW 62 <sup>nd</sup> St      | 13.03          | 7.86            | 23.65          | 14.85          |
| NW 60 <sup>th</sup> St      | 1.88           | 1.72            | 9.35           | 4.32           |
| NW 57 <sup>th</sup> St      | -4.41          | 1.56            | -1.89          | -1.58          |
| NW 55 <sup>th</sup> St      | -0.75          | 0.84            | -0.04          | 0.02           |
| NW 8 <sup>th</sup> Ave      | -1.77          | 4.12            | 0.5            | 0.95           |
| <b>Average</b>              | 0.64           | 6.64            | 11.03          | 6.1            |

Table A-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay (sec)                 | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak | Average |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| NW 8 <sup>th</sup> Ave      | 6.28    | 18.99    | -7.23   | 6.02    |
| NW 55 <sup>th</sup> St      | -7.71   | 6.48     | 0.12    | -0.37   |
| NW 57 <sup>th</sup> St      | -0.24   | 1.26     | 6.06    | 2.36    |
| NW 60 <sup>th</sup> St      | -9.47   | 1.13     | 1.25    | -2.36   |
| NW 62 <sup>nd</sup> St      | 2.71    | 2.92     | -10.25  | -1.54   |
| NW 66 <sup>th</sup> St      | 7.78    | -3.16    | -13.61  | -3      |
| Oaks Mall W                 | 3.53    | -4.9     | -9.3    | -3.56   |
| NW 69 <sup>th</sup> Terrace | 34.9    | 0.55     | 16.81   | 17.42   |
| I-75 N                      | 3.07    | -0.25    | 5.38    | 2.73    |
| I-75 S                      | 18.63   | -7.42    | 0.96    | 4.06    |
| NW 75 <sup>th</sup> St      | 9.93    | 4.95     | -6.96   | 2.64    |
| NW 76 <sup>th</sup> Blvd    | -5.83   | 3.96     | 4.82    | 0.98    |
| <b>Average</b>              | 5.3     | 2.04     | -1      | 2.11    |




Figure A-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction




Figure A-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of delays:

- The intersection delay in the EB direction increased at 9 out of the 12 intersections by an average of 6.10 sec/intersection. Delay increased significantly during the PM peak, despite the fact that this is direction has a lower demand than the other during this time.

- The intersection delay in the WB direction increased at 8 out of the 12 intersections by an average of 2.11 sec/intersection. Delay increased the most during the AM peak, which is not the predominant direction of travel at that time.
- The results of number of stops at the intersection approaches (EB and WB directions) were consistent with the intersection delay findings. Thus the detailed comparison of number of stops is not included in this report.

### A.3.3. Queue Length

Queue length (number of vehicles/lane) is presented by movement and by time period. This measure is used to evaluate oversaturated conditions at the critical intersections along the study corridors. Note that the queue length reported here is the observed maximum number of vehicles queued during each cycle, and does not represent the total number of vehicles that may have stopped during the cycle. During some time periods, because of cycle failure, vehicles need to stop multiple times before passing through the intersection.

Figure B-3.8 presents the schematic of the lane configurations at the two critical intersections. Queue length is reported for each of these lanes.




Figure A-3.8 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Sept. 17 & Sept.18, 2014)

Table A-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at I-75N & Newberry Rd

| Time Period |         | Eastbound |     |     | NB    |       |       |      | EB   | Westbound |     |       |      |     |     |
|-------------|---------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-----------|-----|-------|------|-----|-----|
|             |         | Thru      |     |     | Left  |       | Right |      | Left | Thru      |     | Right | Thru |     |     |
| Lane Number |         | 1         | 2   | 3   | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9         | 10  | 11    | 12   | 13  | 14  |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 8         | 8   | 7.1 | 5.3   | 5.7   | 7     | 6.9  | N/A  | 3.4       | 4.3 | 4     | 0.9  | 0.7 | 1.6 |
|             | 2       | 8.4       | 8.4 | 6.6 | 5.3   | 7.6   | 6.6   | 7.4  | N/A  | 3.3       | 3.8 | 2.7   | 1.8  | 1.8 | 1.2 |
|             | 3       | 9         | 9   | 9   | 12.9  | 15.3  | 10.6  | 12.4 | N/A  | 4.3       | 6   | 7     | 4.8  | 4.5 | 3.3 |
|             | 4       | 9         | 9   | 9   | 4     | 7.2   | 3.7   | 3.8  | N/A  | 3.2       | 3.7 | 2.2   | 1.3  | 1.8 | 1.3 |
|             | Average | 8.6       | 8.6 | 7.9 | 6.9   | 8.9   | 7     | 7.6  | N/A  | 3.6       | 4.5 | 4     | 2.2  | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 1         | 2   | 2   | 4.7   | 4.7   | 1.2   | 4.5  | 3    | 2.3       | 1   | 1.7   | 0.3  | 0.8 | 0.5 |
|             | 2       | 3.2       | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.5   | 6     | 2.8   | 3.7  | 4.6  | 1.3       | 1.3 | 1.6   | 0    | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|             | 3       | 2.3       | 1.8 | 2.3 | 4.5   | 6.3   | 1.7   | 5.5  | 5    | 1.8       | 3.5 | 2.8   | 0    | 0.2 | 0.3 |
|             | 4       | 1.5       | 2.3 | 2.5 | 5.3   | 5     | 2.5   | 3.3  | 5.2  | 2.8       | 2.3 | 1.8   | 2.3  | 1.3 | 0.8 |
|             | Average | 2         | 2.4 | 2.7 | 4.8   | 5.5   | 2     | 4.3  | 4.4  | 2.1       | 2   | 2     | 0.7  | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 1.3       | 0.5 | 1.8 | 8.2   | 10.7  | 3.3   | 2.5  | 3.3  | 5.8       | 5.7 | 2.8   | 7    | 4.5 | 2.8 |
|             | 2       | 1.5       | 1.8 | 1.5 | 11.2  | 10.3  | 1.7   | 3.3  | 6.5  | 5.2       | 3.8 | 4     | 5.3  | 2.8 | 0.8 |
|             | 3       | 1.7       | 1   | 1.2 | 23.8* | 27.3* | 2.2   | 3.2  | 7.8  | 5.8       | 6.2 | 3.8   | 4.5  | 4.2 | 2.2 |
|             | 4       | 1.5       | 1.3 | 1.8 | 23.3* | 21.5* | 2     | 4.7  | 7.5  | 7         | 6   | 2     | 5.2  | 4.2 | 2.7 |
|             | Average | 1.5       | 1.2 | 1.6 | 16.6  | 17.5  | 2.3   | 3.4  | 6.3  | 6         | 5.4 | 3.2   | 5.5  | 3.9 | 2.1 |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure.

Note: Lane 8 was closed due to accident during AM Peak.



Table A-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | EB (Main) |      | WB (Main)     |            |                | Side Street |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------|
|             | Thru      | Left | Thru(9,10,11) | Thru+Right | Thru(12,13,14) | Left        | Right |
| AM Peak     | 8.4       | N/A  | 4.01          | 3.96       | 2.1            | 7.9         | 7.29  |
| Off-Peak    | 2.4       | 4.4  | 2.05          | 1.98       | 0.65           | 5.13        | 3.15  |
| PM Peak     | 1.4       | 6.3  | 5.69          | 3.17       | 3.85           | 17.04*      | 2.85  |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure.

Note: Lane 8 was closed due to accident during morning peak.

Table A-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | EB   |      |      |       | NB        |       |      | WB    |       |      |            | SB  |
|-------------|---------|------|------|------|-------|-----------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|------------|-----|
|             |         | Left | Thru |      | Right | Left/Thru | Right |      | Left  |       | Thru | Thru/Right | All |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5*        | 6*    | 7*   | 8     | 9     | 10   | 11         | 12  |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 1.4  | 15   | 16.4 | 16.6  | 8.2       | 12.6  | 12.6 | 14.4  | 14.2  | 2.8  | 3.4        | 1.6 |
|             | 2       | 0.4  | 9.2  | 11   | 9.6   | 5         | 6.6   | 7.8  | 9.2   | 8.8   | 2    | 1.7        | 1.3 |
|             | 3       | 0.1  | 6.7  | 6.3  | 5     | 7.3       | 4.6   | 4.6  | 8.3   | 7.6   | 1.1  | 1.6        | 2   |
|             | 4       | 1    | 5.9  | 6.7  | 6.1   | 5         | 3.4   | 3.7  | 5.4   | 4.6   | 1.1  | 2.4        | 1.3 |
|             | Average | 0.7  | 9.2  | 10.1 | 9.3   | 6.4       | 6.8   | 7.2  | 9.3   | 8.8   | 1.8  | 2.3        | 1.6 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 3.2  | 7.7  | 11.8 | 11.7  | 5.5       | 3.5   | 7.7  | 14.8  | 14.8  | 6.7  | 7.8        | 3.5 |
|             | 2       | 0.8  | 4.3  | 5.3  | 6.3   | 5.2       | 4.7   | 7    | 15.0* | 17.3* | 6.3  | 6.3        | 2.7 |
|             | 3       | 1.8  | 6.2  | 6.5  | 9.2   | 6         | 4.2   | 7.7  | 13.7* | 12.2* | 6.3  | 7.7        | 3.2 |
|             | 4       | 2    | 6.8  | 7.3  | 9.5   | 6         | 4.2   | 7.3  | 14.3  | 15.8  | 5.8  | 6.7        | 2.5 |
|             | Average | 2    | 6.3  | 7.8  | 9.2   | 5.7       | 4.1   | 7.4  | 14.5  | 15    | 6.3  | 7.1        | 3   |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 2.3  | 11.8 | 15   | 15.7  | 8         | 5.7   | 6.2  | 9.8   | 8.3   | 4.3  | 5          | 2.3 |
|             | 2       | 1.8  | 9.4  | 12   | 17.8  | 7.4       | 4.2   | 6.8  | 9.8   | 8.2   | 7.2  | 6.6        | 3.2 |
|             | 3       | 2    | 13   | 15.4 | 19    | 8         | 5.8   | 6.8  | 9.8   | 8.8   | 10.2 | 12.8       | 3.2 |
|             | 4       | 1.6  | 13   | 18.4 | 20    | 8         | 5.4   | 7.6  | 12.6  | 11.8  | 11.8 | 14.2       | 2.6 |
|             | Average | 1.9  | 11.8 | 15.2 | 18.1  | 7.9       | 5.3   | 6.8  | 10.5  | 9.3   | 8.4  | 9.7        | 2.8 |

Note: \*The queue length in Lanes 5,6, and 7 represents the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance.

Table A-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | EB (Main) |      |            | WB (Main) |      |            | NB        |       | SB              |
|-------------|-----------|------|------------|-----------|------|------------|-----------|-------|-----------------|
|             | Left      | Thru | Thru/Right | Left      | Thru | Thru/Right | Left/Thru | Right | Left/Thru/Right |
| AM Peak     | 0.74      | 9.65 | 9.34       | 9.06      | 1.77 | 2.27       | 6.37      | 6.99  | 1.55            |
| Off-Peak    | 1.96      | 7    | 9.17       | 14.75*    | 6.29 | 7.13       | 5.67      | 5.77  | 2.96            |
| PM Peak     | 1.93      | 13.5 | 18.12      | 9.9       | 8.38 | 9.65       | 7.85      | 6.05  | 2.83            |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure.

Note: The queue length of the NB movements represent the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance.

After Study (Nov. 19 & Nov. 20, 2014)

Table A-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | EB   |     |     | NB   |      |       |     | EB   | WB   |     |       |      |     |     |
|-------------|---------|------|-----|-----|------|------|-------|-----|------|------|-----|-------|------|-----|-----|
|             |         | Thru |     |     | Left |      | Right |     | Left | Thru |     | Right | Thru |     |     |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2   | 3   | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7   | 8    | 9    | 10  | 11    | 12   | 13  | 14  |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 9    | 9   | 8.6 | 6    | 6.4  | 7.8   | 10  | 3.2  | 7.6  | 7.6 | 2.6   | 2    | 0.8 | 2.2 |
|             | 2       | 9    | 9   | 8   | 5.4  | 4.8  | 6     | 8.2 | 5.2  | 6.6  | 7.2 | 2.6   | 1.8  | 2.2 | 0.6 |
|             | 3       | 5.4  | 5.4 | 3   | 4.4  | 5    | 3.4   | 3.4 | 4.4  | 2.4  | 2.9 | 1.1   | 0.3  | 0.5 | 0   |
|             | 4       | 2.8  | 2.4 | 2   | 2.8  | 4.2  | 2     | 3.8 | 5    | 1    | 0.3 | 0.3   | 1.2  | 0   | 0.2 |
|             | Average | 6.6  | 6.5 | 5.4 | 4.7  | 5.1  | 4.8   | 6.4 | 4.5  | 4.4  | 4.5 | 1.7   | 1.3  | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 0.5  | 1   | 1.3 | 5.2  | 6.3  | 2.7   | 4.7 | 4.5  | 3.8  | 1.8 | 2.3   | 0.2  | 0.7 | 0.3 |
|             | 2       | 1.5  | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3    | 5.7  | 1.8   | 4.5 | 5.3  | 3.5  | 2.5 | 2.7   | 0.3  | 0.5 | 0.3 |
|             | 3       | 3.8  | 2.8 | 2.2 | 4    | 6.8  | 3.8   | 6   | 4.8  | 2.8  | 2.2 | 1.5   | 0.3  | 0   | 1   |
|             | 4       | 1.2  | 1.5 | 1.7 | 4    | 6.2  | 1.7   | 5.3 | 7.5  | 4.7  | 3   | 2     | 2.8  | 1.5 | 1.3 |
|             | Average | 1.8  | 1.8 | 1.8 | 4    | 6.3  | 2.5   | 5.1 | 5.5  | 3.7  | 2.4 | 2.1   | 0.9  | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 3.8  | 2   | 2.6 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 2     | 5.2 | 9.6  | 8.4  | 7   | 5.8   | 9.6  | 5.8 | 5   |
|             | 2       | 3    | 1.2 | 3   | 10.4 | 13.8 | 2.8   | 4   | 9.6  | 5.2  | 6.4 | 7.6   | 7.6  | 9.8 | 9   |
|             | 3       | 1.2  | 1.2 | 2.4 | 9.4  | 10.4 | 2.6   | 4.8 | 7.8  | 6.6  | 4.4 | 3.8   | 7    | 5   | 4.4 |
|             | 4       | 1.6  | 2   | 2.4 | 8.2  | 9    | 2.4   | 3.2 | 7.7  | 3.3  | 2   | 2.8   | 3.7  | 2   | 1.7 |
|             | Average | 2.4  | 1.6 | 2.6 | 9.8  | 11   | 2.5   | 4.3 | 8.7  | 5.9  | 5   | 5     | 7    | 5.7 | 5   |

Table A-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at I-75N &amp; Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | EB (Main) |      | WB (Main)     |            |                | Side Street |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------|
|             | Thru      | Left | Thru(9,10,11) | Thru+Right | Thru(12,13,14) | Left        | Right |
| AM Peak     | 6.13      | 4.46 | 4.45          | 1.67       | 0.98           | 4.88        | 5.58  |
| Off-Peak    | 1.78      | 5.54 | 3.04          | 2.13       | 0.78           | 5.15        | 3.81  |
| PM Peak     | 2.2       | 8.67 | 5.42          | 5.01       | 5.88           | 10.4        | 3.38  |

Table A-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 75 ST &amp; Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | EB   |      |      |       | NB        |      |       | WB    |       |      |            | SB  |
|-------------|---------|------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------------|-----|
|             |         | Left | Thru |      | Right | Left/Thru |      | Right | Left  |       | Thru | Thru/Right | All |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5*        | 6*   | 7*    | 8     | 9     | 10   | 11         | 12  |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.6  | 16   | 16   | 15.4  | 6.8       | 11.4 | 11.8  | 13.8  | 11.5  | 9.5  | 7.7        | 2.3 |
|             | 2       | 0.5  | 9.5  | 10.5 | 12.7  | 8.5       | 6.2  | 6.8   | 12.7  | 9.8   | 7.3  | 6.7        | 1.3 |
|             | 3       | 0.4  | 5.2  | 7.2  | 9.6   | 6         | 6.6  | 8     | 8.2   | 9.2   | 1.2  | 2.6        | 0.6 |
|             | 4       | 0.2  | 4.4  | 3.8  | 8.4   | 7.8       | 3.2  | 4.8   | 9.4   | 6     | 2    | 2.2        | 0.6 |
|             | Average | 0.4  | 8.8  | 9.4  | 11.5  | 7.3       | 6.8  | 7.9   | 11    | 9.1   | 5    | 4.8        | 1.2 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 2.5  | 5.8  | 6.7  | 11.7  | 12        | 5.5  | 7.8   | 16.3* | 23.8* | 8    | 8.3        | 5.7 |
|             | 2       | 0.7  | 5.3  | 5.5  | 11    | 11.2      | 5.7  | 10    | 15.7* | 23.7* | 7.8  | 9.3        | 5.2 |
|             | 3       | 1.3  | 9.7  | 9.2  | 13.7  | 11.3      | 5.8  | 7.8   | 15.8* | 24.2* | 6.8  | 9          | 3   |
|             | 4       | 1    | 6.7  | 5.7  | 11    | 11.3      | 3.7  | 4.8   | 15.2* | 23.2* | 6.2  | 9          | 3.3 |
|             | Average | 1.4  | 6.9  | 6.8  | 11.8  | 11.5      | 5.2  | 7.6   | 15.8  | 23.7  | 7.2  | 8.9        | 4.3 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 1.3  | 13.2 | 13.2 | 15    | 12        | 4.7  | 7.2   | 12.6  | 11.8  | 12.2 | 12         | 4.2 |
|             | 2       | 1.2  | 12   | 12.3 | 15    | 12        | 7.5  | 9.3   | 12.8  | 12    | 11.2 | 11.6       | 2   |
|             | 3       | 1.7  | 14.2 | 15   | 15    | 12        | 11.5 | 11.7  | 12.2  | 11.4  | 15.4 | 14.4       | 4.4 |
|             | 4       | 2    | 12.7 | 14.3 | 15    | 11        | 1.8  | 2.7   | 15.2  | 16    | 14   | 13.4       | 4.4 |
|             | Average | 1.5  | 13   | 13.7 | 15    | 11.8      | 6.4  | 7.7   | 13.2  | 12.8  | 13.2 | 12.9       | 3.8 |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure.

Note: The queue length in Lane 5,6,and 7 represents the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance.

Table A-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 75 ST & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | EB (Main) |       |            | WB (Main) |      |            | NB# (Side) |       | SB (Side)       |
|-------------|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|------|------------|------------|-------|-----------------|
|             | Left      | Thru  | Thru+Right | Left      | Thru | Thru+Right | Left+Thru  | Right | Left+Thru+Right |
| AM Peak     | 0.43      | 9.08  | 11.52      | 10.08     | 5.01 | 4.78       | 7.28       | 7.35  | 1.22            |
| Off-Peak    | 1.38      | 6.81  | 11.83      | 19.73*    | 7.21 | 8.92       | 11.46      | 6.4   | 4.29            |
| PM Peak     | 1.54      | 13.35 | 15         | 13        | 13.2 | 12.85      | 11.75      | 7.04  | 3.75            |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure.

Note: The queue length of the NB movements represents the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance.

### *Comparisons of Before and After Queue Lengths for Critical Intersections*

The differences in queue length between the before and after measurements are shown in Table A-3.18 to Table A-3.21. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant increase in queue length.

Table A-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |      |       |       | Average Queue |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|---------------|
|             | 1           | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8    | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12   | 13    | 14    |               |
| AM Peak     | -2.06       | -2.16 | -2.53 | -2.21 | -3.83 | -2.15 | -1.29 | N/A  | 0.84  | 0.05  | -2.29 | 0.9  | -1.35 | -1.11 | -1.18         |
| Off-Peak    | -0.25       | -0.67 | -0.83 | -0.71 | 0.75  | 0.46  | 0.88  | 1.11 | 1.64  | 0.35  | 0.15  | 0.25 | -0.06 | 0.19  | 0.23          |
| PM Peak     | 0.9         | 0.43  | 1.02  | -6.83 | -6.46 | 0.16  | 0.88  | 2.38 | -0.07 | -0.47 | 1.84  | 1.47 | 1.73  | 2.89  | -0.01         |

Note: Lane 8 was closed for part of the AM Peak in the before data collection.

Table A-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | EB (Main) |      | WB (Main)     |            |                | NB (Side) |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-------|
|             | Thru      | Left | Thru(9,10,11) | Thru+Right | Thru(12,13,14) | Left      | Right |
| AM Peak     | -2.25     | N/A  | 0.45          | -2.29      | -1.12          | -3.02     | -1.72 |
| Off-Peak    | -0.58     | 1.11 | 0.99          | 0.15       | 0.13           | 0.02      | 0.67  |
| PM Peak     | 0.78      | 2.38 | -0.27         | 1.84       | 2.03           | -6.64     | 0.52  |

Note: The EB left turn lane was closed for part of the AM Peak in the before data collection.

Table A-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |       |       |       |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |       | Average Queue |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|---------------|
|             | 1           | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5#   | 6#   | 7#   | 8#    | 9     | 10   | 11   | 12    |               |
| AM Peak     | -0.31       | -0.42 | -0.73 | 2.18  | 0.9  | 0.04 | 0.69 | 1.7   | 0.34  | 3.24 | 2.52 | -0.34 | 0.82          |
| Off-Peak    | -0.58       | 0.63  | -1    | 2.67  | 5.79 | 1.04 | 0.21 | 1.29* | 8.67* | 0.92 | 1.79 | 1.33  | 1.9           |
| PM Peak     | -0.39       | 1.19  | -1.49 | -3.12 | 3.9  | 1.11 | 0.87 | 2.69  | 3.52  | 4.82 | 3.2  | 0.92  | 1.43          |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure.

Note: The queue length in Lanes 5,6, and 7 were the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance.

Table A-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) ) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | EB (Main) |       |            | WB (Main) |      |            | NB# (Side) |       | SB (Side)       |
|-------------|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|------|------------|------------|-------|-----------------|
|             | Left      | Thru  | Thru+Right | Left      | Thru | Thru+Right | Left+Thru  | Right | Left+Thru+Right |
| AM Peak     | -0.31     | -0.57 | 2.18       | 1.02      | 3.24 | 2.52       | 0.9        | 0.36  | -0.34           |
| Off-Peak    | -0.58     | -0.19 | 2.67       | 4.98*     | 0.92 | 1.79       | 5.79       | 0.62  | 1.33            |
| PM Peak     | -0.39     | -0.15 | -3.12      | 3.1       | 4.82 | 3.2        | 3.9        | 0.99  | 0.92            |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure.

Note: The queue length of the NB movements represent the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance.

## Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of queue length:

- For the I-75N & Newberry Rd intersection, the average queue length decreased by an average of 1.18 vehicles in the AM Peak, but increased by 0.23 vehicles in the off-peak. The highest increase was observed in the EB left turn queue, which increased during both the off-peak and PM Peak periods.
- For the 75 St. & Newberry Rd. intersection, the average queue increased during all three time periods by an average of 1.5 vehicles.

### A.3.4. Queue to Lane Storage Ratio

In addition to queue length, it is important to assess any impact to adjacent lanes or to upstream facilities. The queue to link/lane ratio is used to establish the likelihood of spillback, which is presented in this section by movement and by time period.

The following assumptions are used:

- The storage capacity is estimated as the maximum number of vehicles in the lane.
- The queue to link/lane storage ratio is estimated as 1 if the observer reported “spillback”, and as 0.8 if reported as the maximum number of vehicles in the sight distance.
- Queue to lane storage ratios over 80% are highlighted in yellow, as they represent conditions with a high probability for spillback.




Figure A-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections



Before Study (Sept. 17 & Sept.18, 2014)

Table A-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | Lane Number |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1           | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.8         | 0.8  | 0.71 | 0.2  | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.26 | N/A  | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.4  | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.16 |
|             | 2       | 0.84        | 0.84 | 0.66 | 0.2  | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.29 | N/A  | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.12 |
|             | 3       | 0.9         | 0.9  | 0.9  | 0.56 | 0.69 | 0.47 | 0.54 | N/A  | 0.43 | 0.6  | 0.7  | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.33 |
|             | 4       | 0.9         | 0.9  | 0.9  | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.15 | N/A  | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.13 |
|             | Average | 0.86        | 0.86 | 0.79 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.31 | N/A  | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.4  | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.19 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 0.1         | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.3  | 0.23 | 0.1  | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
|             | 2       | 0.32        | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.49 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0    | 0.06 | 0.06 |
|             | 3       | 0.23        | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.5  | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0    | 0.02 | 0.03 |
|             | 4       | 0.15        | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.1  | 0.13 | 0.55 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.08 |
|             | Average | 0.2         | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.06 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.13        | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.1  | 0.33 | 0.68 | 0.6  | 0.28 | 0.73 | 0.48 | 0.28 |
|             | 2       | 0.15        | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.43 | 0.4  | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.68 | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.55 | 0.28 | 0.08 |
|             | 3       | 0.17        | 0.1  | 0.12 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.88 | 0.6  | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.42 | 0.22 |
|             | 4       | 0.15        | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.87 | 0.79 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.82 | 0.73 | 0.65 | 0.2  | 0.53 | 0.42 | 0.3  |
|             | Average | 0.15        | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.33 | 0.58 | 0.4  | 0.22 |

Note: Lane 8 was closed during part of the AM Peak.

Table A-3.23 Number of Cycles with Spillback at I-75N &amp; Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | #cycles<br>in 15min | Lane Number |      |   |      |     |      |      |     |      |     |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|---------------------|-------------|------|---|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|------|
|             |         |                     | 1           | 2    | 3 | 4    | 5   | 6    | 7    | 8   | 9    | 10  | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 7                   | 5           | 5    | 3 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | N/A | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 2       | 6                   | 4           | 4    | 1 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | N/A | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 3       | 6                   | 6           | 6    | 6 | 1    | 2   | 1    | 1    | N/A | 0    | 2   | 2    | 1    | 0    | 1    |
|             | 4       | 6                   | 6           | 6    | 6 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | N/A | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | Average |                     | 5.25        | 5.25 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.25 | N/A | 0    | 0.5 | 0.5  | 0.25 | 0    | 0.25 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 6                   | 0           | 0    | 0 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 2       | 6                   | 0           | 0    | 0 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 1   | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 3       | 6                   | 0           | 0    | 0 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 4       | 6                   | 0           | 0    | 0 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 1   | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | Average |                     | 0           | 0    | 0 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0.5 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 6                   | 0           | 0    | 0 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0   | 3    | 1   | 0    | 2    | 1    | 0    |
|             | 2       | 6                   | 0           | 0    | 0 | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 3   | 1    | 0   | 1    | 1    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 3       | 6                   | 0           | 0    | 0 | 2    | 4   | 0    | 0    | 4   | 1    | 1   | 0    | 1    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 4       | 6                   | 0           | 0    | 0 | 2    | 2   | 0    | 0    | 3   | 2    | 2   | 0    | 1    | 0    | 1    |
|             | Average |                     | 0           | 0    | 0 | 1    | 1.5 | 0    | 0    | 2.5 | 1.75 | 1   | 0.25 | 1.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

Note: Lane 8 was closed during part of the AM Peak.

Table A-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 75 St. &amp; Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | Lane Number |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1           | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.04        | 0.8  | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.56 | 0.8  | 0.8  | 0.7  | 0.6  | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
|             | 2       | 0.05        | 0.63 | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.47 | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.6  | 0.6  | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.22 |
|             | 3       | 0           | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.3  | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.16 |
|             | 4       | 0.05        | 0.3  | 0.34 | 0.3  | 0.47 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
|             | Average | 0.04        | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.17 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 0.16        | 0.38 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.66 | 0.29 | 0.8  | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.35 |
|             | 2       | 0.04        | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.53 | 0.41 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.27 |
|             | 3       | 0.09        | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.8  | 0.4  | 0.75 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.32 |
|             | 4       | 0.1         | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.8  | 0.45 | 0.7  | 0.62 | 0.69 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.25 |
|             | Average | 0.1         | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.7  | 0.39 | 0.73 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.35 | 0.4  | 0.3  |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.12        | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8  | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.23 |
|             | 2       | 0.09        | 0.52 | 0.6  | 0.89 | 0.72 | 0.43 | 0.62 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.4  | 0.37 | 0.32 |
|             | 3       | 0.1         | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.95 | 0.8  | 0.56 | 0.67 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.32 |
|             | 4       | 0.08        | 0.8  | 0.92 | 1    | 0.8  | 0.48 | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 0.26 |
|             | Average | 0.1         | 0.7  | 0.76 | 0.91 | 0.78 | 0.5  | 0.64 | 0.46 | 0.4  | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.28 |

Table A-3.25 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 75 St. &amp; Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | #cycles in 15min | Lane Number |      |      |      |   |   |   |      |   |    |    |    |
|-------------|---------|------------------|-------------|------|------|------|---|---|---|------|---|----|----|----|
|             |         |                  | 1           | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8    | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 5                | 1           | 1    | 1    | 1    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | 2       | 5                | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | 3       | 8                | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | 4       | 8                | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | Average |                  | 0           | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 6                | 0           | 0    | 2    | 2    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | 2       | 6                | 0           | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | 3       | 6                | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | 4       | 6                | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | Average |                  | 0           | 0    | 0.5  | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 6                | 0           | 3    | 3    | 3    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | 2       | 5                | 0           | 1    | 1    | 3    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | 3       | 5                | 0           | 2    | 2    | 4    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | 4       | 5                | 0           | 3    | 4    | 5    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|             | Average |                  | 0           | 2.25 | 2.5  | 3.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |

After Study (Nov. 19 & Nov. 20, 2014)

Table A-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | Lane Number |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1           | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.90        | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.22 |
|             | 2       | 0.90        | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.66 | 0.74 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.06 |
|             | 3       | 0.54        | 0.54 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
|             | 4       | 0.28        | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
|             | Average | 0.66        | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.07 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 0.05        | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
|             | 2       | 0.15        | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
|             | 3       | 0.40        | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
|             | 4       | 0.12        | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.78 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.15 | 0.13 |
|             | Average | 0.18        | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.57 | 0.37 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.38        | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.74 | 0.62 | 0.88 | 0.60 | 0.56 |
|             | 2       | 0.30        | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.56 | 0.66 | 0.80 | 0.74 | 0.96 | 0.90 |
|             | 3       | 0.12        | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.88 | 0.66 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.80 | 0.60 | 0.44 |
|             | 4       | 0.15        | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.86 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.52 | 0.22 | 0.20 |
|             | Average | 0.24        | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.92 | 0.61 | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.53 |

Table A-3.27 Number of Cycles with Spillback at I-75N &amp; Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | #cycles in 15 mins | Lane Number |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |      |
|-------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------|
|             |         |                    | 1           | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6        | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13       | 14   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 5                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 1        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0    |
|             | 2       | 5                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0    |
|             | 3       | 5                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0    |
|             | 4       | 5                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0    |
|             | Average |                    | 0.0<br>0    | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 6                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0    |
|             | 2       | 6                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0    |
|             | 3       | 6                  | 1           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0    |
|             | 4       | 6                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 2        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 0        | 0    |
|             | Average |                    | 0.2<br>5    | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 5                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 2        | 3        | 1        | 1        | 4        | 1        | 2    |
|             | 2       | 5                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 5        | 1        | 1        | 3        | 1        | 3        | 2    |
|             | 3       | 5                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 2        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 1        | 0    |
|             | 4       | 5                  | 0           | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 2        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 0        | 0    |
|             | Average |                    | 0.0<br>0    | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 2.7<br>5 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.5<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.7<br>5 | 1.2<br>5 | 1.00 |

Table A-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at 75 St. &amp; Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |                | Lane Number |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|             |                | 1           | 2           | 3           | 4           | 5           | 6           | 7           | 8           | 9           | 10          | 11          | 12          |
| AM Peak     | 1              | 0.03        | 0.73        | 0.73        | 0.72        | 0.48        | 0.80        | 0.80        | 0.60        | 0.50        | 0.34        | 0.30        | 0.16        |
|             | 2              | 0.04        | 0.68        | 0.71        | 0.87        | 0.71        | 0.60        | 0.68        | 0.60        | 0.50        | 0.53        | 0.39        | 0.20        |
|             | 3              | 0.01        | 0.28        | 0.35        | 0.43        | 0.47        | 0.51        | 0.61        | 0.40        | 0.40        | 0.17        | 0.23        | 0.07        |
|             | 4              | 0.02        | 0.28        | 0.28        | 0.51        | 0.58        | 0.30        | 0.42        | 0.43        | 0.30        | 0.13        | 0.12        | 0.02        |
|             | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.03</b> | <b>0.49</b> | <b>0.52</b> | <b>0.63</b> | <b>0.56</b> | <b>0.55</b> | <b>0.63</b> | <b>0.51</b> | <b>0.42</b> | <b>0.29</b> | <b>0.26</b> | <b>0.11</b> |
| Off-Peak    | 1              | 0.13        | 0.29        | 0.33        | 0.67        | 0.80        | 0.46        | 0.59        | 0.93        | 0.96        | 0.44        | 0.46        | 0.57        |
|             | 2              | 0.03        | 0.27        | 0.28        | 0.68        | 0.76        | 0.44        | 0.70        | 1.00        | 0.97        | 0.44        | 0.52        | 0.52        |
|             | 3              | 0.07        | 0.48        | 0.46        | 0.85        | 0.81        | 0.45        | 0.59        | 1.00        | 0.99        | 0.38        | 0.50        | 0.30        |
|             | 4              | 0.05        | 0.33        | 0.28        | 0.63        | 0.78        | 0.31        | 0.40        | 0.93        | 0.93        | 0.34        | 0.50        | 0.33        |
|             | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.07</b> | <b>0.34</b> | <b>0.34</b> | <b>0.71</b> | <b>0.79</b> | <b>0.41</b> | <b>0.57</b> | <b>0.97</b> | <b>0.97</b> | <b>0.40</b> | <b>0.50</b> | <b>0.43</b> |
| PM Peak     | 1              | 0.07        | 0.83        | 0.83        | 1.00        | 0.80        | 0.39        | 0.60        | 0.55        | 0.51        | 0.68        | 0.67        | 0.42        |
|             | 2              | 0.06        | 0.68        | 0.70        | 1.00        | 0.80        | 0.59        | 0.74        | 0.56        | 0.52        | 0.62        | 0.64        | 0.20        |
|             | 3              | 0.08        | 0.92        | 1.00        | 1.00        | 0.80        | 0.79        | 0.81        | 0.53        | 0.50        | 0.86        | 0.80        | 0.44        |
|             | 4              | 0.10        | 0.72        | 0.88        | 1.00        | 0.82        | 0.15        | 0.22        | 0.66        | 0.70        | 0.78        | 0.74        | 0.44        |
|             | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.08</b> | <b>0.79</b> | <b>0.85</b> | <b>1.00</b> | <b>0.80</b> | <b>0.48</b> | <b>0.59</b> | <b>0.57</b> | <b>0.56</b> | <b>0.73</b> | <b>0.71</b> | <b>0.38</b> |

Table A-3.29 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 75 St. &amp; Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |         | #cycles<br>in 15<br>mins | Lane Number |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|--------------------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         |                          | 1           | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 5                        | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 2       | 6                        | 2           | 2    | 4    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 3       | 6                        | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 4       | 6                        | 0           | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | Average |                          | 0.50        | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Off-Peak    | 1       | 6                        | 0           | 0    | 0    | 2    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 4    | 4    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 2       | 6                        | 0           | 0    | 0    | 3    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 6    | 4    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 3       | 6                        | 0           | 0    | 0    | 3    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 6    | 4    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 4       | 6                        | 0           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 2    | 2    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | Average |                          | 0.00        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 5                        | 0           | 4    | 4    | 5    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 2       | 5                        | 0           | 2    | 2    | 5    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 3       | 5                        | 0           | 4    | 4    | 4    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | 4       | 5                        | 0           | 2    | 4    | 5    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
|             | Average |                          | 0.00        | 3.00 | 3.50 | 4.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |



### Comparisons of Before and After Queue Storage Ratios

The differences in Queue Storage Ratio between before and after measurements are shown in Table A-3.30 to Table A-3.33. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant deterioration in queue storage ratios or spillback potential.

Table A-3.30 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       | Average |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
|             | 1           | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8    | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13    | 14    |         |
| AM Peak     | -0.21       | -0.22 | -0.25 | -0.10 | -0.17 | -0.10 | -0.07 | N/A  | 0.09  | 0.02  | -0.23 | -0.09 | -0.14 | -0.11 | -0.08   |
| Off-Peak    | 0.02        | 0.07  | 0.08  | 0.03  | 0.03  | 0.02  | 0.03  | 0.11 | 0.16  | 0.03  | 0.01  | 0.03  | -0.01 | 0.02  | 0.02    |
| PM Peak     | 0.09        | 0.04  | 0.10  | -0.24 | -0.21 | 0.01  | 0.04  | 0.24 | -0.04 | -0.05 | 0.20  | 0.16  | 0.20  | 0.30  | 0.06    |

Note: Lane 8 was closed during part of the AM Peak Period in the Before data collection.

Table A-3.31 Difference in Percent of Cycles with Spillback at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |     |     | Average |
|-------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
|             | 1           | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9    | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  |         |
| AM Peak     | -85%        | -85% | -65% | -4%  | -8%  | -4% | -4% | N/A | 4%   | -1% | -8% | -4% | 0%  | -4% | -19%    |
| Off-Peak    | 4%          | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%   | 0%  | 0%  | 8%  | 0%   | 0%  | 0%  | 4%  | 0%  | 0%  | 1%      |
| PM Peak     | 0%          | 0%   | 0%   | -17% | -25% | 0%  | 0%  | 4%  | -13% | -8% | 13% | 8%  | 17% | 13% | -1%     |

Note: Lane 8 was closed during part of the AM Peak Period in the Before data collection.

Table A-3.32 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |       |       |      |      |       |       |      |       |      |      |       | Average |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|---------|
|             | 1           | 2     | 3     | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7     | 8    | 9     | 10   | 11   | 12    |         |
| AM Peak     | -0.01       | -0.03 | -0.04 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.02  | 0.07  | 0.03 | -0.01 | 0.20 | 0.13 | -0.06 | 0.04    |
| Off-Peak    | -0.03       | 0.03  | -0.05 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.03  | -0.16 | 0.34 | 0.32  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.13  | 0.09    |
| PM Peak     | -0.02       | 0.09  | 0.09  | 0.09 | 0.02 | -0.01 | -0.05 | 0.12 | 0.15  | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.09  | 0.09    |

Table A-3.33 Difference in Percent of Cycles with Spillback at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |     |     |     |    |    |    |     |     |    |    |    | Average |
|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|----|----|---------|
|             | 1           | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8   | 9   | 10 | 11 | 12 |         |
| AM Peak     | 10%         | 5%  | 15% | -2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | -5% | 0%  | 0% | 0% | 0% | 2%      |
| Off-Peak    | 0%          | 0%  | -8% | 21% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 71% | 58% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 12%     |
| PM Peak     | 0%          | 14% | 19% | 18% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0%  | 0%  | 0% | 0% | 0% | 4%      |

#### Discussion

The following were concluded regarding queue storage ratios:

- Queue storage ratios were fairly high during the AM peak in the before data collection for the I-75N intersection. These were substantially improved with the new system.
- Queue storage ratios increased for the 75 St. intersection, with increased probability for spillback.

### A.3.5. Equivalent PCE Flows

Traffic flows were counted manually and converted to equivalent PCE flows (pce/hour) by considering the percentage of heavy vehicles. It was assumed that the PCE for trucks is 2. Note that the truck percentage in the “before study” was not observed. The following tables provide truck percentages by movement, as well as traffic volumes in units of pce/hr.

#### Truck Percentage Observations

Table A-3.34 Truck Percentages at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Period   | Interval | SB   |      |       | WB   |              |              | NB           |      |              | EB           |              |       | Total        |
|----------|----------|------|------|-------|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|
|          |          | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right |              |
| AM Peak  | 1        |      |      |       |      | 2.46%        | 4.55%        | 5.00%        |      | 2.06%        | 5.00%        | 0.85%        |       | 3.32%        |
|          | 2        |      |      |       |      | 2.68%        | 1.96%        | 5.22%        |      | 3.55%        | 0.00%        | 0.99%        |       | 2.40%        |
|          | 3        |      |      |       |      | 3.45%        | 0.00%        | 3.85%        |      | 2.75%        | 3.13%        | 0.92%        |       | 2.35%        |
|          | 4        |      |      |       |      | 3.93%        | 1.43%        | 7.23%        |      | 4.10%        | 6.45%        | 2.12%        |       | 4.21%        |
|          | Average  |      |      |       |      | <b>3.13%</b> | <b>1.98%</b> | <b>5.32%</b> |      | <b>3.11%</b> | <b>3.64%</b> | <b>1.22%</b> |       | <b>3.07%</b> |
| Off-Peak | 1        |      |      |       |      | 1.64%        | 0.00%        | 5.63%        |      | 3.00%        | 9.09%        | 3.22%        |       | 3.76%        |
|          | 2        |      |      |       |      | 0.29%        | 0.00%        | 4.65%        |      | 4.76%        | 3.45%        | 2.02%        |       | 2.53%        |
|          | 3        |      |      |       |      | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.94%        |      | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.97%        |       | 1.15%        |
|          | 4        |      |      |       |      | 0.79%        | 0.00%        | 2.11%        |      | 1.02%        | 2.56%        | 1.53%        |       | 1.33%        |
|          | Average  |      |      |       |      | <b>0.68%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>4.58%</b> |      | <b>2.20%</b> | <b>3.78%</b> | <b>1.93%</b> |       | <b>2.19%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1        |      |      |       |      | 1.64%        | 0.00%        | 2.92%        |      | 0.00%        | 9.09%        | 1.59%        |       | 2.54%        |

| Period | Interval | SB   |      |       | WB   |       |       | NB    |      |       | EB    |       |       | Total |
|--------|----------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|        |          | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right |       |
|        | 2        |      |      |       |      | 0.29% | 0.00% | 1.80% |      | 0.00% | 3.45% | 0.43% |       | 0.99% |
|        | 3        |      |      |       |      | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.59% |      | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.76% |       | 0.22% |
|        | 4        |      |      |       |      | 0.79% | 0.00% | 0.67% |      | 1.03% | 2.56% | 1.06% |       | 1.02% |
|        | Average  |      |      |       |      | 0.68% | 0.00% | 1.49% |      | 0.26% | 3.78% | 0.96% |       | 1.19% |

Table A-3.35 Truck Percentages at 75 St. &amp; Newberry Rd.

| Period   | Interval | SB     |        |        | WB    |       |        | NB    |        |       | EB     |       |       | Total |
|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
|          |          | Left   | Thru   | Right  | Left  | Thru  | Right  | Left  | Thru   | Right | Left   | Thru  | Right |       |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 7.04% | 6.11% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 1.20% | 5.56%  | 1.52% | 2.99% | 2.03% |
|          | 2        | 0.00%  | 20.00% | 0.00%  | 2.43% | 5.05% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 1.86% | 0.00%  | 1.91% | 5.88% | 3.09% |
|          | 3        | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 1.09% | 5.50% | 0.00%  | 6.06% | 0.00%  | 2.30% | 5.88%  | 1.85% | 5.13% | 2.32% |
|          | 4        | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 3.53% | 2.30% | 16.67% | 2.38% | 0.00%  | 2.74% | 2.86%  | 3.14% | 2.08% | 2.98% |
|          | Average  | 0.00%  | 5.00%  | 0.00%  | 3.52% | 4.74% | 4.17%  | 2.11% | 0.00%  | 2.02% | 3.57%  | 2.11% | 4.02% | 2.61% |
| Off-Peak | 1        | 0.00%  | 6.25%  | 0.00%  | 1.36% | 1.77% | 0.00%  | 1.41% | 0.00%  | 1.13% | 11.11% | 1.53% | 3.08% | 2.30% |
|          | 2        | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 1.51% | 0.00%  | 1.43% | 0.00%  | 2.09% | 0.00%  | 2.30% | 1.59% | 0.74% |
|          | 3        | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 4.55% | 3.97% | 0.00%  | 1.11% | 0.00%  | 1.15% | 0.00%  | 2.64% | 1.35% | 1.23% |
|          | 4        | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 3.00% | 0.97% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 1.95% | 3.03% | 0.75% |
|          | Average  | 0.00%  | 1.56%  | 0.00%  | 2.23% | 2.05% | 0.00%  | 0.99% | 0.00%  | 1.09% | 2.78%  | 2.11% | 2.26% | 1.26% |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 25.00% | 4.29% | 3.99% | 0.00%  | 3.13% | 14.29% | 0.99% | 0.00%  | 1.90% | 0.00% | 4.46% |
|          | 2        | 7.14%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 1.23% | 3.31% | 0.00%  | 1.54% | 0.00%  | 2.35% | 0.00%  | 0.52% | 0.00% | 1.34% |
|          | 3        | 22.22% | 9.09%  | 0.00%  | 2.85% | 4.74% | 9.09%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 1.41% | 0.00%  | 2.02% | 0.00% | 4.29% |
|          | 4        | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 3.27% | 1.64% | 0.00%  | 0.85% | 0.00%  | 1.05% | 0.00%  | 0.46% | 0.00% | 0.61% |
|          | Average  | 7.34%  | 2.27%  | 6.25%  | 2.91% | 3.42% | 2.27%  | 1.38% | 0.00%  | 1.45% | 0.00%  | 1.23% | 0.00% | 2.38% |

Before Study (Sept. 17 & Sept.18, 2014)

Table A-3.36 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |           | SB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | EB   |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         |      |      |       |      | 195  | N/A   | 69   |      | 97    | N/A  | 520  |       |
|             | 2         |      |      |       |      | 340  | N/A   | 141  |      | 129   | N/A  | 627  |       |
|             | 3         |      |      |       |      | 282  | N/A   | 78   |      | 90    | N/A  | 626  |       |
|             | 4         |      |      |       |      | 317  | N/A   | 183  |      | 238   | N/A  | 487  |       |
|             | Flow Rate |      |      |       |      | 1134 | N/A   | 471  |      | 554   | N/A  | 2260 |       |
| Off-Peak    | 1         |      |      |       |      | 379  | 62    | 78   |      | 84    | 27   | 448  |       |
|             | 2         |      |      |       |      | 386  | 105   | 89   |      | 68    | 34   | 420  |       |
|             | 3         |      |      |       |      | 349  | 78    | 74   |      | 77    | 33   | 492  |       |
|             | 4         |      |      |       |      | 409  | 88    | 70   |      | 90    | 30   | 398  |       |
|             | Flow Rate |      |      |       |      | 1524 | 333   | 311  |      | 319   | 125  | 1758 |       |
| PM Peak     | 1         |      |      |       |      | 504  | 120   | 117  |      | 77    | 56   | 407  |       |
|             | 2         |      |      |       |      | 483  | 132   | 133  |      | 85    | 43   | 417  |       |
|             | 3         |      |      |       |      | 426  | 137   | 110  |      | 107   | 45   | 439  |       |
|             | 4         |      |      |       |      | 499  | 96    | 125  |      | 87    | 35   | 360  |       |
|             | Flow Rate |      |      |       |      | 1912 | 485   | 485  |      | 356   | 178  | 1623 |       |

Note: No traffic was recorded for the WB right and EB left during the AM peak due to an incident.

Table A-3.37 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |           | SB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | EB   |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 6    | 5    | 1     | 176  | 157  | 13    | 44   | 7    | 282   | 3    | 377  | 26    |
|             | 2         | 5    | 8    | 0     | 177  | 164  | 17    | 23   | 21   | 288   | 9    | 347  | 31    |
|             | 3         | 6    | 3    | 2     | 135  | 196  | 8     | 51   | 8    | 210   | 9    | 306  | 41    |
|             | 4         | 5    | 3    | 1     | 156  | 175  | 14    | 67   | 6    | 190   | 5    | 218  | 49    |
|             | Flow Rate | 22   | 19   | 4     | 645  | 692  | 52    | 185  | 42   | 970   | 26   | 1248 | 147   |
| Off-Peak    | 1         | 10   | 3    | 3     | 136  | 245  | 8     | 65   | 0    | 174   | 5    | 216  | 56    |
|             | 2         | 8    | 7    | 3     | 155  | 274  | 12    | 50   | 5    | 177   | 6    | 224  | 74    |
|             | 3         | 9    | 5    | 3     | 166  | 289  | 12    | 48   | 4    | 181   | 7    | 231  | 61    |
|             | 4         | 10   | 7    | 0     | 209  | 289  | 14    | 75   | 3    | 187   | 9    | 226  | 88    |
|             | Flow Rate | 37   | 22   | 9     | 666  | 1097 | 46    | 238  | 12   | 719   | 27   | 897  | 279   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 7    | 6    | 8     | 215  | 370  | 10    | 56   | 0    | 115   | 5    | 234  | 59    |
|             | 2         | 4    | 2    | 1     | 247  | 420  | 13    | 55   | 3    | 189   | 11   | 251  | 68    |
|             | 3         | 7    | 11   | 1     | 211  | 430  | 2     | 88   | 1    | 231   | 11   | 238  | 99    |
|             | 4         | 7    | 6    | 1     | 222  | 427  | 2     | 84   | 8    | 155   | 10   | 189  | 56    |
|             | Flow Rate | 26   | 25   | 11    | 895  | 1648 | 27    | 283  | 12   | 690   | 37   | 912  | 282   |

After Study (Nov. 19 & Nov. 20, 2014)

Table A-3.38 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |           | Southbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         |            |      |       |           | 203  | 44    | 120        |      | 97    | 40        | 819  |       |
|             | 2         |            |      |       |           | 261  | 51    | 115        |      | 141   | 43        | 806  |       |
|             | 3         |            |      |       |           | 261  | 53    | 78         |      | 109   | 32        | 543  |       |
|             | 4         |            |      |       |           | 331  | 70    | 83         |      | 122   | 31        | 613  |       |
|             | Flow Rate |            |      |       |           | 1056 | 218   | 396        |      | 469   | 146       | 2781 |       |
| Off-Peak    | 1         |            |      |       |           | 427  | 76    | 71         |      | 100   | 33        | 497  |       |
|             | 2         |            |      |       |           | 339  | 79    | 86         |      | 105   | 29        | 495  |       |
|             | 3         |            |      |       |           | 406  | 86    | 101        |      | 85    | 44        | 414  |       |
|             | 4         |            |      |       |           | 507  | 121   | 95         |      | 98    | 39        | 392  |       |
|             | Flow Rate |            |      |       |           | 1679 | 362   | 353        |      | 388   | 145       | 1798 |       |
| PM Peak     | 1         |            |      |       |           | 509  | 143   | 137        |      | 76    | 51        | 504  |       |
|             | 2         |            |      |       |           | 503  | 161   | 167        |      | 90    | 53        | 468  |       |
|             | 3         |            |      |       |           | 539  | 163   | 170        |      | 63    | 62        | 528  |       |
|             | 4         |            |      |       |           | 441  | 125   | 149        |      | 97    | 53        | 379  |       |
|             | Flow Rate |            |      |       |           | 1993 | 592   | 623        |      | 326   | 219       | 1879 |       |



Table A-3.39 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period |           | Southbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 7          | 3    | 0     | 142       | 131  | 4     | 36         | 1    | 251   | 18        | 396  | 67    |
|             | 2         | 3          | 5    | 1     | 206       | 198  | 5     | 36         | 6    | 323   | 10        | 366  | 34    |
|             | 3         | 4          | 4    | 0     | 184       | 200  | 4     | 66         | 5    | 261   | 17        | 324  | 39    |
|             | 4         | 5          | 4    | 1     | 170       | 217  | 6     | 42         | 4    | 219   | 35        | 318  | 48    |
|             | Flow Rate | 19         | 16   | 2     | 702       | 746  | 19    | 180        | 16   | 1054  | 80        | 1404 | 188   |
| Off-Peak    | 1         | 10         | 16   | 4     | 147       | 282  | 9     | 71         | 1    | 177   | 9         | 261  | 65    |
|             | 2         | 6          | 6    | 5     | 137       | 331  | 11    | 70         | 0    | 191   | 3         | 261  | 63    |
|             | 3         | 6          | 13   | 8     | 154       | 378  | 12    | 90         | 0    | 174   | 7         | 265  | 74    |
|             | 4         | 12         | 8    | 5     | 100       | 414  | 17    | 61         | 2    | 208   | 3         | 256  | 66    |
|             | Flow Rate | 34         | 43   | 22    | 538       | 1405 | 49    | 292        | 3    | 750   | 22        | 1043 | 268   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 8          | 6    | 4     | 219       | 409  | 6     | 64         | 7    | 203   | 3         | 210  | 65    |
|             | 2         | 14         | 10   | 1     | 261       | 494  | 14    | 65         | 0    | 170   | 2         | 194  | 59    |
|             | 3         | 9          | 11   | 2     | 239       | 474  | 11    | 80         | 2    | 213   | 9         | 247  | 43    |
|             | 4         | 6          | 12   | 2     | 233       | 448  | 6     | 117        | 0    | 191   | 9         | 219  | 73    |
|             | Flow Rate | 34         | 43   | 22    | 538       | 1405 | 49    | 292        | 3    | 750   | 22        | 1043 | 268   |

### Comparisons of Before and After Flows

The differences in traffic flow between the before and after measurements are shown in Table A-3.40 and Table A-3.41. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant decrease, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant increase in flow.

Table A-3.40 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Period   | Southbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       |
|----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|          | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  |            |      |       |           | -78  | N/A   | -75        |      | -85   | N/A       | 521  |       |
| Off-Peak |            |      |       |           | 155  | 29    | 42         |      | 69    | 20        | 40   |       |
| PM Peak  |            |      |       |           | 82   | 107   | 138        |      | -30   | 41        | 256  |       |

Note: No traffic of WB right and EB left during AM peak of Before Study due to accidents.

Table A-3.41 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Period   | Southbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       |
|----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|          | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | -3         | -3   | -2    | 57        | 54   | -33   | -5         | -26  | 84    | 54        | 156  | 41    |
| Off-Peak | -3         | 21   | 13    | -128      | 308  | 3     | 54         | -9   | 31    | -5        | 146  | -11   |
| PM Peak  | 11         | 14   | -2    | 57        | 178  | 10    | 43         | -3   | 87    | -14       | -42  | -42   |

### Discussion

The following can be concluded regarding traffic volumes at this corridor:

- The traffic volume at both critical intersections (I-75N & Newberry Rd. and 75 St. & Newberry Rd.) increased during all three time periods by an average of 9.9% and 8.59%, respectively.
- The increased travel times and queues may be at least partially due to the increase in flows between the before and after data collection periods.

### A.3.6. Consideration of Traffic Flows Jointly with Queue Length

The differences in “Traffic Flow” and “Queue Length” between before and after measurements are shown in Table A-3.42 and Table A-3.43. The tables are color-coded as follows: green indicates that “Queue” decreases and “Traffic Flow” increases, Red indicates “Queue” increases and “Traffic Flow” decreases, No Color indicates “Traffic Flow” and “Queue” increase or decrease at the same time. Generally, green indicates improvement despite an increase in flow.

Table A-3.42 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Westbound (Main) |      |       |       |      | Eastbound (Main) |      |      |       |       | Northbound (Side) |       |      |       |       | Southbound (Side) |      |       |
|-------------|------------------|------|-------|-------|------|------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------------------|------|-------|
|             | Left             | Thru |       | Right |      | Left             |      | Thru |       | Right | Left              |       | Thru | Right |       | Left              | Thru | Right |
|             |                  | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q    | TF               | Q    | TF   | Q     |       | TF                | Q     |      | TF    | Q     |                   |      |       |
| AM Peak     |                  | -78  | -1.53 | N/A   | N/A  | N/A              | N/A  | 521  | -2.25 |       | -75               | -3.02 |      | -85   | -1.72 |                   |      |       |
| Off-Peak    |                  | 155  | 0.94  | 29    | 0.2  | 20               | 1.11 | 40   | -0.58 |       | 42                | 0.02  |      | 69    | 0.67  |                   |      |       |
| PM Peak     |                  | 82   | 1.21  | 107   | 0.36 | 41               | 2.38 | 256  | 0.78  |       | 138               | -6.64 |      | -30   | 0.52  |                   |      |       |

Note: No traffic of WB right and EB left during AM Peak of Before Study due to accidents.

Table A-3.43 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at I-75N & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Westbound (Main) |            |              |         |         | Eastbound (Main) |         |         |              |       | Northbound (Side) |              |      |              |              | Southbound (Side) |      |       |
|-------------|------------------|------------|--------------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|--------------|-------|-------------------|--------------|------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|------|-------|
|             | Left             | Thru       |              | Right   |         | Left             |         | Thru    |              | Right | Left              |              | Thru | Right        |              | Left              | Thru | Right |
|             |                  | TF         | Q            | TF      | Q       | TF               | Q       | TF      | Q            |       | TF                | Q            |      | TF           | Q            |                   |      |       |
| AM Peak     |                  | -<br>6.90% | -<br>23.10 % | N/A     | N/A     | N/A              | N/A     | 23.10 % | -<br>26.80 % |       | -<br>15.90 %      | -<br>38.30 % |      | -<br>15.30 % | -<br>23.60 % |                   |      |       |
| Off-Peak    |                  | 10.20 %    | 28.30 %      | 8.70%   | 28.30 % | 16.10 %          | 25.00 % | 2.30%   | -<br>24.70 % |       | 13.50 %           | 0.40%        |      | 21.60 %      | 21.20 %      |                   |      |       |
| PM Peak     |                  | 4.30%      | 17.70 %      | 22.10 % | 17.70 % | 22.90 %          | 37.70 % | 15.80 % | 55.30 %      |       | 28.50 %           | -<br>39.00 % |      | -<br>8.40%   | 18.20 %      |                   |      |       |

Note: No traffic of WB right and EB left during AM Peak of Before Study due to accidents.

Table A-3.44 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Westbound (Main) |          |         |          |         |          | Eastbound (Main) |           |         |           |         |           | Northbound (Side) |          |         |          |        |          | Southbound (Side) |           |      |           |       |           |
|-------------|------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|-------------------|-----------|------|-----------|-------|-----------|
|             | Left             |          | Thru    |          | Right   |          | Left             |           | Thru    |           | Right   |           | Left              |          | Thru    |          | Right  |          | Left              |           | Thru |           | Right |           |
|             | TF               | Q        | TF      | Q        | TF      | Q        | TF               | Q         | TF      | Q         | TF      | Q         | T<br>F            | Q        | TF      | Q        | T<br>F | Q        | TF                | Q         | TF   | Q         | TF    | Q         |
| AM Peak     | 57               | 1.0<br>2 | 54      | 5.6<br>1 | -<br>33 | 0.1<br>4 | 54               | -<br>0.31 | 15<br>6 | 1.42      | 41      | 0.19      | -5                | 0.8<br>3 | -<br>26 | 0.0<br>7 | 8<br>4 | 0.3<br>6 | -3                | -<br>0.02 | -3   | -<br>0.28 | -2    | -<br>0.04 |
| Off-Peak    | -<br>128         | 4.9<br>8 | 30<br>8 | 2.6<br>2 | 3       | 0.0<br>9 | -5               | -<br>0.58 | 14<br>6 | 1.97      | -<br>11 | 0.51      | 5<br>4            | 5.7<br>3 | -9      | 0.0<br>6 | 3<br>1 | 0.6<br>2 | -3                | 0.02      | 21   | 1.04      | 13    | 0.27      |
| PM Peak     | 57               | 3.1      | 17<br>8 | 7.8<br>6 | 10      | 0.1<br>6 | -<br>14          | -<br>0.39 | -42     | -<br>2.56 | -<br>42 | -<br>0.71 | 4<br>3            | 3.8      | -3      | 0.1      | 8<br>7 | 0.9<br>9 | 11                | 0.02      | 14   | 0.7       | -2    | 0.19      |

Table A-3.45 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at 75 St. & Newberry Rd.

| Time Period | Westbound (Main) |      |      |       |        |       | Eastbound (Main) |      |      |        |        |        | Northbound (Side) |       |        |       |       |      | Southbound (Side) |        |        |        |       |      |
|-------------|------------------|------|------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|
|             | Left             |      | Thru |       | Right  |       | Left             |      | Thru |        | Right  |        | Left              |       | Thru   |       | Right |      | Left              |        | Thru   |        | Right |      |
|             | TF               | Q    | TF   | Q     | TF     | Q     | TF               | Q    | TF   | Q      | TF     | Q      | TF                | Q     | TF     | Q     | TF    | Q    | TF                | Q      | TF     | Q      | TF    | Q    |
| AM Peak     | 9%               | 11 % | 8%   | 142 % | - 63 % | 142 % | 208 %            | - 3% | 13 % | 8%     | 28 %   | 8%     | - 3%              | 14%   | - 62 % | 14%   | 9%    | 5%   | - 14 %            | - 22 % | - 18 % | - 22 % | - 50% | 23 % |
| Off-Peak    | - 19 %           | 34 % | 28 % | 20%   | 7%     | 20%   | - 19%            | - 8% | 16 % | 15 %   | -4%    | 15 %   | 23 %              | 102 % | - 75 % | 102 % | 4%    | 11 % | -8%               | 45 %   | 94 %   | 45 %   | 144 % | 21 % |
| PM Peak     | 6%               | 31 % | 11 % | 44%   | 36 %   | 44%   | - 38%            | - 3% | - 5% | - 10 % | - 15 % | - 10 % | 15 %              | 50%   | - 25 % | 50%   | 13 %  | 16 % | 44 %              | 32 %   | 57 %   | 32 %   | - 14% | 35 % |

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of traffic flows jointly with queue length:

- There does not appear to be a high correlation between increasing traffic and increasing queue at these two critical intersections.
- It is shown from the colored cells in the tables that generally speaking, traffic conditions at the 75 St. & Newberry Rd. intersection have deteriorated during several time periods after the SynchroGreen installation.

Conditions at the I-75 N intersection have improved despite an increase in flow.

## A.4. Questionnaire

### SECTION 1: RESPONDENT'S INFORMATION

Name **Max Elliott**

Organization City of Gainesville Public Works Department Traffic Management

Position ITS Operations Engineer

Address 405 NW 39th Ave

Phone 352-393-7960

Fax

E-mail ElliottSM@CityofGainesville.org

### SECTION 2: PREVIOUS TRAFFIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

1. Please specify the type of traffic control used before Adaptive Signal Control

Technology (ASCT) was installed for your site. (Please check all that apply.)

a. Fixed time coordinated control

**b. Actuated coordinated control**

c. Fixed-time isolated control

d. Actuated isolated control

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

2. What type of traffic controller was used before ASCT was implemented?

**a. NEMA TS-1** b. NEMA TS-2

c. 170 d. 2070

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

How many detectors were used before ASCT was implemented for a typical intersection?

Please also specify the location where the detectors were typically placed for each specific detector type (video detection, inductive loops, radars, etc.).

**One Detector per lane, 30' modified type C detectors, at the stopbar.**

3. What was the frequency of retiming the signal timing plan for the corridor before ASCT was implemented?

**Last full retiming was over 3 years ago. Timings have been continuously modified.**

4. How often were maintenance and updates performed to your previous control system in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: **Within 60 days of failure**
- b. Control Hardware: **Controllers swapped out with firmware updates.**
- c. Software: **Updated for major Trafficware firmware changes.**

5. What was the annual maintenance cost in terms of hardware, software and personnel of the previous control system for the whole corridor where the ASCT is now deployed?  
**Annual preventative maintenance, updates and trouble calls run approximately \$3,000 per intersection.**

6. What was the life expectancy of your traffic control before the deployment of ASCT?  
(Please refer to the hardware and software.)

**The controllers were scheduled to be replaced.**

7. How many crashes of each category were reported during the past 4 years before the ASCT implementation? (2011-2014)

|      | K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2011 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2012 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2013 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2014 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

### SECTION 3: ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM (ASCT)

9. Which Adaptive Traffic Control System (ASCT) does your agency deploy?

- a. InSync; Version: \_\_\_\_\_
- b. **Synchro Green**; Version: \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Other; please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

10. Did you consider any other ASCT before you selected this one for installation? Why did you reject the other(s) in favor of this one?

**It was chosen to compare to the InSync system that will be going along NW 8<sup>th</sup> Ave**



11. What were your major criteria for choosing the ASCT for the selected corridor?

**Extended cycle lengths.**

12. What is the life expectancy of your ASCT system? (Consider both hardware and software.)

**A few months for the software. Some signals will be rebuilt soon.**

13. What type of traffic controller is currently in use after the ASCT implementation?

- a. Same as before the ASCT implementation
- b. **New, please specify type: Trafficware Nema 980/ATC**
- c. Other, specify:

14. How many and what type of detectors are used after the ASCT implementation on an intersection level? Please note any updates that the previous detection system needed so as to work with your ASCT.

**No changes yet. Video detection coming with resurfacing.**

15. Was there a need to update your previous software operating system at your Transportation Management Center in order to get your current ASCT software working?

- a. **Yes**
- b. No

16. How often do you plan to perform physical maintenance or updates on your new ASCT in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: **Annual Preventative Maintenance**
- b. ASCT Hardware: **Annual Preventative Maintenance**
- c. Software: **As updates are made**

17. Was there a need for training your personnel in order to operate the new ASCT?

- a. **Yes, Num. of employees trained 8. Hours of training per employee 4.**
- b. No

18. Was there any change on the number of staff required to operate/maintain the effective signal ASCT operations? (Comparison of staff needed before and after the deployment.)

**No**

19. Where did expertise come from for your personnel's training, the ASCT installation, operation and the system's maintenance? Please check all that apply. **From marilo**

|                   | In-House   | Vendor     | Contractor |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Employee Training |            | <b>Yes</b> |            |
| ASCT Installation | <b>Yes</b> |            |            |
| ASCT Operation    | <b>Yes</b> |            |            |
| ASCT Maintenance  | <b>Yes</b> |            |            |

20. How many crashes of each category were reported after ASCT was implemented?

| K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

#### SECTION 4: COST COMPONENTS

21. What is the overall capital cost of purchasing the ASCT (in terms of the software and licenses of the ASCT) for the corridor? If the purchase includes any service of implementation, personnel training or maintenance, please also specify here.

**Purchasing \$254,500 in total; \$11,500 SynchroGreen per Arterial (installation, initial settings, implementation), \$14750\* intersection license (processor firmware and support), \$75,000 central server license**

22. What is the implementation cost (considering the installation on site, any updates in software and hardware, design needs and contract hours) for the ASCT corridor? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

**included in the per Arterial cost noted above**

23. What was total cost for training your personnel to operate and manage the new ASCT? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

**\$2,500 for 8-hour on site training (included in total cost -in \$254,500-)**

24. What is the expected maintenance cost (in terms of hardware, software and personnel) for the ASCT corridor on a yearly basis? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

**Approximately \$3,000 per intersection (FDOT average spending per intersection).**

25. Can you specify the costs for the following ASCT components?

- a. Firmware \$\_\_\_\_\_ b. Software \$\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Equipment d. Maintenance of Traffic Cost \$ **0.00**
- e. Design Needs \$\_\_\_\_\_ f. Contract Help/Agency Hours Cost \$ **0.00**

## **SECTION 5: INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES**

26. Were there any institutional issues that you had to overcome while implementing and operating the ASCT project? Please categorize and explain those issues below.

a. Organization and Management Institutional Issues:

**Management of time to put toward correcting issues.**

b. Regulatory and Legal Institutional Issues:

c. Human and Facility Resources Institutional Issues:

d. Financial Institutional Issues:

27. Which component (e.g.,detection, communication, hardware, software, licensing or other) of your ASCT deployment is the most challenging to maintain and why?

### **Communication**

28. What happens to your ASCT operations when some of your detectors fail on the corridor level?

- a. ASCT triggers an alarm and notifies operators
- b. ASCT switches to an “off-line” mode by implementing Time-Of-Day plans
- c. Combination of the above. d. **Other (please describe): Nothing**

29. How is your ASCT performance evaluated?

a. **In-house:**

- b. By an independent evaluator, please describe: \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Not applicable—there is no evaluation
- d. Combination:

30. If the corridor on which the ASCT operates experiences over saturation, how would you rate the operation of the ASCT in response to these traffic conditions?

- a. ASCT prevents or eliminates oversaturation
- b. ASCT eliminates or reduces the extent of the periods of oversaturation
- c. **ASCT adversely affects the traffic conditions during periods of oversaturation**
- d. Other: Doesn't prevent or eliminate but helps to manage oversaturation
- e. Oversaturation is very rare on the corridors operated by our ASCT

31. Based on your opinion and the up-to-date operation, when are ASCT operations proven to be the most effective?

- a. Peak periods
- b. Off-peak periods**
- c. Shoulders of peak periods
- d. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

32. Has the level of performance of ASCT been sustained since its installation?

- a. Yes
- b. No; why not? Not originally programmed for demands.**

33. What was the public reaction to the ASCT operation? Have you received any complaints about long delays and queues?

**Numerous complaints**

34. How satisfied are you, in general, with your ASCT deployment?

- a. Very satisfied
- b. Somewhat satisfied
- c. **Neutral**
- d. Somewhat dissatisfied
- e. Not satisfied at all

35. Are there any other costs or benefits related to ASCT deployment that you would like to report?

a. Benefits:

b. Costs: **Extensive time programming.**

36. Would you consider expanding the ASCT program to any other corridors? Why or why not? If yes, would you use the same technology/firmware or have any suggestions for other systems? If so, why?

**Yes. Along a less congested corridor with advanced detection.**

## **SECTION 6: SAFETY ISSUES**

37. Do you have any anecdotal / qualitative data on safety benefits / disbenefits of the signal improvement along this corridor?

---

---

38. Has there been other changes along this corridor over the analysis period that could affect the safety of this corridor either positively or negatively?

---

---

39. Are you aware of any changes to the crash data reporting procedures over the analysis period? If yes, what are the changes?

---

---

---

---

40. What are your overall reactions to the trends presented in the report?

---

---

41. Is there another comparable corridor in which signal improvements have not been made to which the results of this corridor can be compared to?

---

---

42. Other thoughts / comments for us?

---

---

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. Your responses will help us with evaluating the deployment and benefits of your current ASCT.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact Ria Kontou, email: [ekontou@ufl.edu](mailto:ekontou@ufl.edu) or Liteng Zha, email: [litengzha@ufl.edu](mailto:litengzha@ufl.edu) who work under the direct supervision of the faculty advisor Dr. Yafeng Yin.

## **A.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis**

**\*Note: No Benefit Cost Analysis for this site\***

## **APPENDIX B: Summary of US-17/92, Volusia County**

### **B.1. Executive Summary**

The objective of this research is to evaluate the implementation of proposed Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT) traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the installation of specific ASCT, document the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT. This appendix summarizes the before and after field data along the US-17/92, Deland corridor, from W Beresford Ave to Firehouse Rd. The InSync system was installed in October and November of 2014 on all 5 intersections in the corridor.

Floating car runs were conducted to obtain travel times through the corridor, and traffic counts and queue counts were collected at two critical intersections (Taylor Rd and Orange Camp Rd ) over three time periods (AM Peak, off-peak and PM Peak).

Both the before and after studies were conducted over two days. Five performance measures were evaluated: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (critical intersections), Queue to Lane Storage Ratio (critical intersections), and Equivalent PCE Flows (critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and presented in this report.

The following were observed:

- Oversaturated conditions were not observed in the before or after study.
- The travel time along US-17/92 NB decreased during all study periods by an average of 0.66 min (10.6%). The link travel time along US-17/92 SB decreased during all periods by an average of 1.67 min (25%).
- The intersection through movement delay of US-17/92 NB decreased at 4 out of 5 intersections by an average of 11.8 sec/intersection (49.6%). The intersection through movement delay of US-17/92 SB decreased for all 5 intersections by an average of 16.9 sec/intersection (49.5%).
- In general, the new signal control strategy does not greatly change the overall queue length at the two critical intersections studied. The average queue length for the US-17/92 & Taylor Rd. intersection decreased by an average of 0.41 vehicles, while the average queue length for the US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. intersection increased during all three time periods by an average of 0.09 vehicles.
- The traffic volume at both intersections (Taylor and Orange Camp) increased during all three time periods by an average of 229 pcu/h/ln (6.2%), except for the off-peak period which had a decrease by an average of 54 pcu/h/ln (1.5%).

Part of the decreases in delays and travel times may be due to the change in coordination from two coordination groups to a single coordination group, but approaches that were coordinated in the earlier system still showed lower delays. In general, the In-sync signal system has shown clear operational improvement. A detailed interview with District 5 indicates that the system was performing up to expectations and deployment and operation of the system was well-supported by the supplier.

## B.2. Corridor Information

Figure B-2.1 provides a schematic of the US-17/92 Deland corridor. Table B-2.1 lists the intersections along the corridor. During the before study, there are two separated coordination groups in the studied corridor, first coordination group includes intersection W Beresford Ave, W New Hampshire Ave and Taylor Rd, the second coordination group includes intersection Orange Camp Rd and Firehouse Rd while all intersections are coordinated in the after study. Since through trucks are not permitted from intersection Taylor Rd northwards, so trucks have to make a detour, thus there are obviously large northbound left turn volumes on intersection Taylor Rd & US-17/92. For the studied corridor, oversaturation condition is very rare.

Two intersections (Taylor Rd and Beresford Ave) were selected as the critical intersections along the corridor and detailed turning movement and queue counts were collected at these. The data collection time period for US-17/92 Corridor is identified as Table B-2.1.

Table B-2.1 Field Data Collection Time Period (US-17/92 Corridor, Deland)

|             | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak |
|-------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Time Period | 7am-9am | 11am-1pm | 4pm-6pm |

Figure B-2.1 provides the lane configuration of these two critical intersections. The red circles are the data collection spot for turning movement and queue counts for the intersections.










Figure B-2.1 Schematic of the US-17/92, Deland corridor

Table B-2.2 Intersections along the US-17/92, Deland Corridor

| <b>EB</b> | <b>Signalized Intersection</b>       |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| <b>1</b>  | W Beresford Ave & US-17/92           |
| <b>2</b>  | W New Hampshire Ave & US-17/92       |
| <b>3</b>  | <b>Taylor Rd &amp; US-17/92</b>      |
| <b>4</b>  | <b>Orange Camp Rd &amp; US-17/92</b> |
| <b>5</b>  | Firehouse Rd & US-17/92              |



(a) Taylor Rd & US-17/92

(b) Orange Camp Rd & US-17/92

Figure B-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections

### B.3. Performance Measures

Five performance measures were evaluated: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (critical intersections), Queue-to-Lane Storage Ratio (critical intersections), and PCE Flows (critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and the results of the differences (“after data” – “before data”) are presented.

#### B.3.1. Route Travel Time

The average travel time (min) along the route was measured using a floating car. We were able to cover 18 before and 18 after runs during the AM peak, 17 before and 18 after runs during the off-peak and 16 before and 17 after runs during the PM peak. Table B-3.1 and Table B-3.2 provide the route travel time for before and after study, since the distance between Taylor Rd and Orange Camp Rd is over 1 mile, we reported the travel time data separately along the corridor. NB1 means the travel time from intersection Firehouse Rd to Orange Camp Rd, NB2 is from Taylor Rd to W Beresford Ave, SB1 is from W Beresford Ave to Taylor Rd, and SB2 is from Orange Camp Rd to Firehouse Rd.

*Before Study (Oct. 1 & 2, 2014)*

Table B-3.1 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak | Average |
|----------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| NB1            | 2.04    | 2.84     | 2.79    | 2.54    |
| NB2            | 3.75    | 3.31     | 4.01    | 3.7     |
| SB1            | 2.49    | 2.62     | 3.66    | 2.9     |
| SB2            | 3.36    | 4.04     | 4.01    | 3.79    |
| NB             | 5.79    | 6.15     | 6.8     | 6.24    |
| SB             | 5.86    | 6.66     | 7.67    | 6.69    |

After Study (Dec. 16 & 17, 2014)


Table B-3.2 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak | Average |
|----------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| NB1            | 2.30    | 2.55     | 3.02    | 2.62    |
| NB2            | 2.95    | 2.91     | 3.04    | 2.96    |
| SB1            | 1.94    | 1.98     | 2.06    | 1.99    |
| SB2            | 3.25    | 2.93     | 2.89    | 3.03    |
| NB Total       | 5.25    | 5.46     | 6.05    | 5.58    |
| SB Total       | 5.20    | 4.91     | 4.95    | 5.02    |


*Comparisons of Before and After Travel Times*

Table B-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (after – before)

| Route TT (min) | AM Peak  | Off-Peak | PM Peak  | Average  |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| NB             | -0.54    | -0.69    | -0.75    | -0.66    |
|                | (-9.3%)  | (-11.2%) | (-11.0%) | (-10.6%) |
| SB             | -0.66    | -1.75    | -2.72    | -1.67    |
|                | (-11.3%) | (-26.3%) | (-35.5%) | (-25.0%) |



(a) US-17/92 NB



(b) US-17/92 SB

Figure B-3.1 Travel times along US-17/92, Deland

## Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of travel times:

- The travel time along US-17/92 decreased during all three periods by an average of 0.66 min (10.6%) in the NB and 1.66 min (25%) in the SB.
- In the before data, travel time in the SB was higher than in the NB during all three study periods, while in the after study, the NB has a higher travel time. Traffic patterns changed slightly between the before and after studies, which would account for some of the larger decreases in southbound travel time. Detailed flow rates are found in Section 5.

### B.3.2. Delay

Delay (sec) at each intersection along the corridor was also obtained using the floating car measurements.

*Before Study (Oct. 1 & 2, 2014)*

Table B-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction

| Delay by Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Beresford Ave                | 44.92   | 27.45    | 24.5    |
| Firehouse                    | 6.39    | 17.05    | 15.88   |
| New Hampshire                | 9.43    | 10.14    | 48.74   |
| Orange Camp                  | 18.83   | 50.24    | 49.45   |
| Taylor                       | 28.6    | 20.88    | 27.87   |




Figure B-3.2 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction

Table B-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction

| Delay by Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Beresford Ave                | 14.13   | 17.59    | 19.29   |
| Firehouse                    | 23.25   | 10.43    | 13.9    |
| New Hampshire                | 7.61    | 25.57    | 14.68   |
| Orange Camp                  | 30.68   | 49.77    | 108.88  |
| Taylor                       | 33.25   | 42.46    | 57.18   |




Figure B-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the SB direction

After Study (Dec. 16 & 17, 2014)

Table B-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Beresford Ave                | 18.30   | 16.61    | 22.69   |
| Firehouse                    | 7.17    | 4.06     | 14.16   |
| New Hampshire                | 10.13   | 3.39     | 3.02    |
| Orange Camp                  | 31.33   | 49.63    | 67.33   |
| Taylor                       | 11.56   | 16.91    | 12.71   |




Figure B-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction

Table B-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Beresford Ave                | 12.74   | 19.20    | 11.21   |
| Firehouse                    | 4.53    | 3.34     | 7.81    |
| New Hampshire                | 11.24   | 6.47     | 5.62    |
| Orange Camp                  | 16.63   | 20.81    | 22.71   |
| Taylor                       | 25.30   | 26.20    | 20.92   |




Figure B-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the SB direction



### *Comparisons of Before and After Intersection Delay Times*

The differences in delay between before and after study are shown in Table B-3.8 and Table B-3.9. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows deterioration in delay.

Table B-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Beresford Ave                | -26.62  | -10.84   | -1.81   |
| Firehouse                    | 0.79    | -12.99   | -1.73   |
| New Hampshire                | 0.70    | -6.75    | -45.72  |
| Orange Camp                  | 12.50   | -0.60    | 17.88   |
| Taylor                       | -17.04  | -3.97    | -15.16  |

Table B-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off-Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Beresford Ave                | -1.39   | 1.61     | -8.07   |
| Firehouse                    | -18.73  | -7.09    | -6.10   |
| New Hampshire                | 3.63    | -19.11   | -9.06   |
| Orange Camp                  | -14.05  | -28.95   | -86.18  |
| Taylor                       | -7.96   | -16.26   | -36.26  |




Figure B-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction




Figure B-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the SB direction

## Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of delays:

- The intersection delay decreased at most of the intersections and periods, there are only 2 intersections have relatively big increase in delay (12.50% and 17.88%), the rest of the intersections and periods show great improvement in delay, and there are 18 intersections (NB and SB, all periods) whose delay decrease over 10%.
- In the AM peak period, the intersection delay at Orange Camp NB increased greatly; the intersection delay increased slightly at Firehouse NB and New Hampshire in both directions.
- In the off-peak period, the intersection delay at Beresford Ave SB increased slightly.
- In the PM peak period, the intersection delay at Orange Camp NB increased greatly.

The increases in delay for NB Orange Camp were not justified based on the volume observed, and may be due to the priorities of the optimization algorithm. The algorithm may be adjusted to reallocate the delay within the intersection based on agency preferences.

### B.3.3. Queue Length

Queue length (number of vehicles/lane) is presented by movement and by time period. This measure is used to evaluate oversaturated conditions at the critical intersections along the study corridors. Note that the queue length reported here is the observed maximum number of vehicles queued during each cycle, and does not represent the total number of vehicles that may have stopped during the cycle.

Figure B-3.8 presents the schematic of the lane configurations at the two critical intersections. Queue length is reported for each of these lanes.




Figure B-3.8 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Oct. 1 & 2, 2014)

Table B-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd

| Time Period |         | Lane Number |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1           | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
| AM<br>Peak  | 1       | 1           | 3.29  | 2.71  | 2.86  | 1.14 | 2    | 4.43 | 5.29 | 13.43 | 13.29 | 11.43 | 12.43 | 0    | 3.43 | 7.29 | 1.43 |
|             | 2       | 1.14        | 4.86  | 4.29  | 4.43  | 1.57 | 3.57 | 4.43 | 7.29 | 10.71 | 10.57 | 15.86 | 15.43 | 0.14 | 2.29 | 3    | 0.86 |
|             | 3       | 1.29        | 5.57  | 4.71  | 3.86  | 2.43 | 1.71 | 4.14 | 5    | 8.57  | 9.57  | 7.29  | 7.71  | 0.14 | 3.29 | 4.71 | 2.29 |
|             | 4       | 0.57        | 4.57  | 4.86  | 2.29  | 1.71 | 1.86 | 3    | 6.71 | 7.29  | 6.57  | 5.29  | 5.43  | 0.14 | 1.86 | 2    | 0.57 |
|             | Average | 1           | 4.57  | 4.14  | 3.36  | 1.71 | 2.29 | 4    | 6.07 | 10    | 10    | 9.96  | 10.25 | 0.11 | 2.71 | 4.25 | 1.29 |
| Off<br>Peak | 1       | 1.43        | 6.57  | 3.71  | 3.71  | 2.86 | 1.29 | 1.43 | 4.14 | 5.43  | 6.14  | 10.14 | 9.57  | 0    | 1.86 | 1.14 | 0.14 |
|             | 2       | 1           | 5.71  | 5.86  | 4.71  | 3.14 | 1    | 1.86 | 5.29 | 6     | 7.57  | 8.43  | 8.71  | 0    | 2.29 | 1.57 | 0.71 |
|             | 3       | 1.14        | 6.29  | 6.14  | 4.57  | 2.57 | 1.86 | 1.71 | 4.29 | 6.29  | 6.86  | 9.43  | 9.71  | 0.14 | 2.14 | 2.29 | 0.14 |
|             | 4       | 2           | 5.86  | 5.29  | 3.57  | 3.14 | 2.43 | 1.71 | 4.14 | 7     | 6.57  | 8.71  | 10.29 | 0.14 | 2.86 | 2.14 | 0.14 |
|             | Average | 1.39        | 6.11  | 5.25  | 4.14  | 2.93 | 1.64 | 1.68 | 4.46 | 6.18  | 6.79  | 9.18  | 9.57  | 0.07 | 2.29 | 1.79 | 0.29 |
| PM<br>Peak  | 1       | 2           | 10.5  | 10.5  | 7.33  | 2.83 | 3.67 | 3    | 6.33 | 12.33 | 12.67 | 14.83 | 16.83 | 0.17 | 2.5  | 3.5  | 0    |
|             | 2       | 2           | 12.17 | 10.83 | 12.17 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 4.5  | 9.17 | 7.33  | 8.17  | 6     | 9.17  | 0.17 | 2.33 | 3    | 1.33 |
|             | 3       | 1.67        | 12.17 | 12.5  | 9.33  | 1.83 | 3.67 | 3    | 6    | 9.33  | 10    | 5.33  | 5.83  | 0.17 | 2    | 3.83 | 0    |
|             | 4       | 3           | 8.33  | 9     | 6.67  | 2.33 | 3.83 | 2.83 | 4.17 | 7.83  | 11.83 | 7.5   | 8.83  | 0    | 2.5  | 2    | 0.17 |
|             | Average | 2.17        | 10.79 | 10.71 | 8.88  | 2.67 | 3.71 | 3.33 | 6.42 | 9.21  | 10.67 | 8.42  | 10.17 | 0.13 | 2.33 | 3.08 | 0.38 |

Table B-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 &amp; Taylor Rd

| Time Period | SB(Main) |            | EB   |      |       | NB(Main) |       |       | WB   |      |       |
|-------------|----------|------------|------|------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
|             | Left     | Thru+Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left     | Thru  | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1        | 4.02       | 1.71 | 2.29 | 5.04  | 10       | 10.11 | 0.11  | 2.71 | 4.25 | 1.29  |
| Off-Peak    | 1.39     | 5.17       | 2.93 | 1.64 | 3.07  | 6.48     | 9.38  | 0.07  | 2.29 | 1.79 | 0.29  |
| PM Peak     | 2.17     | 10.13      | 2.67 | 3.71 | 4.88  | 9.94     | 9.29  | 0.13  | 2.33 | 3.08 | 0.38  |

Table B-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 &amp; Orange Camp Rd.

| Time Period |         | Lane |       |       |       |   |      |      |      |      |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|---|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1    | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5 | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10    | 11    | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 3.67 | 4.83  | 6.83  | 10    | 0 | 2.67 | 2    | 1.83 | 4.83 | 12.5  | 12.5  | 9.33 | 0.67 | 2.33 | 1.33 | 3.5  |
|             | 2       | 5.17 | 7     | 9.17  | 8.83  | 0 | 1.17 | 3.5  | 1.67 | 3    | 11.17 | 10.67 | 8    | 0    | 3.33 | 2.17 | 1    |
|             | 3       | 4    | 6.33  | 7.17  | 9.17  | 0 | 1.83 | 2.17 | 1.5  | 3    | 9     | 9     | 4.5  | 1.33 | 2.17 | 2.33 | 2    |
|             | 4       | 4.17 | 3.67  | 4.67  | 7.5   | 0 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 1.33 | 3.17 | 6     | 3.83  | 2.67 | 0.17 | 2    | 1.33 | 1    |
|             | Average | 4.25 | 5.46  | 6.96  | 8.88  | 0 | 2    | 2.5  | 1.58 | 3.5  | 9.67  | 9     | 6.13 | 0.54 | 2.46 | 1.79 | 1.88 |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 7.33 | 4.5   | 5.5   | 8.5   | 0 | 2    | 2.5  | 3    | 4.5  | 6.67  | 6.83  | 4.17 | 0.33 | 1.83 | 4.33 | 1.83 |
|             | 2       | 8.33 | 8.67  | 10.17 | 10.67 | 0 | 4.17 | 3.33 | 1.5  | 6.5  | 13.33 | 11.33 | 7    | 1    | 2.67 | 2.33 | 3.17 |
|             | 3       | 7.83 | 10.83 | 10.67 | 13.17 | 0 | 3.83 | 2.5  | 2.17 | 5.83 | 6.67  | 4.67  | 3.17 | 0.5  | 3    | 1.67 | 2.17 |

| Time Period |         | Lane |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1    | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
|             | 4       | 7.33 | 7.5   | 8.67  | 8.5   | 0.17 | 4.5  | 1.83 | 1.83 | 5.83 | 8.5  | 6     | 2.5  | 0    | 2.83 | 3    | 2.67 |
|             | Average | 7.71 | 7.88  | 8.75  | 10.21 | 0.04 | 3.63 | 2.54 | 2.13 | 5.67 | 8.79 | 7.21  | 4.21 | 0.46 | 2.58 | 2.83 | 2.46 |
| PM<br>Peak  | 1       | 8.8  | 15    | 14    | 8.8   | 0.8  | 5    | 8.6  | 2    | 8.8  | 13.2 | 15.8  | 17   | 0    | 4    | 3.8  | 3.2  |
|             | 2       | 10.4 | 11.2  | 10.2  | 5.4   | 0    | 2.2  | 4.2  | 1.4  | 7.8  | 13.6 | 16.2  | 19   | 0    | 3.2  | 3.2  | 3.6  |
|             | 3       | 8.2  | 18.4  | 16.4  | 12.2  | 0    | 3.4  | 5    | 3.2  | 10   | 21.4 | 27    | 31.4 | 0    | 2.2  | 3.2  | 3.6  |
|             | 4       | 7.6  | 8     | 6.8   | 5     | 0    | 4.2  | 2.4  | 3    | 7.6  | 8.6  | 11.2  | 13   | 0    | 3.4  | 7    | 2.4  |
|             | Average | 8.75 | 13.15 | 11.85 | 7.85  | 0.2  | 3.7  | 5.05 | 2.4  | 8.55 | 14.2 | 17.55 | 20.1 | 0    | 3.2  | 4.3  | 3.2  |

Table B-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

| Time     | SB(Main) |       |       | EB   |      |       | NB(Main) |       |       | WB   |      |       |
|----------|----------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          | Left     | Thru  | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left     | Thru  | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 4.25     | 7.1   | 0     | 2    | 2.5  | 1.58  | 3.5      | 8.26  | 0.54  | 2.46 | 1.79 | 1.88  |
| Off-Peak | 7.71     | 8.94  | 0.04  | 3.63 | 2.54 | 2.13  | 5.67     | 6.74  | 0.46  | 2.58 | 2.83 | 2.46  |
| PM Peak  | 8.75     | 10.95 | 0.2   | 3.7  | 5.05 | 2.4   | 8.55     | 17.28 | 0     | 3.2  | 4.3  | 3.2   |

After Study (Nov. 19 & Nov. 20, 2014)

Table B-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Time     | Period  | Lane |       |       |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|----------|---------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|          |         | 1    | 2     | 3     | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
| AM Peak  | 1       | 1.33 | 5.67  | 4.83  | 3.33 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 3.50 | 6.50  | 6.83  | 6.50  | 4.67 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 5.33 | 1.33 |
|          | 2       | 1.33 | 6.33  | 5.83  | 4.17 | 2.33 | 2.50 | 1.67 | 3.17  | 5.33  | 5.17  | 4.33 | 4.50 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 4.50 | 1.67 |
|          | 3       | 1.17 | 8.83  | 7.67  | 4.17 | 2.00 | 2.33 | 1.83 | 3.17  | 3.33  | 3.17  | 4.33 | 4.00 | 0.17 | 4.33 | 3.50 | 1.00 |
|          | 4       | 0.67 | 5.67  | 6.17  | 3.67 | 3.00 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 1.83  | 3.00  | 3.33  | 3.33 | 4.00 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 1.67 | 1.00 |
|          | Average | 1.13 | 6.63  | 6.13  | 3.83 | 2.21 | 2.08 | 2.00 | 3.67  | 4.63  | 4.54  | 4.17 | 4.13 | 0.13 | 2.63 | 3.75 | 1.25 |
| Off-Peak | 1       | 1.67 | 9.33  | 7.17  | 5.33 | 3.50 | 2.33 | 2.67 | 5.67  | 5.50  | 5.50  | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.17 | 1.83 | 2.50 | 0.83 |
|          | 2       | 2.33 | 11.83 | 7.33  | 4.50 | 3.67 | 2.67 | 2.83 | 6.17  | 7.00  | 6.83  | 4.17 | 4.33 | 0.83 | 2.83 | 1.83 | 1.67 |
|          | 3       | 2.00 | 11.00 | 9.33  | 4.83 | 2.00 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 7.17  | 7.17  | 6.83  | 2.67 | 3.83 | 0.67 | 1.83 | 3.50 | 1.17 |
|          | 4       | 1.33 | 10.33 | 8.50  | 6.00 | 3.33 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 8.33  | 8.17  | 7.17  | 2.50 | 3.67 | 0.83 | 3.17 | 2.50 | 1.00 |
|          | Average | 1.83 | 10.63 | 8.08  | 5.17 | 3.13 | 3.13 | 2.88 | 6.83  | 6.96  | 6.58  | 3.08 | 3.71 | 0.63 | 2.42 | 2.58 | 1.17 |
| PM Peak  | 1       | 1.00 | 11.20 | 8.20  | 8.40 | 4.20 | 6.60 | 5.20 | 17.60 | 11.80 | 10.80 | 2.20 | 3.80 | 0.80 | 3.60 | 4.80 | 1.00 |
|          | 2       | 1.60 | 9.20  | 7.60  | 6.20 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 12.20 | 8.20  | 8.40  | 3.60 | 3.60 | 0.00 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 0.80 |
|          | 3       | 1.20 | 8.60  | 7.80  | 4.80 | 3.00 | 3.60 | 5.60 | 9.00  | 15.20 | 15.20 | 4.80 | 5.40 | 0.00 | 3.60 | 5.60 | 1.20 |
|          | 4       | 1.80 | 11.40 | 10.00 | 7.60 | 4.80 | 3.80 | 4.20 | 7.40  | 7.80  | 8.00  | 2.40 | 2.80 | 0.40 | 5.80 | 4.20 | 1.80 |

| Time | Period  | Lane |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|      |         | 1    | 2     | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
|      | Average | 1.40 | 10.10 | 8.40 | 6.75 | 4.05 | 4.50 | 5.75 | 11.55 | 10.75 | 10.60 | 3.25 | 3.90 | 0.30 | 4.15 | 4.60 | 1.20 |

Table B-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Time     | SB(Main) |            | EB   |      |       | NB(Main) |      |       | WB   |      |       |
|----------|----------|------------|------|------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          | Left     | Thru+Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left     | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 1.13     | 5.53       | 2.21 | 2.08 | 2.83  | 4.58     | 4.15 | 0.13  | 2.63 | 3.75 | 1.25  |
| Off-Peak | 1.83     | 7.96       | 3.13 | 3.13 | 4.85  | 6.77     | 3.40 | 0.63  | 2.42 | 2.58 | 1.17  |
| PM Peak  | 1.40     | 8.42       | 4.05 | 4.50 | 8.65  | 10.68    | 3.58 | 0.30  | 4.15 | 4.60 | 1.20  |

Table B-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

| Time     | Period  | Lane  |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|----------|---------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|          |         | 1     | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10    | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
| AM Peak  | 1       | 4.67  | 7.50 | 7.50 | 12.33 | 1.00 | 2.67 | 2.50 | 2.83 | 3.00 | 11.00 | 7.83 | 6.50 | 0.50 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 6.00 |
|          | 2       | 5.17  | 4.50 | 4.83 | 7.00  | 0.17 | 1.67 | 1.83 | 2.83 | 3.67 | 11.17 | 9.33 | 6.50 | 0.17 | 3.17 | 2.50 | 4.50 |
|          | 3       | 9.50  | 4.50 | 6.67 | 6.83  | 0.00 | 2.67 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 3.83 | 10.50 | 9.33 | 8.00 | 0.17 | 4.17 | 1.33 | 5.17 |
|          | 4       | 3.50  | 3.33 | 4.83 | 5.83  | 0.00 | 1.83 | 2.17 | 3.83 | 2.00 | 6.50  | 5.50 | 2.83 | 0.50 | 1.67 | 2.83 | 2.50 |
|          | Average | 5.71  | 4.96 | 5.96 | 8.00  | 0.29 | 2.21 | 2.25 | 3.13 | 3.13 | 9.79  | 8.00 | 5.96 | 0.33 | 2.83 | 2.25 | 4.54 |
| Off-Peak | 1       | 10.00 | 7.20 | 7.60 | 7.80  | 0.20 | 3.40 | 4.20 | 3.20 | 6.20 | 9.00  | 7.60 | 4.20 | 0.60 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 1.20 |



| Time    | Period  | Lane  |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |      |       |       |      |      |      |       |      |
|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|
|         |         | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5    | 6    | 7     | 8    | 9    | 10    | 11    | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15    | 16   |
|         | 2       | 7.60  | 7.60  | 9.60  | 10.80 | 0.00 | 1.80 | 3.80  | 2.60 | 4.80 | 8.60  | 7.00  | 3.40 | 1.00 | 2.80 | 4.00  | 1.60 |
|         | 3       | 8.20  | 8.40  | 8.40  | 10.40 | 0.20 | 4.60 | 4.20  | 4.60 | 8.40 | 12.60 | 8.60  | 5.40 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.80  | 1.00 |
|         | 4       | 11.00 | 5.40  | 7.80  | 8.60  | 0.20 | 3.60 | 4.80  | 2.00 | 5.60 | 12.40 | 8.40  | 6.80 | 0.80 | 2.80 | 2.80  | 2.20 |
|         | Average | 9.20  | 7.15  | 8.35  | 9.40  | 0.15 | 3.35 | 4.25  | 3.10 | 6.25 | 10.65 | 7.90  | 4.95 | 0.85 | 2.40 | 3.40  | 1.50 |
| PM Peak | 1       | 9.60  | 8.00  | 7.80  | 10.80 | 0.40 | 6.20 | 10.60 | 3.20 | 7.80 | 13.20 | 10.60 | 8.00 | 1.20 | 7.40 | 15.00 | 5.40 |
|         | 2       | 12.40 | 12.80 | 14.00 | 14.80 | 0.20 | 4.80 | 8.00  | 4.60 | 8.00 | 14.60 | 13.20 | 8.80 | 1.60 | 6.20 | 3.20  | 3.20 |
|         | 3       | 10.60 | 11.00 | 12.20 | 14.00 | 0.20 | 7.40 | 7.00  | 4.20 | 9.40 | 14.40 | 13.40 | 8.60 | 1.40 | 4.00 | 6.40  | 3.80 |
|         | 4       | 7.80  | 8.00  | 7.80  | 11.20 | 0.60 | 3.80 | 4.80  | 4.40 | 8.80 | 11.20 | 9.20  | 5.60 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 4.00  | 5.00 |
|         | Average | 10.10 | 9.95  | 10.45 | 12.70 | 0.35 | 5.55 | 7.60  | 4.10 | 8.50 | 13.35 | 11.60 | 7.75 | 1.30 | 4.90 | 7.15  | 4.35 |

Table B-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

| Time     | SB(Main) |       |       | EB   |      |      |       | NB(Main) |      |      | WB    |      |
|----------|----------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|----------|------|------|-------|------|
|          | Left     | Thru  | Right | Left | Left | Thru | Right | Left     | Left | Thru | Right | Left |
| AM Peak  | 5.71     | 6.31  | 0.29  | 2.21 | 2.25 | 3.13 | 3.13  | 7.92     | 0.33 | 2.83 | 2.25  | 4.54 |
| Off-Peak | 9.20     | 8.30  | 0.15  | 3.35 | 4.25 | 3.10 | 6.25  | 7.83     | 0.85 | 2.40 | 3.40  | 1.50 |
| PM Peak  | 10.10    | 11.03 | 0.35  | 5.55 | 7.60 | 4.10 | 8.50  | 10.90    | 1.30 | 4.90 | 7.15  | 4.35 |

### Comparisons of Before and After Queue Lengths for Critical Intersections

The differences in queue length between the before and after measurements are shown in Table B-3.18 to Table B-3.21. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant increase in queue length.

Table B-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |       |       | Average Queue |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|
|             | 1           | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5    | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13   | 14    | 15    | 16    |               |
| AM Peak     | 0.13        | 2.05  | 1.98  | 0.48  | 0.49 | -0.20 | -2.00 | -2.40 | -5.38 | -5.46 | -5.80 | -6.13 | 0.02 | -0.09 | -0.50 | -0.04 | -1.43         |
| Off-Peak    | 0.44        | 4.52  | 2.83  | 1.02  | 0.20 | 1.48  | 1.20  | 2.37  | 0.78  | -0.20 | -6.10 | -5.86 | 0.55 | 0.13  | 0.80  | 0.88  | 0.32          |
| PM Peak     | -0.77       | -0.69 | -2.31 | -2.13 | 1.38 | 0.79  | 2.42  | 5.13  | 1.54  | -0.07 | -5.17 | -6.27 | 0.18 | 1.82  | 1.52  | 0.83  | -0.11         |

Table B-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Time Period | SB (Main) |            | EB (Main) |       |       | NB (Main) |       |       | WB (Main) |       |       |
|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|
|             | Left      | Thru+Right | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Right |
| AM Peak     | 0.13      | 1.50       | 0.49      | -0.20 | -2.20 | -5.42     | -5.96 | 0.02  | -0.09     | -0.50 | -0.04 |
| Off-Peak    | 0.44      | 2.79       | 0.20      | 1.48  | 1.78  | 0.29      | -5.98 | 0.55  | 0.13      | 0.80  | 0.88  |
| PM Peak     | -0.77     | -1.71      | 1.38      | 0.79  | 3.78  | 0.74      | -5.72 | 0.18  | 1.82      | 1.52  | 0.83  |

Table B-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |        |       |       |      |       | Average Queue |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|---------------|
|             | 1           | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5    | 6     | 7     | 8    | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12     | 13    | 14    | 15   | 16    |               |
| AM Peak     | 1.46        | -0.50 | -1.00 | -0.88 | 0.29 | 0.21  | -0.25 | 1.54 | -0.38 | 0.13  | -1.00 | -0.17  | -0.21 | 0.38  | 0.46 | 2.67  | 0.17          |
| Off-Peak    | 1.49        | -0.73 | -0.40 | -0.81 | 0.11 | -0.28 | 1.71  | 0.98 | 0.58  | 1.86  | 0.69  | 0.74   | 0.39  | -0.18 | 0.57 | -0.96 | 0.36          |
| PM Peak     | 1.35        | -3.20 | -1.40 | 4.85  | 0.15 | 1.85  | 2.55  | 1.70 | -0.05 | -0.85 | -5.95 | -12.35 | 1.30  | 1.70  | 2.85 | 1.15  | -0.27         |

Table B-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) ) at US-17/92 & Orange

| Time Period | SB (Main) |       |       | EB (Main) |       |       | NB (Main) |       |       | WB (Main) |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|             | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1.46      | -0.79 | 0.29  | 0.21      | -0.25 | 1.54  | -0.38     | -0.35 | -0.21 | 0.38      | 0.46 | 2.67  |
| Off-Peak    | 1.49      | -0.64 | 0.11  | -0.28     | 1.71  | 0.98  | 0.58      | 1.10  | 0.39  | -0.18     | 0.57 | -0.96 |
| PM Peak     | 1.35      | 0.08  | 0.15  | 1.85      | 2.55  | 1.70  | -0.05     | -6.38 | 1.30  | 1.70      | 2.85 | 1.15  |

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of queue length:


- Generally, the difference in average queue length between the before and after data does not exceed 2 vehicles, which shows no large improvement or deterioration in queue length at both critical intersections.
- For US-17/92 & Taylor Rd intersection, the average queue length decreased by an average of 1.43 vehicles in the a.m. peak period and 0.11 vehicles in the p.m. peak period; while it increased by an average of 0.32 vehicles in the off peak period. Especially, the queue of north bound through traffic decreased greatly.
- For US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd intersection, the average queue increased in the AM peak period by an average of 0.17 vehicles and in the off peak period by an average of 0.36; while it decreased in the PM peak period by an average of 0.27 vehicles.

### B.3.4. Queue to Lane Storage Ratio

In addition to queue length, it is important to assess any impact to adjacent lanes or to upstream facilities. The queue to link/lane ratio is used to establish the likelihood of spillback, which is presented in this section by movement and by time period.

The following assumptions are used:

- The storage capacity is estimated as the maximum number of vehicles in the lane.
- The queue to link/lane storage ratio is estimated as 1 if the observer reported “spillback”, while it is estimated as 0.8 if reported as the maximum number of vehicles in the sight distance.
- Queue to lane storage ratios over 80% are highlighted in yellow, as they represent conditions with a high probability for spillback.



(a) US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

(b) US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

Figure B-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Oct. 1 & 2, 2014)

Table B-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Time Period |         | Lane Number |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1           | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
| AM<br>Peak  | 1       | 0.06        | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0    | 0.16 | 0.35 | 0.07 |
|             | 2       | 0.06        | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.1  | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.79 | 0.77 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.04 |
|             | 3       | 0.07        | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.11 |
|             | 4       | 0.03        | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.1  | 0.03 |
|             | Average | 0.06        | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.5  | 0.5  | 0.5  | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.2  | 0.06 |
| Off<br>Peak | 1       | 0.08        | 0.37 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0    | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
|             | 2       | 0.06        | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.2  | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 0.3  | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0    | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
|             | 3       | 0.06        | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.01 | 0.1  | 0.11 | 0.01 |
|             | 4       | 0.11        | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.1  | 0.01 |
|             | Average | 0.08        | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0    | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| PM<br>Peak  | 1       | 0.11        | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.4  | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.74 | 0.84 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0    |
|             | 2       | 0.11        | 0.68 | 0.6  | 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.57 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.3  | 0.46 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
|             | 3       | 0.09        | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.5  | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.1  | 0.18 | 0    |
|             | 4       | 0.17        | 0.46 | 0.5  | 0.37 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.59 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0    | 0.12 | 0.1  | 0.01 |
|             | Average | 0.12        | 0.6  | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.4  | 0.46 | 0.53 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.02 |

Table B-3.13 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at US-17/92 &amp; Orange Camp Rd.

| Time Period |         | Lane Number |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1           | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.18        | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.5  | 0    | 0.13 | 0.1  | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.18 |
|             | 2       | 0.26        | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0    | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.4  | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0    | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.05 |
|             | 3       | 0.2         | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0    | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.1  |
|             | 4       | 0.21        | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0    | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.1  | 0.01 | 0.1  | 0.07 | 0.05 |
|             | Average | 0.21        | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0    | 0.1  | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 0.37        | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.43 | 0    | 0.1  | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.09 |
|             | 2       | 0.42        | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0    | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.4  | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
|             | 3       | 0.39        | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.66 | 0    | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.11 |
|             | 4       | 0.37        | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.34 | 0.3  | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0    | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.13 |
|             | Average | 0.39        | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0    | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.12 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.44        | 0.75 | 0.7  | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.1  | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0    | 0.2  | 0.19 | 0.16 |
|             | 2       | 0.52        | 0.56 | 0.51 | 0.27 | 0    | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.68 | 0    | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
|             | 3       | 0.41        | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 0    | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.59 | 0.76 | 0.96 | 1    | 0    | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
|             | 4       | 0.38        | 0.4  | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0    | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.45 | 0.31 | 0.4  | 0.46 | 0    | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.12 |
|             | Average | 0.44        | 0.66 | 0.59 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.5  | 0.51 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 0    | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.16 |

After Study (Dec. 16 & 17, 2014)

Table B-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Time Period |         | Lane |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.07 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.06 |
|             | 2       | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.08 |
|             | 3       | 0.06 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.05 |
|             | 4       | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
|             | Average | 0.06 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.06 |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 0.09 | 0.52 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
|             | 2       | 0.13 | 0.66 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
|             | 3       | 0.11 | 0.61 | 0.52 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.06 |
|             | 4       | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.05 |
|             | Average | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.06 | 0.62 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.05 |
|             | 2       | 0.09 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.76 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.04 |
|             | 3       | 0.07 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.06 |
|             | 4       | 0.10 | 0.63 | 0.56 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.09 |
|             | Average | 0.14 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.72 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.06 |

Table B-3.25 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at US-17/92 &amp; Orange Rd.

| Time Period |         | Lane |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|             |         | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.62 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.39 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.30 |
|             | 2       | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.23 |
|             | 3       | 0.48 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.26 |
|             | 4       | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.13 |
|             | Average | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.23 |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 0.50 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.06 |
|             | 2       | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
|             | 3       | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
|             | 4       | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.11 |
|             | Average | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.08 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.75 | 0.27 |
|             | 2       | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 0.74 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
|             | 3       | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.01 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.31 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.19 |
|             | 4       | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.52 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.25 |
|             | Average | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.41 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.22 |



### Comparisons of Before and After Queue Storage Ratios

The differences in Queue Storage Ratio between before and after measurements are shown in Table B-3.26 and Table B-3.27. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows deterioration in queue storage ratios or spillback potential.

Table B-3.26 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |       |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |      |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|
|             | 1           | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5    | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13   | 14   | 15    | 16   |
| AM Peak     | 0           | 0.12  | 0.11  | 0.02  | 0.03 | -0.01 | -0.12 | -0.15 | -0.27 | -0.27 | -0.29 | -0.3  | 0    | 0    | -0.02 | 0    |
| Off Peak    | 0.02        | 0.25  | 0.16  | 0.06  | 0.02 | 0.1   | 0.08  | 0.15  | 0.04  | -0.01 | -0.31 | -0.29 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03  | 0.05 |
| PM Peak     | 0.02        | -0.04 | -0.12 | -0.11 | 0.08 | 0.05  | 0.15  | 0.32  | 0.08  | 0     | -0.26 | -0.31 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.07  | 0.04 |

Table B-3.27 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

| Time Period | Lane Number |       |       |       |      |       |       |      |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |       |
|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
|             | 1           | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5    | 6     | 7     | 8    | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13    | 14    | 15   | 16    |
| AM Peak     | 0.08        | -0.02 | -0.05 | -0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01  | -0.02 | 0.08 | -0.03 | 0     | -0.03 | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.02  | 0.02 | 0.14  |
| Off Peak    | 0.07        | -0.03 | -0.02 | -0.04 | 0.01 | -0.01 | 0.08  | 0.05 | 0.04  | 0.07  | 0.02  | 0.03  | 0.01  | -0.01 | 0.03 | -0.04 |
| PM Peak     | 0.07        | -0.16 | -0.07 | 0.25  | 0.01 | 0.09  | 0.13  | 0.09 | 0     | -0.03 | -0.22 | -0.44 | 0.05  | 0.09  | 0.14 | 0.06  |

### Discussion

The following are concluded from the comparison of queue storage ratios:

- Left turn lane spillback rarely happened in either before study or after study, left turn spillback only happened 4 times in before study and at Taylor Rd intersection, due to the large left turn truck volume on northbound left, no left turn lane spillback happened in the after study.
- The queue storage ratios improved for the NB direction at the Taylor Rd. intersection, especially during the AM peak.
- The queue storage ratios show modest improvement for the US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd. intersection for the new system.

### B.3.5. Equivalent PCE Flows

Traffic flows were counted manually and converted to equivalent PCE flows (pce/hour) by considering the presence of heavy vehicles. It was assumed that the PCE for trucks is 2.

#### *Truck Percentage Observations*

Table B-3.28 Truck Percentages at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Period   | Interval | SB     |       |        | WB    |        |        | NB     |       |        | EB    |        |       | Total |
|----------|----------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
|          |          | Left   | Thru  | Right  | Left  | Thru   | Right  | Left   | Thru  | Right  | Left  | Thru   | Right |       |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 0.00%  | 0.78% | 0.00%  | 9.52% | 0.00%  | 5.56%  | 5.00%  | 1.37% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 2.87% | 2.42% |
|          | 2        | 0.00%  | 2.70% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 2.56%  | 10.00% | 4.82%  | 1.08% | 10.00% | 6.25% | 0.00%  | 6.38% | 3.21% |
|          | 3        | 0.00%  | 1.29% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 9.90%  | 1.69% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 3.09% | 2.58% |
|          | 4        | 0.00%  | 1.23% | 0.00%  | 2.44% | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 9.28%  | 3.97% | 5.88%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 6.67% | 4.06% |
|          | Average  | 0.00%  | 1.42% | 0.00%  | 2.68% | 0.86%  | 3.80%  | 6.40%  | 1.92% | 3.13%  | 1.75% | 0.00%  | 4.53% | 3.00% |
| Off Peak | 1        | 14.29% | 3.08% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 8.33%  | 10.99% | 2.63% | 0.00%  | 4.55% | 16.67% | 2.94% | 4.07% |
|          | 2        | 0.00%  | 1.38% | 13.33% | 6.25% | 15.00% | 0.00%  | 9.28%  | 4.29% | 0.00%  | 8.33% | 8.33%  | 6.73% | 4.79% |
|          | 3        | 0.00%  | 2.75% | 0.00%  | 6.25% | 6.25%  | 25.00% | 9.43%  | 2.39% | 20.00% | 0.00% | 4.00%  | 6.41% | 4.55% |
|          | 4        | 0.00%  | 2.25% | 8.70%  | 4.76% | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 5.47%  | 1.47% | 10.00% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 3.05% | 2.83% |

| Period     | Interval | SB    |       |       | WB    |       |       | NB    |       |       | EB    |       |       | Total |
|------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|            |          | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right |       |
|            | Average  | 1.79% | 2.35% | 5.56% | 4.41% | 5.13% | 6.12% | 7.86% | 2.68% | 6.35% | 3.06% | 4.94% | 4.44% | 3.87% |
| PM<br>Peak | 1        | 0.00% | 1.06% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 4.55% | 0.00% | 4.05% | 1.10% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 4.19% | 2.12% |
|            | 2        | 0.00% | 2.11% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.03% | 0.00% | 6.34% | 1.49% | 5.56% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 4.52% | 2.85% |
|            | 3        | 0.00% | 1.01% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.56% | 6.67% | 2.96% | 0.55% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.79% | 1.35% |
|            | 4        | 0.00% | 0.56% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.45% | 0.00% | 1.96% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.84% | 1.05% |
|            | Average  | 0.00% | 1.16% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.15% | 2.08% | 3.67% | 0.77% | 1.14% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.18% | 1.79% |

Table B-3.19 Truck Percentages at US-17/92 &amp; Orange Camp Rd.

| Period   | Interval       | SB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | NB           |              |              | EB           |              |              | Total        |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 5.13%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 10.53%       | 5.17%        | 3.70%        | 2.19%        | 3.70%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 6.06%        | 3.56%        |
|          | 2              | 7.41%        | 6.21%        | 0.00%        | 13.04%       | 7.14%        | 1.79%        | 3.33%        | 3.07%        | 4.55%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.16%        |
|          | 3              | 5.88%        | 6.85%        | 0.00%        | 8.70%        | 4.76%        | 4.65%        | 0.00%        | 2.75%        | 7.14%        | 5.26%        | 0.00%        | 3.70%        | 4.50%        |
|          | 4              | 4.35%        | 5.70%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.00%        | 5.00%        | 6.67%        | 0.00%        | 5.88%        | 0.00%        | 6.67%        | 5.60%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>4.59%</b> | <b>5.73%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>6.67%</b> | <b>6.06%</b> | <b>3.96%</b> | <b>3.00%</b> | <b>3.43%</b> | <b>3.66%</b> | <b>3.45%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>4.20%</b> | <b>4.25%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1              | 3.45%        | 4.04%        | 7.69%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.45%        | 2.46%        | 0.00%        | 10.53%       | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.08%        |
|          | 2              | 5.56%        | 3.94%        | 9.09%        | 4.35%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.05%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.44%        |
|          | 3              | 4.00%        | 4.14%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.50%        | 0.00%        | 2.48%        | 0.00%        | 2.86%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.59%        |
|          | 4              | 5.26%        | 3.86%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.17%        | 1.58%        | 0.00%        | 2.38%        | 3.45%        | 1.85%        | 2.51%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>4.29%</b> | <b>3.83%</b> | <b>4.41%</b> | <b>1.32%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.68%</b> | <b>1.13%</b> | <b>2.06%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>3.17%</b> | <b>1.19%</b> | <b>0.65%</b> | <b>2.58%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 2.88%        | 0.00%        | 6.06%        | 0.00%        | 2.27%        | 0.00%        | 1.33%        | 10.34%       | 4.76%        | 1.14%        | 5.00%        | 2.15%        |
|          | 2              | 2.88%        | 4.14%        | 0.00%        | 2.70%        | 2.38%        | 5.77%        | 4.08%        | 2.17%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.71%        | 3.45%        | 3.16%        |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 0.90%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.13%        | 1.14%        | 3.70%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.92%        |
|          | 4              | 1.80%        | 0.73%        | 0.00%        | 3.85%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.29%        | 5.56%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.08%        | 1.30%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>1.40%</b> | <b>1.99%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>3.01%</b> | <b>0.63%</b> | <b>2.14%</b> | <b>1.80%</b> | <b>1.67%</b> | <b>4.67%</b> | <b>0.83%</b> | <b>1.38%</b> | <b>2.37%</b> | <b>1.83%</b> |

Before Study (Oct. 1 & 2, 2014)

Table B-3.20 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Time Period |           | SB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | EB   |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 8    | 132  | 13    | 18   | 24   | 9     | 114  | 163  | 6     | 7    | 13   | 184   |
|             | 2         | 9    | 111  | 15    | 16   | 41   | 21    | 146  | 396  | 17    | 23   | 18   | 215   |
|             | 3         | 16   | 161  | 19    | 28   | 28   | 16    | 125  | 279  | 20    | 19   | 18   | 145   |
|             | 4         | 11   | 176  | 16    | 16   | 20   | 11    | 110  | 205  | 6     | 11   | 14   | 136   |
|             | Flow Rate | 44   | 580  | 63    | 78   | 113  | 57    | 495  | 1043 | 49    | 60   | 63   | 680   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 10   | 213  | 14    | 10   | 18   | 19    | 114  | 212  | 13    | 26   | 17   | 113   |
|             | 2         | 11   | 247  | 17    | 16   | 12   | 15    | 102  | 238  | 14    | 22   | 25   | 132   |
|             | 3         | 13   | 262  | 27    | 12   | 20   | 13    | 112  | 234  | 16    | 21   | 13   | 120   |
|             | 4         | 13   | 207  | 32    | 19   | 16   | 12    | 108  | 202  | 17    | 37   | 16   | 109   |
|             | Flow Rate | 47   | 929  | 90    | 57   | 66   | 59    | 436  | 886  | 60    | 106  | 71   | 474   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 11   | 229  | 15    | 20   | 19   | 11    | 164  | 181  | 13    | 20   | 24   | 196   |
|             | 2         | 10   | 271  | 11    | 21   | 25   | 20    | 150  | 256  | 25    | 21   | 30   | 182   |
|             | 3         | 12   | 323  | 19    | 22   | 25   | 11    | 152  | 198  | 26    | 24   | 36   | 167   |
|             | 4         | 14   | 230  | 15    | 21   | 32   | 16    | 124  | 190  | 27    | 16   | 27   | 139   |
|             | Flow Rate | 47   | 1053 | 60    | 84   | 101  | 58    | 590  | 825  | 91    | 81   | 117  | 684   |

Table B-3.31 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

| Time Period |           | SB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | EB   |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 26   | 268  | 18    | 18   | 15   | 39    | 27   | 337  | 14    | 7    | 20   | 19    |
|             | 2         | 36   | 285  | 13    | 31   | 13   | 64    | 23   | 457  | 16    | 9    | 17   | 44    |
|             | 3         | 38   | 289  | 14    | 16   | 7    | 48    | 27   | 338  | 15    | 14   | 10   | 23    |
|             | 4         | 36   | 262  | 14    | 23   | 11   | 51    | 36   | 304  | 31    | 8    | 16   | 16    |
|             | Flow Rate | 136  | 1104 | 59    | 88   | 46   | 202   | 113  | 1436 | 76    | 38   | 63   | 102   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 49   | 286  | 15    | 33   | 28   | 51    | 34   | 294  | 20    | 21   | 26   | 25    |
|             | 2         | 54   | 260  | 11    | 19   | 30   | 46    | 33   | 299  | 21    | 20   | 37   | 38    |
|             | 3         | 36   | 291  | 8     | 21   | 20   | 34    | 39   | 267  | 26    | 41   | 49   | 23    |
|             | 4         | 71   | 349  | 13    | 19   | 26   | 52    | 33   | 310  | 23    | 27   | 23   | 30    |
|             | Flow Rate | 210  | 1186 | 47    | 92   | 104  | 183   | 139  | 1170 | 90    | 109  | 135  | 116   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 74   | 358  | 41    | 32   | 30   | 67    | 54   | 297  | 23    | 23   | 27   | 36    |
|             | 2         | 73   | 392  | 27    | 23   | 26   | 44    | 63   | 400  | 24    | 26   | 36   | 32    |
|             | 3         | 64   | 450  | 16    | 31   | 23   | 52    | 58   | 330  | 30    | 38   | 43   | 35    |
|             | 4         | 82   | 455  | 21    | 28   | 36   | 49    | 38   | 325  | 24    | 38   | 46   | 38    |
|             | Flow Rate | 293  | 1655 | 105   | 114  | 115  | 212   | 213  | 1352 | 101   | 125  | 152  | 141   |

After Study (Dec. 16 & 17, 2014)

Table B-3.32 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Time Period |           | Southbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 4          | 129  | 11    | 21        | 28   | 18    | 140        | 219  | 7     | 12        | 23   | 174   |
|             | 2         | 7          | 114  | 15    | 29        | 40   | 22    | 174        | 374  | 11    | 17        | 25   | 200   |
|             | 3         | 9          | 157  | 19    | 20        | 23   | 19    | 111        | 240  | 28    | 21        | 20   | 167   |
|             | 4         | 4          | 164  | 15    | 42        | 25   | 20    | 106        | 262  | 18    | 7         | 14   | 144   |
|             | Flow Rate | 24         | 564  | 60    | 112       | 116  | 79    | 531        | 1095 | 64    | 57        | 82   | 685   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 8          | 268  | 12    | 12        | 21   | 13    | 101        | 195  | 11    | 23        | 14   | 140   |
|             | 2         | 22         | 220  | 17    | 17        | 23   | 15    | 106        | 243  | 18    | 26        | 13   | 111   |
|             | 3         | 13         | 262  | 18    | 17        | 17   | 10    | 116        | 214  | 12    | 26        | 26   | 166   |
|             | 4         | 13         | 273  | 25    | 22        | 17   | 11    | 135        | 207  | 22    | 23        | 28   | 169   |
|             | Flow Rate | 56         | 1023 | 72    | 68        | 78   | 49    | 458        | 859  | 63    | 98        | 81   | 586   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 9          | 286  | 12    | 23        | 23   | 14    | 154        | 183  | 20    | 32        | 33   | 224   |
|             | 2         | 10         | 338  | 20    | 19        | 34   | 9     | 151        | 205  | 19    | 32        | 24   | 185   |
|             | 3         | 17         | 400  | 16    | 17        | 40   | 16    | 139        | 184  | 22    | 21        | 30   | 228   |
|             | 4         | 13         | 356  | 11    | 21        | 30   | 9     | 156        | 204  | 27    | 22        | 31   | 181   |
|             | Flow Rate | 49         | 1380 | 59    | 80        | 127  | 48    | 600        | 776  | 88    | 107       | 118  | 818   |

Table B-3.33 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

| Time Period |           | Southbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 20         | 273  | 8     | 12        | 19   | 58    | 27         | 366  | 27    | 11        | 17   | 33    |
|             | 2         | 29         | 325  | 9     | 26        | 15   | 57    | 31         | 571  | 23    | 9         | 6    | 26    |
|             | 3         | 36         | 312  | 20    | 25        | 22   | 45    | 21         | 373  | 15    | 20        | 12   | 28    |
|             | 4         | 24         | 241  | 12    | 12        | 10   | 42    | 21         | 352  | 17    | 18        | 11   | 32    |
|             | Flow Rate | 109        | 1151 | 49    | 75        | 66   | 202   | 100        | 1662 | 82    | 58        | 46   | 119   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 60         | 309  | 28    | 16        | 26   | 36    | 30         | 250  | 16    | 21        | 13   | 33    |
|             | 2         | 38         | 264  | 12    | 24        | 17   | 28    | 57         | 298  | 23    | 26        | 18   | 33    |
|             | 3         | 52         | 277  | 11    | 18        | 28   | 41    | 43         | 248  | 22    | 36        | 23   | 32    |
|             | 4         | 60         | 350  | 17    | 18        | 22   | 43    | 47         | 321  | 14    | 43        | 30   | 55    |
|             | Flow Rate | 210        | 1200 | 68    | 76        | 93   | 148   | 177        | 1117 | 75    | 126       | 84   | 153   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 68         | 322  | 16    | 35        | 33   | 45    | 56         | 381  | 32    | 22        | 89   | 42    |
|             | 2         | 107        | 377  | 8     | 38        | 43   | 55    | 51         | 330  | 28    | 38        | 37   | 30    |
|             | 3         | 70         | 448  | 9     | 33        | 33   | 39    | 66         | 356  | 28    | 29        | 49   | 48    |
|             | 4         | 113        | 412  | 9     | 27        | 51   | 48    | 49         | 313  | 19    | 32        | 43   | 49    |
|             | Flow Rate | 358        | 1559 | 42    | 133       | 160  | 187   | 222        | 1380 | 107   | 121       | 218  | 169   |



### Comparisons of Before and After Flows

The differences in traffic flow between the before and after measurements are shown in Table B-3.34 and B-3.35. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant decrease, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant increase in flow.

Table B-3.34 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at US-17/92 & Talor Rd.

| Period   | Southbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       |
|----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|          | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | -20        | -16  | -3    | 34        | 3    | 22    | 36         | 52   | 15    | -3        | 19   | 5     |
| Off Peak | 9          | 94   | -18   | 11        | 12   | -10   | 22         | -27  | 3     | -8        | 10   | 112   |
| PM Peak  | 2          | 327  | -1    | -4        | 26   | -10   | 10         | -49  | -3    | 26        | 1    | 134   |

Table B-3.35 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

| Period   | Southbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       |
|----------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|          | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | -27        | 47   | -10   | -13       | 20   | 0     | -13        | 226  | 6     | 20        | -17  | 17    |
| Off Peak | 0          | 14   | 21    | -16       | -11  | -35   | 38         | -53  | -15   | 17        | -51  | 37    |
| PM Peak  | 65         | -96  | -63   | 19        | 45   | -25   | 9          | 28   | 6     | -4        | 66   | 28    |

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of PCE flows:

- The traffic volume at both intersections (Taylor and Orange Camp) increased during all three time periods except for the off peak period at the Orange Camp Rd intersection.

### B.3.6. Consideration of Traffic Flows Jointly with Queue Length

The differences in “Traffic Flow” and “Queue Length” between before and after measurements are shown in Table B-3.36 and Table B-3.37. The tables are color-coded as follows: green indicates that “Queue” decreases and “Traffic Flow” increases, Red indicates “Queue” increases and “Traffic Flow” decreases, No Color indicates “Traffic Flow” and “Queue” increase or decrease at the same time. Generally, green indicates improvement despite an increase in flow.

Table B-3.36 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at US-17/92 & Taylor Rd.

| Period   | MOEs  | Southbound |       |       | Westbound |       |       | Northbound |       |       | Eastbound |       |       |
|----------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|
|          |       | Left       | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left       | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Right |
| AM Peak  | Flow  | -20        | -16   |       | 34        | 3     | 22    | 36         | 52    | 15    | -3        | 19    | 5     |
|          | Queue | 0.13       | 1.50  |       | -0.09     | -0.50 | -0.04 | -5.42      | -5.96 | 0.02  | 0.49      | -0.20 | -2.20 |
| Off Peak | Flow  | 9          | 94    |       | 11        | 12    | -10   | 22         | -27   | 3     | -8        | 10    | 112   |
|          | Queue | 0.44       | 2.79  |       | 0.13      | 0.80  | 0.88  | 0.29       | -5.98 | 0.55  | 0.20      | 1.48  | 1.78  |
| PM Peak  | Flow  | 2          | 327   |       | -4        | 26    | -10   | 10         | -49   | -3    | 26        | 1     | 134   |
|          | Queue | -0.77      | -1.71 |       | 1.82      | 1.52  | 0.83  | 0.74       | -5.72 | 0.18  | 1.38      | 0.79  | 3.78  |

Table B-3.37 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at US-17/92 &amp; Taylor Rd.

| Period   | MOEs  | Southbound |        |       | Westbound |        |        | Northbound |        |        | Eastbound |       |        |
|----------|-------|------------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|
|          |       | Left       | Thru   | Right | Left      | Thru   | Right  | Left       | Thru   | Right  | Left      | Thru  | Right  |
| AM Peak  | Flow  | -45.5%     | -2.8%  |       | 43.6%     | 2.7%   | 38.6%  | 7.3%       | 5.0%   | 30.6%  | -5.0%     | 30.2% | 0.7%   |
|          | Queue | 12.5%      | 37.4%  |       | -3.3%     | -11.8% | -2.8%  | -54.2%     | -59.0% | 16.7%  | 28.8%     | -8.9% | -43.7% |
| Off Peak | Flow  | 19.1%      | 10.1%  |       | 19.3%     | 18.2%  | -16.9% | 5.0%       | -3.0%  | 5.0%   | -7.5%     | 14.1% | 23.6%  |
|          | Queue | 31.6%      | 54.0%  |       | 5.7%      | 44.7%  | 308.3% | 4.5%       | -63.8% | 775.0% | 6.7%      | 90.2% | 58.0%  |
| PM Peak  | Flow  | 4.3%       | 31.1%  |       | -4.8%     | 25.7%  | -17.2% | 1.7%       | -5.9%  | -3.3%  | 32.1%     | 0.9%  | 19.6%  |
|          | Queue | -35.4%     | -16.9% |       | 77.9%     | 49.2%  | 220.0% | 7.4%       | -61.5% | 140.0% | 51.9%     | 21.3% | 77.4%  |

Table B-3.38 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at US-17/92 &amp; Orange Camp Rd.

| Period   | MOEs  | Southbound |       |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |       |       | Eastbound |       |       |
|----------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|
|          |       | Left       | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Right |
| AM Peak  | Flow  | -27        | 47    | -10   | -13       | 20   | 0     | -13        | 226   | 6     | 20        | -17   | 17    |
|          | Queue | 1.46       | -0.79 | 0.29  | 0.38      | 0.46 | 2.67  | -0.38      | -0.35 | -0.21 | 0.21      | -0.25 | 1.54  |
| Off Peak | Flow  | 0          | 14    | 21    | -16       | -11  | -35   | 38         | -53   | -15   | 17        | -51   | 37    |
|          | Queue | 1.49       | -0.64 | 0.11  | -0.18     | 0.57 | -0.96 | 0.58       | 1.10  | 0.39  | -0.28     | 1.71  | 0.98  |
| PM Peak  | Flow  | 65         | -96   | -63   | 19        | 45   | -25   | 9          | 28    | 6     | -4        | 66    | 28    |
|          | Queue | 1.35       | 0.08  | 0.15  | 1.70      | 2.85 | 1.15  | -0.05      | -6.38 | 1.30  | 1.85      | 2.55  | 1.70  |

Table B-3.39 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at US-17/92 & Orange Camp Rd.

| Period   | MOEs  | Southbound |        |        | Westbound |        |        | Northbound |        |        | Eastbound |        |       |
|----------|-------|------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|
|          |       | Left       | Thru   | Right  | Left      | Thru   | Right  | Left       | Thru   | Right  | Left      | Thru   | Right |
| AM Peak  | Flow  | -19.9%     | 4.3%   | -16.9% | -14.8%    | 43.5%  | 0.0%   | -11.5%     | 15.7%  | 7.9%   | 52.6%     | -27.0% | 16.7% |
|          | Queue | 34.3%      | -11.2% | 0.0%   | 15.3%     | 25.6%  | 142.2% | -10.7%     | -4.2%  | -38.5% | 10.4%     | -10.0% | 97.4% |
| Off Peak | Flow  | 0.0%       | 1.2%   | 44.7%  | -17.4%    | -10.6% | -19.1% | 27.3%      | -4.5%  | -16.7% | 15.6%     | -37.8% | 31.9% |
|          | Queue | 19.4%      | -7.2%  | 260.0% | -7.1%     | 20.0%  | -39.0% | 10.3%      | 16.3%  | 85.5%  | -7.6%     | 67.2%  | 45.9% |
| PM Peak  | Flow  | 22.2%      | -5.8%  | -60.0% | 16.7%     | 39.1%  | -11.8% | 4.2%       | 2.1%   | 5.9%   | -3.2%     | 43.4%  | 19.9% |
|          | Queue | 15.4%      | 0.8%   | 75.0%  | 53.1%     | 66.3%  | 35.9%  | -0.6%      | -36.9% | 0.0%   | 50.0%     | 50.5%  | 70.8% |

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of traffic flows jointly with queue length:

- From the comparison above, we cannot conclude that there is a high correlation between increasing traffic with increasing queue at these two critical intersections.
- From the colored cells in the tables, traffic conditions at Orange Camp Rd have deteriorated during more time periods after ASCT installment than at intersection US-17/92 & Taylor Rd. Regarding Operational Performance Measures

Based on the field data collection and the comparison of operational performance measures we conclude the following:

The travel time and delay have greatly improved after installing the InSync system along the coordinated through movements of this corridor. However, the combined comparison of queue and flow rate shows that other movements at critical intersections did not experience large changes.

## B.4. Questionnaire

### SECTION 1: RESPONDENT'S INFORMATION

Name Manny Rodriguez

Organization FDOT District 5

Position Traffic Engineer at FDOT District 5

Address

Phone

Fax

E-mail Manny.Rodriguez@dot.state.fl.us

### SECTION 2: PREVIOUS TRAFFIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

1. Please specify the type of traffic control used before Adaptive Signal Control

Technology (ASCT) was installed for your site. (Please check all that apply.)

a. Fixed time coordinated control

**b. Actuated coordinated control**

c. Fixed-time isolated control

d. Actuated isolated control

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

2. What type of traffic controller was used before ASCT was implemented?

**a. NEMA TS-1** b. NEMA TS-2

c. 170 d. 2070

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

3. How many detectors were used before ASCT was implemented for a typical intersection? Please also specify the location where the detectors were typically placed for each specific detector type (video detection, inductive loops, radars, etc.).

**Mainline (2 loop detectors for left turns), Side streets (presence detectors), Dilemma zone detection (essentially for green extension)**

4. What was the frequency of retiming the signal timing plan for the corridor before ASCT was implemented?

**Latest retiming at 2009; every 3-5 years the signal retiming process was taking place**

How often were maintenance and updates performed to your previous control system in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: **twice per year maintenance and loop update**
  - b. Control Hardware: **last year update switch**
  - c. Software: **when updates are provided by the vendor**
5. What was the annual maintenance cost in terms of hardware, software and personnel of the previous control system for the whole corridor where the ASCT is now deployed?  
**\$2,700-\$3000 per intersection repair; average provided by FDOT**
6. What was the life expectancy of your traffic control before the deployment of ASCT?  
(Please refer to the hardware and software.)

**Anywhere between 7-20 years depending on the conditions and the environment**

7. How many crashes of each category were reported during the past 4 years before the ASCT implementation? (2011-2014)

|      | K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2011 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2012 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2013 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2014 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

### SECTION 3: ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM (ASCT)

9. Which Adaptive Traffic Control System (ASCT) does your agency deploy?

d. **InSync; Version: Fusion (local detection plus cameras)**

e. Synchro Green; Version: \_\_\_\_\_

f. Other; please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

16. Did you consider any other ASCT before you selected this one for installation? Why did you reject the other(s) in favor of this one?

**ACS-Lite was a no go due to its lagging functions, SCOOT not considered and SynchroGreen was already tried out**

17. What were your major criteria for choosing the ASCT for the selected corridor?

**Adaptability of the system and differences in the Deland Corridor (accommodate changes in speed from 50 to 35 and changes in lanes from 6 to 2)**

18. What is the life expectancy of your ASCT system? (Consider both hardware and software.)

**5-7 years depending on the components (cameras need replacement sooner than the rest).**

19. What type of traffic controller is currently in use after the ASCT implementation?

- a. Same as before the ASCT implementation
- b. **New, please specify type: NEMA TS-2**
- c. Other, specify:

20. How many and what type of detectors are used after the ASCT implementation on an intersection level? Please note any updates that the previous detection system needed so as to work with your ASCT.

**Camera detection in place (W Beresford Avenue and US-17/92 intersection as well as New Hampshire and US-17/92 will be only operating with video detection); easier to fix cameras as you don't have to cut operations**

21. Was there a need to update your previous software operating system at your Transportation Management Center in order to get your current ASCT software working?

- a. Yes
- b. **No**

21. How often do you plan to perform physical maintenance or updates on your new ASCT in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: **Yearly**
- b. ASCT Hardware: **Checked yearly**
- c. Software: **As updates are made**

22. Was there a need for training your personnel in order to operate the new ASCT?

- a. **Yes, Num. of employees trained 1. Hours of training per employee 20 (2 weeks).**
- b. No

23. Was there any change on the number of staff required to operate/maintain the effective signal ASCT operations? (Comparison of staff needed before and after the deployment.)

**No**

24. Where did expertise come from for your personnel's training, the ASCT installation, operation and the system's maintenance? Please check all that apply. **From marilo**

|                   | In-House   | Vendor           | Contractor |
|-------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| Employee Training |            | <b>Yes</b>       |            |
| ASCT Installation |            | <b>Yes</b>       |            |
| ASCT Operation    | <b>Yes</b> | <b>Initially</b> |            |
| ASCT Maintenance  |            | <b>Yes</b>       |            |

25. How many crashes of each category were reported after ASCT was implemented?

| K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling Injury) | B<br>(Evident Injury) | C<br>(Possible Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent Injury) |
|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|               |                         |                       |                        |                           |

#### SECTION 4: COST COMPONENTS

29. What is the overall capital cost of purchasing the ASCT (in terms of the software and licenses of the ASCT) for the corridor? If the purchase includes any service of implementation, personnel training or maintenance, please also specify here.

**InSync offers complete package; Fusion \$30,000/intersection plus \$2,000 for installation, cables etc. extension of warranty is available in \$900 per intersection (from 2 to 3 years)**

30. What is the implementation cost (considering the installation on site, any updates in software and hardware, design needs and contract hours) for the ASCT corridor? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

**included in package**

31. What was total cost for training your personnel to operate and manage the new ASCT? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.



**Included in package**

32. What is the expected maintenance cost (in terms of hardware, software and personnel) for the ASCT corridor on a yearly basis? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

**Included in package**

33. Can you specify the costs for the following ASCT components?

- a. Firmware \$\_\_\_\_\_ b. Software \$\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Equipment d. Maintenance of Traffic Cost \$ **0.00**
- e. Design Needs \$\_\_\_\_\_ f. Contract Help/Agency Hours Cost \$ **0.00**

**SECTION 5: INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES**

34. Were there any institutional issues that you had to overcome while implementing and operating the ASCT project? Please categorize and explain those issues below.

a. Organization and Management Institutional Issues:

**Local agency raised concerns on maintenance components of the new system (considering funding for maintenance of cameras etc.)**

b. Regulatory and Legal Institutional Issues:

c. Human and Facility Resources Institutional Issues:

d. Financial Institutional Issues:

35. Which component (e.g., detection, communication, hardware, software, licensing or other) of your ASCT deployment is the most challenging to maintain and why?

**Cameras (due to ethernet extensions and noise issues); however if camera stops detecting historical data are used instead in order to proceed with the adaptive control)**

36. What happens to your ASCT operations when some of your detectors fail on the corridor level?

- d. ASCT triggers an alarm and notifies operators
- e. **ASCT switches to an “off-line” mode by implementing Time-Of-Day plans**
- f. Combination of the above. d. Other (please describe): Nothing

29. How is your ASCT performance evaluated?

- e. **In-house:**
- f. By an independent evaluator, please describe: \_\_\_\_\_
- g. Not applicable—there is no evaluation
- h. Combination:

30. If the corridor on which the ASCT operates experiences over saturation, how would you rate the operation of the ASCT in response to these traffic conditions?

- f. ASCT prevents or eliminates oversaturation
- g. ASCT eliminates or reduces the extent of the periods of oversaturation
- h. ASCT adversely affects the traffic conditions during periods of oversaturation
- i. Other: Doesn't prevent or eliminate but helps to manage oversaturation
- j. **Oversaturation is very rare on the corridors operated by our ASCT**

31. Based on your opinion and the up-to-date operation, when are ASCT operations proven to be the most effective?

**a. Peak periods**

**b. Off-peak periods**

c. Shoulders of peak periods

d. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

32. Has the level of performance of ASCT been sustained since its installation?

**a. Yes**              b. No; why not?

35. What was the public reaction to the ASCT operation? Have you received any complaints about long delays and queues?

**Complaints while installing cameras because people thought that those were red light cameras) no complaints on operation**

36. How satisfied are you, in general, with your ASCT deployment?

- a. **Very satisfied**                      b. Somewhat satisfied
- c. Neutral                              d. Somewhat dissatisfied
- e. Not satisfied at all

8. Are there any other costs or benefits related to ASCT deployment that you would like to report?

a. Benefits: **Additional benefits if radar technology is to be implemented**

b. Costs:

9. Would you consider expanding the ASCT program to any other corridors? Why or why not? If yes, would you use the same technology/firmware or have any suggestions for other systems? If so, why?

**2 projects: 1) in State Rd 46: 10 signals and 2) in US92: 22 signals**

## **SECTION 6: SAFETY ISSUES**

37. Do you have any anecdotal / qualitative data on safety benefits / disbenefits of the signal improvement along this corridor?

---

---

38. Has there been other changes along this corridor over the analysis period that could affect the safety of this corridor either positively or negatively?

---

---

39. Are you aware of any changes to the crash data reporting procedures over the analysis period? If yes, what are the changes?

---

---

---

---

40. What are your overall reactions to the trends presented in the report?

---

---

41. Is there another comparable corridor in which signal improvements have not been made to which the results of this corridor can be compared to?

---

---

42. Other thoughts / comments for us?

---

---

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. Your responses will help us with evaluating the deployment and benefits of your current ASCT.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact Ria Kontou, email: [ekontou@ufl.edu](mailto:ekontou@ufl.edu) or Liteng Zha, email: [litengzha@ufl.edu](mailto:litengzha@ufl.edu) who work under the direct supervision of the faculty advisor Dr. Yafeng Yin.

## B.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis

Table B-5.1 Monetized Saving

| Monetized Saving            |                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$3,454,869.30  |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$489,110.08    |
| Air Pollution Saving        | \$91,940.32     |
| Safety Saving               | -\$2,565,558.78 |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$4,035,919.70  |
| Total Saving                | \$1,470,360.91  |
| Total Cost                  | \$150,000.00    |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 26.90613132     |
| B/C Ratio                   | 9.802406096     |

Table B-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions

| Monetized Saving            |                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$3,454,869.30  |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$489,110.08    |
| Safety Saving               | -\$2,565,558.78 |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$3,943,979.38  |
| Total Saving                | \$1,378,420.59  |
| Total Cost                  | \$150,000.00    |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 26.29319585     |
| B/C Ratio                   | 9.189470627     |

## **APPENDIX C: Summary of Panama City Beach Parkway, Bay County**

### **C.1. Executive Summary**

The objective of this research is to evaluate the implementation of proposed Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT) traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the installation of specific ASCT, document the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT. This Appendix summarizes the before and after field data collected along the Panama City Beach Parkway corridor from SR-79 to Woodlawn Dr., and provides some observations and initial conclusions.

The InSync advanced signal control system was implemented along this corridor in January 2015. Floating car runs were conducted with the UFTI instrumented vehicle to collect vehicle travel times before and after the implementation of InSync, during three time periods (AM Peak, Off-Peak, and PM Peak). In addition, turning movement counts and queue lengths were collected at two critical intersections (Richard Jackson Blvd. and SR-79). Based on these, five performance measures were obtained for the before study period: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (at critical intersections), Queue to Lane Storage Ratio (at critical intersections), and Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) flows (at critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and presented in this appendix.

The following were concluded:


- The travel time along Panama City Beach Pkwy, EB direction, decreased by an average of 0.59 min (5.7%), with the highest decrease in the PM Peak (1.30 min, or 12.7%).
- The travel time along Panama City Beach Pkwy in the WB decreased overall by an average of 1.85 min (13.7%). It decreased in the PM Peak by an average of 3.28 min (26.5%), while it increased in the AM Peak by an average of 0.71 min (5.1%). The decrease in PM peak travel time is consistent with a decrease observed in queues on both the Richard Jackson Blvd. and SR-79 intersections during the same time period.
- Apart from the Richard Jackson Blvd. and Powell Adams intersections, all others show a decrease in average delay for the EB. Apart from the Richard Jackson and Pier Park intersections, all others show a decrease in average delay for the WB.
- For the PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson Blvd. intersection, the average queue length decreased by an average of 0.7, 0.8 and 0.6 vehicles each in the AM, Off Peak and PM, respectively.
- For the PCB Pkwy and SR-79 intersection, the average queue length decreased by an average of 0.3, 0.5, and 1.4 vehicles each in the AM, Off Peak and PM, respectively.
- The total traffic volume at both critical intersections increased during all three time periods by 135 (1.26% at Richard Jackson Blvd.) and 527 (5.9% at SR-79) vehicles. This can be due to an increase in throughput or demand or both.
- The SR-79 and Pier Park intersections were coordinated separately before the In-Sync implementation. After the implementation, they are coordinated together with



Table C-2.1 Intersections along the PCB Pkwy Corridor

|           | Intersection                | Comments       | No. of Unsignalized Intersections | Distance        |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1</b>  | <b>SR-79</b>                |                | -                                 | -               |
| <b>2</b>  | Pier Park Dr                |                | 10                                | 1.1 miles       |
| <b>3</b>  | Powell Adams Rd             |                | 1                                 | 0.4 miles       |
| <b>4</b>  | Nautilus St                 |                | 4                                 | 1.2 miles       |
| <b>5</b>  | Clara Ave                   | T-Intersection | 10                                | 0.9 mile        |
| <b>6</b>  | Alf Coleman Rd              |                | 8                                 | 1 mile          |
| <b>7</b>  | <b>Richard Jackson Blvd</b> |                | <b>4</b>                          | <b>0.6 mile</b> |
| <b>8</b>  | Moylan Rd                   | T-Intersection | 5                                 | 1.2 miles       |
| <b>9</b>  | Thomas Dr                   | Off-ramp       | 7                                 | 1.9 miles       |
| <b>10</b> | Woodlawn Dr                 | Fly-over       | 0                                 | 0.2 miles       |





(a) SR-79.



(b) Richard Jackson

Figure C-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections

### C.3. Performance Measures

Five performance measures were evaluated: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (critical intersections), Queue-to-Lane Storage Ratio (critical intersections), and PCE Flows (critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and the results of the differences (“after data” – “before data”) are presented.

#### C.3.1. Route Travel Time

The average travel time (min) along the route was measured using a floating car. The travel times recorded are reported in the tables below. During the PM peak of the day of data collection it was raining. Hence, additional data were obtained from District 3 from Bluetooth devices available to FDOT, and these were used to obtain the PM travel time (data were obtained for Sep 29, 2015). For comparison, the travel time during rain has been included in parentheses.

Before Study (Oct.22 & Oct.23, 2014)

Table C-3.1 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)            | AM     | Off Peak | PM     | Average       |
|---------------------------|--------|----------|--------|---------------|
| Panama City Beach Pkwy EB | 11.207 | 10.107   | 11.537 | <b>10.95</b>  |
| Panama City Beach Pkwy WB | 13.317 | 17.003   | 15.672 | <b>15.331</b> |

After Study (Feb. 3 & Feb. 4, 2015)


Table C-3.2 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)            | AM     | Off Peak | PM                 | Average       |
|---------------------------|--------|----------|--------------------|---------------|
| Panama City Beach Pkwy EB | 10.273 | 10.572   | 10.234 (Rain 11.5) | <b>10.360</b> |
| Panama City Beach Pkwy WB | 14.026 | 14.018   | 12.391 (Rain 15.5) | <b>13.478</b> |

*Comparisons of Before and After Travel Times*

Table C-3.3 Change in Travel time in Min and as a Percentage (after – before)

| Route TT (min)            | AM             | Off Peak        | PM              | Average                |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Panama City Beach Pkwy EB | -0.934 (-9.1%) | 0.465 (4.4%)    | -1.303 (-12.7%) | <b>-0.590 (-5.7%)</b>  |
| Panama City Beach Pkwy WB | 0.709 (5.1%)   | -2.985 (-21.3%) | -3.281 (-26.5%) | <b>-1.852 (-13.7%)</b> |



(a) Panama City Beach Pkwy EB

(b) Panama City Beach Pkwy WB

Figure C-3.1 Travel times along Panama City Beach Pkwy

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of travel times:

- The travel time along Panama City Beach Pkwy in the EB decreased by an average of 0.59 min (5.7%). The highest decrease occurred during the PM Peak with a decrease of 1.30 min (12.7%).
- The travel time along Panama City Beach Pkwy in the WB decreased overall by an average of 1.85 min (13.7%). It decreased in the PM Peak by an average of 3.28 min (26.5%).
- WB travel times are higher than those in the EB for both the before and after data collection. One of the reasons for this is that the EB direction includes the fly-over above Thomas Drive, while the WB direction incurs additional delay as it goes through the signalized intersection at Thomas Dr.
- As expected, travel times during rainy conditions are higher (by 12% to 19%) than during fair weather conditions.
- Bluetooth travel times were compared with travel times from the vehicle for the AM Peak (data were obtained on different days), and Bluetooth travel times were lower than those measured by our instrumented vehicle by 0.3 min and 1.7 min for the EB and WB directions respectively.
- The travel times during rainy conditions were measured using the instrumented vehicle, while the PM Peak travel times for the after conditions were obtained through Bluetooth devices. Given that Bluetooth provided lower travel time estimate for the data analyzed, the differences due to rain may not be as pronounced when comparing travel times obtained with the same data collection method.

### C.3.2. Delay

Delay (sec) at each intersection along the corridor was also obtained using the floating car measurements. As indicated earlier, the after PM peak data collection was undertaken during rainy conditions. However, the Bluetooth data cannot provide delay by intersection. Therefore, the delays reported here for the PM Peak (after data) represent rainy conditions.

*Before Study (Oct. 22 & Oct.23, 2014)*

Table C-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay by Intersection (sec) | AM | Off Peak | PM |
|-----------------------------|----|----------|----|
| SR79                        | 16 | 47       | 50 |
| Pier Park                   | 17 | 21       | 34 |
| Powell Adams                | 5  | 3        | 2  |
| Nautilus St                 | 11 | 0        | 6  |
| Clara Ave                   | 4  | 0        | 1  |
| Alf Coleman                 | 31 | 19       | 24 |
| Richard Jackson             | 32 | 13       | 17 |
| Moylan                      | 0  | 1        | 0  |




Figure C-3.2 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction

Table C-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay by Intersection (sec) | AM | Off Peak | PM |
|-----------------------------|----|----------|----|
| Woodlawn                    | 6  | 8        | 5  |
| Thomas Dr                   | 60 | 29       | 72 |
| Moylan                      | 0  | 3        | 4  |
| Richard Jackson             | 8  | 21       | 26 |
| Alf Coleman                 | 2  | 13       | 28 |
| Clara Ave                   | 0  | 1        | 2  |
| Nautilus St                 | 1  | 3        | 22 |
| Powell Adams                | 1  | 24       | 18 |
| Pier Park                   | 1  | 3        | 1  |
| SR79                        | 25 | 48       | 52 |




Figure C-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

After Study (Feb. 3 & Feb. 4, 2015)

Table C-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak (Rain) |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|----------------|
| SR79                         | 40      | 19       | 19             |
| Pier Park                    | 5       | 2        | 8              |
| Powell Adams                 | 4       | 15       | 17             |
| Nautilus St                  | 0       | 13       | 1              |
| Clara Ave                    | 1       | 4        | 0              |
| Alf Coleman                  | 4       | 11       | 12             |
| Richard Jackson              | 22      | 22       | 70             |
| Moylan                       | 0       | 1        | 5              |




Figure C-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction

Table C-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak (Rain) |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|----------------|
| Woodlawn                     | 4       | 5        | 12             |
| Thomas Dr                    | 23      | 35       | 17             |
| Moylan                       | 0       | 0        | 0              |
| Richard Jackson              | 63      | 29       | 16             |
| Alf Coleman                  | 36      | 1        | 7              |
| Clara Ave                    | 0       | 4        | 0              |
| Nautilus St                  | 2       | 1        | 8              |
| Powell Adams                 | 0       | 8        | 9              |
| Pier Park                    | 1       | 38       | 1              |
| SR79                         | 11      | 17       | 17             |




Figure C-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

### *Comparisons of Before and After Intersection Delay Times*

The differences in delay between the before and after study periods are shown in Table C-3.8 and Table C-3.9. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant deterioration in delay.

Table C-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| <b>Delay (sec)</b> | <b>AM Peak</b> | <b>Off Peak</b> | <b>PM Peak</b> | <b>Average</b> |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| SR79               | 24.0           | -28.0           | -31.0          | -11.0          |
| Pier Park          | -12.0          | -19.0           | -26.0          | -19.0          |
| Powell Adams       | -2.0           | 12.0            | 15.0           | 8.0            |
| Nautilus St        | -10.0          | 12.0            | -5.0           | -1.0           |
| Clara Ave          | -3.0           | 4.0             | -1.0           | 0.0            |
| Alf Coleman        | -28.0          | -9.0            | -12.0          | -16.0          |
| Richard Jackson    | -10.0          | 9.0             | 53.0           | 17.0           |
| Moylan             | 0.0            | 0.0             | 5.0            | 2.0            |



Table C-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay (sec)     | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak | Average |
|-----------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Woodlawn        | -3.0    | -3.0     | 7.0     | 0.0     |
| Thomas Dr       | -37.0   | 6.0      | -55.0   | -29.0   |
| Moylan          | 0.0     | -3.0     | -4.0    | -2.0    |
| Richard Jackson | 56.0    | 7.0      | -10.0   | 18.0    |
| Alf Coleman     | 34.0    | -12.0    | -20.0   | 1.0     |
| Clara Ave       | 0.0     | 3.0      | -2.0    | 1.0     |
| Nautilus St     | 1.0     | -2.0     | -14.0   | -5.0    |
| Powell Adams    | -1.0    | -15.0    | -9.0    | -8.0    |
| Pier Park       | 1.0     | 36.0     | 1.0     | 12.0    |
| SR79            | -14.0   | -32.0    | -35.0   | -27.0   |




Figure C-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction




Figure C-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of delays:

- Apart from the Richard Jackson Blvd. and Powell Adams, all the other intersections show a decrease in average delay for the EB direction. Delay increased at the Richard Jackson intersection by 53 s for the EB direction. This is likely due to the rain on the day of data collection. While travel time for the corridor under good weather conditions was obtained from Bluetooth data, it was not possible to obtain intersection delays.
- Apart from the Richard Jackson Blvd. and Pier Park Dr, all the other intersections show a decrease in average delay for the WB direction.
- During the before data collection, SR 79 and Pier Park were coordinated separately, while during the after data collection they were coordinated as part of the entire corridor. This modification alone may have improved overall travel time.

### C.3.3. Queue Length

Queue length (number of vehicles/lane) is presented by movement and by time period. This measure is used to evaluate oversaturated conditions at the critical intersections along the study corridors. Note that the queue length reported here is the observed maximum number of vehicles queued during each cycle, and does not represent the total number of vehicles that may have stopped during the cycle. During some time periods, because of cycle failure, vehicles need to stop multiple times before passing through the intersection.

Figure C-3.8 presents the schematic of the lane configurations at the two critical intersections. Queue length is reported for each of these lanes.




Figure C-3.8 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Oct. 22 & Oct.23, 2014)

Table C-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time Period |         | NB   |     |         |       | SB   |         |     |       | EB   |         |     |     |       | WB   |         |      |     |       |
|-------------|---------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-----|-------|------|---------|------|-----|-------|
|             |         | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |     |     | Right | Left | Through |      |     | Right |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2   | 3       | 4     | 5    | 6       | 7   | 8     | 9    | 10      | 11  | 12  | 13    | 14   | 15      | 16   | 17  | 18    |
| AM<br>Peak  | 1       | 3.2  | 3.4 | 9.8     | 3.2   | 15.8 | 6.8     | 4   | 1.3   | 6.8  | 7       | 7.5 | 1.3 | 1.8   | 8    | 18      | 18.4 | 9.6 | 6.2   |
|             | 2       | 5.4  | 6.2 | 3.6     | 2.4   | 4.6  | 3.8     | 1   | 1     | 2    | 7.8     | 6.4 | 0.6 | 1.2   | 5    | 20      | 20   | 7.8 | 0.2   |
|             | 3       | 5.6  | 6.4 | 2.6     | 2     | 4.8  | 2.3     | 1   | 1.8   | 3.3  | 7       | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.8   | 4.6  | 14      | 14.6 | 4.2 | 1.8   |
|             | 4       | 4.6  | 3.2 | 4       | 2     | 4    | 2.5     | 0.8 | 0.5   | 2.8  | 9.8     | 8.3 | 2   | 0     | 12.8 | 12.8    | 12.8 | 6.8 | 2.2   |
|             | Average | 4.7  | 4.8 | 5       | 2.4   | 7.3  | 3.8     | 1.7 | 1.1   | 3.7  | 7.9     | 6.9 | 1.2 | 0.9   | 7.6  | 16.2    | 16.5 | 7.1 | 2.6   |
| Off<br>Peak | 1       | 3    | 3.6 | 3.4     | 1     | 11.6 | 6.2     | 4.4 | 1.2   | 4.4  | 7.6     | 4.8 | 0.8 | 0.4   | 4.6  | 10.8    | 9    | 4.8 | 0.8   |
|             | 2       | 5.8  | 6.8 | 4.6     | 1.4   | 8.8  | 5       | 2.8 | 1.4   | 4    | 5.6     | 4.4 | 0.8 | 1     | 4.8  | 13.4    | 11.2 | 7.2 | 2.2   |
|             | 3       | 6    | 6.8 | 5.6     | 1.2   | 5.8  | 4.2     | 1.6 | 0.4   | 2.6  | 6.8     | 6.6 | 1.6 | 1.6   | 4.8  | 15      | 12.2 | 6   | 1     |
|             | 4       | 4.4  | 5   | 4.2     | 1.8   | 5.8  | 3.8     | 2.8 | 1.3   | 4    | 9       | 9.8 | 0.5 | 0.5   | 5.8  | 15.6    | 12.8 | 7.4 | 1.2   |
|             | Average | 4.8  | 5.6 | 4.5     | 1.4   | 8    | 4.8     | 2.9 | 1.1   | 3.8  | 7.3     | 6.4 | 0.9 | 0.9   | 5    | 13.7    | 11.3 | 6.4 | 1.3   |
| PM<br>Peak  | 1       | 5.5  | 6.3 | 5       | 2     | 6    | 4.8     | 1.3 | 1.3   | 8.3  | 5.3     | 7.3 | 1.3 | 0.8   | 5.8  | 12.3    | 10.5 | 3.8 | 1     |
|             | 2       | 5.5  | 6.8 | 4.5     | 3.3   | 7.5  | 4.8     | 2.3 | 0.8   | 6.5  | 7.5     | 8.3 | 1   | 0.8   | 7    | 16      | 12.5 | 6.5 | 0.5   |

| Time Period | NB   |     |         |       |      | SB      |     |       |      | EB      |     |     |       |      | WB      |      |      |       |     |
|-------------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-----|-------|------|---------|------|------|-------|-----|
|             | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |     |     | Right | Left | Through |      |      | Right |     |
|             | 3    | 4.8 | 5.8     | 6     | 1.8  | 8       | 1.8 | 1.8   | 1.5  | 3.5     | 4.3 | 4.5 | 0.5   | 0.3  | 10.3    | 16.5 | 16   | 3.3   | 1   |
|             | 4    | 3.3 | 2.8     | 2.5   | 3.3  | 4.5     | 3.8 | 2     | 0.5  | 3.5     | 8.5 | 6.5 | 1.5   | 0.5  | 6       | 13.3 | 12.8 | 4.3   | 0.5 |
| Average     | 4.8  | 5.4 | 4.5     | 2.6   | 6.5  | 3.8     | 1.8 | 1     | 5.4  | 6.4     | 6.6 | 1.1 | 0.6   | 7.3  | 14.5    | 12.9 | 4.4  | 0.8   |     |

Table C-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time     | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
| Period   | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak  | 4.8  | 5       | 2.4   | 7.3  | 2.8     | 1.1   | 3.7  | 5.3     | 0.9   | 7.6  | 13.3    | 2.6   |
| Off Peak | 5.2  | 4.5     | 1.4   | 8    | 3.8     | 1.1   | 3.8  | 4.9     | 0.9   | 5    | 10.5    | 1.3   |
| PM Peak  | 5.1  | 4.5     | 2.6   | 6.5  | 2.8     | 1     | 5.4  | 4.7     | 0.6   | 7.3  | 10.6    | 0.8   |

Table C-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Time Period |   | NB   |         |       | SB   |     |         | EB    |      |         |    | WB    |      |         |     |       |
|-------------|---|------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|----|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|
|             |   | Left | Through | Right | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |    | Right | Left | Through |     | Right |
| Lane Number |   | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5   | 6       | 7     | 8    | 9       | 10 | 11    | 12   | 13      | 14  | 15    |
| AM peak     | 1 | 2.1  | 2       | 0.6   | 4.4  | 4.4 | 2       | 0.6   | 2    | 11.6    | 12 | 1     | 3.7  | 6.1     | 6.3 | 0     |

| Time Period |         | NB   |         |       | SB   |     |         |       | EB   |         |      |       | WB   |         |      |       |
|-------------|---------|------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left | Through | Right | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |      | Right | Left | Through |      | Right |
|             | 2       | 1.7  | 1       | 0.2   | 4.8  | 4.8 | 1.4     | 0.6   | 3.2  | 9.8     | 10.4 | 1     | 2.5  | 8.5     | 8    | 0.3   |
|             | 3       | 2    | 1.5     | 1     | 3.4  | 3.8 | 1.2     | 0.2   | 3.4  | 8.8     | 10.4 | 0.2   | 2.9  | 11.9    | 10.3 | 1.6   |
|             | 4       | 2.5  | 1.5     | 0.7   | 4.2  | 4   | 1.3     | 0.3   | 4.2  | 10.5    | 10.2 | 1     | 2.6  | 11.3    | 11.7 | 0.1   |
|             | Average | 2.1  | 1.5     | 0.6   | 4.2  | 4.3 | 1.5     | 0.4   | 3.2  | 10.2    | 10.7 | 0.8   | 2.9  | 9.4     | 9.1  | 0.5   |
|             |         |      |         |       |      |     |         |       |      |         |      |       |      |         |      |       |
| Off peak    | 1       | 3.6  | 0.6     | 0.7   | 1.4  | 2.9 | 1.6     | 0.7   | 3.4  | 7.6     | 11.3 | 1     | 4.3  | 7.3     | 8    | 0.6   |
|             | 2       | 5    | 3       | 1.6   | 5.2  | 6   | 2       | 0.2   | 4.4  | 10.6    | 13.8 | 1     | 5.8  | 10.4    | 8.2  | 0.4   |
|             | 3       | 2.6  | 1.2     | 1.4   | 2    | 3.6 | 3       | 1.4   | 3.4  | 8.2     | 10.8 | 1.2   | 5.6  | 6       | 8.4  | 0.2   |
|             | 4       | 4.5  | 1.7     | 0.3   | 2.3  | 3.8 | 1.8     | 0.3   | 4.2  | 10.7    | 15   | 1     | 6.2  | 10      | 11.3 | 0.5   |
|             | Average | 3.9  | 1.6     | 1     | 2.7  | 4.1 | 2.1     | 0.7   | 3.8  | 9.3     | 12.7 | 1.1   | 5.5  | 8.4     | 9    | 0.4   |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 4.3  | 4.8     | 1     | 4.8  | 5.8 | 1.8     | 0.3   | 6.5  | 13.8    | 18.3 | 0.8   | 7.8  | 11.3    | 11.3 | 0.8   |
|             | 2       | 2.3  | 2.7     | 0.7   | 4    | 5.4 | 2.4     | 0.2   | 6.8  | 12.3    | 13.7 | 0.8   | 7.3  | 12.5    | 12.3 | 0.7   |
|             | 3       | 3.2  | 4       | 1.4   | 6.8  | 6.8 | 0.5     | 0     | 7.5  | 15      | 16   | 0.8   | 6.4  | 13.6    | 14   | 1.8   |
|             | 4       | 2.8  | 1.2     | 0.3   | 2.3  | 2.8 | 1.5     | 0.3   | 5.8  | 11      | 12.5 | 0.5   | 5    | 10.2    | 11.2 | 0.8   |
|             | Average | 3.2  | 3.1     | 0.9   | 4.5  | 5.2 | 1.5     | 0.2   | 6.7  | 13      | 15.1 | 0.7   | 6.6  | 11.9    | 12.2 | 1     |

Table C-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Time     | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
| Period   | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak  | 2.1  | 1.5     | 0.6   | 4.3  | 1.5     | 0.4   | 3.2  | 10.4    | 0.8   | 2.9  | 9.3     | 0.5   |
| Off Peak | 3.9  | 1.6     | 1     | 3.4  | 2.1     | 0.7   | 3.8  | 11      | 1.1   | 5.5  | 8.7     | 0.4   |
| PM Peak  | 3.2  | 3.1     | 0.9   | 4.8  | 1.5     | 0.2   | 6.7  | 14.1    | 0.7   | 6.6  | 12.1    | 1     |

After Study (Feb. 3 & Feb.4, 2015 for AM and OFF PEAK and Sep 29, 2015 for PM)

Table C-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time Period     |         | NB   |     |         |       | SB   |         |     |       | EB   |         |      |     |       | WB   |         |      |      |       |
|-----------------|---------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|------|-----|-------|------|---------|------|------|-------|
|                 |         | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |      |     | Right | Left | Through |      |      | Right |
| Lane Number     |         | 1    | 2   | 3       | 4     | 5    | 6       | 7   | 8     | 9    | 10      | 11   | 12  | 13    | 14   | 15      | 16   | 17   | 18    |
| AM<br><br>Peak  | 1       | 1.6  | 3.0 | 12.6    | 1.8   | 11.6 | 4.8     | 2.0 | 1.2   | 8.8  | 4.8     | 5.8  | 0.8 | 0.0   | 4.8  | 10.0    | 10.2 | 7.6  | 2.0   |
|                 | 2       | 2.4  | 4.4 | 4.6     | 2.4   | 10.8 | 5.0     | 3.0 | 2.4   | 3.2  | 15.4    | 13.2 | 3.6 | 0.0   | 8.4  | 8.4     | 9.2  | 9.2  | 1.0   |
|                 | 3       | 4.6  | 5.8 | 3.8     | 0.8   | 3.8  | 3.2     | 3.0 | 0.6   | 3.0  | 5.2     | 4.8  | 1.4 | 0.0   | 9.8  | 16.0    | 14.8 | 16.2 | 0.0   |
|                 | 4       | 4.0  | 4.0 | 4.6     | 0.8   | 4.0  | 2.2     | 2.2 | 0.6   | 2.8  | 6.2     | 6.6  | 1.8 | 0.0   | 4.0  | 7.0     | 4.8  | 4.6  | 0.4   |
|                 | Average | 3.2  | 4.3 | 6.4     | 1.5   | 7.6  | 3.8     | 2.6 | 1.2   | 4.5  | 7.9     | 7.6  | 1.9 | 0.0   | 6.8  | 10.4    | 9.8  | 9.4  | 0.9   |
| Off<br><br>Peak | 1       | 4.4  | 5.8 | 5.0     | 2.6   | 4.4  | 5.6     | 1.8 | 1.0   | 3.6  | 7.0     | 6.4  | 0.6 | 0.0   | 3.0  | 9.4     | 7.8  | 2.0  | 1.0   |
|                 | 2       | 4.6  | 6.8 | 7.2     | 0.0   | 5.4  | 3.8     | 1.6 | 1.0   | 3.4  | 6.4     | 7.4  | 1.0 | 0.0   | 5.2  | 8.2     | 6.4  | 2.8  | 1.6   |
|                 | 3       | 6.8  | 8.2 | 6.0     | 2.2   | 5.6  | 5.2     | 2.6 | 1.0   | 3.6  | 7.2     | 5.8  | 2.6 | 0.0   | 4.6  | 7.8     | 6.4  | 1.8  | 0.4   |
|                 | 4       | 4.6  | 5.8 | 6.0     | 1.8   | 5.0  | 5.0     | 2.8 | 3.0   | 4.0  | 4.4     | 4.2  | 4.2 | 0.0   | 6.6  | 8.8     | 10.2 | 2.4  | 0.8   |
|                 | Average | 5.1  | 6.7 | 6.1     | 1.7   | 5.1  | 4.9     | 2.2 | 1.5   | 3.7  | 6.3     | 6.0  | 2.1 | 0.0   | 4.9  | 8.6     | 7.7  | 2.3  | 1.0   |
| PM<br><br>Peak  | 1       | 3.8  | 4.4 | 3.0     | 1.8   | 6.8  | 2.4     | 2.4 | 1.2   | 2.4  | 9.8     | 9.6  | 2.6 | 0.0   | 5.2  | 11.0    | 10.0 | 4.0  | 0.2   |
|                 | 2       | 3.2  | 4.2 | 3.8     | 3.8   | 6.0  | 3.8     | 2.4 | 1.0   | 0.8  | 7.8     | 6.6  | 3.0 | 0.0   | 5.2  | 8.0     | 8.6  | 3.2  | 0.0   |
|                 | 3       | 4.6  | 4.4 | 3.0     | 5.0   | 7.4  | 4.0     | 2.6 | 2.0   | 3.8  | 8.2     | 8.4  | 2.0 | 0.0   | 4.6  | 9.2     | 8.8  | 4.8  | 0.0   |



| Time Period |         | NB   |     |         |       | SB   |         |     |       | EB   |         |      |     | WB    |      |         |     |     |       |
|-------------|---------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|------|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-----|-------|
|             |         | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |      |     | Right | Left | Through |     |     | Right |
|             | 4       | 4.0  | 4.6 | 2.4     | 1.8   | 6.8  | 3.2     | 1.2 | 2.0   | 1.6  | 11.6    | 11.4 | 3.4 | 0.0   | 5.6  | 7.6     | 8.4 | 4.6 | 0.0   |
|             | Average | 3.9  | 4.4 | 3.1     | 3.1   | 6.8  | 3.4     | 2.2 | 1.6   | 2.2  | 9.4     | 9.0  | 2.8 | 0.0   | 5.2  | 9.0     | 9.0 | 4.2 | 0.1   |

Table C-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time Period |  | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|--|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     |  | 3.8  | 6.4     | 1.5   | 7.6  | 3.2     | 1.2   | 4.5  | 5.8     | 0.0   | 6.8  | 9.9     | 0.9   |
| Off Peak    |  | 5.9  | 6.1     | 1.7   | 5.1  | 3.6     | 1.5   | 3.7  | 4.8     | 0.0   | 4.9  | 6.2     | 1.0   |
| PM Peak     |  | 4.2  | 3.1     | 3.1   | 6.8  | 2.8     | 1.6   | 2.2  | 7.1     | 0.0   | 5.2  | 7.4     | 0.7   |

Table C-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Time Period |   | NB   |         |       | SB   |     |         | EB    |      |         |      | WB    |      |         |     |       |
|-------------|---|------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|
|             |   | Left | Through | Right | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |      | Right | Left | Through |     | Right |
| Lane Number |   | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5   | 6       | 7     | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13      | 14  | 15    |
| AM peak     | 1 | 2.4  | 3.2     | 0.4   | 6.2  | 5.6 | 2.2     | 0.4   | 3.0  | 12.6    | 12.4 | 0.0   | 3.0  | 6.0     | 6.6 | 0.0   |
|             | 2 | 2.6  | 1.6     | 0.4   | 7.2  | 5.6 | 2.8     | 0.0   | 2.8  | 9.4     | 9.6  | 0.4   | 3.6  | 2.8     | 3.8 | 0.0   |

| Time Period |         | NB   |         |       | SB   |     |         |       | EB   |         |      | WB    |      |         |     |       |
|-------------|---------|------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|
|             |         | Left | Through | Right | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |      | Right | Left | Through |     | Right |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5   | 6       | 7     | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13      | 14  | 15    |
|             | 3       | 4.2  | 2.2     | 0.8   | 4.0  | 4.4 | 1.8     | 0.0   | 4.0  | 10.4    | 10.0 | 0.2   | 3.8  | 4.2     | 4.8 | 0.0   |
|             | 4       | 3.2  | 1.2     | 0.8   | 4.8  | 4.4 | 2.0     | 0.0   | 3.4  | 9.0     | 8.8  | 0.4   | 4.0  | 6.6     | 6.8 | 0.0   |
|             | Average | 3.1  | 2.1     | 0.6   | 5.6  | 5.0 | 2.2     | 0.1   | 3.3  | 10.4    | 10.2 | 0.3   | 3.6  | 4.9     | 5.5 | 0.0   |
| Off peak    | 1       | 2.2  | 2.0     | 0.0   | 2.0  | 3.6 | 1.4     | 0.0   | 2.6  | 11.4    | 15.2 | 0.8   | 6.0  | 4.4     | 5.4 | 0.0   |
|             | 2       | 3.8  | 2.6     | 0.0   | 3.8  | 4.2 | 1.4     | 0.0   | 3.4  | 10.6    | 11.8 | 1.0   | 4.0  | 4.0     | 5.2 | 0.0   |
|             | 3       | 0.0  | 0.8     | 6.0   | 4.0  | 4.4 | 1.8     | 0.0   | 3.6  | 11.8    | 15.4 | 0.6   | 7.2  | 4.0     | 5.4 | 0.0   |
|             | 4       | 0.0  | 1.4     | 4.8   | 2.6  | 3.6 | 0.8     | 0.0   | 3.0  | 10.6    | 12.4 | 2.2   | 6.0  | 4.0     | 4.4 | 0.0   |
|             | Average | 1.5  | 1.7     | 2.7   | 3.1  | 4.0 | 1.4     | 0.0   | 3.2  | 11.1    | 13.7 | 1.2   | 5.8  | 4.1     | 5.1 | 0.0   |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 2.6  | 3.0     | 0.6   | 6.2  | 5.4 | 0.2     | 0.0   | 5.6  | 9.0     | 9.2  | 0.6   | 5.6  | 5.2     | 7.8 | 0.0   |
|             | 2       | 4.2  | 2.6     | 0.8   | 4.2  | 5.0 | 3.6     | 0.0   | 4.8  | 15.0    | 14.0 | 1.6   | 6.4  | 3.6     | 4.4 | 0.0   |
|             | 3       | 3.2  | 2.8     | 0.4   | 3.2  | 4.6 | 1.6     | 0.0   | 5.6  | 11.0    | 9.6  | 0.4   | 6.8  | 3.8     | 4.0 | 0.0   |
|             | 4       | 2.2  | 3.8     | 0.0   | 2.4  | 3.4 | 1.2     | 0.0   | 2.8  | 9.6     | 26.6 | 0.0   | 6.4  | 5.8     | 5.8 | 0.0   |
|             | Average | 3.1  | 3.1     | 0.5   | 4.0  | 4.6 | 1.7     | 0.0   | 4.7  | 11.2    | 14.9 | 0.7   | 6.3  | 4.6     | 5.5 | 0.0   |

Table C-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.

| Time<br>Period | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|----------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|                | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak        | 3.1  | 2.1     | 0.6   | 5.3  | 2.2     | 0.1   | 3.3  | 10.3    | 0.3   | 3.6  | 5.3     | 0.0   |
| Off Peak       | 1.5  | 1.7     | 2.7   | 3.6  | 1.4     | 0.0   | 3.2  | 12.4    | 1.2   | 5.8  | 4.6     | 0.0   |
| PM Peak        | 3.1  | 3.1     | 0.5   | 4.3  | 1.7     | 0.0   | 4.7  | 13.1    | 0.7   | 6.3  | 5.0     | 0.0   |

### Comparisons of Before and After Queue Lengths for Critical Intersections

The differences in queue length between the before and after measurements are shown in Table C-3.18 to Table C-3.21. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant increase in queue length.

Table C-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time<br><br>Period           | NB   |      |         | SB    |      |         | EB (Main) |       |      |         |      |     | WB (Main) |      |         |      | Average |       |      |
|------------------------------|------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-----------|-------|------|---------|------|-----|-----------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|------|
|                              | Left |      | Through | Right | Left | Through |           | Right | Left | Through |      |     | Right     | Left | Through |      |         | Right |      |
| Lane Number                  | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4     | 5    | 6       | 7         | 8     | 9    | 10      | 11   | 12  | 13        | 14   | 15      | 16   | 17      | 18    |      |
| AM<br><br>Off Peak<br><br>PM | -1.6 | -0.5 | 1.4     | -1.0  | 0.3  | 0.0     | 0.9       | 0.1   | 0.8  | 0.0     | 0.7  | 0.8 | -0.9      | -0.9 | -5.9    | -6.7 | 2.3     | -1.8  | -0.7 |
|                              | 0.3  | 1.1  | 1.6     | 0.3   | -2.9 | 0.1     | -0.7      | 0.4   | -0.1 | -1.0    | -0.4 | 1.2 | -0.9      | -0.2 | -5.2    | -3.6 | -4.1    | -0.4  | -0.8 |
|                              | -0.9 | -1.0 | -1.5    | 0.5   | 0.3  | -0.4    | 0.3       | 0.6   | -3.3 | 3.0     | 2.4  | 1.7 | -0.6      | -2.1 | -5.6    | -4.0 | -0.3    | -0.7  | -0.6 |

Table C-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time Period | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB (Main) |         |       | WB (Main) |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right |
| AM          | -1.0 | 1.4     | -0.9  | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.8       | 0.5     | -0.9  | -0.8      | -3.4    | -1.7  |
| Off Peak    | 0.7  | 1.6     | 0.3   | -2.9 | -0.2    | 0.4   | -0.1      | -0.1    | -0.9  | -0.1      | -4.3    | -0.3  |
| PM          | -0.9 | -1.4    | 0.5   | 0.3  | 0.0     | 0.6   | -3.2      | 2.4     | -0.6  | -2.1      | -3.2    | -0.1  |

Table C-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.

| Time        | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB (Main) |         |       | WB (Main) |         |       | Average |      |      |      |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|---------|------|------|------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right |         |      |      |      |
| Lane Number | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5       | 6     | 7         | 8       | 9     | 10        | 11      | 12    |         | 13   | 14   | 15   |
| AM          | 1.0  | 0.6     | 0.0   | 1.4  | 0.8     | 0.7   | -0.3      | 0.1     | 0.2   | -0.5      | -0.6    | 0.7   |         | -4.5 | -3.6 | -0.5 |
| Off Peak    | -2.4 | 0.1     | 1.7   | 0.4  | -0.1    | -0.8  | -0.7      | -0.7    | 1.8   | 1.0       | 0.1     | 0.3   | -4.3    | -3.9 | -0.4 |      |
| PM          | -0.1 | -0.1    | -0.4  | -0.5 | -0.6    | 0.1   | -0.2      | -2.0    | -1.9  | -0.3      | -0.1    | -0.3  | -7.3    | -6.7 | -1.0 |      |

Table C-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.

| Time<br>Period | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB (Main) |         |       | WB (Main) |         |       |
|----------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|
|                | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right |
| AM             | 1.0  | 0.6     | 0.0   | 1.0  | 0.7     | -0.3  | 0.1       | -0.1    | -0.5  | 0.7       | -4.0    | -0.5  |
| Off Peak       | -2.4 | 0.1     | 1.7   | 0.2  | -0.7    | -0.7  | -0.6      | 1.4     | 0.1   | 0.3       | -4.1    | -0.4  |
| PM             | -0.1 | 0.0     | -0.4  | -0.5 | 0.2     | -0.2  | -2.0      | -1.0    | 0.0   | -0.3      | -7.1    | -1.0  |

## Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of queue length:

- For the PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson Blvd. intersection, the average queue length decreased by an average of 0.7, 0.8 and 0.6 vehicles each in the AM, Off Peak and PM, respectively.
- For the PCB Pkwy and SR-79 intersection, the average queue length decreased by an average of 0.3, 0.5, and 1.4 vehicles each in the AM, Off Peak and PM, respectively.

### C.3.4. Queue to Lane Storage Ratio

In addition to queue length, it is important to assess any impact to adjacent lanes or to upstream facilities. The queue to link/lane ratio is used to establish the likelihood of spillback, which is presented in this section by movement and by time period.

The following assumptions are used:

- The storage capacity is estimated as the maximum number of vehicles in the lane.
- The queue to link/lane storage ratio is estimated as 1 if the observer reported “spillback”, and as 0.8 if reported as the maximum number of vehicles in the sight distance.
- Queue to lane storage ratios over 80% are highlighted in yellow in the tables of this section, as they represent conditions with a high probability for spillback.

Note: For Richard Jackson PM Peak, data collection was not possible because of the rain. Instead data from a different day was collected through video recording.




Figure C-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Oct. 22 & Oct.23, 2014)

Table C-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time        | Time    | NB   |     |         |       | SB   |         |     |       | EB   |         |     |     |       | WB   |         |     |     |       |
|-------------|---------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-----|-------|
| Period      | Segment | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |     |     | Right | Left | Through |     |     | Right |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2   | 3       | 4     | 5    | 6       | 7   | 8     | 9    | 10      | 11  | 12  | 13    | 14   | 15      | 16  | 17  | 18    |
| AM<br>Peak  | 1       | 0.3  | 0.3 | 0.8     | 0.5   | 0.8  | 0.7     | 0.4 | 0.2   | 0.5  | 0.4     | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4   | 0.4  | 0.8     | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5   |
|             | 2       | 0.5  | 0.6 | 0.3     | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.1 | 0.2   | 0.1  | 0.5     | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.9     | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0     |
|             | 3       | 0.6  | 0.6 | 0.2     | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.2     | 0.1 | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2   | 0.2  | 0.6     | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2   |
|             | 4       | 0.5  | 0.3 | 0.3     | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.3     | 0.1 | 0.1   | 0.2  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0     | 0.6  | 0.6     | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2   |
|             | Average | 0.5  | 0.5 | 0.4     | 0.3   | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.2 | 0.2   | 0.2  | 0.5     | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.7     | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2   |
| Off<br>Peak | 1       | 0.3  | 0.4 | 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.6  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1   | 0.2  | 0.5     | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1   |
|             | 2       | 0.6  | 0.7 | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.5     | 0.3 | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2   |
|             | 3       | 0.6  | 0.7 | 0.5     | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.2 | 0.1   | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4   | 0.2  | 0.7     | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.1   |
|             | 4       | 0.4  | 0.5 | 0.4     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.3 | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.6     | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1   | 0.3  | 0.7     | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1   |
|             | Average | 0.5  | 0.6 | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.5     | 0.3 | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1   |
| PM<br>Peak  | 1       | 0.6  | 0.6 | 0.4     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.1 | 0.2   | 0.6  | 0.3     | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1   |
|             | 2       | 0.6  | 0.7 | 0.4     | 0.5   | 0.4  | 0.5     | 0.3 | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.7     | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0     |
|             | 3       | 0.5  | 0.6 | 0.5     | 0.3   | 0.4  | 0.2     | 0.2 | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.3     | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1   | 0.5  | 0.8     | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1   |

| Time   | Time    | NB   |     |         |       | SB   |         |     |       | EB   |         |     |     |       | WB   |         |     |     |       |
|--------|---------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-----|-------|
| Period | Segment | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |     |     | Right | Left | Through |     |     | Right |
|        | 4       | 0.3  | 0.3 | 0.2     | 0.5   | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.2 | 0.1   | 0.2  | 0.5     | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1   | 0.3  | 0.6     | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0     |
|        | Average | 0.5  | 0.5 | 0.4     | 0.4   | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.2 | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.7     | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1   |

Table C-3.23 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Time        | Time    | NB   |         |       | SB   |     |         |       | EB   |         |     |       | WB   |         |     |       |
|-------------|---------|------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|
| Period      | Segment | Left | Through | Right | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |     | Right |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5   | 6       | 7     | 8    | 9       | 10  | 11    | 12   | 13      | 14  | 15    |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.5 | 0.5     | 0.2   | 0.2  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.3 | 0     |
|             | 2       | 0.2  | 0.1     | 0     | 0.5  | 0.5 | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.4 | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.4 | 0.1   |
|             | 3       | 0.3  | 0.2     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.4 | 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.4 | 0.1   | 0.2  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0.4   |
|             | 4       | 0.3  | 0.2     | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.4 | 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.6     | 0.4 | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0     |
|             | Average | 0.3  | 0.2     | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.5 | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.4 | 0.2   | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.4 | 0.1   |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 0.5  | 0.1     | 0.2   | 0.1  | 0.3 | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.5 | 0.3   | 0.4  | 0.3     | 0.4 | 0.1   |
|             | 2       | 0.6  | 0.4     | 0.4   | 0.5  | 0.7 | 0.5     | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.6     | 0.6 | 0.3   | 0.5  | 0.5     | 0.4 | 0.1   |
|             | 3       | 0.3  | 0.2     | 0.4   | 0.2  | 0.4 | 0.8     | 0.5   | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.4 | 0.3   | 0.5  | 0.3     | 0.4 | 0.1   |
|             | 4       | 0.6  | 0.2     | 0.1   | 0.2  | 0.4 | 0.5     | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.6     | 0.6 | 0.3   | 0.5  | 0.4     | 0.5 | 0.1   |



| Time    | Time    | NB   |         |       | SB   |     |         |       | EB   |         |     |       | WB   |         |     |       |
|---------|---------|------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|
| Period  | Segment | Left | Through | Right | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |     | Right |
|         | Average | 0.5  | 0.2     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.5 | 0.5     | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0.3   | 0.5  | 0.4     | 0.4 | 0.1   |
| PM Peak | 1       | 0.5  | 0.7     | 0.3   | 0.5  | 0.6 | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.5  | 0.7     | 0.7 | 0.2   | 0.7  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0.2   |
|         | 2       | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.6 | 0.6     | 0.1   | 0.6  | 0.7     | 0.6 | 0.2   | 0.6  | 0.5     | 0.6 | 0.2   |
|         | 3       | 0.4  | 0.6     | 0.4   | 0.7  | 0.8 | 0.1     | 0     | 0.6  | 0.8     | 0.6 | 0.2   | 0.5  | 0.6     | 0.6 | 0.5   |
|         | 4       | 0.4  | 0.2     | 0.1   | 0.2  | 0.3 | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.5  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.5 | 0.2   |
|         | Average | 0.4  | 0.5     | 0.2   | 0.5  | 0.6 | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.6  | 0.7     | 0.6 | 0.2   | 0.6  | 0.5     | 0.6 | 0.3   |

After Study (Feb. 3 & Feb.4, 2015 for AM and OFF PEAK and Sep 29, 2015 for PM)

Table C-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time           | Time    | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       |      | WB      |       |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|----------------|---------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Period         | Segment | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Lane Number    |         | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5       | 6     | 7    | 8       | 9     | 10   | 11      | 12    | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16  | 17  | 18  |
| AM<br><br>Peak | 1       | 0.2  | 0.3     | 0.8   | 0.4  | 0.6     | 0.4   | 0.3  | 0.2     | 0.6   | 0.2  | 0.2     | 0.1   | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|                | 2       | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.3   | 0.5  | 0.6     | 0.5   | 0.5  | 0.5     | 0.2   | 0.6  | 0.5     | 0.5   | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
|                | 3       | 0.5  | 0.5     | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.2     | 0.3   | 0.5  | 0.1     | 0.2   | 0.2  | 0.2     | 0.2   | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
|                | 4       | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.2     | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.1     | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.3   | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

| Time<br>Period | Time<br>Segment | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|----------------|-----------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|                |                 | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Lane Number    |                 | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5       | 6     | 7    | 8       | 9     | 10   | 11      | 12    | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16  | 17  | 18  |
|                | Average         | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.4   | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.4   | 0.4  | 0.2     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.3   | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Off<br>Peak    | 1               | 0.4  | 0.5     | 0.3   | 0.5  | 0.2     | 0.5   | 0.3  | 0.2     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
|                | 2               | 0.5  | 0.6     | 0.5   | 0.0  | 0.3     | 0.4   | 0.3  | 0.2     | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
|                | 3               | 0.7  | 0.8     | 0.4   | 0.4  | 0.3     | 0.5   | 0.4  | 0.2     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.2     | 0.4   | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|                | 4               | 0.5  | 0.5     | 0.4   | 0.4  | 0.3     | 0.5   | 0.5  | 0.6     | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.2     | 0.6   | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
|                | Average         | 0.5  | 0.6     | 0.4   | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.5   | 0.4  | 0.3     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.2   | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| PM<br>Peak     | 1               | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.2     | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.4   | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|                | 2               | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.3   | 0.4  | 0.3     | 0.3   | 0.4  | 0.2     | 0.1   | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.4   | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|                | 3               | 0.5  | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.6  | 0.4     | 0.4   | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.3   | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
|                | 4               | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.3   | 0.2  | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.5  | 0.5     | 0.5   | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
|                | Average         | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.3   | 0.4  | 0.3     | 0.2   | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.4   | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Table C-3.25 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Time Period     | Time Segment | NB   |         |       | SB   |     |         |       | EB   |         |     |       | WB   |         |     |       |
|-----------------|--------------|------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|
|                 |              | Left | Through | Right | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |     | Right |
| Lane Number     |              | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5   | 6       | 7     | 8    | 9       | 10  | 11    | 12   | 13      | 14  | 15    |
| AM<br><br>Peak  | 1            | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.1   | 0.6  | 0.7 | 0.3     | 0.2   | 0.3  | 0.7     | 0.7 | 0.0   | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.5 | 0.0   |
|                 | 2            | 0.3  | 0.2     | 0.1   | 0.7  | 0.7 | 0.4     | 0.0   | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.2     | 0.3 | 0.0   |
|                 | 3            | 0.5  | 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.6 | 0.3     | 0.0   | 0.4  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.0   | 0.4  | 0.3     | 0.3 | 0.0   |
|                 | 4            | 0.4  | 0.2     | 0.1   | 0.5  | 0.6 | 0.3     | 0.0   | 0.4  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0.0   |
|                 | Average      | 0.4  | 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.6  | 0.6 | 0.3     | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.0   | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.4 | 0.0   |
| Off<br><br>Peak | 1            | 0.3  | 0.3     | 0.0   | 0.2  | 0.5 | 0.2     | 0.0   | 0.3  | 0.6     | 0.8 | 0.1   | 0.6  | 0.3     | 0.4 | 0.0   |
|                 | 2            | 0.5  | 0.4     | 0.0   | 0.4  | 0.5 | 0.2     | 0.0   | 0.4  | 0.6     | 0.6 | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.3     | 0.4 | 0.0   |
|                 | 3            | 0.0  | 0.1     | 0.8   | 0.4  | 0.6 | 0.3     | 0.0   | 0.4  | 0.7     | 0.8 | 0.1   | 0.7  | 0.3     | 0.4 | 0.0   |
|                 | 4            | 0.0  | 0.2     | 0.6   | 0.3  | 0.5 | 0.1     | 0.0   | 0.3  | 0.6     | 0.7 | 0.3   | 0.6  | 0.3     | 0.3 | 0.0   |
|                 | Average      | 0.2  | 0.2     | 0.3   | 0.3  | 0.5 | 0.2     | 0.0   | 0.4  | 0.6     | 0.7 | 0.2   | 0.6  | 0.3     | 0.4 | 0.0   |
| PM              | 1            | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.6  | 0.7 | 0.0     | 0.0   | 0.6  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0.1   | 0.6  | 0.4     | 0.6 | 0.0   |
|                 | 2            | 0.5  | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.6 | 0.5     | 0.0   | 0.5  | 0.8     | 0.7 | 0.2   | 0.6  | 0.3     | 0.3 | 0.0   |
|                 | 3            | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.3  | 0.6 | 0.2     | 0.0   | 0.6  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.1   | 0.7  | 0.3     | 0.3 | 0.0   |

| Time Period | Time Segment | NB   |         |       | SB   |     |         |       | EB   |         |     | WB    |      |         |     |       |
|-------------|--------------|------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|
|             |              | Left | Through | Right | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |     | Right |
| Lane Number |              | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5   | 6       | 7     | 8    | 9       | 10  | 11    | 12   | 13      | 14  | 15    |
| Peak        | 4            | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.0   | 0.2  | 0.4 | 0.2     | 0.0   | 0.3  | 0.5     | 0.5 | 0.0   | 0.6  | 0.4     | 0.4 | 0.0   |
|             | Average      | 0.4  | 0.4     | 0.1   | 0.4  | 0.6 | 0.2     | 0.0   | 0.5  | 0.6     | 0.5 | 0.1   | 0.6  | 0.3     | 0.4 | 0.0   |

### *Comparisons of Before and After Queue Storage Ratios*

The differences in Queue Storage Ratio between before and after measurements are shown in Tables C-3.26 and C-3.27. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant deterioration in queue storage ratios or spillback potential.

Table C-3.26 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time        | NB   |      |         |       | SB   |         |     |       | EB   |         |      |       | WB   |         |      |       | Average |      |      |
|-------------|------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|---------|------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|---------|------|------|
| Period      | Left |      | Through | Right | Left | Through |     | Right | Left | Through |      | Right | Left | Through |      | Right |         |      |      |
| Lane Number | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4     | 5    | 6       | 7   | 8     | 9    | 10      | 11   | 12    | 13   | 14      | 15   | 16    |         | 17   | 18   |
| AM Peak     | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.0     | -0.1  | 0.1  | 0.0     | 0.2 | 0.1   | 0.1  | -0.2    | -0.1 | 0.0   | -0.2 | 0.2     | -0.2 | -0.3  | -0.3    | 0.0  | 0.0  |
| Off Peak    | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0     | 0.1   | -0.1 | 0.0     | 0.0 | 0.1   | 0.0  | -0.2    | -0.1 | 0.1   | -0.2 | 0.2     | -0.2 | -0.1  | -0.5    | 0.1  | -0.1 |
| PM Peak     | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.2    | 0.0   | 0.1  | -0.1    | 0.2 | 0.1   | -0.2 | 0.0     | 0.0  | 0.1   | -0.1 | 0.1     | -0.2 | -0.1  | -0.3    | -0.1 | -0.1 |

Table C-3.27 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Time     | NB   |         |       | SB   |     |         | EB    |      |         | WB   |       |      |         |      |       |
|----------|------|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|
| Segment  | Left | Through | Right | Left |     | Through | Right | Left | Through |      | Right | Left | Through |      | Right |
| Lane     | 1    | 2       | 3     | 4    | 5   | 6       | 7     | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15    |
| AM Peak  | 0.1  | 0.1     | -0.1  | 0.1  | 0.2 | -0.1    | -0.1  | 0.1  | 0.0     | 0.1  | -0.2  | 0.1  | -0.1    | 0.0  | -0.1  |
| Off Peak | -0.3 | 0.0     | 0.1   | 0.0  | 0.0 | -0.3    | -0.2  | 0.0  | 0.1     | 0.2  | -0.1  | 0.1  | -0.1    | 0.0  | -0.1  |
| PM Peak  | 0.0  | 0.0     | -0.2  | 0.0  | 0.0 | -0.1    | -0.1  | 0.0  | -0.1    | -0.1 | -0.1  | 0.1  | -0.2    | -0.2 | -0.3  |

### Discussion

The following were concluded regarding queue storage ratios:

- Queue storage ratios were fairly high for major street movements for the Richard Jackson Blvd. intersection in the before study, and these were substantially improved with the new system.
- There was no change in the overall average queue storage ratios at Richard Jackson (due to increase in queues on side street), whereas the ratio decreased by 0.1 for SR-79.

### C.3.5. Equivalent PCE Flows

Traffic flows were counted manually and converted to equivalent PCE flows (pce/hour) by considering the presence of heavy vehicles. It was assumed that the PCE for trucks is 2

#### Truck Percentage Observations

Table C-3.28 Truck Percentages at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Period   | Interval       | SB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | NB           |              |              | EB           |              |              |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 11.76%       | 5.56%        | 16.00%       | 1.57%        | 16.00%       | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.61%        | 0.00%        |
|          | 2              | 5.26%        | 1.56%        | 4.26%        | 0.00%        | 2.04%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 12.90%       | 3.12%        | 0.75%        |
|          | 3              | 2.17%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.69%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.85%        | 11.11%       | 1.85%        | 2.85%        | 1.89%        |
|          | 4              | 2.04%        | 0.00%        | 5.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.27%        | 0.00%        | 3.23%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.26%        | 3.97%        | 2.63%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>2.58%</b> | <b>3.40%</b> | <b>3.39%</b> | <b>2.96%</b> | <b>2.14%</b> | <b>2.96%</b> | <b>0.49%</b> | <b>0.88%</b> | <b>1.19%</b> | <b>5.22%</b> | <b>2.88%</b> | <b>1.04%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1              | 4.17%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.68%        | 0.00%        | 4.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.57%        | 3.98%        | 0.00%        |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 4.17%        | 0.00%        | 6.45%        | 3.69%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.78%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.72%        | 0.00%        |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.10%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.70%        | 3.50%        | 0.00%        |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.99%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.94%        | 0.00%        | 3.70%        | 6.02%        | 0.00%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.70%</b> | <b>1.23%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.52%</b> | <b>4.07%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.84%</b> | <b>1.38%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>2.91%</b> | <b>3.84%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 2.27%        | 10.53%       | 6.67%        | 0.00%        | 3.33%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.11%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.42%        | 0.00%        |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.56%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.16%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.12%        | 0.00%        |

| Period | Interval       | SB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | NB           |              |              | EB           |              |              |
|--------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|        |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |
|        | 3              | 0.00%        | 7.69%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 6.06%        | 0.00%        | 3.85%        | 2.49%        | 3.92%        | 0.00%        | 2.75%        | 0.00%        |
|        | 4              | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.85%        | 1.89%        | 0.00%        | 1.67%        | 0.00%        |
|        | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.54%</b> | <b>4.55%</b> | <b>1.32%</b> | <b>0.61%</b> | <b>2.54%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.27%</b> | <b>3.13%</b> | <b>1.37%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.75%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> |

Table C-3.29 Truck Percentages at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Period      | Interval       | SB           |              |               | WB           |              |               | NB           |              |              | EB           |              |              |
|-------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|             |                | Left         | Thru         | Right         | Left         | Thru         | Right         | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |
| AM<br>Peak  | 1              | 2.82%        | 0.00%        | 15.56%        | 5.26%        | 6.01%        | 11.76%        | 0.00%        | 3.51%        | 0.00%        | 9.09%        | 3.30%        | 12.50%       |
|             | 2              | 9.72%        | 0.00%        | 9.62%         | 0.00%        | 5.36%        | 22.86%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 6.67%        | 3.41%        | 0.00%        |
|             | 3              | 8.00%        | 0.00%        | 15.38%        | 0.00%        | 2.87%        | 12.82%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.00%        | 2.69%        | 5.56%        |
|             | 4              | 8.20%        | 9.09%        | 20.00%        | 4.55%        | 9.45%        | 10.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.86%        | 1.16%        | 0.00%        |
|             | <b>Average</b> | <b>7.17%</b> | <b>1.85%</b> | <b>14.56%</b> | <b>2.30%</b> | <b>6.01%</b> | <b>14.49%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.96%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>4.65%</b> | <b>2.79%</b> | <b>2.60%</b> |
| Off<br>Peak | 1              | 15.22%       | 10.00%       | 15.38%        | 3.77%        | 3.98%        | 20.00%        | 2.86%        | 16.67%       | 0.00%        | 25.00%       | 2.46%        | 0.00%        |
|             | 2              | 11.76%       | 6.67%        | 15.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.00%        | 13.33%        | 0.00%        | 7.69%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.10%        | 4.17%        |
|             | 3              | 11.36%       | 0.00%        | 24.00%        | 2.38%        | 3.30%        | 5.41%         | 3.70%        | 20.83%       | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.16%        | 2.38%        |
|             | 4              | 14.81%       | 16.67%       | 15.38%        | 3.03%        | 4.33%        | 13.33%        | 2.44%        | 7.69%        | 2.38%        | 13.64%       | 2.12%        | 0.00%        |

| Period  | Interval | SB     |       |        | WB    |       |        | NB    |        |       | EB     |       |       |
|---------|----------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
|         |          | Left   | Thru  | Right  | Left  | Thru  | Right  | Left  | Thru   | Right | Left   | Thru  | Right |
|         | Average  | 13.21% | 6.82% | 18.31% | 2.52% | 4.15% | 11.97% | 2.67% | 14.52% | 0.55% | 11.67% | 2.76% | 1.85% |
| PM Peak | 1        | 4.41%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 2.45% | 3.90%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 2.56%  | 2.41% | 0.00% |
|         | 2        | 1.54%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 2.39% | 4.62%  | 3.03% | 7.14%  | 0.00% | 2.56%  | 2.80% | 2.94% |
|         | 3        | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 25.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.60%  | 0.00% | 5.00%  | 2.86% | 11.54% | 3.40% | 0.00% |
|         | 4        | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.45% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 17.65% | 3.87% | 0.00% |
|         | Average  | 1.77%  | 0.00% | 2.63%  | 0.00% | 1.35% | 3.15%  | 0.92% | 2.20%  | 0.61% | 7.97%  | 3.05% | 0.81% |

*Before Study (Oct. 22 & Oct.23, 2014)*

Table C-3.30 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time Period |           | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 25   | 90      | 77    | 65   | 68      | 26    | 97   | 265     | 10    | 21   | 314     | 113   |
|             | 2         | 50   | 43      | 17    | 65   | 49      | 42    | 58   | 308     | 44    | 40   | 344     | 42    |
|             | 3         | 50   | 28      | 23    | 32   | 38      | 6     | 34   | 310     | 61    | 33   | 321     | 29    |
|             | 4         | 19   | 37      | 47    | 24   | 26      | 12    | 32   | 192     | 32    | 18   | 148     | 31    |
|             | Flow Rate | 144  | 198     | 164   | 186  | 181     | 86    | 221  | 1075    | 147   | 112  | 1127    | 215   |



| Time Period |           | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 58   | 29      | 22    | 24   | 23      | 11    | 18   | 213     | 36    | 19   | 236     | 32    |
|             | 2         | 54   | 47      | 22    | 52   | 17      | 10    | 26   | 193     | 33    | 30   | 188     | 36    |
|             | 3         | 51   | 20      | 28    | 34   | 19      | 7     | 20   | 247     | 41    | 27   | 283     | 29    |
|             | 4         | 45   | 34      | 17    | 29   | 26      | 5     | 33   | 240     | 41    | 14   | 248     | 23    |
|             | Flow Rate | 208  | 130     | 89    | 139  | 85      | 33    | 97   | 893     | 151   | 90   | 955     | 120   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 43   | 44      | 31    | 29   | 40      | 8     | 21   | 289     | 39    | 31   | 321     | 18    |
|             | 2         | 69   | 38      | 51    | 48   | 42      | 20    | 24   | 294     | 42    | 33   | 402     | 24    |
|             | 3         | 71   | 35      | 38    | 55   | 40      | 17    | 27   | 309     | 57    | 30   | 340     | 13    |
|             | 4         | 34   | 21      | 18    | 46   | 31      | 13    | 21   | 284     | 23    | 27   | 312     | 18    |
|             | Flow Rate | 217  | 138     | 138   | 178  | 153     | 58    | 93   | 1176    | 161   | 121  | 1375    | 73    |

Table C-3.31 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Time Period |           | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 26   | 13      | 13    | 92   | 15      | 37    | 16   | 217     | 12    | 12   | 211     | 35    |
|             | 2         | 21   | 20      | 20    | 60   | 15      | 43    | 24   | 165     | 14    | 37   | 204     | 32    |
|             | 3         | 27   | 10      | 16    | 65   | 9       | 29    | 30   | 182     | 19    | 20   | 277     | 33    |
|             | 4         | 23   | 26      | 14    | 37   | 14      | 16    | 25   | 183     | 15    | 14   | 145     | 34    |
|             | Flow Rate | 97   | 69      | 63    | 254  | 53      | 125   | 95   | 747     | 60    | 83   | 837     | 134   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 24   | 6       | 17    | 52   | 11      | 27    | 33   | 240     | 22    | 28   | 199     | 22    |
|             | 2         | 21   | 17      | 22    | 71   | 15      | 60    | 49   | 204     | 35    | 24   | 195     | 29    |
|             | 3         | 24   | 26      | 28    | 61   | 13      | 47    | 57   | 243     | 45    | 25   | 212     | 29    |
|             | 4         | 31   | 21      | 30    | 34   | 16      | 34    | 31   | 241     | 33    | 32   | 222     | 31    |
|             | Flow Rate | 100  | 70      | 97    | 218  | 55      | 168   | 170  | 928     | 135   | 109  | 828     | 111   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 19   | 18      | 33    | 62   | 22      | 48    | 60   | 264     | 45    | 38   | 189     | 54    |
|             | 2         | 27   | 25      | 17    | 72   | 20      | 32    | 51   | 279     | 43    | 31   | 197     | 43    |
|             | 3         | 27   | 18      | 31    | 59   | 22      | 35    | 61   | 295     | 39    | 38   | 187     | 50    |
|             | 4         | 30   | 13      | 35    | 57   | 17      | 29    | 45   | 232     | 34    | 32   | 201     | 49    |
|             | Flow Rate | 103  | 74      | 116   | 250  | 81      | 144   | 217  | 1070    | 161   | 139  | 774     | 196   |

After Study (Feb 3 and Feb 4, 2015)

Table C-3.32 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time Period |           |      | NB      |       |      | SB      |       |      | EB      |       |      | WB      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 36   | 6       | 9     | 22   | 38      | 19    | 11   | 165     | 64    | 29   | 258     | 14    |
|             | 2         | 103  | 62      | 46    | 40   | 65      | 49    | 35   | 331     | 134   | 70   | 350     | 13    |
|             | 3         | 33   | 27      | 10    | 47   | 24      | 33    | 55   | 325     | 54    | 18   | 301     | 40    |
|             | 4         | 32   | 20      | 20    | 50   | 25      | 21    | 40   | 288     | 39    | 22   | 284     | 49    |
|             | Flow Rate | 204  | 115     | 85    | 159  | 152     | 122   | 141  | 1109    | 291   | 139  | 1193    | 116   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 52   | 30      | 30    | 25   | 28      | 11    | 29   | 235     | 44    | 32   | 242     | 25    |
|             | 2         | 55   | 37      | 24    | 37   | 50      | 21    | 21   | 236     | 39    | 33   | 225     | 29    |
|             | 3         | 59   | 45      | 29    | 35   | 34      | 11    | 28   | 266     | 34    | 36   | 279     | 27    |
|             | 4         | 74   | 35      | 20    | 47   | 53      | 19    | 28   | 264     | 50    | 33   | 276     | 22    |
|             | Flow Rate | 240  | 147     | 103   | 144  | 165     | 62    | 106  | 1001    | 167   | 134  | 1022    | 103   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 45   | 21      | 32    | 43   | 31      | 21    | 18   | 304     | 65    | 26   | 286     | 19    |
|             | 2         | 41   | 25      | 38    | 40   | 30      | 21    | 14   | 261     | 50    | 32   | 272     | 33    |
|             | 3         | 50   | 28      | 55    | 44   | 35      | 26    | 27   | 329     | 53    | 44   | 299     | 23    |
|             | 4         | 51   | 18      | 29    | 37   | 25      | 17    | 21   | 325     | 54    | 30   | 305     | 21    |

| Time Period |           |      | NB      |       |      | SB      |       |      | EB      |       |      | WB      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
|             | Flow Rate | 187  | 92      | 154   | 164  | 121     | 85    | 80   | 1219    | 222   | 132  | 1162    | 96    |

Table C-3.33 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Time Period |           |      | NB      |       |      | SB      |       |      | EB      |       |      | WB      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 11   | 59      | 24    | 73   | 6       | 52    | 12   | 282     | 9     | 20   | 194     | 38    |
|             | 2         | 13   | 23      | 24    | 79   | 22      | 57    | 16   | 273     | 16    | 25   | 236     | 43    |
|             | 3         | 14   | 11      | 17    | 81   | 15      | 30    | 26   | 191     | 19    | 21   | 179     | 44    |
|             | 4         | 29   | 11      | 32    | 66   | 12      | 42    | 36   | 174     | 35    | 23   | 220     | 33    |
|             | Flow Rate | 67   | 104     | 97    | 299  | 55      | 181   | 90   | 920     | 79    | 89   | 829     | 158   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 36   | 14      | 48    | 53   | 11      | 15    | 20   | 208     | 23    | 55   | 183     | 24    |
|             | 2         | 20   | 14      | 50    | 76   | 16      | 23    | 8    | 254     | 50    | 31   | 210     | 34    |
|             | 3         | 56   | 29      | 43    | 49   | 13      | 31    | 14   | 189     | 43    | 43   | 219     | 39    |
|             | 4         | 42   | 14      | 43    | 62   | 7       | 15    | 25   | 241     | 49    | 34   | 217     | 34    |
|             | Flow Rate | 154  | 71      | 184   | 240  | 47      | 84    | 67   | 892     | 165   | 163  | 829     | 131   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 23   | 17      | 49    | 71   | 13      | 13    | 40   | 298     | 35    | 38   | 251     | 80    |

| Time Period |           |      | NB      |       |      | SB      |       |      | EB      |       |      |         | WB    |  |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|--|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |  |
|             | 2         | 34   | 15      | 38    | 66   | 13      | 17    | 40   | 294     | 35    | 35   | 257     | 68    |  |
|             | 3         | 20   | 21      | 36    | 50   | 18      | 5     | 29   | 335     | 33    | 45   | 243     | 79    |  |
|             | 4         | 33   | 40      | 43    | 43   | 8       | 4     | 40   | 188     | 21    | 32   | 222     | 35    |  |
|             | Flow Rate | 110  | 93      | 166   | 230  | 52      | 39    | 149  | 1115    | 124   | 150  | 973     | 262   |  |

### Comparisons of Before and After Flows

The differences in traffic flow between the before and after measurements are shown in Table C-3.34 and Table C-3.35. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant decrease, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant increase in flow.

Table C-3.34 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson

| Time Period | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 60   | -83     | -79   | -27  | -29     | 36    | -80  | 34      | 144   | 27   | 66      | -99   |
| Off Peak    | 32   | 17      | 14    | 5    | 80      | 29    | 9    | 108     | 16    | 44   | 67      | -17   |
| PM Peak     | -30  | -46     | 16    | -14  | -32     | 27    | -13  | 43      | 61    | 11   | -213    | 23    |

Table C-3.35 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at PCB Pkwy and SR-79

| Time<br>Period | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|----------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|                | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak        | -30  | 35      | 34    | 45   | 2       | 56    | -5   | 173     | 19    | 6    | -8      | 24    |
| Off Peak       | 54   | 1       | 87    | 22   | -8      | -84   | -103 | -36     | 30    | 54   | 1       | 20    |
| PM Peak        | 7    | 19      | 50    | -20  | -29     | -105  | -68  | 45      | -37   | 11   | 199     | 66    |

### Discussion

It can be concluded that the traffic volume at both critical intersections increased during all three time periods by 135 (1.3%) and 527 (5.9%) vehicles at Richard Jackson and SR-79, respectively. Despite this moderate increase, delays and queues decreased with the installation of the new system.

### C.3.6. Consideration of Traffic Flows Jointly with Queue Length

The differences in “Traffic Flow” and “Queue Length” between before and after measurements are shown in Table C-3.36 and Table C-3.38. The tables are color-coded as follows: green indicates that “Queue” decreases and “Traffic Flow” increases, Red indicates “Queue” increases and “Traffic Flow” decreases, No Color indicates “Traffic Flow” and “Queue” increase or decrease at the same time. Generally, green indicates improvement despite an increase in flow.

Table C-3.36 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson.

| Time Period | Northbound (Side) |          |         |          |         |          | Southbound (Side) |          |         |          |        |         | Eastbound (Main) |          |         |          |         |          | Westbound (Main) |          |          |          |         |          |
|-------------|-------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|-------------------|----------|---------|----------|--------|---------|------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
|             | Left              |          | Thru    |          | Right   |          | Left              |          | Thru    |          | Right  |         | Left             |          | Thru    |          | Right   |          | Left             |          | Thru     |          | Right   |          |
|             | TF                | Q        | TF      | Q        | TF      | Q        | TF                | Q        | TF      | Q        | TF     | Q       | TF               | Q        | TF      | Q        | TF      | Q        | TF               | Q        | TF       | Q        | TF      | Q        |
| AM Peak     | 60                | -<br>1.0 | -<br>83 | 1.4      | -<br>79 | -<br>1.0 | -<br>27           | 0.3      | -<br>29 | 0.4      | 3<br>6 | 0.<br>1 | -<br>80          | 0.8      | 34      | 0.5      | 14<br>4 | -<br>0.9 | 27               | -<br>0.9 | 66       | -<br>3.4 | -<br>99 | -<br>1.8 |
| OFF PEAK    | 32                | 0.7      | 17      | 1.6      | 14      | 0.3      | 5                 | -<br>2.9 | 80      | -<br>0.3 | 2<br>9 | 0.<br>4 | 9                | -<br>0.1 | 10<br>8 | -<br>0.1 | 16      | -<br>0.9 | 44               | -<br>0.2 | 67       | -<br>4.3 | -<br>17 | -<br>0.4 |
| PM Peak     | -<br>31           | -<br>0.9 | -<br>50 | -<br>1.5 | 14      | 0.5      | -<br>15           | 0.3      | -<br>35 | 0.0      | 2<br>7 | 0.<br>6 | -<br>14          | -<br>3.3 | 6       | 2.3      | 58      | -<br>0.6 | 11               | -<br>2.1 | -<br>233 | -<br>3.3 | 23      | -<br>0.7 |

Table C-3.37 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at PCB Pkwy and Richard Jackson.

| Time Period | Northbound (Side) |        |        |        |        |        | Southbound (Side) |        |        |        |       |      | Eastbound (Main) |        |      |      |       |         | Westbound (Main) |        |        |        |        |        |
|-------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|------------------|--------|------|------|-------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|             | Left              |        | Thru   |        | Right  |        | Left              |        | Thru   |        | Right |      | Left             |        | Thru |      | Right |         | Left             |        | Thru   |        | Right  |        |
|             | TF                | Q      | TF     | Q      | TF     | Q      | TF                | Q      | TF     | Q      | TF    | Q    | TF               | Q      | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q       | TF               | Q      | TF     | Q      | TF     | Q      |
| AM          | 42 %              | - 22 % | - 42 % | 28 %   | - 48 % | - 40 % | - 15 %            | 4%     | - 16 % | 25 %   | 42 %  | 7%   | - 36 %           | 21 %   | 3%   | 25 % | 98 %  | - 100 % | 24 %             | - 11 % | 6%     | - 15 % | - 46 % | - 67 % |
| OFF PEAK    | 15 %              | 13 %   | 13 %   | 36 %   | 16 %   | 22 %   | 4%                | - 36 % | 94 %   | - 11 % | 88 %  | 41 % | 9%               | -3%    | 12 % | 35 % | 11 %  | - 100 % | 49 %             | -3%    | 7%     | - 45 % | - 14 % | - 27 % |
| PM          | - 14 %            | - 18 % | - 36 % | - 32 % | 10 %   | 21 %   | -8%               | 4%     | - 23 % | 4%     | 47 %  | 55 % | - 15 %           | - 60 % | 1%   | 80 % | 36 %  | - 100 % | 9%               | - 29 % | - 17 % | - 25 % | 32 %   | - 93 % |

Table C-3.38 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.

| Time Period | Northbound (Side) |       |      |       |       |     | Southbound (Side) |       |      |       |       |       | Eastbound (Main) |       |      |       |       |       | Westbound (Main) |       |      |       |       |      |
|-------------|-------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-----|-------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|
|             | Left              |       | Thru |       | Right |     | Left              |       | Thru |       | Right |       | Left             |       | Thru |       | Right |       | Left             |       | Thru |       | Right |      |
|             | TF                | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q   | TF                | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF               | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF               | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q    |
| AM Peak     | - 30              | 0.8   | 3 5  | 0.0   | 3 4   | 1.4 | 45                | 0.8   | 2    | 0.2   | 56    | 0.1   | -5               | 0.2   | 17 3 | - 0.1 | 19    | - 4.5 | 6                | - 3.6 | -8   | - 0.2 | 2 4   | 0. 0 |
| OFF PEAK    | 54                | - 1.2 | 1    | 1.7   | 8 7   | 0.4 | 22                | - 0.1 | -8   | - 0.7 | -84   | - 0.7 | - 103            | 1.8   | -36  | 0.5   | 30    | - 4.3 | 5 4              | - 3.9 | 1    | - 0.1 | 2 0   | 0. 0 |
| PM Peak     | 7                 | - 0.1 | 1 9  | - 0.4 | 5 0   | 0.5 | - 20              | - 0.6 | - 29 | 0.0   | - 105 | - 2.0 | -68              | - 1.9 | 45   | - 0.2 | - 37  | - 7.3 | 1 1              | - 6.7 | 19 9 | - 0.3 | 6 6   | 0. 0 |



Table C-3.39 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at PCB Pkwy and SR-79.

| Time Period | Northbound (Side) |       |      |       |       |       | Southbound (Side) |       |      |       |       |      | Eastbound (Main) |      |      |       |       |      | Westbound (Main) |       |      |        |       |     |
|-------------|-------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|------------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------------------|-------|------|--------|-------|-----|
|             | Left              |       | Thru |       | Right |       | Left              |       | Thru |       | Right |      | Left             |      | Thru |       | Right |      | Left             |       | Thru |        | Right |     |
|             | TF                | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF                | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q    | TF               | Q    | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q    | TF               | Q     | TF   | Q      | TF    | Q   |
| AM Peak     | -31 %             | 43 %  | 51 % | 0%    | 54 %  | 32 %  | 18 %              | 18 %  | 4%   | -14 % | 45 %  | 3%   | -5%              | 2%   | 23 % | -17 % | 32 %  | -48% | 7%               | -39 % | -1%  | -100 % | 18 %  | 0 % |
| OFF PEAK    | 54 %              | -28 % | 1%   | 167 % | 90 %  | 13 %  | 10 %              | -3%   | 15 % | 68 %  | 50 %  | 18 % | -61 %            | 20 % | -4%  | 8%    | 22 %  | -51% | 50 %             | -43 % | 0%   | -100 % | 18 %  | 0 % |
| PM Peak     | 7%                | -3%   | 26 % | -47%  | 43 %  | -10 % | -8%               | -11 % | 36 % | 46 %  | 73 %  | 30 % | 31 %             | 14 % | 4%   | -5%   | 23 %  | -61% | 8%               | -55 % | 26 % | -100 % | 34 %  | 0 % |

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of traffic flows jointly with queue length:

- There does not seem to be a high correlation between increasing traffic and increasing queues at the two critical intersections.

Generally, traffic conditions at both intersections have improved. This is particularly true with the WB traffic.

## C.4. Questionnaire

### SECTION 1: RESPONDENT'S INFORMATION

Name **Marc R. Mackey**

Organization **Bay County Public Works**

Position **Traffic Operations Engineer**

Address

Phone

Fax \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail [mmackey@baycountyfl.gov](mailto:mmackey@baycountyfl.gov)

### SECTION 2: PREVIOUS TRAFFIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

1. Please specify the type of traffic control used before Adaptive Signal Control Technology (ASCT) was installed for your site. (Please check all that apply.)

a. Fixed time coordinated control

**b. Actuated coordinated control**

c. Fixed-time isolated control

d. Actuated isolated control

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

2. What type of traffic controller was used before ASCT was implemented?

**a. NEMA TS-1**

**b. NEMA TS-2**

c. 170

d. 2070

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

3. How many detectors were used before ASCT was implemented for a typical intersection (e.g., *Newberry Rd & NW 75<sup>th</sup> St*)? Please also specify the location where the detectors were typically placed for each specific detector type (video detection, inductive loops, radars, etc.).

**Two advance detectors at each main through movement. Left turning detectors for all approaches; Stop bar detector for side-streets.**

4. What was the frequency of retiming the signal timing plan for the corridor before ASCT was implemented?

**2-3 years**

5. How often were maintenance and updates performed to your previous control system in terms of the following components?
  - a. Detection: **Regular quarterly check usually accompanied by an annual check**
  - b. Control Hardware:
6. Software:
7. What was the annual maintenance cost in terms of hardware, software and personnel of the previous control system for the whole corridor where the ASCT is now deployed?
8. What was the life expectancy of your traffic control before the deployment of ASCT?  
(Please refer to the hardware and software.)

**It went well (for 6 years) before being replaced. The old system is quite simple and durable.**

9. How many crashes of each category were reported during the past 4 years before the ASCT implementation? (2011-2014)

|      | K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No<br>Apparent<br>Injury) |
|------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2011 |               |                            |                          |                           |                                 |
| 2012 |               |                            |                          |                           |                                 |
| 2013 |               |                            |                          |                           |                                 |
| 2014 |               |                            |                          |                           |                                 |

### SECTION 3: ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM (ASCT)

10. Which Adaptive Traffic Control System (ASCT) does your agency deploy?

a. InSync; Version: Fusion

b. Synchro Green; Version: \_\_\_\_\_

c. Other; please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

11. Did you consider any other ASCT before you selected this one for installation? Why did you reject the other(s) in favor of this one?

**No, state picked the software**

12. What were your major criteria for choosing the ASCT for the selected corridor?

**N/A**

13. What is the life expectancy of your ASCT system? (Consider both hardware and software.)

**5 years warranty purchased.**

14. What type of traffic controller is currently in use after the ASCT implementation?

a. **Same as before the ASCT implementation**

b. New, please specify type:

c. Same, but the following updates were needed: \_\_\_\_\_

15. How many and what type of detectors are used after the ASCT implementation on an intersection level (e.g., *Newberry Rd & NW 75<sup>th</sup> St*)? Please note any updates that the previous detection system needed so as to work with your ASCT.

**4 cameras (one each approach); All left-turn detectors; Side street stop bar detectors. Fusion provides additional back-up data counts. However, the operation of the system depends on the video detection**

16. Was there a need to update your previous software operating system at your Transportation Management Center in order to get your current ASCT software working?

a. **Yes**

b. **No**

17. How often do you plan to perform physical maintenance or updates on your new ASCT in terms of the following components?

a. Detection:

b. ASCT Hardware:

c. Software:

18. Was there a need for training your personnel in order to operate the new ASCT?

a. **Yes**, Num. of employees trained **4**, extensive training that covers intro, software, hardware and system setting, implementation.

b. No

19. Was there any change on the number of staff required to operate/maintain the effective signal ASCT operations? (Comparison of staff needed before and after the deployment.)

**No**

20. Where did expertise come from for your personnel's training, the ASCT installation, operation and the system's maintenance? Please check all that apply.

|                   | In-House | Vendor     | Contractor |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Employee Training |          | <b>Yes</b> |            |
| ASCT Installation |          | <b>Yes</b> |            |
| ASCT Operation    |          | <b>Yes</b> |            |
| ASCT Maintenance  |          | <b>Yes</b> |            |

21. How many crashes of each category were reported after ASCT was implemented?

| K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

#### SECTION 4: COST COMPONENTS

22. What is the overall capital cost of purchasing the ASCT (in terms of the software and licenses of the ASCT) for the corridor? If the purchase includes any service of implementation, personnel training or maintenance, please also specify here.

**\$45,000 per intersection**

23. What is the implementation cost (considering the installation on site, any updates in software and hardware, design needs and contract hours) for the ASCT corridor? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.
24. What was total cost for training your personnel to operate and manage the new ASCT? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.
25. What is the expected maintenance cost (in terms of hardware, software and personnel) for the ASCT corridor on a yearly basis? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.
26. Can you specify the costs for the following ASCT components analytically?
- |                          |                                             |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| a. Firmware \$ _____     | b. Software \$ _____                        |
| c. Equipment \$ _____    | d. Maintenance of Traffic Cost \$ _____     |
| e. Design Needs \$ _____ | f. Contract Help/Agency Hours Cost \$ _____ |

**SECTION 5: INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES**

27. Were there any institutional issues that you had to overcome while implementing and operating the ASCT project? Please categorize and explain those issues below.

- a. Organization and Management Institutional Issues:

**N/A**

- b. Regulatory and Legal Institutional Issues:

- 
- c. Human and Facility Resources Institutional Issues:

- 
- d. Financial Institutional Issues:

- 
28. Which component (e.g., detection, communication, hardware, software, licensing or other) of your ASCT deployment is the most challenging to maintain and why?

**Camera; can get damaged in lightning**

29. What happens to your ASCT operations when some of your detectors fail on the corridor level?

- a. ASCT triggers an alarm and notifies operators
- b. ASCT switches to an "off-line" mode by implementing Time-Of-Day plans
- c. Combination of the above.

**d. Other (please describe):** Run off line data in the most recent two weeks

30. How is your ASCT performance evaluated?

**a. In-house**

1. from the preliminary analysis:

There is some benefits in the reduction of the rear-end crashes;

2. Majority of the benefits are in the travel time saving. Generally 7% travel time reduction is seen; in certain case, the reduction is even closer to 20% yet somewhat hurts the side-street;

3. The benefits are mainly seen in the light or moderate traffic volumes. In the over-saturated case, the performance is "equally bad" to the previous system.

b. By an independent evaluator, please describe: \_\_\_\_\_

c. Not applicable—there is no evaluation

31. If the corridor on which the ASCT operates experiences over saturation, how would you rate the operation of the ASCT in response to these traffic conditions?

a. ASCT prevents or eliminates oversaturation

b. ASCT eliminates or reduces the extent of the periods of oversaturation

**c. ASCT adversely affects the traffic conditions during periods of oversaturation**

d. Other: \_\_\_\_\_

e. Oversaturation is very rare on the corridors operated by our ASCT

32. Based on your opinion and the up-to-date operation, when are ASCT operations proven to be the most effective?

**a. Peak periods** (Comment: with no saturation)

b. Off-peak periods

c. Shoulders of peak periods

d. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

33. Has the level of performance of ASCT been sustained since its installation?

**a. Yes**

b. No; why not?

34. What was the public reaction to the ASCT operation? Have you received any complaints about long delays and queues?

**The public generally are happy with the new system**

35. How satisfied are you, in general, with your ASCT deployment?

**a. Very satisfied**

b. Somewhat satisfied

c. Neutral

d. Somewhat dissatisfied

e. Not satisfied at all

36. Are there any other costs or benefits related to ASCT deployment that you would like to report?

a. Benefits **synchronizes and enriches the data from varying aspects. Can have straight access to the database with evidence if things went wrong.**

b. Costs

36. Would you consider expanding the ASCT program in any other of your other sites? Why or why not? If yes, would you use the same technology/firmware or have any suggestions for others? If so, why?

**Yes, one additional corridor (Tyndall Parkway) will also deploy InSync**

**SECTION 6: SAFETY ISSUES**

37. Do you have any anecdotal / qualitative data on safety benefits / disbenefits of the signal improvement along this corridor?

---

---

38. Has there been other changes along this corridor over the analysis period that could affect the safety of this corridor either positively or negatively?

---

---

39. Are you aware of any changes to the crash data reporting procedures over the analysis period? If yes, what are the changes?

---

---

---

---



40. What are your overall reactions to the trends presented in the report?

---

---

41. Is there another comparable corridor in which signal improvements have not been made to which the results of this corridor can be compared to?

---

---

42. Other thoughts / comments for us?

---

---

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. Your responses will help us with evaluating the deployment and benefits of your current ASCT. If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact Ria Kontou, email: [ekontou@ufl.edu](mailto:ekontou@ufl.edu) or Liteng Zha, email: [litengzha@ufl.edu](mailto:litengzha@ufl.edu) who work under the direct supervision of the faculty advisor Dr. Yafeng Yin

### c.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis

Table C-5.1 Monetized Saving

| Monetized Saving            |                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$3,016,458.72  |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$358,577.82    |
| Air Pollution Saving        | \$74,905.53     |
| Safety Saving               | -\$8,014,851.23 |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$3,449,942.07  |
| Total Saving                | -\$4,564,909.16 |
| Total Cost                  | \$450,000.00    |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 7.666537932     |
| B/C Ratio                   | -10.14424257    |

Table C-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions

| Monetized Saving            |                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$3,016,458.72  |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$358,577.82    |
| Safety Saving               | -\$8,014,851.23 |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$3,375,036.54  |
| Total Saving                | -\$4,639,814.69 |
| Total Cost                  | \$450,000.00    |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 7.500081196     |
| B/C Ratio                   | -10.31069931    |

## **APPENDIX D: Summary of University Parkway, Sarasota County**

### **D.1. Executive Summary**

The objective of this research is to evaluate the implementation of proposed Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT) traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the installation of specific ASCT, document the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT. This Appendix summarizes the before and after field data collected along the University Parkway, Sarasota corridor from Airport Circle to Lakewood Ranch Blvd.

Two data collection methods were used to collect the desired information. Floating car runs were conducted with the UFTI instrumented vehicle to collect vehicle travel times before the implementation of InSync, during three time periods (AM Peak, Off Peak and PM Peak). In addition, turning movement counts and queue lengths were collected at two critical intersections (US-301 & North Cattleman/Cooper Creek). Based on these, five performance measures were obtained for the before study periods: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (at critical intersections), Queue to Lane Storage Ratio (at critical intersections), and Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) flows (at critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and presented in this appendix.

Both data collection process were developed while there was a construction in the interstate I75 (a diverging diamond interchange, DDI) affecting the corridor. Moreover, the after data collection was carried out when also some mall upgrades were being done on the southwest part of the North Cattleman intersection. Since, delays and volumes were affected on the eastern part of the corridor, the analysis has been carried out by dividing the corridor into two stretches and the comparison is presented for the western part of the corridor as free from the influence of the construction area).

The following were concluded:

- The average delay for the entire corridor decreased for both directions of travel for all the periods studied. Comparison of the before and after conditions shows that, while the overall performance of the corridor has improved, operations for some intersections (N. Cattleman for example) deteriorated.
- The average travel time in the EB direction had a slight decrease in the AM peak (0.24 min or 4.77%), while it increased for both the Off Peak and PM peak (2.88 min or 3.95%, and 5.77 min or 20.60%, respectively). In the WB direction, the average travel time had a minimal increase in the AM peak by 0.19 min (1.36%), while it decreased for Off Peak and decreased significantly for PM peak (1.91 min or 10.25%, and 15.02 min or 44.58%, respectively).
- The intersection through movement delay in the EB direction decreased for 14 out of the 17 intersections by an average of 9.05 sec/intersection. The intersection through movement delay in the WB direction decreased at 14 out of the 17 intersections by an average of 13.43 sec/intersection.

- The average queue length for the University Parkway and US-301 intersection decreased during the AM peak by 6.6 vehicles, with negligible variation for the other periods. The average queue length for the University Parkway and North Cattlemen Rd intersection increased during all three periods as follows: 0.73 vehicles in the AM peak, 3.27 vehicles in the Off Peak, and 2.57 vehicles in the PM peak. The delay at this intersection is considered mainly due to the construction site.
- Generally, the percentage of trucks is low (1.75% and 3.90% at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd and University Pkwy & US-301, respectively), however, the high percentage observed along the EB at the University Pkwy & US-301 intersection could have resulted in an increase in average travel time observed for that direction of travel.
- The overall reduction of traffic flow at both critical intersections is not high enough to be the main reason for the observed performance improvement (reduction of less than 78 pce/h for the University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd intersection, and 35 pce/h for the University Pkwy & US-301 intersection).
- While the results for the entire corridor had some issues due to construction, the evaluation parameters (i.e delay, queues etc.) showed improvement across the board for western part (West of Medici Ct.) of the corridor.

## D.2. Corridor Information

Figure D-2.1 provides a schematic of the University Parkway, Sarasota corridor. Table D-2.1 lists the intersections along the corridor. Two intersections (North Cattlemen Rd and US-301) were selected as the critical intersections along the corridor and detailed turning movement and queue counts were collected at these. Figure D-2.2 provides the lane configuration of these two critical intersections.








Figure D-2.1 Schematic of the University Parkway, Sarasota corridor.

Table D-2.1 Intersections along the University Parkway, Sarasota Corridor

|           | <b>Signalized Intersection</b>               | <b>Distance in Between</b> | <b>Unsignalized Intersections in Between</b> |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1         | Lakewood Ranch Blvd                          |                            |                                              |
| 2         | Town Center Pkwy                             | 0.27 miles                 | 0                                            |
| 3         | Market St                                    | 0.30 miles                 | 1                                            |
| 4         | I-75 NB                                      | 0.34 miles                 | 0                                            |
| 5         | I-75 SB                                      | 828 ft                     | 0                                            |
| <b>6</b>  | <b>North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B.</b> | <b>0.32 miles</b>          | <b>0</b>                                     |
| 7         | Honore Ave                                   | 0.50 miles                 | 4                                            |
| 8         | Medici Ct                                    | 0.52 miles                 | 3                                            |
| 9         | Longwood Run                                 | 0.40 miles                 | 0                                            |
| 10        | Whitfield Ave                                | 0.51 miles                 | 1                                            |
| 11        | Country Park Way                             | 0.58 miles                 | 0                                            |
| 12        | Lockwood Ridge Rd                            | 0.58 miles                 | 1                                            |
| 13        | Tuttle Ave                                   | 0.50 miles                 | 1                                            |
| <b>14</b> | <b>US-301</b>                                | <b>1.09 miles</b>          | <b>10</b>                                    |
| 15        | County Line Rd                               | 0.33 miles                 | 1                                            |
| 16        | Desoto Road                                  | 0.61 miles                 | 1                                            |
| 17        | Bradenton Road                               | 935 ft                     | 0                                            |
| 18        | Airport Cir                                  | 0.35 miles                 | 1                                            |
| Total     | 19 Intersections                             | 7.8 miles                  |                                              |



(a) University Pkwy & US-301



(b) University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd.  
Cooper Creek B.

Figure D-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections

As mentioned above, due to the influence that the construction sites had on the eastern part of the corridor, apart from the standard comparisons, additional analysis has been done considering only the western part of the corridor (West of Medici Ct.) not affected by the construction sites (I-75 and mall at N Cattlemen Rd). The following figure shows the stretch of the corridor which has been separated for a better comparison.



Figure D-2.3 Schematic of the western part of the University Parkway corridor considered for delay comparison, Sarasota

### D.3. Performance Measures

Five performance measures were evaluated: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (critical intersections), Queue-to-Lane Storage Ratio (critical intersections), and PCE Flows (critical intersections).

#### D.3.1. Route Travel Time

The average travel time (min) along the route was measured using a floating car. During the before data collection 11 runs for the AM peak, 12 for the OFF peak and 8 for the PM peak were carried out. Table D-3.1 provides the route travel time for the before data.

*Before Study (Mar.18 & Mar.19, 2015)*

Table D-3.1 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)         | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak | Average      |
|------------------------|---------|----------|---------|--------------|
| University Parkway, EB | 16.57   | 17.92    | 23.01   | <b>19.16</b> |
| University Parkway, WB | 14.91   | 19.33    | 34.65   | <b>22.96</b> |

*After Study (Mar.14 & Mar.15, 2017)*


Table D-3.2 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)         | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak | Average |
|------------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| University Parkway, EB | 15.78   | 20.42    | 27.75   | 21.31   |
| University Parkway, WB | 14.30   | 16.73    | 18.67   | 16.57   |

### Comparisons of Before and After Travel Times

Table D-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (after – before)

| Route TT (min)         | AM Peak        | Off Peak        | PM Peak          | Average                |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------|
| University Parkway, EB | -0.24 (-4.77%) | 2.88 (13.95%)   | 5.77 (20.60%)    | <b>2.80 (11.22%)</b>   |
| University Parkway, WB | 0.19 (1.36%)   | -1.91 (-10.25%) | -15.02 (-44.58%) | <b>-5.57 (-25.17%)</b> |



(a) University Parkway, EB

(b) University Parkway, WB

Figure D-3.1 Travel times along University Parkway, Sarasota

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of travel times:

- The travel time along University Parkway in the EB decreased in the AM peak by 0.24 min (4.77%), while the EB direction carried the majority of the traffic during the AM peak. Travel time increased during both the Off Peak and PM peak. The highest increase occurred during the PM peak when the demand was relatively higher, with an increase of 5.77 min (20.60%).
- The travel time along University Parkway in the WB increased in the AM peak by 0.19 min (1.36%), when the demand was relatively lower. Travel time decreased during both the Off Peak and PM peak. The highest decrease occurred during the PM peak when the demand was relatively higher, with a decrease of 15.02 min (44.58%).
- In the before data, the AM peak travel time along University Parkway in the EB direction was higher than that of the WB direction. The reverse occurred during the Off Peak and PM peaks, with WB the direction with the higher traffic and higher travel time. However, in the after study, the heavily traveled WB direction had a



- lower travel time. It appears that the system favored the peak direction while for the opposing lower-demand direction travel time increased by 2.80 min (11.22%).
- Three incidents occurred during the data collection, which may have slightly affected travel times: 3/14/17, AM Peak to early PM Peak, EB direction, Lockwood Ridge Rd. to Country Park, one lane closure 200 ft downstream of the study site; 3/15/2017, PM Peak (5:42 PM), EB, Accident after the Medici Ct. intersection (3 cars); 3/15/2017, PM Peak (5:31 PM), WB, Airport Circle accident, rear-end, severity: 2 (possible injury). During these incidents, the research team did not experience significant changes in delay.

### D.3.2. Delay

Delay (sec) at each intersection along the corridor was also obtained using the floating car measurements. As indicated earlier, the after study excluded the Lakewood Ranch Intersection.

*Before Study (Mar.18 & Mar.19, 2015)*

Table D-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Airport Cir                  | 11.72   | 22.47    | 1.65    |
| Bradenton Rd                 | 11.44   | 20.93    | 1.65    |
| Desoto Rd                    | 1.32    | 5.3      | 19.8    |
| County Line Rd               | 1.2     | 5.8      | 29.85   |
| US-301                       | 79.6    | 60.8     | 80.2    |
| Tuttle Ave                   | 58.07   | 55.91    | 52.31   |
| Lockwood Ridge Rd            | 6.7     | 57.38    | 56.67   |
| Country Park Way             | 2.19    | 7.69     | 3.79    |
| Whitfield Ave                | 27.6    | 15.1     | 16.65   |
| Longwood Run                 | 17.62   | 14.82    | 20.17   |
| Medici Ct                    | 7.71    | 6.97     | 16.47   |
| Honore Ave                   | 55.6    | 58.06    | 114.91  |
| N Cattleman Rd               | 30.69   | 53.63    | 141.78  |
| I-75 SB                      | 33.6    | 69.3     | 144.3   |

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| I-75 NB                      | 30.87   | 34.77    | 6.87    |
| Market St                    | 49.98   | 21.85    | 23.49   |
| Town Center Pkwy             | 6.58    | 14.32    | 61.27   |
| Lakewood Ranch Blvd          | 15.33   | 4.93     | 44.28   |




Figure D-3.2 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction

Table D-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Lakewood Ranch Blvd          | 30.52   | 23.82    | 40.22   |
| Town Center Pkwy             | 13.53   | 30.03    | 6.03    |
| Market St                    | 5.82    | 28.52    | 83.01   |
| I-75 NB                      | 65.15   | 85.24    | 161.75  |
| I-75 SB                      | 23.07   | 24.27    | 18.12   |
| N Cattleman Rd               | 9.3     | 31.4     | 48.15   |
| Honore Ave                   | 24.23   | 41.13    | 50.13   |
| Medici Ct                    | 11.76   | 12.46    | 4.81    |
| Longwood Run                 | 9.37    | 44.67    | 10.34   |
| Whitfield Ave                | 13.22   | 28.82    | 4.72    |
| Country Park Way             | 3.4     | 10.7     | 12.3    |
| Lockwood Ridge Rd            | 25.59   | 55.89    | 34.54   |
| Tuttle Ave                   | 7.87    | 45.77    | 31.17   |
| US-301                       | 57.7    | 42.8     | 68.55   |
| County Line Rd               | 16.1    | 32.1     | 22.15   |
| Desoto Rd                    | 1.5     | 11.6     | 0.9     |
| Bradenton Rd                 | 1.1     | 4        | 17.55   |
| Airport Cir                  | 11.77   | 36.3     | 55.6    |




Figure D-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction  
*After Study (Mar.14 & Mar.15, 2017)*

Table D-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction - after

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak      | Off Peak     | PM Peak      |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Airport Cir                  | 0.75         | 3.67         | 17.40        |
| Bradenton Rd                 | 1.88         | 2.67         | 3.00         |
| Desoto Rd                    | 1.75         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| County Line Rd               | 16.00        | 8.33         | 29.60        |
| <b>US-301</b>                | <b>18.38</b> | <b>47.17</b> | <b>16.00</b> |
| Tuttle Ave                   | 0.50         | 15.00        | 59.60        |
| Lockwood Ridge Rd            | 10.38        | 17.33        | 59.40        |
| Country Park Way             | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Whitfield Ave                | 3.50         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Longwood Run                 | 19.88        | 17.50        | 1.40         |
| Medici Ct                    | 5.63         | 0.00         | 36.20        |
| Honore Ave                   | 17.88        | 40.00        | 161.40       |

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak      | Off Peak      | PM Peak       |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| <b>N Cattleman Rd</b>        | <b>39.00</b> | <b>108.67</b> | <b>227.50</b> |
| I-75 SB                      | 58.13        | 82.43         | 48.00         |
| I-75 NB                      | 7.75         | 0.00          | 13.20         |
| Market St                    | 11.13        | 18.43         | 30.60         |
| Town Center Pkwy             | 0.00         | 6.71          | 4.40          |




Figure D-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction - after  
Table D-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction - after

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak      | Off Peak     | PM Peak      |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Town Center Pkwy             | 17.63        | 9.67         | 0.40         |
| Market St                    | 10.50        | 16.33        | 29.40        |
| I-75 NB                      | 10.88        | 0.00         | 94.80        |
| I-75 SB                      | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| <b>N Cattleman Rd</b>        | <b>23.38</b> | <b>29.17</b> | <b>27.00</b> |

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak     | Off Peak     | PM Peak      |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Honore Ave                   | 18.13       | 0.00         | 4.00         |
| Medici Ct                    | 4.38        | 15.50        | 0.00         |
| Longwood Run                 | 7.38        | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Whitfield Ave                | 7.13        | 17.67        | 3.60         |
| Country Park Way             | 2.50        | 18.00        | 23.80        |
| Lockwood Ridge Rd            | 15.50       | 47.00        | 32.00        |
| Tuttle Ave                   | 12.88       | 84.57        | 38.00        |
| <b>US-301</b>                | <b>6.75</b> | <b>31.67</b> | <b>25.75</b> |
| County Line Rd               | 0.00        | 3.17         | 0.00         |
| Desoto Rd                    | 9.71        | 75.50        | 20.60        |
| Bradenton Rd                 | 4.57        | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Airport Cir                  | 1.86        | 5.80         | 4.40         |




Figure D-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction - after

### Comparisons of Before and After Intersection Delay Times

The differences in delay between the before and after study are shown in Table A-3.8 and Table D-3.9. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table D-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak       | Off Peak      | PM Peak       | Average       |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Airport Cir                  | -10.97        | -18.80        | 15.75         | <b>-4.67</b>  |
| Bradenton Rd                 | -9.57         | -18.26        | 1.35          | <b>-8.83</b>  |
| Desoto Rd                    | 0.43          | -5.30         | -19.80        | <b>-8.22</b>  |
| County Line Rd               | 14.80         | 2.53          | -0.25         | <b>5.69</b>   |
| <b>US-301</b>                | <b>-61.23</b> | <b>-13.63</b> | <b>-64.20</b> | <b>-46.35</b> |
| Tuttle Ave                   | -57.57        | -40.91        | 7.29          | <b>-30.40</b> |
| Lockwood Ridge Rd            | 3.68          | -40.05        | 2.73          | <b>-11.21</b> |
| Country Park Way             | -2.19         | -7.69         | -3.79         | <b>-4.56</b>  |
| Whitfield Ave                | -24.10        | -15.10        | -16.65        | <b>-18.62</b> |
| Longwood Run                 | 2.26          | 2.68          | -18.77        | <b>-4.61</b>  |
| Medici Ct                    | -2.09         | -6.97         | 19.73         | <b>3.56</b>   |
| Honore Ave                   | -37.73        | -18.06        | 46.49         | <b>-3.10</b>  |
| <b>N Cattleman Rd</b>        | <b>8.31</b>   | <b>55.04</b>  | <b>85.72</b>  | <b>49.69</b>  |
| I-75 SB                      | 24.53         | 13.13         | -96.30        | <b>-19.55</b> |
| I-75 NB                      | -23.12        | -34.77        | 6.33          | <b>-17.19</b> |
| Market St                    | -38.86        | -3.42         | 7.11          | <b>-11.72</b> |
| Town Center Pkwy             | -6.58         | -7.61         | -56.87        | <b>-23.69</b> |
| <b>Average</b>               | <b>-12.94</b> | <b>-9.25</b>  | <b>-4.95</b>  | <b>-9.05</b>  |

Table D-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak       | Off Peak      | PM Peak       | Average       |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Town Center Pkwy             | 4.10          | -20.36        | -5.63         | <b>-7.30</b>  |
| Market St                    | 4.68          | -12.19        | -53.61        | <b>-20.37</b> |
| I-75 NB                      | -54.28        | -85.24        | -66.95        | <b>-68.82</b> |
| I-75 SB                      | -23.07        | -24.27        | -18.12        | <b>-21.82</b> |
| <b>N Cattleman Rd</b>        | <b>14.08</b>  | <b>-2.23</b>  | <b>-21.15</b> | <b>-3.10</b>  |
| Honore Ave                   | -6.11         | -41.13        | -46.13        | <b>-31.12</b> |
| Medici Ct                    | -7.39         | 3.04          | -4.81         | <b>-3.05</b>  |
| Longwood Run                 | -2.00         | -44.67        | -10.34        | <b>-19.00</b> |
| Whitfield Ave                | -6.10         | -11.15        | -1.12         | <b>-6.12</b>  |
| Country Park Way             | -0.90         | 7.30          | 11.50         | <b>5.97</b>   |
| Lockwood Ridge Rd            | -10.09        | -8.89         | -2.54         | <b>-7.17</b>  |
| Tuttle Ave                   | 5.01          | 38.80         | 6.83          | <b>16.88</b>  |
| <b>US-301</b>                | <b>-50.95</b> | <b>-11.13</b> | <b>-42.80</b> | <b>-34.96</b> |
| County Line Rd               | -16.10        | -28.93        | -22.15        | <b>-22.39</b> |
| Desoto Rd                    | 8.21          | 63.90         | 19.70         | <b>30.60</b>  |
| Bradenton Rd                 | 3.47          | -4.00         | -17.55        | <b>-6.03</b>  |
| Airport Cir                  | -9.91         | -30.50        | -51.20        | <b>-30.54</b> |
| <b>Average</b>               | <b>-8.67</b>  | <b>-12.45</b> | <b>-19.18</b> | <b>-13.43</b> |






Figure D-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction




Figure D-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

Since the influence of construction on the eastern side of the corridor can veil the effectiveness of the adaptive system, western side (West of Medici Ct.) of the corridor has been considered separately. The differences in delay for the rest of intersections in the through movement of the instrumented vehicle along both directions are represented in the following figures:




Figure D-3.8 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection on western part of the corridor along the EB direction




Figure D-3.9 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection on western part of the corridor along the WB direction

### *Discussion*


The following can be concluded from the comparison of delays:

- The average delay across the entire corridor decreased for both directions of travel for all the periods studied.
- The intersection delay in the EB direction decreased at 14 out of the 17 intersections by an average of 9.05 sec/intersection. Despite the overall decrease of delay in the EB direction, delay increased at a few intersections (N Cattleman Rd, Honore Ave, and Medici Ct) at the western part of the corridor, where the demand is higher in both directions, especially during the PM peak. Moreover, during that period, queues in the EB through movement reached around 40 vehicles.
- The intersection delay in the WB direction decreased at 14 out of the 17 intersections by an average of 13.43 sec/intersection.
- The intersection with I75 SB in the WB direction, the fact that the delay is null is considered due to the coordination with the previous intersection (I75 NB).
- Once the construction effects have been removed from the comparison and, therefore, having only one critical intersection in the analysis of delay, it can be seen that especially for the EB there is an overall improvement at all intersections. For the WB, only Desoto Road and Tuttle Avenue intersections, both during the off-peak period, show an increase in delay of 69.9 and 38.8 sec, respectively.


### D.3.3. Queue Length

Queue length (number of vehicles/lane) is presented by movement and by time period. This measure is used to evaluate oversaturated conditions at the critical intersections along the study corridors. Note that the queue length reported here is the observed maximum number of vehicles queued during each cycle, and does not represent the total number of vehicles that may have stopped during the cycle. During some time periods, because of cycle failure, vehicles need to stop multiple times before passing through the intersection.

Figure D 3.10 presents the schematic of the lane configurations at the two critical intersections. Queue length is reported for each of these lanes.



(a) University Pkwy & US-301



(b) University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd.  
/ Cooper Creek B.

Figure D-3.10 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Mar.18 & Mar.19, 2015)

Table D-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301

| Time Period | Time Segment | EB   |         |      |       |       | NB   |      |         |     |      |       | WB    |       |         |      |      |       | SB   |      |         |      |       |       |  |
|-------------|--------------|------|---------|------|-------|-------|------|------|---------|-----|------|-------|-------|-------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|-------|--|
|             |              | Left | Through |      |       | Right | Left |      | Through |     |      | Right | Left  |       | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |       | Right |  |
| Lane Number |              | 1    | 2       | 3    | 4     | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9   | 10   | 11    | 12    | 13    | 14      | 15   | 16   | 17    | 18   | 19   | 20      | 21   | 22    | 23    |  |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 4.6  | 7.4     | 8.4  | 9.4   | 0     | 3.8  | 4.4  | 8.4     | 8.8 | 2.6  | 0     | 16.8  | 15.4  | 14.2    | 14   | 13.4 | 0.2   | 9.4  | 9    | 13.6    | 13.2 | 12.2  | 0.6   |  |
|             | 2            | 3.2  | 7.8     | 8.4  | 8.4   | 0     | 2.2  | 3.2  | 9.6     | 9.6 | 1.8  | 0     | 11.6  | 10.8  | 12.2    | 10.2 | 11.8 | 0     | 7.8  | 7.4  | 11.8    | 10.6 | 10.8  | 0.4   |  |
|             | 3            | 5    | 11      | 12   | 12    | 0     | 4    | 4    | 5       | 6   | 0    | 0     | 9.4   | 9.6   | 13.4    | 14.2 | 14   | 0     | 6.6  | 7.6  | 9.4     | 10.2 | 10.2  | 0     |  |
|             | 4            | 6    | 10      | 11   | 12    | 0     | 5    | 5    | 10      | 10  | 3    | 0     | 9.2   | 8.8   | 10.8    | 10.8 | 10.8 | 0     | 7    | 7    | 8.6     | 8.4  | 9     | 0.2   |  |
|             | Average      | 4.7  | 9.05    | 9.95 | 10.45 | 0     | 3.75 | 4.15 | 8.25    | 8.6 | 1.85 | 0     | 11.75 | 11.15 | 12.65   | 12.3 | 12.5 | 0.05  | 7.7  | 7.75 | 10.85   | 10.6 | 10.55 | 0.3   |  |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 7    | 6       | 9    | 10    | 0.17  | 2    | 4    | 10      | 11  | 5    | 1.17  | 3.5   | 3.33  | 7.17    | 7.17 | 7.83 | 1.5   | 6.33 | 5.67 | 5.33    | 6    | 6.5   | 2.5   |  |
|             | 2            | 1    | 5       | 5    | 7     | 1.6   | 5    | 6    | 5       | 5   | 1    | 0.8   | 6     | 5.4   | 10.6    | 10.4 | 11.8 | 0.8   | 5.6  | 4.8  | 5.6     | 6.2  | 6.4   | 1     |  |
|             | 3            | 5    | 11      | 12   | 12    | 0.6   | 4    | 4    | 5       | 6   | 0    | 2.8   | 6.8   | 6.4   | 10.4    | 10.2 | 11   | 0.4   | 5.6  | 5.4  | 6.8     | 6.8  | 6.4   | 0.6   |  |
|             | 4            | 6    | 10      | 11   | 12    | 1.8   | 5    | 5    | 10      | 10  | 3    | 3     | 7     | 6.2   | 11.2    | 10   | 9.2  | 0.6   | 7.6  | 6    | 6.8     | 8.2  | 6.6   | 0.4   |  |

| Time<br>Period | Time<br>Segment | EB   |         |      |       |       | NB   |      |         |    |      |       | WB    |      |         |      |      |       | SB   |      |         |      |      |       |
|----------------|-----------------|------|---------|------|-------|-------|------|------|---------|----|------|-------|-------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|
|                |                 | Left | Through |      |       | Right | Left |      | Through |    |      | Right | Left  |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right |
| Lane Number    |                 | 1    | 2       | 3    | 4     | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9  | 10   | 11    | 12    | 13   | 14      | 15   | 16   | 17    | 18   | 19   | 20      | 21   | 22   | 23    |
|                | Average         | 4.75 | 8       | 9.25 | 10.25 | 1.04  | 4    | 4.75 | 7.5     | 8  | 2.25 | 1.94  | 5.83  | 5.33 | 9.84    | 9.44 | 9.96 | 0.83  | 6.28 | 5.47 | 6.13    | 6.8  | 6.48 | 1.13  |
| PM<br>Peak     | 1               | 7    | 6       | 9    | 10    | 0.2   | 2    | 4    | 10      | 11 | 5    | 2.8   | 5.4   | 6.4  | 7       | 10.4 | 8    | 1.4   | 9    | 9.4  | 10.2    | 12.6 | 10.2 | 0.2   |
|                | 2               | 1    | 5       | 5    | 7     | 0.8   | 5    | 6    | 5       | 5  | 1    | 0.8   | 4     | 5.6  | 8.8     | 11   | 10.6 | 3.8   | 9    | 10.6 | 9.6     | 10.2 | 9    | 1.2   |
|                | 3               | 5    | 11      | 12   | 12    | 1.4   | 4    | 4    | 5       | 6  | 0    | 5.6   | 16    | 4    | 5.6     | 4.6  | 9.2  | 13.2  | 5.8  | 10.4 | 12      | 10.8 | 11.2 | 9.4   |
|                | 4               | 6    | 10      | 11   | 12    | 0     | 5    | 5    | 10      | 10 | 3    | 2     | 18    | 5.4  | 6.2     | 3.8  | 10.2 | 10.2  | 2.8  | 8.4  | 8.6     | 6.8  | 8.2  | 6.8   |
|                | Average         | 4.75 | 8       | 9.25 | 10.25 | 0.6   | 4    | 4.75 | 7.5     | 8  | 2.25 | 2.8   | 10.85 | 5.35 | 6.9     | 7.45 | 9.5  | 7.15  | 6.65 | 9.7  | 10.1    | 10.1 | 9.65 | 4.4   |

Table D-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301

| Time Period | EB(Main) |         |       | NB   |         |       | WB(Main) |         |       | SB   |         |       |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left     | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left     | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 4.7      | 9.82    | 0     | 7.9  | 6.23    | 0     | 11.45    | 12.48   | 0.05  | 7.73 | 10.67   | 0.3   |
| Off Peak    | 4.75     | 9.17    | 1.04  | 8.75 | 5.92    | 1.94  | 5.58     | 9.75    | 0.83  | 5.88 | 6.47    | 1.13  |
| PM Peak     | 4.75     | 9.17    | 0.6   | 8.75 | 5.92    | 2.8   | 8.1      | 7.95    | 7.15  | 8.18 | 9.95    | 4.4   |

Table D-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B.

| Time<br><br>Period | Time<br><br>Segm<br>ent | EB       |          |           |           |           |           | NB       |          |          |          |          |          | WB        |           |           |           |           |       | SB        |           |             |           |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
|                    |                         | Left     |          | Through   |           |           | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          | Right    |          | Left      |           | Through   |           |           | Right | Left      |           | Throu<br>gh | Rig<br>ht |
| Lane Number        |                         | 1        | 2        | 3         | 4         | 5         | 6         | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13        | 14        | 15        | 16        | 17        | 18    | 19        | 20        | 21          | 22        |
| AM<br>Peak         | 1                       | 2        | 1.3<br>3 | 9         | 9         | 12.5      | 0.1<br>7  | 1.5      | 0.5      | 0.3<br>3 | 0        | 2.3<br>3 | 1.3<br>3 | 5.3<br>3  | 4.8<br>3  | 10.<br>5  | 10.<br>83 | 10.<br>5  | 0.83  | 5.3<br>3  | 4.6<br>7  | 2.5         | 2.3<br>3  |
|                    | 2                       | 3        | 2.3<br>3 | 10        | 8.5       | 11.1<br>7 | 0         | 1.1<br>7 | 0.5      | 0.6<br>7 | 0.1<br>7 | 2.3<br>3 | 2.8<br>3 | 5.1<br>7  | 5         | 8.1<br>7  | 7.5       | 6         | 1.33  | 5.3<br>3  | 4.6<br>7  | 2           | 2.1<br>7  |
|                    | 3                       | 3.3<br>3 | 2.6<br>7 | 5.67      | 3.83      | 7.5       | 0         | 1.5      | 1.5      | 1        | 0.5      | 2.8<br>3 | 1.6<br>7 | 4.6<br>7  | 3.8<br>3  | 11.<br>5  | 11.<br>83 | 11.<br>67 | 0     | 5.6<br>7  | 5.8<br>3  | 2           | 0.1<br>7  |
|                    | 4                       | 2.4<br>3 | 2.2<br>9 | 5.29      | 5.14      | 7.57      | 0.2<br>9  | 1        | 0.8<br>6 | 0.8<br>6 | 1        | 1.7<br>1 | 2.4<br>3 | 3.5       | 3         | 11        | 11        | 10.<br>67 | 0.5   | 6.1<br>7  | 5.3<br>3  | 1.5         | 0.5       |
|                    | Avera<br>ge             | 2.6<br>9 | 2.1<br>5 | 7.49      | 6.62      | 9.68      | 0.1<br>1  | 1.2<br>9 | 0.8<br>4 | 0.7<br>1 | 0.4<br>2 | 2.3      | 2.0<br>7 | 4.6<br>7  | 4.1<br>7  | 10.<br>29 | 10.<br>29 | 9.7<br>1  | 0.67  | 5.6<br>3  | 5.1<br>3  | 2           | 1.2<br>9  |
| Off<br>Peak        | 1                       | 7.6<br>7 | 6.8<br>3 | 13.5      | 13.8<br>3 | 15.8<br>3 | 2.5       | 4        | 7.1<br>7 | 4.6<br>7 | 5.3<br>3 | 4.3<br>3 | 5        | 13.<br>17 | 12.<br>17 | 13.<br>33 | 12.<br>83 | 13        | 4.67  | 8.1<br>7  | 7.6<br>7  | 8.83        | 3.1<br>7  |
|                    | 2                       | 9.2<br>9 | 8.8<br>6 | 18        | 18        | 18        | 2.7<br>1  | 5.1<br>4 | 9.7<br>1 | 4.2<br>9 | 5.5<br>7 | 8.5<br>7 | 9        | 15.<br>83 | 15.<br>33 | 18.<br>17 | 17.<br>83 | 17.<br>17 | 9.5   | 13.<br>67 | 12.<br>5  | 11.5        | 4.1<br>7  |
|                    | 3                       | 8.5      | 7.1<br>7 | 18        | 18        | 18        | 3         | 3.6<br>7 | 6.8<br>3 | 4.3<br>3 | 6.1<br>7 | 7        | 7.3<br>3 | 15.<br>67 | 15.<br>5  | 15.<br>83 | 15.<br>5  | 14.<br>33 | 5.5   | 16.<br>67 | 12.<br>5  | 11.17       | 5.1<br>7  |
|                    | 4                       | 8        | 7.5      | 15.3<br>3 | 14.5      | 15.3<br>3 | 2.1<br>7  | 5        | 7.1<br>7 | 5.1<br>7 | 6        | 7.5      | 8.3<br>3 | 8.1<br>7  | 7.6<br>7  | 13.<br>83 | 13.<br>67 | 11.<br>83 | 4.67  | 9.8<br>3  | 9.1<br>7  | 10.67       | 4.1<br>7  |
|                    | Avera<br>ge             | 8.3<br>6 | 7.5<br>9 | 16.2<br>1 | 16.0<br>8 | 16.7<br>9 | 2.6       | 4.4<br>5 | 7.7<br>2 | 4.6<br>1 | 5.7<br>7 | 6.8<br>5 | 7.4<br>2 | 13.<br>21 | 12.<br>67 | 15.<br>29 | 14.<br>96 | 14.<br>08 | 6.08  | 12.<br>08 | 10.<br>46 | 10.54       | 4.1<br>7  |

| Time       | Time        | EB          |          |            |            |            |           | NB       |          |          |          |           |           | WB       |          |           |           |           |       | SB        |           |             |           |    |    |
|------------|-------------|-------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----|----|
|            |             | Left        |          | Through    |            |            | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          | Right     |           | Left     |          | Through   |           |           | Right | Left      |           | Throu<br>gh | Rig<br>ht |    |    |
| Period     |             | Lane Number |          | 1          | 2          | 3          | 4         | 5        | 6        | 7        | 8        | 9         | 10        | 11       | 12       | 13        | 14        | 15        | 16    | 17        | 18        | 19          | 20        | 21 | 22 |
| PM<br>Peak | 1           | 4.1<br>7    | 8.3<br>3 | 25.0<br>0* | 25.0<br>0* | 25.0<br>0* | 0.8<br>3  | 4.6<br>7 | 7.6<br>7 | 4.5      | 4.3<br>3 | 13.<br>5  | 10.<br>67 | 10       | 10       | 13        | 13        | 12.<br>83 | 5.5   | 12        | 18.<br>33 | 6.67        | 3.3<br>3  |    |    |
|            | 2           | 7.8         | 8.8      | 25.0<br>0* | 25.0<br>0* | 25.0<br>0* | 1.4       | 4        | 7.4      | 3.4      | 8        | 10.<br>4  | 13.<br>6  | 8.3<br>3 | 7.5      | 13.<br>33 | 13.<br>33 | 13.<br>17 | 3.83  | 11.<br>67 | 15.<br>5  | 6.17        | 3         |    |    |
|            | 3           | 4.5         | 6        | 25.0<br>0* | 25.0<br>0* | 25.0<br>0* | 0.5       | 8.3<br>3 | 6.5      | 5.3<br>3 | 4.3<br>3 | 12.<br>33 | 9         | 8.1<br>7 | 8        | 11.<br>5  | 10.<br>83 | 10.<br>5  | 3.33  | 12        | 18.<br>33 | 8.83        | 2.6<br>7  |    |    |
|            | 4           | 4.8<br>6    | 5.5<br>7 | 25.0<br>0* | 25.0<br>0* | 25.0<br>0* | 1.5       | 4.5      | 5.1<br>7 | 4.8<br>3 | 5.5      | 10        | 9.5       | 9.1<br>7 | 9.1<br>7 | 11.<br>33 | 11.<br>33 | 11.<br>17 | 5.17  | 8.8<br>3  | 8.6<br>7  | 6.17        | 2.3<br>3  |    |    |
|            | Avera<br>ge | 5.3<br>3    | 7.1<br>8 | 25         | 25         | 25         | 1.0<br>6  | 5.3<br>8 | 6.6<br>8 | 4.5<br>2 | 5.5<br>4 | 11.<br>56 | 10.<br>69 | 8.9<br>2 | 8.6<br>7 | 12.<br>29 | 12.<br>13 | 11.<br>92 | 4.46  | 11.<br>13 | 15.<br>21 | 6.96        | 2.8<br>3  |    |    |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure

Table D-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B.

| Time Period | EB(Main) |         |       | NB   |         |       | WB(Main) |         |       | SB    |         |       |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|             | Left     | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left     | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 2.42     | 7.93    | 0.11  | 1.07 | 0.57    | 2.18  | 4.42     | 10.1    | 0.67  | 5.38  | 2       | 1.29  |
| Off Peak    | 7.98     | 16.36   | 2.6   | 6.09 | 5.19    | 7.13  | 12.94    | 14.78   | 6.08  | 11.27 | 10.54   | 4.17  |
| PM Peak     | 6.25     | 25      | 1.06  | 6.03 | 5.03    | 11.13 | 8.79     | 12.11   | 4.46  | 13.17 | 6.96    | 2.83  |



After Study (Mar. 14 & Mar. 15, 2017)

Table D-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301 – after Study

| Time<br>Period | Time<br>Segment | EB (Main) |          |          |          |           | NB       |          |           |           |          |           | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          |           | SB       |          |           |           |           |           |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                |                 | Left      | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through   |           |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through   |           |           | Rig<br>ht |
| Lane Number    |                 | 1         | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5         | 6        | 7        | 8         | 9         | 10       | 11        | 12        | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17        | 18       | 19       | 20        | 21        | 22        | 23        |
| AM<br>Peak     | 1               | 2.5<br>0  | 4.8<br>3 | 4.6<br>7 | 3.6<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 3.0<br>0 | 3.0<br>0 | 4.0<br>0  | 3.0<br>0  | 1.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 7.2<br>0  | 6.2<br>0 | 2.6<br>0 | 2.0<br>0 | 1.6<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 5.0<br>0 | 5.0<br>0 | 7.4<br>0  | 8.0<br>0  | 8.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>0  |
|                | 2               | 3.5<br>7  | 3.7<br>1 | 4.5<br>7 | 4.5<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 2.1<br>4 | 2.4<br>3 | 6.8<br>6  | 4.7<br>1  | 3.8<br>6 | 0.0<br>0  | 8.6<br>7  | 7.5<br>0 | 2.6<br>7 | 3.3<br>3 | 2.6<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 4.3<br>3 | 4.7<br>1 | 8.2<br>9  | 9.0<br>0  | 9.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>0  |
|                | 3               | 3.5<br>0  | 3.3<br>8 | 4.8<br>8 | 4.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 1.8<br>6 | 4.5<br>7 | 4.8<br>6  | 6.0<br>0  | 3.4<br>3 | 0.0<br>0  | 6.8<br>6  | 7.5<br>7 | 2.2<br>9 | 2.8<br>6 | 2.2<br>9 | 0.0<br>0  | 4.5<br>7 | 4.7<br>1 | 7.7<br>1  | 8.2<br>9  | 8.2<br>9  | 0.0<br>0  |
|                | 4               | 3.3<br>3  | 3.1<br>7 | 3.6<br>7 | 4.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 3.6<br>7 | 3.1<br>7 | 6.1<br>7  | 6.1<br>7  | 3.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 7.3<br>3  | 7.0<br>0 | 1.3<br>3 | 3.0<br>0 | 2.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 9.3<br>3 | 8.8<br>3 | 13.<br>33 | 13.<br>33 | 13.<br>17 | 0.0<br>0  |
|                | Average         | 0.3<br>6  | 0.5<br>3 | 0.3<br>9 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.6<br>7 | 0.6<br>4 | 1.0<br>4  | 1.1<br>1  | 0.9<br>1 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.5<br>8  | 0.4<br>7 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.0<br>0  | 1.7<br>6 | 1.5<br>1 | 2.0<br>8  | 1.8<br>4  | 1.7<br>8  | 0.0<br>0  |
| Off<br>Peak    | 1               | 5.8<br>3  | 7.1<br>7 | 6.6<br>7 | 8.1<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 4.2<br>0 | 6.6<br>0 | 15.<br>20 | 12.<br>20 | 6.2<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 5.2<br>0  | 4.8<br>0 | 3.0<br>0 | 4.2<br>0 | 4.4<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 6.8<br>3 | 7.1<br>7 | 4.8<br>3  | 7.3<br>3  | 6.8<br>3  | 0.0<br>0  |
|                | 2               | 4.6<br>0  | 4.8<br>3 | 7.8<br>3 | 8.1<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 5.3<br>3 | 4.3<br>3 | 6.3<br>3  | 7.0<br>0  | 2.6<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 4.1<br>7  | 5.0<br>0 | 3.6<br>7 | 5.0<br>0 | 2.6<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 5.8<br>3 | 6.0<br>0 | 6.3<br>3  | 8.5<br>0  | 7.5<br>0  | 0.0<br>0  |
|                | 3               | 3.1<br>7  | 5.5<br>0 | 7.1<br>7 | 6.5<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 2.4<br>0 | 3.2<br>0 | 15.<br>40 | 14.<br>60 | 7.8<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 4.6<br>7  | 5.3<br>3 | 2.8<br>3 | 4.8<br>3 | 5.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 5.8<br>0 | 5.6<br>0 | 8.6<br>0  | 9.4<br>0  | 8.8<br>0  | 0.0<br>0  |
|                | 4               | 5.8<br>0  | 7.0<br>0 | 7.0<br>0 | 6.2<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 5.0<br>0 | 6.6<br>0 | 16.<br>20 | 13.<br>20 | 8.6<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 5.6<br>7  | 6.1<br>7 | 1.8<br>3 | 3.6<br>7 | 4.1<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 6.0<br>0 | 6.5<br>0 | 7.5<br>0  | 7.8<br>3  | 7.5<br>0  | 0.0<br>0  |

| Time<br>Period | Time<br>Segment | EB (Main) |         |       |       |       | NB   |       |         |       |       |       | WB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | SB    |       |         |       |      |       |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|
|                |                 | Left      | Through |       |       | Right | Left |       | Through |       |       | Right | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left  |       | Through |       |      | Right |
| Lane Number    |                 | 1         | 2       | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6    | 7     | 8       | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12        | 13   | 14      | 15   | 16   | 17    | 18    | 19    | 20      | 21    | 22   | 23    |
|                | Average         | 4.85      | 6.13    | 7.17  | 7.26  | 0.00  | 4.23 | 5.18  | 13.28   | 11.75 | 6.30  | 0.00  | 4.93      | 5.33 | 2.83    | 4.43 | 4.06 | 0.00  | 6.12  | 6.32  | 6.82    | 8.27  | 7.66 | 0.00  |
| PM<br>Peak     | 1               | 6.17      | 8.17    | 7.83  | 7.17  | 0.00  | 8.50 | 8.33  | 16.00   | 17.67 | 10.80 | 0.00  | 3.33      | 4.83 | 1.33    | 2.67 | 2.17 | 0.00  | 10.00 | 11.00 | 8.17    | 8.33  | 7.00 | 0.00  |
|                | 2               | 6.83      | 10.67   | 11.33 | 8.67  | 0.00  | 2.83 | 5.17  | 14.00   | 11.50 | 9.83  | 0.00  | 3.50      | 4.67 | 0.50    | 3.67 | 5.17 | 0.00  | 6.67  | 8.50  | 9.17    | 9.50  | 8.50 | 0.00  |
|                | 3               | 10.00     | 16.50   | 17.50 | 19.00 | 0.00  | 6.50 | 6.00  | 33.00   | 33.00 | 18.00 | 0.00  | 2.67      | 3.33 | 0.67    | 2.83 | 4.17 | 0.00  | 12.83 | 14.83 | 9.83    | 10.17 | 9.83 | 0.00  |
|                | 4               | 5.33      | 6.67    | 7.83  | 7.17  | 0.00  | 7.20 | 11.00 | 17.60   | 15.40 | 12.00 | 0.00  | 3.33      | 4.17 | 0.83    | 4.17 | 4.50 | 0.00  | 10.67 | 11.50 | 7.33    | 8.83  | 7.33 | 0.00  |
|                | Average         | 7.08      | 10.50   | 11.13 | 10.50 | 0.00  | 6.26 | 7.63  | 20.15   | 19.39 | 12.66 | 0.00  | 3.21      | 4.25 | 0.83    | 3.33 | 4.00 | 0.00  | 10.04 | 11.46 | 8.63    | 9.21  | 8.17 | 0.00  |

Table D-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301 – after Study

| Time Period | EB(Main) |         |       | NB   |         |       | WB(Main) |         |       | SB    |         |       |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|             | Left     | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left     | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 0.36     | 0.39    | 0.00  | 0.65 | 1.02    | 0.00  | 0.52     | 0.39    | 0.00  | 1.64  | 1.90    | 0.00  |
| Off Peak    | 4.85     | 6.85    | 0.00  | 4.71 | 10.44   | 0.00  | 5.13     | 3.77    | 0.00  | 6.22  | 7.58    | 0.00  |
| PM Peak     | 7.08     | 10.71   | 0.00  | 6.94 | 17.40   | 0.00  | 3.73     | 2.72    | 0.00  | 10.75 | 8.67    | 0.00  |

Table D-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy &amp; North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B – after Study

| Time<br>Period | Time<br>Segment | EB (Main) |       |         |       |       |       | NB    |       |         |       |       |       | WB (Main) |       |         |       |       |       | SB    |       |         |       |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|                |                 | Left      |       | Through |       |       | Right | Left  |       | Through |       | Right |       | Left      |       | Through |       |       | Right | Left  |       | Through | Right |
| Lane Number    |                 | 1         | 2     | 3       | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9       | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13        | 14    | 15      | 16    | 17    | 18    | 19    | 20    | 21      | 22    |
| AM<br>Peak     | 1               | 0.50      | 2.50  | 6.17    | 4.83  | 9.50  | 0.17  | 0.43  | 0.57  | 0.29    | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 2.43      | 1.86  | 5.29    | 4.57  | 4.29  | 0.00  | 3.17  | 3.50  | 2.20    | 0.00  |
|                | 2               | 0.29      | 2.43  | 12.57   | 16.00 | 21.14 | 0.00  | 0.29  | 1.29  | 1.29    | 0.71  | 0.86  | 0.57  | 3.43      | 5.00  | 8.29    | 7.00  | 7.14  | 0.00  | 6.14  | 5.57  | 3.43    | 0.00  |
|                | 3               | 0.83      | 2.33  | 20.83   | 20.83 | 23.00 | 0.00  | 0.86  | 1.86  | 0.86    | 0.29  | 1.00  | 0.57  | 2.71      | 3.29  | 10.14   | 8.57  | 8.71  | 0.00  | 6.14  | 4.86  | 2.43    | 0.00  |
|                | 4               | 3.00      | 4.20  | 28.33   | 28.33 | 31.67 | 0.00  | 0.86  | 1.14  | 1.00    | 0.71  | 0.29  | 0.14  | 4.43      | 5.14  | 9.71    | 9.71  | 9.29  | 0.00  | 3.83  | 4.33  | 2.83    | 0.00  |
|                | Average         | 1.15      | 2.87  | 16.98   | 17.50 | 21.33 | 0.04  | 0.61  | 1.21  | 0.86    | 0.43  | 0.54  | 0.32  | 3.25      | 3.82  | 8.36    | 7.46  | 7.36  | 0.00  | 4.82  | 4.57  | 2.72    | 0.00  |
| Off<br>Peak    | 1               | 6.33      | 8.83  | 31.67   | 31.67 | 31.67 | 0.00  | 8.67  | 10.67 | 11.33   | 11.50 | 7.33  | 7.33  | 24.17     | 24.17 | 20.00   | 20.00 | 20.00 | 0.00  | 10.67 | 9.83  | 9.00    | 0.00  |
|                | 2               | 5.33      | 11.00 | 30.00   | 30.00 | 30.00 | 0.00  | 6.60  | 9.00  | 9.20    | 9.20  | 10.00 | 10.00 | 23.00     | 23.00 | 18.00   | 18.00 | 18.00 | 0.00  | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00   | 0.00  |
|                | 3               | 4.00      | 7.67  | 30.00   | 30.00 | 30.00 | 0.00  | 8.60  | 11.40 | 13.40   | 13.40 | 9.60  | 8.60  | 16.60     | 17.00 | 16.00   | 16.00 | 16.00 | 0.00  | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00   | 0.00  |
|                | 4               | 2.40      | 4.80  | 24.00   | 24.00 | 28.00 | 0.00  | 12.00 | 13.80 | 13.00   | 12.60 | 11.00 | 11.00 | 23.00     | 23.00 | 18.00   | 18.00 | 17.50 | 0.00  | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00   | 0.00  |

| Time<br>Period | Time<br>Segment | EB (Main) |          |         |       |       |          | NB       |       |          |          |          |          | WB (Main) |          |         |       |       |          | SB       |          |         |          |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|-------|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|
|                |                 | Left      |          | Through |       |       | Right    | Left     |       | Through  |          | Right    |          | Left      |          | Through |       |       | Right    | Left     |          | Through | Right    |
|                | Average         | 4.5<br>2  | 8.0<br>8 | 28.92   | 28.92 | 29.92 | 0.0<br>0 | 8.9<br>7 | 11.22 | 11.73    | 11.68    | 9.4<br>8 | 9.2<br>3 | 21.6<br>9 | 21.79    | 18.00   | 18.00 | 17.88 | 0.0<br>0 | 10.17    | 9.9<br>6 | 9.75    | 0.0<br>0 |
| PM<br>Peak     | 1               | 2.4<br>0  | 2.6<br>0 | 30.00   | 30.00 | 30.00 | 0.0<br>0 | 14.00    | 15.00 | 15.00    | 15.00    | 11.00    | 11.00    | 24.0<br>0 | 24.00    | 20.00   | 20.00 | 20.00 | 0.0<br>0 | 10.00    | 10.00    | 10.00   | 0.0<br>0 |
|                | 2               | 1.6<br>0  | 3.2<br>5 | 30.00   | 30.00 | 30.00 | 0.0<br>0 | 14.00    | 15.00 | 15.00    | 15.00    | 15.00    | 15.00    | 25.0<br>0 | 25.00    | 20.00   | 20.00 | 20.00 | 0.0<br>0 | 10.00    | 10.00    | 10.00   | 0.0<br>0 |
|                | 3               | 1.6<br>0  | 2.8<br>0 | 30.00   | 30.00 | 30.00 | 0.0<br>0 | 9.8<br>0 | 11.20 | 6.4<br>0 | 6.6<br>0 | 13.20    | 13.80    | 18.6<br>0 | 18.60    | 15.60   | 15.00 | 15.00 | 0.0<br>0 | 10.00    | 10.00    | 10.00   | 0.0<br>0 |
|                | 4               | 1.5<br>0  | 4.2<br>5 | 30.00   | 30.00 | 30.00 | 0.0<br>0 | 9.2<br>0 | 12.20 | 6.0<br>0 | 7.8<br>0 | 7.8<br>0 | 7.6<br>0 | 7.60      | 8.0<br>0 | 15.00   | 14.40 | 14.40 | 0.0<br>0 | 8.4<br>0 | 7.4<br>0 | 8.40    | 0.0<br>0 |
|                | Average         | 1.7<br>8  | 3.2<br>3 | 30.00   | 30.00 | 30.00 | 0.0<br>0 | 11.75    | 13.35 | 10.60    | 11.10    | 11.75    | 11.85    | 18.8<br>0 | 18.90    | 17.65   | 17.35 | 17.35 | 0.0<br>0 | 9.6<br>0 | 9.3<br>5 | 9.60    | 0.0<br>0 |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure

Table D-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B – after Study

| Time Period | EB(Main) |         |       | NB    |         |       | WB(Main) |         |       | SB    |         |       |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|             | Left     | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right | Left     | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 2.01     | 18.60   | 0.04  | 0.91  | 0.64    | 0.43  | 3.54     | 7.73    | 0.00  | 4.69  | 2.72    | 0.00  |
| Off Peak    | 6.30     | 29.25   | 0.00  | 10.09 | 11.70   | 9.36  | 21.74    | 17.96   | 0.00  | 10.06 | 9.75    | 0.00  |
| PM Peak     | 2.50     | 30.00   | 0.00  | 12.55 | 10.85   | 11.80 | 18.85    | 17.45   | 0.00  | 9.48  | 9.60    | 0.00  |

### Comparisons of Before and After Queue Lengths for Critical Intersections

The differences in queue length between the before and after measurements are shown in Tables D-3.18 to D-3.21. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table D-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & US-301

| Time<br>Period | EB (Main) |         |       |        | NB    |       |       |         |       |       | WB (Main) |        |        |         |        |        | SB    |       |       |         |       |       | Average |       |
|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|                | Left      | Through |       |        | Right | Left  |       | Through |       |       | Right     | Left   |        | Through |        |        | Right | Left  |       | Through |       |       |         | Right |
| Lane Number    | 1         | 2       | 3     | 4      | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8       | 9     | 10    | 11        | 12     | 13     | 14      | 15     | 16     | 17    | 18    | 19    | 20      | 21    | 22    | 23      |       |
| AM Peak        | -4.34     | -8.52   | -9.56 | -10.19 | 0.00  | -3.08 | -3.51 | -7.21   | -7.49 | -0.94 | 0.00      | -11.17 | -10.68 | -12.21  | -11.90 | -12.16 | -0.05 | -5.94 | -6.24 | -8.78   | -8.76 | -8.77 | -0.30   | -6.60 |
| Off Peak       | 0.10      | -1.88   | -2.08 | -2.99  | -1.04 | 0.23  | 0.43  | 5.78    | 3.75  | 4.05  | -1.94     | -0.90  | 0.00   | -7.01   | -5.02  | -5.90  | -0.83 | -0.16 | 0.85  | 0.69    | 1.47  | 1.18  | -1.13   | -0.54 |
| PM Peak        | 2.33      | 2.50    | 1.88  | 0.62   | -0.60 | 2.26  | 2.88  | 12.65   | 11.39 | 12.16 | -2.80     | -7.64  | -1.10  | -6.07   | -4.12  | -5.50  | -7.15 | 3.39  | 1.76  | -1.48   | -0.89 | -1.48 | -4.40   | 0.46  |

Table D-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy &amp; US-301

| Time Period | EB(Main) |         |       | NB    |         |       | WB(Main) |         |       | SB    |         |       |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|             | Left     | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right | Left     | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | -4.34    | -9.43   | 0.00  | -7.25 | -5.21   | 0.00  | -10.93   | -12.09  | -0.05 | -6.09 | -8.77   | -0.30 |
| Off Peak    | 0.10     | -2.32   | -1.04 | -4.04 | 4.52    | -1.94 | -0.46    | -5.98   | -0.83 | 0.34  | 1.11    | -1.13 |
| PM Peak     | 2.33     | 1.66    | -0.60 | -1.81 | 12.06   | -2.80 | -4.37    | -5.23   | -7.15 | 2.57  | -1.28   | -4.40 |

Table D-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at University Pkwy &amp; North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B

| Time        | EB (Main) |       |         |       |       |       | NB    |      |         |      |       |       | WB (Main) |         |       |       |       |       | SB    |         |       | Average |      |
|-------------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|---------|------|
|             | Left      |       | Through |       |       | Right | Left  |      | Through |      | Right | Left  |           | Through |       |       | Right | Left  |       | Through | Right |         |      |
| Lane Number | 1         | 2     | 3       | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12    | 13        | 14      | 15    | 16    | 17    | 18    | 19    | 20      | 21    | 22      |      |
| AM Peak     | -1.54     | 0.72  | 9.49    | 10.88 | 11.65 | -0.07 | -0.68 | 0.37 | 0.15    | 0.01 | -1.76 | -1.75 | -1.42     | -0.35   | -1.93 | -2.83 | -2.35 | -0.67 | -0.81 | -0.56   | 0.72  | -1.29   | 0.73 |
| Off Peak    | -3.84     | 0.49  | 12.71   | 12.84 | 13.13 | -2.60 | 4.52  | 3.50 | 7.12    | 5.91 | 2.63  | 1.81  | 8.48      | 9.12    | 2.71  | 3.04  | 3.80  | -6.08 | -1.91 | -0.50   | -0.79 | -4.17   | 3.27 |
| PM Peak     | -3.56     | -3.96 | 5.00    | 5.00  | 5.00  | -1.06 | 6.37  | 6.67 | 6.08    | 5.56 | 0.19  | 1.16  | 9.88      | 10.23   | 5.36  | 5.22  | 5.43  | -4.46 | -1.53 | -5.86   | 2.64  | -2.83   | 2.57 |



Table D-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. / Cooper Creek B

| Time Period | EB(Main) |         |       | NB    |         |       | WB(Main) |         |       | SB    |         |       |
|-------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|             | Left     | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right | Left     | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | -0.41    | 10.67   | -0.07 | -0.16 | 0.07    | -1.75 | -0.88    | -2.37   | -0.67 | -0.69 | 0.72    | -1.29 |
| Off Peak    | -1.68    | 12.89   | -2.60 | 4.00  | 6.51    | 2.23  | 8.80     | 3.18    | -6.08 | -1.21 | -0.79   | -4.17 |
| PM Peak     | -3.75    | 5.00    | -1.06 | 6.52  | 5.82    | 0.67  | 10.06    | 5.34    | -4.46 | -3.70 | 2.64    | -2.83 |

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of queue length:

- For the University Parkway and US-301 intersection, the average queue length decreased by 6.6 vehicles in the AM peak. The average queue length decreased slightly (0.54 vehicles) during the Off Peak, and increased slightly (0.46 vehicles) during the PM peak.
- For the University Parkway and North Cattlemen Rd intersection, the average queue length increased by an average of 0.73 vehicles in the AM peak, 3.27 vehicles in the Off Peak, and 2.57 vehicles in the PM peak. This increase in queue length could be mainly due to the construction area where some lanes were narrowed. Specially, queues in the EB direction reached around 40 vehicles, during some cycles in the Off Peak and almost the PM peak period.
- US-301 is the critical intersection on the western part of the corridor, except for PM peak NBT (side street) movement, majority of the movements show decrease in queue levels at all times of the day.

### D.3.4. Queue to Lane Storage Ratio

In addition to queue length, it is important to assess any impact to adjacent lanes or to upstream facilities. The queue to link/lane ratio is used to establish the likelihood of spillback, which is presented in this section by movement and by time period.

The following assumptions are used:

- The storage capacity is estimated as the maximum number of vehicles in the lane.
- The queue to link/lane storage ratio is estimated as 1 if the observer reported “spillback”, and as 0.8 if reported as the maximum number of vehicles in the sight distance.
- Queue to lane storage ratios over 80% are highlighted in yellow, as they represent conditions with a high probability for spillback.




Figure D-3.11 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Mar.18 & Mar.19, 2015)

Table D-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at University Pkwy & US-301

| Time Period | Time Segment | EB (Main) |         |      |      |       | NB   |      |         |      |      |       | WB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | SB   |      |         |      |      |       |  |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|--|
|             |              | Left      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right |  |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12        | 13   | 14      | 15   | 16   | 17    | 18   | 19   | 20      | 21   | 22   | 23    |  |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 0.27      | 0.44    | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0     | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.24    | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0     | 0.93      | 0.96 | 0.75    | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.02  | 0.49 | 0.5  | 0.8     | 0.78 | 0.72 | 0.05  |  |
|             | 2            | 0.19      | 0.46    | 0.47 | 0.44 | 0     | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.27    | 0.27 | 0.1  | 0     | 0.64      | 0.68 | 0.64    | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0     | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.69    | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.03  |  |
|             | 3            | 0.29      | 0.65    | 0.67 | 0.63 | 0     | 0.4  | 0.33 | 0.14    | 0.17 | 0    | 0     | 0.52      | 0.6  | 0.71    | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0     | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.55    | 0.6  | 0.6  | 0     |  |
|             | 4            | 0.35      | 0.59    | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0     | 0.5  | 0.42 | 0.29    | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0     | 0.51      | 0.55 | 0.57    | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0     | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.51    | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.02  |  |
|             | Average      | 0.28      | 0.53    | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0     | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.24    | 0.25 | 0.1  | 0     | 0.65      | 0.7  | 0.67    | 0.56 | 0.6  | 0.01  | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.64    | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.03  |  |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 0.41      | 0.35    | 0.5  | 0.53 | 0.02  | 0.2  | 0.33 | 0.29    | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.12  | 0.19      | 0.21 | 0.38    | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.15  | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.31    | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.21  |  |
|             | 2            | 0.06      | 0.29    | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.23  | 0.5  | 0.5  | 0.14    | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.08  | 0.33      | 0.34 | 0.56    | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.08  | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.33    | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.08  |  |
|             | 3            | 0.29      | 0.65    | 0.67 | 0.63 | 0.09  | 0.4  | 0.33 | 0.14    | 0.17 | 0    | 0.28  | 0.38      | 0.4  | 0.55    | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.04  | 0.29 | 0.3  | 0.4     | 0.4  | 0.38 | 0.05  |  |
|             | 4            | 0.35      | 0.59    | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.26  | 0.5  | 0.42 | 0.29    | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.3   | 0.39      | 0.39 | 0.59    | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.06  | 0.4  | 0.33 | 0.4     | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.03  |  |

| Time<br>Period | Time<br>Segment | EB (Main) |         |      |      |      | NB    |      |      |         |      |      | WB (Main) |      |      |         |      |      | SB    |      |      |         |      |      |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-----------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|
|                |                 | Left      | Through |      |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right     | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      |
|                | Average         | 0.28      | 0.47    | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.15 | 0.4   | 0.4  | 0.21 | 0.23    | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.32      | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.43    | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.33  | 0.3  | 0.36 | 0.4     | 0.38 | 0.09 |
| PM<br>Peak     | 1               | 0.41      | 0.35    | 0.5  | 0.53 | 0.03 | 0.2   | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.31    | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.3       | 0.4  | 0.37 | 0.47    | 0.38 | 0.14 | 0.47  | 0.52 | 0.6  | 0.74    | 0.6  | 0.02 |
|                | 2               | 0.06      | 0.29    | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.5   | 0.5  | 0.14 | 0.14    | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.22      | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.5     | 0.5  | 0.38 | 0.47  | 0.59 | 0.56 | 0.6     | 0.53 | 0.1  |
|                | 3               | 0.29      | 0.65    | 0.67 | 0.63 | 0.2  | 0.4   | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.17    | 0    | 0.56 | 0.22      | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.42    | 0.63 | 0.58 | 0.55  | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.66    | 0.55 | 0.25 |
|                | 4               | 0.35      | 0.59    | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0    | 0.5   | 0.42 | 0.29 | 0.29    | 0.17 | 0.2  | 0.3       | 0.39 | 0.2  | 0.46    | 0.49 | 0.28 | 0.44  | 0.48 | 0.4  | 0.48    | 0.4  | 0.15 |
|                | Average         | 0.28      | 0.47    | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.09 | 0.4   | 0.4  | 0.21 | 0.23    | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.26      | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.46    | 0.5  | 0.35 | 0.48  | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.62    | 0.52 | 0.13 |

Table D-3.23 Number of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy &amp; US-301.

| Time Period | Time Segment | #Cycles in 15 min | EB (Main) |         |      |      |       | NB   |   |         |   |    |       | WB (Main) |      |         |     |      |       | SB   |    |         |      |    |       |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|------|---|---------|---|----|-------|-----------|------|---------|-----|------|-------|------|----|---------|------|----|-------|
|             |              |                   | Left      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |   | Through |   |    | Right | Left      |      | Through |     |      | Right | Left |    | Through |      |    | Right |
| Lane Number |              |                   | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7 | 8       | 9 | 10 | 11    | 12        | 13   | 14      | 15  | 16   | 17    | 18   | 19 | 20      | 21   | 22 | 23    |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 5                 | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 0     | 1         | 5    | 5       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 3    | 3  | 2     |
|             | 2            | 5                 | 0         | 1       | 1    | 1    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 0     | 1         | 0    | 1       | 1   | 0    | 1     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 1    | 0  | 0     |
|             | 3            | 5                 | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 0     | 1         | 0    | 1       | 1   | 1    | 1     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 1    | 1  | 1     |
|             | 4            | 5                 | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 0     | 1         | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     |
|             | Average      |                   | 0         | 0.25    | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 0     | 1         | 1.25 | 1.75    | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.5   | 0    | 0  | 0       | 1.25 | 1  | 0.75  |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 6                 | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 0     | 2         | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     |
|             | 2            | 5                 | 0         | 0       | 0    | 1    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 0     | 1         | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     |
|             | 3            | 5                 | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 1     | 1         | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     |
|             | 4            | 5                 | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 1     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 1     | 1         | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     |
|             | Average      |                   | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0.25 | 0.25  | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 0.5   | 1.25      | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 6                 | 3         | 1       | 1    | 0    | 0     | 1    | 1 | 0       | 0 | 2  | 0     | 1         | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 1       | 1    | 2  | 0     |
|             | 2            | 5                 | 0         | 1       | 1    | 1    | 0     | 1    | 2 | 0       | 0 | 0  | 0     | 1         | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 1    | 0  | 1       | 0    | 1  | 0     |

| Time<br>Period | Time<br>Segment | #Cycles in<br>15 min | EB (Main) |         |   |      |       | NB   |   |         |      |      | WB (Main) |      |    |         |    | SB |       |      |      |         |     |    |       |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|---|------|-------|------|---|---------|------|------|-----------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|------|---------|-----|----|-------|
|                |                 |                      | Left      | Through |   |      | Right | Left |   | Through |      |      | Right     | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |      | Through |     |    | Right |
| Lane Number    |                 |                      | 1         | 2       | 3 | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7 | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11        | 12   | 13 | 14      | 15 | 16 | 17    | 18   | 19   | 20      | 21  | 22 | 23    |
|                | 3               | 5                    | 2         | 3       | 2 | 2    | 0     | 2    | 3 | 1       | 1    | 3    | 2         | 1    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1       | 1   | 1  | 1     |
|                | 4               | 5                    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0    | 0     | 1    | 2 | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0         | 1    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0  | 0     |
|                | Average         |                      | 1.25      | 1.25    | 1 | 0.75 | 0     | 1.25 | 2 | 0.25    | 0.25 | 1.25 | 0.5       | 1    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0.25  | 0.5  | 0.25 | 0.75    | 0.5 | 1  | 0.25  |

Table D-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek

| Time Period | Time Segment | EB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | NB   |      |         |      |       |      | WB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | SB   |      |      |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
|             |              | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right |      | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Thru | Right |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13        | 14   | 15      | 16   | 17   | 18    | 19   | 20   | 21   | 22    |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 0.15      | 0.08 | 0.36    | 0.36 | 0.50 | 0.03  | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.04    | 0.00 | 0.14  | 0.07 | 0.30      | 0.27 | 0.53    | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.04  | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.12  |
|             | 2            | 0.23      | 0.14 | 0.4     | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.00  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.08    | 0.01 | 0.14  | 0.16 | 0.29      | 0.28 | 0.41    | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.07  | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.11  |
|             | 3            | 0.26      | 0.16 | 0.23    | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.00  | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.13    | 0.04 | 0.17  | 0.09 | 0.26      | 0.21 | 0.58    | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.00  | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.01  |
|             | 4            | 0.19      | 0.13 | 0.21    | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.06  | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.11    | 0.08 | 0.10  | 0.13 | 0.19      | 0.17 | 0.55    | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.03  | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.03  |

| Time<br>Period | Time<br>Segment | EB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | NB   |      |         |      |       |      | WB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | SB   |      |      |       |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
|                |                 | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right |      | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Thru | Right |
| Lane Number    |                 | 1         | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13        | 14   | 15      | 16   | 17   | 18    | 19   | 20   | 21   | 22    |
|                | Average         | 0.21      | 0.13 | 0.3     | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.02  | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.09    | 0.03 | 0.14  | 0.11 | 0.26      | 0.23 | 0.51    | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.03  | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.06  |
| Off<br>Peak    | 1               | 0.59      | 0.4  | 0.54    | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.50  | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.58    | 0.41 | 0.25  | 0.28 | 0.73      | 0.68 | 0.67    | 0.71 | 0.72 | 0.23  | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.74 | 0.16  |
|                | 2               | 0.71      | 0.52 | 0.72    | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.54  | 0.37 | 0.69 | 0.54    | 0.43 | 0.50  | 0.50 | 0.88      | 0.85 | 0.91    | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.48  | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.96 | 0.21  |
|                | 3               | 0.65      | 0.42 | 0.72    | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.6   | 0.26 | 0.49 | 0.54    | 0.47 | 0.41  | 0.41 | 0.87      | 0.86 | 0.79    | 0.86 | 0.8  | 0.28  | 0.83 | 0.63 | 0.93 | 0.26  |
|                | 4               | 0.62      | 0.44 | 0.61    | 0.58 | 0.61 | 0.43  | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.65    | 0.46 | 0.44  | 0.46 | 0.45      | 0.43 | 0.69    | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.23  | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.89 | 0.21  |
|                | Average         | 0.64      | 0.45 | 0.65    | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.52  | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.58    | 0.44 | 0.40  | 0.41 | 0.73      | 0.70 | 0.76    | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.30  | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.88 | 0.21  |
| PM<br>Peak     | 1               | 0.32      | 0.49 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.17  | 0.33 | 0.55 | 0.56    | 0.33 | 0.79  | 0.59 | 0.56      | 0.56 | 0.65    | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.28  | 0.60 | 0.92 | 0.56 | 0.17  |
|                | 2               | 0.60      | 0.52 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.28  | 0.29 | 0.53 | 0.43    | 0.62 | 0.61  | 0.76 | 0.46      | 0.42 | 0.67    | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.19  | 0.58 | 0.78 | 0.51 | 0.15  |
|                | 3               | 0.35      | 0.35 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.10  | 0.6  | 0.46 | 0.67    | 0.33 | 0.73  | 0.50 | 0.45      | 0.44 | 0.58    | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.17  | 0.60 | 0.92 | 0.74 | 0.13  |

| Time Period | Time Segment | EB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | NB   |      |         |      |       |      | WB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | SB   |      |         |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|-------|
|             |              | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right |      | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through | Right |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13        | 14   | 15      | 16   | 17   | 18    | 19   | 20   | 21      | 22    |
|             | 4            | 0.37      | 0.33 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.30  | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.6     | 0.42 | 0.59  | 0.53 | 0.51      | 0.51 | 0.57    | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.26  | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.51    | 0.12  |
|             | Average      | 0.41      | 0.42 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.21  | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.56    | 0.43 | 0.68  | 0.59 | 0.50      | 0.48 | 0.61    | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.22  | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.58    | 0.14  |



Table D-3.25 Number of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy &amp; North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek

| Time Period | Time Segment | # cycles in 15 min | EB (Main) |   |         |    |    |       | NB   |   |         |      |       |      | WB (Main) |         |      |      |       |      | SB |      |       |      |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|---|---------|----|----|-------|------|---|---------|------|-------|------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|------|----|------|-------|------|
|             |              |                    | Left      |   | Through |    |    | Right | Left |   | Through |      | Right | Left |           | Through |      |      | Right | Left |    | Thru | Right |      |
| Lane Number |              |                    | 1         | 2 | 3       | 4  | 5  | 6     | 7    | 8 | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13        | 14      | 15   | 16   | 17    | 18   | 19 | 20   | 21    | 22   |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 6                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0     | 2    | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0    | 1     | 2    |
|             | 2            | 6                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 1    |
|             | 3            | 6                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0    |
|             | 4            | 6                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 1    | 1    | 1     | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0    |
|             | Average      |                    | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0.5       | 0       | 0    | 0.25 | 0.25  | 0.25 | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0.25 |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 6                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0 | 2       | 0    | 0     | 0    | 1         | 1       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 1    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0    |
|             | 2            | 6                  | 2         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 1     | 0    | 2 | 0       | 0    | 0     | 0    | 5         | 5       | 4    | 4    | 4     | 3    | 1  | 1    | 3     | 0    |
|             | 3            | 6                  | 1         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 1     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0     | 0    | 5         | 5       | 2    | 3    | 1     | 0    | 3  | 0    | 3     | 0    |
|             | 4            | 6                  | 1         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0 | 1       | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0    | 1     | 0    |
|             | Average      |                    | 0         | 1 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0.5  | 0 | 0.5     | 0.75 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 2.75    | 2.75 | 1.5  | 1.75  | 1.25 | 1  | 1    | 0.25  | 1.75 |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 6                  | 0         | 1 | 6*      | 6* | 6* | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 3     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 1    | 0  | 5    | 0     | 0    |
|             | 2            | 5                  | 0         | 0 | 5*      | 5* | 5* | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 1    | 0     | 2    | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 1    | 0     | 0    |
|             | 3            | 6                  | 0         | 0 | 6*      | 6* | 6* | 0     | 1    | 0 | 2       | 0    | 2     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 1    | 0     | 0    |

| Time Period | Time Segment | # cycles in 15 min | EB (Main) |   |         |      |      |       | NB   |      |         |     |       |      | WB (Main) |    |         |    |    |       | SB   |    |      |       |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|---|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|-----------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|
|             |              |                    | Left      |   | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |     | Right |      | Left      |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Thru | Right |
| Lane Number |              |                    | 1         | 2 | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10  | 11    | 12   | 13        | 14 | 15      | 16 | 17 | 18    | 19   | 20 | 21   | 22    |
|             | 4            | 6                  | 0         | 0 | 6*      | 6*   | 6*   | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 1  | 0    | 0     |
|             | Average      |                    | 0         | 0 | 0.25    | 5.75 | 5.75 | 5.75  | 0    | 0.25 | 0       | 0.5 | 0.25  | 1.25 | 0.5       | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0.25 | 0  | 2    | 0     |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure

After Study (Nov. 19 & Nov. 20, 2014)

Table D-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at University Pkwy & US-301 – after Study

| Time Period |   | EB (Main) |         |      |      |       | NB   |      |         |      |      |       | WB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | SB   |      |         |      |      |       |
|-------------|---|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|
|             |   | Left      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right |
| Lane Number |   | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12        | 13   | 14      | 15   | 16   | 17    | 18   | 19   | 20      | 21   | 22   | 23    |
| AM Peak     | 1 | 0.15      | 0.28    | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.00  | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.11    | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.00  | 0.40      | 0.39 | 0.14    | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.00  | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.44    | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.00  |
|             | 2 | 0.21      | 0.22    | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00  | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.20    | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.00  | 0.48      | 0.47 | 0.14    | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.00  | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.49    | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.00  |
|             | 3 | 0.21      | 0.20    | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.00  | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.14    | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.00  | 0.38      | 0.47 | 0.12    | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.00  | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.45    | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.00  |
|             | 4 | 0.20      | 0.19    | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.00  | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.18    | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.00  | 0.41      | 0.44 | 0.07    | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.00  | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.78    | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.00  |

| Time Period |         | EB (Main) |         |      |      | NB    |      |      |         |      |      | WB (Main) |      |      |         |      |      | SB    |      |      |         |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-----------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right     | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right |
| Lane Number |         | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11        | 12   | 13   | 14      | 15   | 16   | 17    | 18   | 19   | 20      | 21   | 22   | 23    |
|             | Average | 0.02      | 0.03    | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00  | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.03    | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00      | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02    | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00  | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.12    | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.00  |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 0.34      | 0.42    | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.00  | 0.42 | 0.55 | 0.43    | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.00      | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.16    | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.00  | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.28    | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.00  |
|             | 2       | 0.27      | 0.28    | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.00  | 0.53 | 0.36 | 0.18    | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.00      | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.19    | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.00  | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.37    | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.00  |
|             | 3       | 0.19      | 0.32    | 0.40 | 0.34 | 0.00  | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.44    | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.00      | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.15    | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.00  | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.51    | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.00  |
|             | 4       | 0.34      | 0.41    | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.00  | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.46    | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.00      | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.10    | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.00  | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.44    | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.00  |
|             | Average | 0.29      | 0.36    | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.00  | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.38    | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.00      | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.15    | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.00  | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.40    | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.00  |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.36      | 0.48    | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.00  | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.46    | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.00      | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.07    | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.00  | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.48    | 0.49 | 0.41 | 0.00  |
|             | 2       | 0.40      | 0.63    | 0.63 | 0.46 | 0.00  | 0.28 | 0.43 | 0.40    | 0.33 | 0.55 | 0.00      | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.03    | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.00  | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.54    | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.00  |
|             | 3       | 0.59      | 0.97    | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.00  | 0.65 | 0.50 | 0.94    | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0.00      | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.04    | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.00  | 0.68 | 0.82 | 0.58    | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.00  |
|             | 4       | 0.31      | 0.39    | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.00  | 0.72 | 0.92 | 0.50    | 0.44 | 0.67 | 0.00      | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.04    | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.00  | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.43    | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.00  |

| Time Period |          | EB (Main) |         |      |      | NB     |      |      |         |      |      | WB (Main) |      |      |         |      |      | SB     |      |      |         |      |      |       |
|-------------|----------|-----------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|-----------|------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|
|             |          | Lef t     | Through |      |      | Righ t | Left |      | Through |      |      | Righ t    | Left |      | Through |      |      | Righ t | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right |
| Lane Number |          | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5      | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11        | 12   | 13   | 14      | 15   | 16   | 17     | 18   | 19   | 20      | 21   | 22   | 23    |
|             | Averag e | 0.42      | 0.62    | 0.62 | 0.55 | 0.00   | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.58    | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.00      | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.04    | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.00   | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.51    | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.00  |

Table D-3.27 Number of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy & US-301 – after Study

| Time Period | Time Segment | # Cycle<br>s in<br>15<br>mins | EB (Main) |         |   |   |   | NB    |      |      |         |    |    | WB (Main) |      |    |         |    |    | SB    |      |    |         |      |    |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|---|---|---|-------|------|------|---------|----|----|-----------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|------|----|
|             |              |                               | Left      | Through |   |   |   | Right | Left |      | Through |    |    | Right     | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |      |    |
| Lane Number |              |                               | 1         | 2       | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10 | 11 | 12        | 13   | 14 | 15      | 16 | 17 | 18    | 19   | 20 | 21      | 22   | 23 |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 5                             | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 1    | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  |
|             | 2            | 6                             | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  |
|             | 3            | 7                             | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0    | 1       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  |
|             | 4            | 6                             | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 4  | 5       | 4    | 0  |
|             | Average      |                               | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0.25 | 0.25    | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 1       | 1.25 | 1  |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 5                             | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 5    | 4       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  |
|             | 2            | 6                             | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0  |
|             | 3            | 6                             | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 4    | 3       | 1  | 0  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 1  | 1       | 0    | 0  |

| Time Period | Time Segment | # Cycle<br>s in<br>15<br>mins | EB (Main) |         |     |      |       | NB   |   |         |      |      |       | WB (Main) |    |         |    |    |       | SB   |      |         |      |      |       |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----|------|-------|------|---|---------|------|------|-------|-----------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|
|             |              |                               | Left      | Through |     |      | Right | Left |   | Through |      |      | Right | Left      |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right |
| Lane Number |              |                               | 1         | 2       | 3   | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7 | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12        | 13 | 14      | 15 | 16 | 17    | 18   | 19   | 20      | 21   | 22   | 23    |
|             | 4            | 6                             | 0         | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 5       | 4    | 0    | 0     | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     |
|             | Average      |                               | 0         | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 3.5     | 2.75 | 0.25 | 0     | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0     |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 6                             | 0         | 1       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 5       | 6*   | 0    | 0     | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 1    | 1    | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     |
|             | 2            | 6                             | 0         | 2       | 1   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 2       | 2    | 0    | 0     | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     |
|             | 3            | 6                             | 0         | 1       | 1   | 1    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 3       | 4    | 3    | 0     | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 3    | 4    | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     |
|             | 4            | 6                             | 0         | 0       | 0   | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 1    | 1    | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     |
|             | Average      |                               | 0         | 1       | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0     | 0    | 0 | 2.5     | 3    | 0.75 | 0     | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 1.25 | 1.5     | 0    | 0    | 0     |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure

Table D-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek – After Study

| Time        |   | EB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | NB   |      |         |      |       |      | WB (Main) |         |      |      |       |      | SB   |        |       |      |
|-------------|---|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|--------|-------|------|
|             |   | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |           | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Throug | Right |      |
| Lane Number |   | 1         | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13        | 14      | 15   | 16   | 17    | 18   | 19   | 20     | 21    | 22   |
| AM Peak     | 1 | 0.04      | 0.15 | 0.19    | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.03  | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02    | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.10      | 0.07    | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.21  | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.18   | 0.16  | 0.00 |

| Time        |         | EB (Main) |          |          |          |          |           | NB       |          |          |          |          |          | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          |           | SB       |          |             |           |
|-------------|---------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
|             |         | Left      |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          | Right    |          | Left      |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Throu<br>gh | Righ<br>t |
| Lane Number |         | 1         | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6         | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13        | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17       | 18        | 19       | 20       | 21          | 22        |
|             | 2       | 0.0<br>2  | 0.1<br>4 | 0.3<br>9 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.6<br>6 | 0.00      | 0.0<br>2 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.0<br>5 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.0<br>3 | 0.1<br>4  | 0.2<br>0 | 0.4<br>1 | 0.3<br>5 | 0.3<br>6 | 0.00      | 0.5<br>6 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.24        | 0.00      |
|             | 3       | 0.0<br>6  | 0.1<br>4 | 0.6<br>5 | 0.6<br>5 | 0.7<br>2 | 0.00      | 0.0<br>6 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.0<br>2 | 0.0<br>7 | 0.0<br>3 | 0.1<br>1  | 0.1<br>3 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.00      | 0.5<br>6 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.17        | 0.00      |
|             | 4       | 0.2<br>3  | 0.2<br>5 | 0.8<br>9 | 0.8<br>9 | 0.9<br>9 | 0.00      | 0.0<br>6 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.0<br>7 | 0.0<br>5 | 0.0<br>2 | 0.0<br>1 | 0.1<br>8  | 0.2<br>1 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.00      | 0.3<br>5 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.20        | 0.00      |
|             | Average | 0.0<br>9  | 0.1<br>7 | 0.5<br>3 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.6<br>7 | 0.01      | 0.0<br>4 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>4 | 0.0<br>2 | 0.1<br>3  | 0.1<br>5 | 0.4<br>2 | 0.3<br>7 | 0.3<br>7 | 0.00      | 0.4<br>4 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.19        | 0.00      |
| Off<br>Peak | 1       | 0.4<br>9  | 0.5<br>2 | 0.9<br>9 | 0.9<br>9 | 0.9<br>9 | 0.00      | 0.6<br>2 | 0.7<br>1 | 0.7<br>6 | 0.7<br>7 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.4<br>1 | 0.9<br>7  | 0.9<br>7 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.9<br>7 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.64        | 0.00      |
|             | 2       | 0.4<br>1  | 0.6<br>5 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.00      | 0.4<br>7 | 0.6<br>0 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.6<br>7 | 0.5<br>6 | 0.9<br>2  | 0.9<br>2 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.9<br>1 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.71        | 0.00      |
|             | 3       | 0.3<br>1  | 0.4<br>5 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.00      | 0.6<br>1 | 0.7<br>6 | 0.8<br>9 | 0.8<br>9 | 0.6<br>4 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.6<br>6  | 0.6<br>8 | 0.8<br>0 | 0.8<br>0 | 0.8<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.9<br>1 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.71        | 0.00      |
|             | 4       | 0.1<br>8  | 0.2<br>8 | 0.7<br>5 | 0.7<br>5 | 0.8<br>8 | 0.00      | 0.8<br>6 | 0.9<br>2 | 0.8<br>7 | 0.8<br>4 | 0.7<br>3 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.9<br>2  | 0.9<br>2 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.8<br>8 | 0.00      | 0.9<br>1 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.71        | 0.00      |
|             | Average | 0.3<br>5  | 0.4<br>8 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.9<br>3 | 0.00      | 0.6<br>4 | 0.7<br>5 | 0.7<br>8 | 0.7<br>8 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.8<br>7  | 0.8<br>7 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.8<br>9 | 0.00      | 0.9<br>2 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.70        | 0.00      |
| PM<br>Peak  | 1       | 0.1<br>8  | 0.1<br>5 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.00      | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.7<br>3 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.9<br>6  | 0.9<br>6 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.9<br>1 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.71        | 0.00      |

| Time        |         | EB (Main) |          |          |          |          | NB    |          |          |          |          |          | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          |          | SB    |          |          |             |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|-------------|-------|
|             |         | Left      |          | Through  |          |          | Right | Left     |          | Through  |          | Right    |           | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Right | Left     |          | Throug<br>h | Right |
| Lane Number |         | 1         | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6     | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12        | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17       | 18    | 19       | 20       | 21          | 22    |
|             | 2       | 0.1<br>2  | 0.1<br>9 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.00  | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.8<br>3  | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.00  | 0.9<br>1 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.71        | 0.00  |
|             | 3       | 0.1<br>2  | 0.1<br>6 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.00  | 0.7<br>0 | 0.7<br>5 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.8<br>8 | 0.7<br>7  | 0.7<br>4 | 0.7<br>4 | 0.7<br>8 | 0.7<br>5 | 0.7<br>5 | 0.00  | 0.9<br>1 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.71        | 0.00  |
|             | 4       | 0.1<br>2  | 0.2<br>5 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.00  | 0.6<br>6 | 0.8<br>1 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.5<br>2 | 0.5<br>2 | 0.4<br>2  | 0.3<br>0 | 0.3<br>2 | 0.7<br>5 | 0.7<br>2 | 0.7<br>2 | 0.00  | 0.7<br>6 | 0.3<br>7 | 0.60        | 0.00  |
|             | Average | 0.1<br>4  | 0.1<br>9 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.00  | 0.8<br>4 | 0.8<br>9 | 0.7<br>1 | 0.7<br>4 | 0.7<br>8 | 0.6<br>6  | 0.7<br>5 | 0.7<br>6 | 0.8<br>8 | 0.8<br>7 | 0.8<br>7 | 0.00  | 0.8<br>7 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.69        | 0.00  |

Table D-3.29 Number of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek – after Study

| Time Period | Time Segmen<br>t | # cycle<br>s in<br>15<br>mins | EB (Main) |   |         |    |    |           | NB   |   |         |    |       |    | WB (Main) |    |         |    |    |           | SB     |        |          |       |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---|---------|----|----|-----------|------|---|---------|----|-------|----|-----------|----|---------|----|----|-----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|
|             |                  |                               | Left      |   | Through |    |    | Righ<br>t | Left |   | Through |    | Right |    | Left      |    | Through |    |    | Righ<br>t | Left   |        | Thr<br>u | Right |
| Lane Number |                  |                               | 1         | 2 | 3       | 4  | 5  | 6         | 7    | 8 | 9       | 10 | 11    | 12 | 13        | 14 | 15      | 16 | 17 | 18        | 1<br>9 | 2<br>0 | 21       | 22    |
| AM          | 1                | 7                             | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0  | 2  | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0  | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |
|             | 2                | 7                             | 0         | 0 | 4       | 5  | 6  | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0  | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |
| Peak        | 3                | 7                             | 0         | 0 | 7*      | 7* | 7* | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0  | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |
|             | 4                | 7                             | 0         | 0 | 7*      | 7* | 7* | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0  | 0         | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0     |

| Time Period | Time Segment | # cycle<br>s in<br>15<br>mins | EB (Main) |     |         |      |     |       | NB   |      |         |     |       |      | WB (Main) |         |     |     |       |      | SB |      |       |   |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----|---------|------|-----|-------|------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|-----------|---------|-----|-----|-------|------|----|------|-------|---|
|             |              |                               | Left      |     | Through |      |     | Right | Left |      | Through |     | Right | Left |           | Through |     |     | Right | Left |    | Thru | Right |   |
|             | Average      |                               | 0         | 0   | 4.5     | 4.75 | 5.5 | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0   | 0   | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 6                             | 0         | 1   | 6*      | 6*   | 6*  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 2       | 2   | 0     | 0    | 6*        | 6*      | 6*  | 6*  | 6*    | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
|             | 2            | 5                             | 0         | 1   | 5*      | 5*   | 5*  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0   | 0     | 0    | 5*        | 5*      | 5*  | 5*  | 5*    | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
|             | 3            | 6                             | 0         | 0   | 6*      | 6*   | 6*  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 3       | 3   | 1     | 1    | 5*        | 5*      | 6*  | 6*  | 6*    | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
|             | 4            | 5                             | 0         | 0   | 5*      | 5*   | 5*  | 0     | 0    | 3    | 3       | 3   | 0     | 0    | 5*        | 5*      | 5*  | 5*  | 5*    | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
|             | Average      |                               | 0         | 0.5 | 5.5     | 5.5  | 5.5 | 0     | 0    | 0.75 | 2       | 2   | 0.25  | 0.25 | 5.25      | 5.25    | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5   | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
| PM          | 1            | 5                             | 0         | 0   | 5*      | 5*   | 5*  | 0     | 5*   | 5*   | 5*      | 5*  | 2     | 2    | 5*        | 5*      | 5*  | 5*  | 5*    | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
|             | 2            | 5                             | 0         | 0   | 5*      | 5*   | 5*  | 0     | 5*   | 5*   | 5*      | 5*  | 5*    | 5*   | 5*        | 5*      | 5*  | 5*  | 5*    | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
| Peak        | 3            | 5                             | 0         | 0   | 5*      | 5*   | 5*  | 0     | 0    | 1    | 0       | 0   | 1     | 1    | 5*        | 5*      | 5*  | 5*  | 5*    | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
|             | 4            | 5                             | 0         | 0   | 5*      | 5*   | 5*  | 0     | 0    | 1    | 0       | 0   | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 5*  | 5*  | 5*    | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |
|             | Average      |                               | 0         | 0   | 5       | 5    | 5   | 0     | 2.5  | 3    | 2.5     | 2.5 | 2     | 2    | 3.75      | 3.75    | 5   | 5   | 5     | 0    | 0  | 0    | 0     | 0 |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure

#### Comparisons of Before and After Queue Storage Ratios

The differences in Queue Storage Ratio between before and after measurements are shown in Table D-3.30 to Table D-3.33. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or



deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table D-3.30 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at University Pkwy & US-301.

| Time<br>Period | EB (Main) |         |       |       |       | NB    |       |         |       |       |       | WB (Main) |       |         |       |       |       | SB    |       |         |       |       |       | Average |
|----------------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
|                | Left      | Through |       |       | Right | Left  |       | Through |       |       | Right | Left      |       | Through |       |       | Right | Left  |       | Through |       |       | Right |         |
| Lane<br>Number | 1         | 2       | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8       | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12        | 13    | 14      | 15    | 16    | 17    | 18    | 19    | 20      | 21    | 22    | 23    |         |
| AM<br>Peak     | -0.26     | -0.50   | -0.53 | -0.54 | 0.00  | -0.31 | -0.30 | -0.21   | -0.22 | -0.05 | 0.00  | -0.62     | -0.67 | -0.65   | -0.54 | -0.58 | -0.01 | -0.32 | -0.35 | -0.52   | -0.51 | -0.52 | -0.03 | -0.36   |
| Off<br>Peak    | 0.01      | -0.11   | -0.11 | -0.16 | -0.15 | 0.02  | 0.03  | 0.17    | 0.11  | 0.22  | -0.19 | -0.05     | 0.00  | -0.37   | -0.23 | -0.28 | -0.08 | -0.01 | 0.05  | 0.04    | 0.09  | 0.07  | -0.09 | -0.04   |
| PM<br>Peak     | 0.14      | 0.15    | 0.11  | 0.01  | -0.09 | 0.23  | 0.24  | 0.37    | 0.32  | 0.57  | -0.28 | -0.08     | -0.11 | -0.27   | -0.31 | -0.31 | -0.35 | 0.04  | 0.07  | -0.04   | -0.08 | -0.04 | -0.13 | 0.01    |

Table D-3.31 Difference in Percent of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy & US-301.

| Time        | EB (Main) |         |      |      |       | NB   |      |         |     |      |       | WB (Main) |      |         |      |     |       |      | SB      |      |      |       |      | Average |     |
|-------------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|-----|------|-------|-----------|------|---------|------|-----|-------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|---------|-----|
|             | Left      | Through |      |      | Right | Left |      | Through |     |      | Right | Left      |      | Through |      |     | Right | Left | Through |      |      | Right |      |         |     |
| Lane Number | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9   | 10   | 11    | 12        | 13   | 14      | 15   | 16  | 17    | 18   | 19      | 20   | 21   | 22    | 23   |         |     |
| AM Peak     | 0%        | -5%     | -5%  | -5%  | 0%    | 0%   | 0%   | 4%      | 4%  | 0%   | 0%    | -20%      | -25% | -35%    | -10% | -5% | -10%  | 0%   | 0%      | 17%  | -4%  | -3%   | -15% |         | -5% |
| Off Peak    | 0%        | 0%      | 0%   | -5%  | -5%   | 0%   | 0%   | 61%     | 48% | 4%   | -10%  | -24%      | 0%   | 0%      | 0%   | 0%  | 0%    | 0%   | 0%      | 4%   | 4%   | 0%    | 0%   |         | 3%  |
| PM Peak     | -24%      | -7%     | -11% | -10% | 0%    | -24% | -38% | 37%     | 45% | -11% | -10%  | -19%      | 0%   | 0%      | 0%   | 0%  | -5%   | 11%  | 20%     | -14% | -10% | -19%  | -5%  | -4%     |     |

Table D-3.32 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at University Pkwy &amp; North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek.

| Time Period | EB (Main) |       |         |       |       |       | NB    |      |         |      |       |       | WB (Main) |         |       |       |       |       | SB   |          |       |       | Average |
|-------------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|----------|-------|-------|---------|
|             | Left      |       | Through |       |       | Right | Left  |      | Through |      | Right | Left  |           | Through |       |       | Right | Left  |      | Throu gh | Right |       |         |
| Lane Number | 1         | 2     | 3       | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12    | 13        | 14      | 15    | 16    | 17    | 18    | 19   | 20       | 21    | 22    |         |
| AM Peak     | -0.12     | 0.04  | 0.23    | 0.29  | 0.28  | -0.01 | -0.05 | 0.02 | -0.03   | 0.00 | -0.10 | -0.09 | -0.13     | -0.08   | -0.09 | -0.20 | -0.17 | -0.03 | 0.16 | -0.03    | 0.02  | -0.06 | -0.01   |
| Off Peak    | -0.29     | 0.03  | 0.25    | 0.26  | 0.26  | -0.52 | 0.32  | 0.20 | 0.20    | 0.34 | 0.23  | 0.10  | 0.14      | 0.17    | 0.14  | 0.07  | 0.11  | -0.30 | 0.32 | -0.02    | -0.18 | -0.21 | 0.07    |
| PM Peak     | -0.27     | -0.23 | -0.06   | -0.06 | -0.06 | -0.21 | 0.46  | 0.41 | 0.15    | 0.31 | 0.10  | 0.07  | 0.25      | 0.28    | 0.27  | 0.20  | 0.21  | -0.22 | 0.31 | -0.29    | 0.11  | -0.14 | 0.07    |

Table D-3.33 Difference in Percent of Cycles with Spillback at University Pkwy &amp; North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek.

| Time Period | EB (Main) |    |         |     |     |        | NB   |    |         |    |       |     | WB (Main) |    |         |     |     |        | SB   |    |          |        |    |  | Average |
|-------------|-----------|----|---------|-----|-----|--------|------|----|---------|----|-------|-----|-----------|----|---------|-----|-----|--------|------|----|----------|--------|----|--|---------|
|             | Left      |    | Through |     |     | Rig ht | Left |    | Through |    | Right |     | Left      |    | Through |     |     | Rig ht | Left |    | Throu gh | Rig ht |    |  |         |
| Lane Number | 1         | 2  | 3       | 4   | 5   | 6      | 7    | 8  | 9       | 10 | 11    | 12  | 13        | 14 | 15      | 16  | 17  | 18     | 19   | 20 | 21       | 22     |    |  |         |
| AM Peak     | 0%        | 0% | 64%     | 68% | 79% | 0%     | 0%   | 0% | 0%      | 0% | 0%    | -8% | 0%        | 0% | -4%     | -4% | -4% | 0%     | 0%   | 0% | -4%      | -13%   | 8% |  |         |

| Time        | EB (Main) |     |         |       |       |        | NB   |      |         |      |       |      | WB (Main) |         |       |       |        |       | SB    |          |        |    | Average |
|-------------|-----------|-----|---------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|----|---------|
|             | Left      |     | Through |       |       | Rig ht | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |           | Through |       |       | Rig ht | Left  |       | Throu gh | Rig ht |    |         |
| Lane Number | 1         | 2   | 3       | 4     | 5     | 6      | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12   | 13        | 14      | 15    | 16    | 17     | 18    | 19    | 20       | 21     | 22 |         |
| Off Peak    | -17 %     | 9%  | 100 %   | 100 % | 100 % | -8%    | 0%   | 5%   | 24 %    | 36 % | 5%    | 5%   | 50 %      | 50 %    | 75%   | 71%   | 79%    | -17 % | -17 % | -4%      | -29%   | 0% |         |
| PM Peak     | 0%        | -4% | 0%      | 0%    | 0%    | 0%     | 46 % | 60 % | 41 %    | 46 % | 18 %  | 31 % | 75 %      | 75 %    | 100 % | 100 % | 100 %  | -4%   | 0%    | -35 %    | 0%     | 0% | 29%     |

### Discussion

The following are concluded from the comparison of queue storage ratios:

- Queue storage ratios show higher variation than other variables. On average, the levels of improvement were higher than the deterioration reached at both critical intersections. A higher percentage of movements improved was observed in the WB at the University Parkway & US-301 intersection where the traffic flows decreased; at the same time, the EB and SB directions are slightly improved.
- At the University Parkway & US-301 intersection, the average queue storage ratios improved in the AM peak and slightly improved in the Off Peak, while deteriorated in the PM peak. However, on average, this variable is improved for all three study periods, while the Off Peak shows a slight deterioration in the percent of cycles with spillback (3%).
- Average queue storage ratios deteriorated somewhat during Off Peak and PM peak periods at the University Parkway & North Cattlemen Rd intersection. The percent of cycles with spillback were higher in this intersection and during all three time periods.

### D.3.5. Equivalent PCE Flows

Traffic flows were counted manually and converted to equivalent PCE flows (pce/hour) by considering the percentage of heavy vehicles. It was assumed that the PCE for trucks is 2.

#### Truck Percentage Observations

Table D-3.34 Truck Percentages at University Pkwy & US-301.

| Period   | Interval       | EB           |              |               | NB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | Ave          |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right         | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 1.71%        | 33.33%        | 10.53%       | 10.13%       | 14.81%       | 5.69%        | 3.72%        | 3.39%        | 9.68%        | 2.50%        | 0.00%        | 5.47%        |
|          | 2              | 6.67%        | 0.65%        | 0.00%         | 10.77%       | 9.55%        | 3.03%        | 6.41%        | 2.93%        | 7.46%        | 2.38%        | 2.01%        | 0.00%        | 5.12%        |
|          | 3              | 3.57%        | 2.15%        | 0.00%         | 8.06%        | 4.23%        | 0.00%        | 2.41%        | 3.75%        | 6.98%        | 9.43%        | 4.05%        | 0.00%        | 4.27%        |
|          | 4              | 6.67%        | 0.70%        | 0.00%         | 4.44%        | 2.87%        | 4.08%        | 4.23%        | 3.24%        | 4.05%        | 1.67%        | 10.27%       | 0.00%        | 4.03%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>4.27%</b> | <b>1.37%</b> | <b>33.33%</b> | <b>8.17%</b> | <b>6.76%</b> | <b>5.34%</b> | <b>4.60%</b> | <b>3.39%</b> | <b>5.22%</b> | <b>5.38%</b> | <b>4.33%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>4.71%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1              | 5.56%        | 4.11%        | 8.57%         | 6.15%        | 5.13%        | 0.00%        | 0.86%        | 1.41%        | 3.33%        | 1.67%        | 9.29%        | 10.77%       | 4.62%        |
|          | 2              | 2.86%        | 4.90%        | 12.07%        | 1.63%        | 4.93%        | 4.35%        | 7.30%        | 3.03%        | 9.46%        | 2.00%        | 8.11%        | 5.32%        | 5.19%        |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 2.02%        | 11.11%        | 7.44%        | 1.40%        | 0.00%        | 11.00%       | 1.18%        | 3.28%        | 5.26%        | 3.93%        | 5.15%        | 3.90%        |
|          | 4              | 2.38%        | 2.33%        | 10.64%        | 3.70%        | 3.43%        | 0.00%        | 5.77%        | 1.80%        | 8.16%        | 3.77%        | 4.43%        | 2.90%        | 3.55%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>2.84%</b> | <b>3.16%</b> | <b>10.84%</b> | <b>4.62%</b> | <b>3.89%</b> | <b>0.93%</b> | <b>6.09%</b> | <b>1.91%</b> | <b>6.15%</b> | <b>3.18%</b> | <b>6.45%</b> | <b>5.85%</b> | <b>4.31%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 2.78%        | 0.43%        | 7.50%         | 2.63%        | 4.02%        | 0.00%        | 4.35%        | 3.88%        | 12.82%       | 4.76%        | 3.07%        | 3.19%        | 3.56%        |
|          | 2              | 1.82%        | 1.79%        | 2.27%         | 1.44%        | 3.41%        | 5.88%        | 1.67%        | 2.65%        | 6.50%        | 4.29%        | 2.61%        | 4.39%        | 3.01%        |

| Period | Interval       | EB           |              |              | NB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | Ave          |
|--------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|        |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
|        | 3              | 2.27%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.65%        | 2.36%        | 2.00%        | 3.17%        | 4.29%        | 4.12%        | 3.53%        | 2.79%        | 2.50%        | 2.49%        |
|        | 4              | 0.00%        | 1.24%        | 0.00%        | 1.72%        | 1.04%        | 0.00%        | 1.54%        | 1.08%        | 4.21%        | 1.79%        | 1.32%        | 1.28%        | 1.35%        |
|        | <b>Average</b> | <b>1.60%</b> | <b>0.82%</b> | <b>1.95%</b> | <b>2.37%</b> | <b>2.68%</b> | <b>1.74%</b> | <b>2.72%</b> | <b>3.06%</b> | <b>6.62%</b> | <b>3.33%</b> | <b>2.34%</b> | <b>2.69%</b> | <b>2.54%</b> |

Table D-3.35 Truck Percentages at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek

| Period   | Interval       | EB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | NB           |              |              | Ave          |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 1.83%        | 5.56%        | 1.25%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.28%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.17%        |
|          | 2              | 8.33%        | 3.05%        | 8.00%        | 1.16%        | 0.00%        | 11.11%       | 0.00%        | 5.17%        | 2.30%        | 16.67%       | 0.00%        | 3.33%        | 3.75%        |
|          | 3              | 4.17%        | 4.43%        | 6.90%        | 2.17%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.00%        | 3.68%        | 1.54%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.71%        |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 5.36%        | 6.06%        | 1.39%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.83%        | 2.24%        | 4.60%        | 6.25%        | 0.00%        | 2.86%        | 3.27%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>2.52%</b> | <b>3.63%</b> | <b>6.67%</b> | <b>1.52%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>3.23%</b> | <b>1.50%</b> | <b>3.66%</b> | <b>2.50%</b> | <b>6.98%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.87%</b> | <b>3.26%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1              | 1.03%        | 3.02%        | 2.38%        | 0.79%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.47%        | 3.86%        | 3.42%        | 1.52%        | 0.00%        | 0.97%        | 2.68%        |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 3.66%        | 2.70%        | 1.34%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.30%        | 0.98%        | 1.97%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.15%        | 1.36%        |
|          | 3              | 2.06%        | 3.37%        | 1.41%        | 1.61%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.75%        | 4.22%        | 2.76%        | 1.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.97%        | 2.70%        |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 2.97%        | 2.17%        | 0.68%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.46%        | 3.43%        | 1.27%        | 1.20%        | 0.00%        | 0.80%        | 1.86%        |

| Period  | Interval | EB    |       |       | SB    |       |       | WB    |       |       | NB    |       |       | Ave   |
|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|         |          | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right |       |
|         | Average  | 0.78% | 3.23% | 2.04% | 1.15% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.10% | 3.15% | 2.36% | 0.83% | 0.00% | 1.44% | 2.16% |
| PM Peak | 1        | 1.47% | 2.76% | 2.00% | 0.62% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.62% | 1.06% | 0.97% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.36% |
|         | 2        | 0.00% | 2.38% | 3.70% | 1.12% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.80% | 2.16% | 0.00% | 0.99% | 0.00% | 0.51% | 1.40% |
|         | 3        | 0.00% | 3.18% | 4.35% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.76% | 0.00% | 1.69% | 0.00% | 0.49% | 1.39% |
|         | 4        | 0.00% | 1.56% | 0.00% | 0.60% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.66% | 1.58% | 0.00% | 2.20% | 1.54% | 0.98% | 1.10% |
|         | Average  | 0.48% | 2.45% | 2.26% | 0.58% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.36% | 1.77% | 0.19% | 1.45% | 0.42% | 0.51% | 1.31% |

Before Study (Mar.18 & Mar.19, 2015)

Table D-3.36 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at University Pkwy & US-301

| Time Period |           | EB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 29   | 178  | 4     | 84   | 261  | 31    | 130  | 195  | 122   | 34   | 205  | 0     |
|             | 2         | 32   | 156  | 0     | 144  | 218  | 34    | 166  | 246  | 72    | 43   | 203  | 0     |
|             | 3         | 29   | 190  | 0     | 134  | 222  | 22    | 170  | 249  | 92    | 58   | 154  | 0     |
|             | 4         | 32   | 143  | 0     | 141  | 215  | 51    | 148  | 287  | 77    | 61   | 161  | 0     |
|             | Flow Rate | 122  | 667  | 4     | 503  | 916  | 138   | 614  | 977  | 363   | 196  | 723  | 0     |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 38   | 152  | 38    | 69   | 205  | 37    | 117  | 216  | 62    | 61   | 200  | 72    |
|             | 2         | 36   | 214  | 65    | 125  | 213  | 24    | 147  | 306  | 81    | 51   | 160  | 99    |
|             | 3         | 28   | 253  | 70    | 130  | 145  | 32    | 111  | 258  | 63    | 60   | 185  | 102   |
|             | 4         | 43   | 263  | 52    | 84   | 211  | 16    | 165  | 339  | 53    | 55   | 165  | 71    |
|             | Flow Rate | 145  | 882  | 225   | 408  | 774  | 109   | 540  | 1119 | 259   | 227  | 710  | 344   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 37   | 234  | 43    | 117  | 181  | 41    | 72   | 214  | 88    | 66   | 235  | 97    |
|             | 2         | 56   | 228  | 45    | 141  | 212  | 36    | 61   | 155  | 131   | 73   | 354  | 119   |
|             | 3         | 45   | 279  | 62    | 142  | 217  | 51    | 65   | 219  | 101   | 88   | 295  | 123   |
|             | 4         | 53   | 245  | 59    | 118  | 195  | 47    | 66   | 187  | 99    | 114  | 385  | 158   |
|             | Flow Rate | 191  | 986  | 209   | 518  | 805  | 175   | 264  | 775  | 419   | 341  | 1269 | 497   |



Table D-3.37 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek

| Time Period |           | EB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 20   | 445  | 19    | 81   | 12   | 5     | 27   | 409  | 41    | 3    | 6    | 17    |
|             | 2         | 26   | 473  | 27    | 87   | 15   | 10    | 59   | 407  | 89    | 14   | 7    | 31    |
|             | 3         | 25   | 495  | 31    | 94   | 8    | 8     | 63   | 479  | 66    | 12   | 7    | 25    |
|             | 4         | 51   | 413  | 35    | 73   | 20   | 9     | 122  | 320  | 91    | 17   | 13   | 36    |
|             | Flow Rate | 122  | 1826 | 112   | 335  | 55   | 32    | 271  | 1615 | 287   | 46   | 33   | 109   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 98   | 341  | 43    | 127  | 48   | 53    | 187  | 431  | 151   | 67   | 37   | 104   |
|             | 2         | 108  | 283  | 38    | 151  | 54   | 86    | 234  | 412  | 155   | 113  | 66   | 88    |
|             | 3         | 99   | 521  | 72    | 189  | 78   | 74    | 187  | 445  | 186   | 101  | 37   | 104   |
|             | 4         | 84   | 451  | 47    | 148  | 61   | 53    | 220  | 483  | 159   | 84   | 52   | 126   |
|             | Flow Rate | 389  | 1596 | 200   | 615  | 241  | 266   | 828  | 1771 | 651   | 365  | 192  | 422   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 69   | 484  | 51    | 162  | 44   | 57    | 132  | 439  | 95    | 104  | 46   | 176   |
|             | 2         | 48   | 473  | 28    | 181  | 40   | 34    | 126  | 378  | 149   | 102  | 54   | 198   |
|             | 3         | 40   | 486  | 24    | 183  | 50   | 38    | 151  | 463  | 141   | 120  | 73   | 206   |
|             | 4         | 52   | 520  | 33    | 169  | 47   | 49    | 152  | 386  | 150   | 93   | 66   | 207   |
|             | Flow Rate | 209  | 1963 | 136   | 695  | 181  | 178   | 561  | 1666 | 535   | 419  | 239  | 787   |

After Study (Mar.14 & Mar.15, 2017)

Table D-3.38 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at University Pkwy & US-301 – after Study

| Time Period |           | NB   |      |       | SB   |      |       | EB (Main) |      |       | WB (Main) |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 42   | 148  | 34    | 69   | 246  | 26    | 28        | 166  | 48    | 92        | 143  | 110   |
|             | 2         | 39   | 179  | 40    | 60   | 213  | 17    | 13        | 141  | 76    | 114       | 151  | 84    |
|             | 3         | 47   | 227  | 52    | 66   | 168  | 25    | 16        | 184  | 57    | 73        | 183  | 72    |
|             | 4         | 41   | 143  | 58    | 108  | 240  | 39    | 19        | 168  | 63    | 130       | 233  | 83    |
|             | Flow Rate | 169  | 697  | 184   | 303  | 867  | 107   | 76        | 659  | 244   | 409       | 710  | 349   |
| Off Peak    | 1         |      |      |       |      |      |       |           |      |       |           |      |       |
|             | 2         | 41   | 163  | 71    | 66   | 192  | 17    | 27        | 162  | 40    | 111       | 214  | 96    |
|             | 3         | 81   | 216  | 48    | 93   | 211  | 24    | 30        | 198  | 39    | 118       | 184  | 97    |
|             | 4         | 56   | 271  | 81    | 101  | 214  | 9     | 20        | 218  | 50    | 105       | 201  | 96    |
|             | Flow Rate | 237  | 867  | 267   | 347  | 823  | 67    | 103       | 771  | 172   | 445       | 799  | 385   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 91   | 262  | 78    | 130  | 192  | 10    | 43        | 262  | 44    | 87        | 216  | 86    |
|             | 2         | 101  | 312  | 112   | 107  | 222  | 14    | 42        | 243  | 55    | 74        | 198  | 77    |
|             | 3         | 93   | 329  | 74    | 88   | 234  | 19    | 36        | 261  | 45    | 89        | 219  | 71    |
|             | 4         | 105  | 343  | 71    | 100  | 180  | 18    | 39        | 208  | 38    | 89        | 246  | 54    |
|             | Flow Rate | 390  | 1246 | 335   | 425  | 828  | 61    | 160       | 974  | 182   | 339       | 879  | 288   |

Table D-3.39 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek – after Study

| Time Period |           | NB   |      |       | SB   |      |       | EB (Main) |      |       | WB (Main) |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 9    | 1    | 1     | 28   | 4    | 3     | 15        | 190  | 6     | 32        | 197  | 25    |
|             | 2         | 12   | 4    | 26    | 81   | 10   | 6     | 18        | 427  | 10    | 60        | 458  | 61    |
|             | 3         | 16   | 28   | 37    | 74   | 18   | 5     | 9         | 270  | 16    | 89        | 437  | 67    |
|             | 4         | 112  | 523  | 90    | 63   | 14   | 15    | 35        | 372  | 17    | 15        | 11   | 14    |
|             | Flow Rate | 149  | 556  | 154   | 246  | 46   | 29    | 77        | 1259 | 49    | 196       | 1103 | 167   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 67   | 57   | 53    | 118  | 61   | 42    | 76        | 406  | 42    | 220       | 355  | 136   |
|             | 2         | 78   | 57   | 151   | 197  | 70   | 60    | 65        | 363  | 28    | 186       | 401  | 123   |
|             | 3         | 86   | 72   | 163   | 160  | 78   | 45    | 46        | 324  | 42    | 137       | 338  | 105   |
|             | 4         | 79   | 70   | 167   | 140  | 71   | 40    | 61        | 396  | 47    | 143       | 394  | 121   |
|             | Flow Rate | 310  | 256  | 534   | 615  | 280  | 187   | 248       | 1489 | 159   | 686       | 1488 | 485   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 80   | 66   | 110   | 139  | 63   | 38    | 42        | 318  | 36    | 101       | 356  | 81    |
|             | 2         | 65   | 44   | 164   | 110  | 51   | 29    | 34        | 302  | 17    | 143       | 419  | 93    |
|             | 3         | 78   | 68   | 188   | 146  | 48   | 27    | 29        | 469  | 16    | 226       | 385  | 84    |
|             | 4         | 82   | 74   | 186   | 122  | 46   | 25    | 44        | 478  | 32    | 115       | 414  | 102   |
|             | Flow Rate | 305  | 252  | 648   | 517  | 208  | 119   | 149       | 1567 | 101   | 585       | 1574 | 360   |

### Comparisons of Before and After Flows

The differences in traffic flow between the before and after measurements are shown in Table D-3.40 and Table D-3.41. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant decrease, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table D-3.40 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at University Pkwy & US-301

| Period   | EB (Main) |      |       | NB   |      |       | WB (Main) |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|----------|-----------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          | Left      | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | -46       | -8   | 240   | -27  | -26  | 184   | -205      | -267 | -14   | -200 | -49  | -31   |
| Off Peak | -42       | -111 | -53   | 10   | 157  | -77   | -95       | -320 | 126   | -61  | 49   | -42   |
| PM Peak  | -31       | -12  | -27   | 49   | -23  | -162  | 75        | 104  | -131  | -93  | 23   | -114  |

Table D-3.41 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek

| Period   | EB (Main) |      |       | NB   |      |       | WB (Main) |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|----------|-----------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          | Left      | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | -45       | -567 | -63   | 103  | 523  | 45    | -75       | -512 | -120  | -89  | -9   | -3    |
| Off Peak | -141      | -107 | -41   | -55  | 64   | 112   | -142      | -283 | -166  | 0    | 39   | -79   |
| PM Peak  | -60       | -396 | -35   | -114 | 13   | -139  | 24        | -92  | -175  | -178 | 27   | -59   |

### Discussion

The following can be concluded regarding traffic volumes at this corridor:

- The traffic volume at both critical intersections (University Pkwy & US-301 and University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek) decreased during all three time periods by an average of 7.3% and 14.3%, respectively.

### D.3.6. Consideration of Traffic Flows Jointly with Queue Length

The differences in “Traffic Flow” and “Queue Length” between before and after measurements are shown in Table D-3.42 and Table D-3.43. The tables are color-coded as follows: green indicates that “Queue” decreases and “Traffic Flow” increases, Red indicates “Queue” increases and “Traffic Flow” decreases, No Color indicates “Traffic Flow” and “Queue” increase or decrease at the same time. Generally, green indicates improvement despite an increase in flow.

Table D-3.42 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at University Pkwy & US-301

| Time<br>Period | EB (Main) |       |      |       |       |       | NB   |       |      |       |       |       | WB (Main) |        |      |        |       |       | SB   |       |      |       |       |       |
|----------------|-----------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|--------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
|                | Left      |       | Thru |       | Right |       | Left |       | Thru |       | Right |       | Left      |        | Thru |        | Right |       | Left |       | Thru |       | Right |       |
|                | TF        | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF        | Q      | TF   | Q      | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak        | -46       | -4.34 | -8   | -9.43 | 240   | 0.00  | -27  | -7.25 | -26  | -5.21 | 184   | 0.00  | -205      | -10.93 | -267 | -12.09 | -14   | -0.05 | -200 | -6.09 | -49  | -8.77 | -31   | -0.30 |
| Off Peak       | -42       | 0.10  | -111 | -2.32 | -53   | -1.04 | 10   | -4.04 | 157  | 4.52  | -77   | -1.94 | -95       | -0.46  | -320 | -5.98  | 126   | -0.83 | -61  | 0.34  | 49   | 1.11  | -42   | -1.13 |
| PM Peak        | -31       | 2.33  | -12  | 1.66  | -27   | -0.60 | 49   | -1.81 | -23  | 12.06 | -162  | -2.80 | 75        | -4.37  | 104  | -5.23  | -131  | -7.15 | -93  | 2.57  | 23   | -1.28 | -114  | -4.40 |

Table D-3.43 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at University Pkwy & US-301

| Time<br>Period | EB (Main) |      |      |      |       |      | NB   |      |      |      |       |      | WB (Main) |      |      |      |       |       | SB   |      |      |      |       |       |
|----------------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|                | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |       | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |       |
|                | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak        | -38%      | -92% | -1%  | -96% | 6000% | N/A  | -14% | -92% | -4%  | -84% | N/A   | 0%   | -33%      | -95% | -27% | -97% | -4%   | -100% | -40% | -79% | -5%  | -82% | -22%  | -100% |
| Off Peak       | -29%      | 2%   | -13% | -25% | -24%  | 100% | 5%   | -46% | 22%  | 76%  | -22%  | 100% | -18%      | -8%  | -29% | -61% | 49%   | -100% | -15% | 6%   | 6%   | 17%  | -39%  | -100% |
| PM Peak        | -16%      | 49%  | -1%  | 18%  | -13%  | 100% | 14%  | -21% | -2%  | 204% | -33%  | 100% | 28%       | -54% | 13%  | -66% | -31%  | -100% | -18% | 31%  | 3%   | -13% | -65%  | -100% |

Table D-3.44 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek

| Time<br>Period | EB (Main) |       |      |       |       |      | NB   |      |      |      |       |      | WB (Main) |       |      |      |       |      | SB   |      |      |      |       |       |
|----------------|-----------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-----------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|                | Left      |       | Thru |       | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left      |       | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |       |
|                | TF        | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF        | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak        | -45       | -0.41 | -567 | 10.67 | -63   | 0.07 | 103  | 0.16 | 523  | 0.07 | 45    | 1.75 | -75       | -0.88 | -512 | 2.37 | -120  | 0.67 | -89  | 0.69 | -9   | 0.72 | -3    | -1.29 |

| Time Period | EB (Main) |       |      |       |       |       | NB   |      |      |      |       |      | WB (Main) |       |      |      |       |       | SB   |       |      |       |       |       |
|-------------|-----------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-----------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
|             | Left      |       | Thru |       | Right |       | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left      |       | Thru |      | Right |       | Left |       | Thru |       | Right |       |
|             | TF        | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF        | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     |
| Off Peak    | -141      | -1.68 | -107 | 12.89 | -41   | -2.60 | -55  | 4.00 | 64   | 6.51 | 112   | 2.23 | -142      | 8.80  | -283 | 3.18 | -166  | -6.08 | 0    | -1.21 | 39   | -0.79 | -79   | -4.17 |
| PM Peak     | -60       | -3.75 | -396 | 5.00  | -35   | -1.06 | -114 | 6.52 | 13   | 5.82 | -139  | 0.67 | 24        | 10.06 | -92  | 5.34 | -175  | -4.46 | -178 | -3.70 | 27   | 2.64  | -59   | -2.83 |

Table D-3.45 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at University Pkwy & North Cattlemen Rd. /Cooper Creek

| Time Period | EB (Main) |      |      |      |       |      | NB   |      |       |      |       |     | WB (Main) |      |      |      |       |      | SB   |      |      |     |       |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|-------|-------|
|             | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru  |      | Right |     | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |     | Right |       |
|             | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF    | Q   | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak     | -37%      | -17% | -31% | 135% | -56%  | -62% | 224% | -15% | 1585% | 13%  | 41%   | 0%  | -28%      | -20% | -32% | -24% | -42%  | 100% | -27% | 13%  | -16% | 36% | -9%   | -100% |
| Off Peak    | -36%      | 21%  | -7%  | 79%  | -21%  | 100% | -15% | 66%  | 33%   | 126% | 27%   | 31% | -17%      | 68%  | -16% | 22%  | -25%  | 100% | 0%   | -11% | 16%  | -7% | -30%  | -100% |
| PM Peak     | -29%      | 60%  | -20% | 20%  | -26%  | 100% | -27% | 108% | 5%    | 116% | -18%  | 6%  | 4%        | 114% | -6%  | 44%  | -33%  | 100% | -26% | 28%  | 15%  | 38% | -33%  | -100% |

### *Discussion*

The following can be concluded from the comparison of traffic flows jointly with queue length:

- From the colored cells one could distinguish the significant differences in performance between US-301 and N.Cattleman Rd.
- Significant number of white or green colored cells in US-301, indicate the improvement or neutral condition on the western part of the corridor, whereas for N. Cattleman road, a significant number of red cells are due to large queues despite the reduction in traffic flows. This indicates overall deterioration performance on the eastern part of the corridor.



## D.4. Questionnaire

### SECTION 1: RESPONDENT'S INFORMATION

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Organization \_\_\_\_\_

Position \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Phone \_\_\_\_\_

Fax \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail \_\_\_\_\_

### SECTION 2: PREVIOUS TRAFFIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

1. Please specify the type of traffic control used before Adaptive Signal Control

Technology (ASCT) was installed for your site. (Please check all that apply.)

a. Fixed time coordinated control

**b. Actuated coordinated control**

c. Fixed-time isolated control

d. Actuated isolated control

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

2. What type of traffic controller was used before ASCT was implemented?

a. NEMA TS-1

**b. NEMA TS-2**

c. 170

d. 2070

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

8. How many detectors were used before ASCT was implemented for a typical intersection (e.g., *Newberry Rd & NW 75<sup>th</sup> St*)? Please also specify the location where the detectors were typically placed for each specific detector type (video detection, inductive loops, radars, etc.).

### Video detection in each movement

9. What was the frequency of retiming the signal timing plan for the corridor before ASCT was implemented?

**Last retiming for Honore Ave. through I-75 was October 2014. Fine tuning and controller updates for Airport Cir. through Honore Ave. was 6 months ago**

10. How often were maintenance and updates performed to your previous control system in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: **Annual Maintenance Schedule as needed**
- b. Control Hardware: **Annual Maintenance Schedule as needed**
- c. Software: **Annual Maintenance Schedule as needed**

11. What was the annual maintenance cost in terms of hardware, software and personnel of the previous control system for the whole corridor where the ASCT is now deployed?

---

---

12. What was the life expectancy of your traffic control before the deployment of ASCT? (Please refer to the hardware and software.)

**Traffic controllers haven't changed. InSync modules/processes have been added to the ASCT**

13. How many crashes of each category were reported during the past 4 years before the ASCT implementation? (2011-2014)

|      | K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2011 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2012 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2013 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2014 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

### **SECTION 3: ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM (ASCT)**

9. Which Adaptive Traffic Control System (ASCT) does your agency deploy?

- g. **InSync; Version: latest – InTraffic**
- h. Synchro Green; Version: \_\_\_\_\_
- i. Other; please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

22. Did you consider any other ASCT before you selected this one for installation? Why did you reject the other(s) in favor of this one?

**No. There were not many options and there was the interest to try this out**

23. What were your major criteria for choosing the ASCT for the selected corridor?

**To see how InSync reacts to the varying traffic conditions. The mobility needs of the corridor**

24. What is the life expectancy of your ASCT system? (Consider both hardware and software.)

**3-5 years**

25. What type of traffic controller is currently in use after the ASCT implementation?

- a. Same as before the ASCT implementation
- b. New, please specify type: \_\_\_\_\_
- c. **Same, but the following updates were needed: 980 controllers**

26. How many and what type of detectors are used after the ASCT implementation on an intersection level? Please note any updates that the previous detection system needed so as to work with your ASCT.

**Replaced old cameras with InSync cameras**

27. Was there a need to update your previous software operating system at your Transportation Management Center in order to get your current ASCT software working?

a. Yes                      **b. No**

26. How often do you plan to perform physical maintenance or updates on your new ASCT in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: **Yearly, and as needed. Maintenance needs increases with detection cameras**
- b. ASCT Hardware: **Yearly, and as needed**
- c. Software: **Yearly, and as needed**

27. Was there a need for training your personnel in order to operate the new ASCT?

- a. **Yes, Num. of employees trained 10, Hours of training per employee 8-16**

28. Was there any change on the number of staff required to operate/maintain the effective signal ASCT operations? (Comparison of staff needed before and after the deployment.)

**No**

29. Where did expertise come from for your personnel's training, the ASCT installation, operation and the system's maintenance? Please check all that apply.

|                   | In-House | Vendor | Contractor |
|-------------------|----------|--------|------------|
| Employee Training |          | yes    |            |
| ASCT Installation | yes      | yes    |            |
| ASCT Operation    | yes      |        |            |
| ASCT Maintenance  | yes      |        |            |

30. How many crashes of each category were reported after ASCT was implemented?

| K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

#### SECTION 4: COST COMPONENTS

37. What is the overall capital cost of purchasing the ASCT (in terms of the software and licenses of the ASCT) for the corridor? If the purchase includes any service of implementation, personnel training or maintenance, please also specify here.

**Total cost InSync (Manatee): \$129,650, total cost InSync (Sarasota): \$496,700, total cost BlueTOAD (Manatee); \$30,690, total cost BlueTOAD (Sarasota): \$16,410**

38. What is the implementation cost (considering the installation on site, any updates in software and hardware, design needs and contract hours) for the ASCT corridor? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

---



---

39. What was total cost for training your personnel to operate and manage the new ASCT? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

---

---

40. What is the expected maintenance cost (in terms of hardware, software and personnel) for the ASCT corridor on a yearly basis? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

---

---

41. Can you specify the costs for the following ASCT components?

- a. Firmware \$ \_\_\_\_\_ b. Software \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Equipment \$ \_\_\_\_\_ d. Maintenance of Traffic Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
e. Design Needs \$ \_\_\_\_\_ f. Contract Help/Agency Hours Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_

#### **SECTION 5: INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES**

42. Were there any institutional issues that you had to overcome while implementing and operating the ASCT project? Please categorize and explain those issues below.

a. Organization and Management Institutional Issues:

##### **Issues with using two different contractors**

b. Regulatory and Legal Institutional Issues:

---

---

c. Human and Facility Resources Institutional Issues:

**Installation: Employees were pulled away from their regular day-to-day schedule to help complete the installation as they were pressed with time. Public finding it difficult to adjust to new system**

d. Financial Institutional Issues:

**Additional Ethernets had to installed at some intersections, extra maintenance cost, expensive camera**

43. Which component (e.g., detection, communication, hardware, software, licensing or other) of your ASCT deployment is the most challenging to maintain and why?

i. **Detection: expensive cameras to maintain and camera failing to work**

ii. **Communication**

iii. **Hardware/Software**

44. What happens to your ASCT operations when some of your detectors fail on the corridor level?

g. ASCT triggers an alarm and notifies operators

h. **ASCT switches to an “off-line” mode by implementing Time-Of-Day plans (for detection cameras)**

i. Combination of the above. d. Other (please describe): \_\_\_\_\_

29. How is your ASCT performance evaluated?

i. **In-house**

j. By an independent evaluator, please describe: \_\_\_\_\_

k. Not applicable—there is no evaluation

30. If the corridor on which the ASCT operates experiences over saturation, how would you rate the operation of the ASCT in response to these traffic conditions?

k. ASCT prevents or eliminates oversaturation

l. **ASCT eliminates or reduces the extent of the periods of oversaturation**

m. ASCT adversely affects the traffic conditions during periods of oversaturation

n. Other: \_\_\_\_\_

o. Oversaturation is very rare on the corridors operated by our ASCT

31. Based on your opinion and the up-to-date operation, when are ASCT operations proven to be the most effective?

a. Peak periods b. **Off-peak periods**

c. Shoulders of peak periods d. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

32. Has the level of performance of ASCT been sustained since its installation?

a. **Yes** b. No; why not? \_\_\_\_\_

37. What was the public reaction to the ASCT operation? Have you received any complaints about long delays and queues?

**Violated drivers’ expectation. Complains have reduced**

38. How satisfied are you, in general, with your ASCT deployment?

a. Very satisfied b. **Somewhat satisfied**

- c. Neutral
- d. Somewhat dissatisfied
- e. Not satisfied at all

37. Are there any other costs or benefits related to ASCT deployment that you would like to report?

a. Benefits: **very responsive**

b. Costs: **maintenance cost of camera**

38. Would you consider expanding the ASCT program to any other corridors? Why or why not? If yes, would you use the same technology/firmware or have any suggestions for other systems? If so, why?

**ASCT in general : Yes**

**InSync: Maybe not, May rather want to deploy Synchro Green**

## **SECTION 6: SAFETY ISSUES**

37. Do you have any anecdotal / qualitative data on safety benefits / disbenefits of the signal improvement along this corridor?

---

---

38. Has there been other changes along this corridor over the analysis period that could affect the safety of this corridor either positively or negatively?

---

---

39. Are you aware of any changes to the crash data reporting procedures over the analysis period? If yes, what are the changes?

---

---

---

---

40. What are your overall reactions to the trends presented in the report?

---

---

41. Is there another comparable corridor in which signal improvements have not been made to which the results of this corridor can be compared to?

---

---

42. Other thoughts / comments for us?

---

---

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. Your responses will help us with evaluating the deployment and benefits of your current ASCT.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact Marian Ankomah, email: [moankomah@ufl.edu](mailto:moankomah@ufl.edu) or Tyler Valila, email: [tvalila67@ufl.edu](mailto:tvalila67@ufl.edu) who work under the direct supervision of the faculty advisor Dr. Lily Elefteriadou.



## D.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis

Table D-5.1 Monetized Saving

| Monetized Saving            |                |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$4,373,801.65 |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$473,638.96   |
| Air Pollution Saving        | \$30,469.40    |
| Safety Saving               | \$4,880,524.32 |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$4,877,910.02 |
| Total Saving                | \$9,758,434.34 |
| Total Cost                  | \$657,000.00   |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 7.424520574    |
| B/C Ratio                   | 14.8530203     |

Table D-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions

| Monetized Saving            |                |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$4,373,801.65 |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$473,638.96   |
| Safety Saving               | \$4,880,524.32 |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$4,847,440.61 |
| Total Saving                | \$9,727,964.94 |
| Total Cost                  | \$657,000.00   |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 7.378144009    |
| B/C Ratio                   | 14.80664374    |

## **APPENDIX E: Summary of 23rd Street, Bay County**

### **E.1. Executive Summary**

The objective of this research is to evaluate the implementation of proposed Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT) traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the installation of specific ASCT, document the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT. This Appendix summarizes the before and after field data collected along the 23rd Street, Panama City corridor from Lisenby Ave to SR 77, and provides some observations and initial conclusions.

Floating car runs were conducted with the UFTI instrumented vehicle to collect vehicle travel times before the implementation of InSync, during three time periods (AM Peak, Off Peak and PM Peak). In addition, turning movement counts and queue lengths were collected at two critical intersections (Jenks Ave & SR 77). Based on these, five performance measures were obtained for the before study periods: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (at critical intersections), Queue to Lane Storage Ratio (at critical intersections), and Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) flows (at critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and presented in this appendix.

The following were concluded:

- The travel time in the EB direction decreased during all study time periods (AM Peak, Off Peak and PM Peak) by an average of 0.51 min (7.57%). The travel time in the WB direction decreased during all study time periods (AM Peak, Off Peak and PM Peak) by an average of 0.9 min (13.07%).
- The intersection through movement delay in the EB direction decreased at 5 out of the 9 intersections along the corridor by an average of 1.07 sec/intersection (4.46%). The intersection through movement delay in the WB direction decreased at 6 out of 9 intersections by an average of 5.78 sec/intersection (21.77%).
- The average queue length for the Jenks Ave. & 23rd Street intersection increased by an average of 0.11 vehicles (11.96%) during the AM peak and Off Peak analysis periods. The average queue length for the SR 77 & 23rd Street intersection increased by an average of 0.89 vehicles (143.30%) during the Off Peak and PM peak analysis periods.
- The traffic volume for the Jenks Ave. & 23rd Street intersection increased during Off Peak and PM peak analysis periods by an average of 88 pce/h/ln (2.03%); for the SR 77 & 23rd Street intersection it increased during all analysis periods by an average of 259 pce/h/ln (6.98%).

An interview with Bay County Public Works was conducted regarding both Parkway corridor and 23rd St. corridor, certain comments of the interview apply to both corridors. The interview indicated that InSync operates most effectively when conditions are undersaturated. The traffic operations engineer of Bay County Public Works also reported an average of 7% reduction on

the travel time after the implementation of the InSync system (in certain cases, the reduction was even close to 20%, however, the side streets suffered significant delay).

## E.2. Corridor Information

Panama City Beach is a city in Bay County, Florida. Although it has a small population of about 12,018 as per 2010 census, the traffic in the city is higher during the tourist season. It is a popular destination during spring break and summer seasons. The 23rd Street, Panama City corridor, passing by the Panama City Mall as well as many supermarkets and restaurants on both sides of the street, attracting large amount of traffic every day. Traffic demand on both the eastbound and westbound directions were observed to be high, and eastbound demand was observed to be higher than westbound demand. The Off Peak and PM Peak were observed to have the heavier traffic for both the eastbound and westbound directions. Figure E-2.1 provides a schematic of the 23rd Street, Panama City corridor. Table E-2.1 lists the intersections along the corridor. Two intersections (Jenks Ave and SR 77) were selected as the critical intersections along the corridor and detailed turning movement and queue counts were collected at these. Figure E-2.2 provides the lane configuration of these two critical intersections. The data collection for this project was conducted during the low tourism season.







Figure E-2.1 Schematic of the 23<sup>rd</sup> street, Panama City corridor

Table E-2.1 Intersections along the 23<sup>rd</sup> Street, Panama City Corridor

|   | <b>Signalized Intersection</b> | <b>Distance in Between</b> | <b>Unsignalized Intersections in Between</b> |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Lisenby Ave                    |                            |                                              |
| 2 | Airport Rd                     | 0.27 miles                 | 1                                            |
| 3 | Stanford Ave                   | 0.19 miles                 | 1                                            |
| 4 | 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street Plaza  | 0.28 miles                 | 2                                            |
| 5 | State Ave                      | 0.25 miles                 | 4                                            |
| 6 | <b>Jenks Ave</b>               | 0.25 miles                 | 2                                            |
| 7 | Harrison Ave                   | 0.25 miles                 | 2                                            |
| 8 | Wilson Ave                     | 0.25 miles                 | 1                                            |
| 9 | <b>SR 77</b>                   | 0.25 miles                 | 0                                            |



(a) Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street



(b) SR 77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

Figure E-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections

### E.3. Performance Measures

Five performance measures were evaluated: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (critical intersections), Queue-to-Lane Storage Ratio (critical intersections), and PCE Flows (critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and the results of the differences (“after data” – “before data”) are presented.

#### E.3.1. Route Travel Time

The average travel time (min) along the route was measured using a floating car. During the AM peak of the after data collection there was an incident nearby, around 0.2 mile from the studied corridor, which could affect the traffic flow of the Lisenby Ave. and 23rd St. intersection. Figure E-3.1 provides a schematic of the incident location. According to the traffic engineer there who informed us about this incident, the incident may reduce the traffic flow through the corridor.

The research team collected data during that time, also, Bluetooth data collected on 9/22/2015 was obtained as the travel time for AM peak without incident. In the following tables we report separately any data collected when the incident was active. A total of 41 runs for EB and 40 runs for WB were conducted during the before study, and a total of 42 runs for EB and 41 runs for WB were conducted during the after study.




Figure E-3.1 Schematic incident location

*Before Study (Jan. 27 & Jan.28, 2015)*

Table E-3.1 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)             | AM   | Off  | PM   | Average     |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|-------------|
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street EB | 6.14 | 7.62 | 6.71 | <b>6.77</b> |
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street WB | 5.99 | 7.92 | 6.9  | <b>6.89</b> |

*After Study (Sep. 22 & Sep. 23, 2015)*


Table E-3.2 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT<br>(min)          | AM<br>(Incident) | AM<br>(No Incident) | Off  | PM   | Average |
|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------|------|---------|
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street EB | 5.35             | 5.37                | 7.01 | 6.40 | 6.26    |
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street WB | 4.75             | 4.54                | 6.74 | 6.70 | 5.99    |

### Comparisons of Before and After Travel Times

Table E-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (after – before)

| Route TT<br>(min)          | AM<br>(Incident)   | AM<br>(No Incident) | Off                | PM                | Average                    |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street EB | -0.79<br>(-12.83%) | -0.77<br>(-12.59%)  | -0.61<br>(-8.02%)  | -0.31<br>(-4.69%) | <b>-0.51<br/>(-7.57%)</b>  |
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street WB | -1.25<br>(-20.84)  | -1.46<br>(-24.32%)  | -1.18<br>(-14.90%) | -0.20<br>(-2.95%) | <b>-0.90<br/>(-13.07%)</b> |



(a) 23<sup>rd</sup> Street. EB

(b) 23<sup>rd</sup> Street WB

Figure E-3.2 Travel times along 23<sup>rd</sup> street., Panama City

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of travel times:

- The travel time along 23<sup>rd</sup> Street in the EB decreased by an average of 0.51 min (7.57%). The EB direction carries the majority of the traffic during the PM peak. The highest decrease occurred during the AM Peak when the demand is relatively lower, with a decrease of 0.79 min (12.83%) with incident and 0.77 min (12.59%) without incident. The incident does not seem to affect the EB travel time.
- The travel time along 23<sup>rd</sup> Street in the WB decreased by an average of 0.90 min (13.07%). The WB direction carries the majority of the traffic in the AM peak, which has significant decrease of travel time, the highest decrease occurred during the AM Peak with a decrease of 1.25 min (20.84%) with incident and 1.46 min (24.32%) without incident.

- For both directions, the AM Peak and Off Peak have the most decrease in travel time, while the PM peak, which has the heaviest traffic, shows relatively small improvement.

Overall, travel time along the main street decreased after the installation of the system.

### E.3.2. Delay

Delay (sec) at each intersection along the corridor was also obtained using the floating car measurements. For the AM peak during the after study, we use the delay obtained while the nearby incident was active, since the Bluetooth data obtained (data without an incident) for the AM peak do not provide the intersection delay.

*Before Study (Jan. 27 & Jan.28, 2015)*

Table E-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Lisenby Ave                  | 11.74   | 10       | 28.34   |
| Airport Ave                  | 32.44   | 30.05    | 10.52   |
| Stanford Ave                 | 16.16   | 10.75    | 10.18   |
| 23rd Street Plaza            | 5.95    | 39.5     | 20.57   |
| State Ave                    | 13.34   | 52.25    | 12.17   |
| Jenks Ave                    | 38.48   | 29       | 42.42   |
| Harrison Ave                 | 9.64    | 23.15    | 24.21   |
| Wilson Ave                   | 10.09   | 26.05    | 13.29   |
| SR 77                        | 44.28   | 43.15    | 48.17   |






Figure E-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction

Table E-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Lisenby Ave                  | 93.4    | 95.33    | 53.36   |
| Airport Ave                  | 12.32   | 18.83    | 26.14   |
| Stanford Ave                 | 5.08    | 8.83     | 15.93   |
| 23rd Street Plaza            | 27.49   | 71.88    | 19.93   |
| State Ave                    | 16.56   | 15.88    | 49.47   |
| Jenks Ave                    | 3.97    | 25.55    | 24.3    |
| Harrison Ave                 | 4.81    | 22.42    | 31.5    |
| Wilson Ave                   | 2.49    | 29.34    | 6.8     |
| SR 77                        | 7.88    | 28.61    | 3.75    |




Figure E-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

*After Study (Sep. 22 & Sep. 23, 2015)*

Table E-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay by Intersection<br>(sec) | AM Peak<br>(Incident) | Off Peak | PM Peak |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|
| Lisenby Ave                    | 13.47                 | 17.34    | 26.14   |
| Airport Ave                    | 14.11                 | 41.25    | 24.96   |
| Stanford Ave                   | 12.41                 | 8.19     | 9.04    |
| 23rd Street Plaza              | 9.31                  | 22.06    | 19.69   |
| State Ave                      | 15.70                 | 17.64    | 10.44   |
| Jenks Ave                      | 17.80                 | 51.89    | 60.24   |
| Harrison Ave                   | 10.63                 | 16.89    | 8.05    |
| Wilson Ave                     | 4.88                  | 39.34    | 25.71   |
| SR 77                          | 60.45                 | 38.53    | 31.09   |




Figure E-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction

Table E-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak<br>(Incident) | Off Peak | PM Peak |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|
| SR 77                        | 44.70                 | 50.33    | 40.25   |
| Wilson Ave                   | 4.51                  | 6.45     | 6.13    |
| Harrison Ave                 | 13.88                 | 23.05    | 27.07   |
| Jenks Ave                    | 16.36                 | 41.03    | 34.39   |
| State Ave                    | 5.60                  | 61.77    | 19.00   |
| 23rd Street Plaza            | 4.51                  | 15.93    | 32.72   |
| Stanford Ave                 | 6.52                  | 26.91    | 39.60   |
| Airport Ave                  | 2.72                  | 4.86     | 21.20   |
| Lisenby Ave                  | 5.47                  | 9.27     | 15.12   |



Figure E-3.6 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

### *Comparisons of Before and After Intersection Delay Times*

The differences in delay between the before and after study are shown in Table E-3.8 and Table E-3.9. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant deterioration in delay.

Table E-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay (sec)                   | AM Peak<br>(Incident) | Off Peak | PM Peak | Average |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Lisenby Ave                   | 1.73                  | 7.34     | -2.20   | 2.07    |
| Airport Rd                    | -18.33                | 11.20    | 14.44   | 1.37    |
| Stanford Ave                  | -3.75                 | -2.56    | -1.14   | -2.62   |
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street Plaza | 3.35                  | -17.44   | -0.88   | -3.94   |
| State Ave                     | 2.37                  | -34.60   | -1.73   | -9.68   |
| Jenks Ave                     | -20.67                | 22.89    | 17.83   | 4.54    |
| Harrison Ave                  | 0.99                  | -6.26    | -16.16  | -6.66   |
| Wilson Ave                    | -5.20                 | 13.29    | 12.42   | 6.22    |
| SR 77                         | 16.17                 | -4.62    | -17.08  | -0.87   |
| <b>Average</b>                | -2.59                 | -1.20    | 0.61    | -1.07   |

Table E-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay (sec)                   | AM Peak<br>(Incident) | Off Peak | PM Peak | Average |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| SR 77                         | -48.69                | -45.00   | -13.12  | -37.87  |
| Wilson Ave                    | -7.81                 | -12.37   | -20.01  | -12.77  |
| Harrison Ave                  | 8.80                  | 14.22    | 11.15   | 11.39   |
| Jenks Ave                     | -11.13                | -30.85   | 14.46   | -11.62  |
| State Ave                     | -10.96                | 45.89    | -30.48  | 1.60    |
| 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street Plaza | 0.53                  | -9.62    | 8.42    | -0.40   |
| Stanford Ave                  | 1.71                  | 4.50     | 8.10    | 4.43    |
| Airport Rd                    | 0.23                  | -24.48   | 14.40   | -3.14   |
| Lisenby Ave                   | -2.41                 | -19.35   | 11.37   | -3.63   |
| <b>Average</b>                | -7.75                 | -8.56    | 0.48    | -5.78   |



Figure E-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction




Figure E-3.8 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

#### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of delays:

- The intersection delay in the EB direction decreased at 5 out of the 9 intersections by an average of 1.07 sec/intersection (4.46%). Delay decreased for the AM Peak and Off Peak, but increased during the PM Peak, when traffic is heaviest in the EB.
- The intersection delay in the WB direction decreased at 6 out of the 9 intersections by an average of 5.78 sec/intersection (21.77%). Delay decreased for the AM and Off Peak, but increased during the PM Peak, despite the fact that the WB has lower demand than the EB during this time.
- Even though the PM peak delay increased, with the high decrease of delay for the AM peak and Off Peak, the average delay decreased overall for both directions.

### E.3.3. Queue Length

Queue length (number of vehicles/lane) is presented by movement and by time period. This measure is used to evaluate oversaturated conditions at the critical intersections along the study corridors. Note that the queue length reported here is the observed maximum number of vehicles queued during each cycle, and does not represent the total number of vehicles that may have stopped during the cycle. During some time periods, because of cycle failure, vehicles need to stop multiple times before passing through the intersection. For the AM peak after study, the research team collected the SR 77 and 23rd St. intersection traffic counts on 9/22/2015 when the incident was active, as the “AM Peak Incident”, and we use the queue length collected from video during a weekday (9/29/2015, Tuesday) for the SR 77 and 23rd St. intersection as the “AM Peak No Incident”.

Figure E-3.9 presents the schematic of the lane configurations at the two critical intersections. Queue length is reported for each of these lanes.




Figure E-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections



Before Study (Jan. 27 & Jan.28, 2015)c

Table E-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period |         | EB (Main) |       |            | NB    |       |      |       | WB (Main) |       |            | SB   |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|-------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru  | Thru/Right | Left  | Thru  |      | Right | Left      | Thru  | Thru/Right | Left | Thru |      | Right |
|             |         | 1         | 2     | 3          | 4     | 5     | 6    | 7     | 8         | 9     | 10         | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14    |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 3.71      | 6.43  | 4.86       | 3.86  | 4.14  | 1    | 0.71  | 1.5       | 5.17  | 4.5        | 2    | 6.67 | 4.33 | 2.67  |
|             | 2       | 5.78      | 8.33  | 7.44       | 1.56  | 3.67  | 1.33 | 0.67  | 2.33      | 6.89  | 7.44       | 4.11 | 6.89 | 5.22 | 2.33  |
|             | 3       | 3.43      | 5.43  | 6.71       | 1     | 5.14  | 1.14 | 1.14  | 1.13      | 2.75  | 3.75       | 1.13 | 4.38 | 3.63 | 2.63  |
|             | 4       | 2.63      | 5.63  | 6.75       | 1.63  | 3.88  | 1.5  | 0.75  | 1.63      | 4.38  | 5.13       | 3    | 3.25 | 3.13 | 2.63  |
|             | Average | 3.89      | 6.45  | 6.44       | 2.01  | 4.21  | 1.24 | 0.82  | 1.65      | 4.8   | 5.2        | 2.56 | 5.3  | 4.08 | 2.56  |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 4         | 4.43  | 6.57       | 4.43  | 4     | 1.29 | 2.57  | 1.57      | 13    | 15.43      | 6.57 | 3.43 | 2.57 | 3.57  |
|             | 2       | 4.29      | 8.86  | 10         | 7.86  | 5     | 2.14 | 3     | 2.57      | 9     | 10.86      | 4.86 | 4    | 3.43 | 2.57  |
|             | 3       | 4.29      | 9     | 9.71       | 12.43 | 7.14  | 2.29 | 2.57  | 1.57      | 9.86  | 12.71      | 9.57 | 5    | 2.57 | 4.86  |
|             | 4       | 5.43      | 10.43 | 12.14      | 3.71  | 5.43  | 1.29 | 2.43  | 3.14      | 8.86  | 14.43      | 2.71 | 5.14 | 3.57 | 3     |
|             | Average | 4.5       | 8.18  | 9.61       | 7.11  | 5.39  | 1.75 | 2.64  | 2.21      | 10.18 | 13.36      | 5.93 | 4.39 | 3.04 | 3.5   |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 5.33      | 10.83 | 8.33       | 4.17  | 12.67 | 4.5  | 3.17  | 1.5       | 10    | 11.17      | 2.83 | 3.83 | 2.33 | 3.83  |
|             | 2       | 6.67      | 10.5  | 9.33       | 4     | 12.5  | 4.83 | 3.83  | 2.67      | 8     | 11.5       | 8.5  | 6    | 2.83 | 3.67  |
|             | 3       | 4.67      | 9.67  | 8.83       | 4.17  | 7.83  | 3.5  | 2     | 0.67      | 5.67  | 7.83       | 5    | 4.17 | 3.17 | 2.67  |

| Time Period |         | EB (Main) |      |            | NB   |      |      | WB (Main) |      |      | SB         |      |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|------|------------|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|------------|------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru | Thru/Right | Left | Thru |      | Right     | Left | Thru | Thru/Right | Left | Thru |      | Right |
|             |         | 1         | 2    | 3          | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7         | 8    | 9    | 10         | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14    |
|             | 4       | 3.67      | 6.83 | 7.17       | 5    | 4.5  | 1.17 | 1         | 1.67 | 7.33 | 8.5        | 2.5  | 3    | 2.33 | 2.5   |
|             | Average | 5.08      | 9.46 | 8.42       | 4.33 | 9.38 | 3.5  | 2.5       | 1.63 | 7.75 | 9.75       | 4.71 | 4.25 | 2.67 | 3.17  |

Table E-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period |          | EB (Main) |      |            | NB   |      |       | WB (Main) |       |            | SB   |      |       |
|-------------|----------|-----------|------|------------|------|------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|------|------|-------|
|             |          | Left      | Thru | Thru/Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru  | Thru/Right | Left | Thru | Right |
|             | AM Peak  | 3.89      | 6.45 | 6.44       | 2.01 | 2.73 | 0.82  | 1.65      | 4.8   | 5.2        | 2.56 | 4.69 | 2.56  |
|             | Off-Peak | 4.5       | 8.18 | 9.61       | 7.11 | 3.57 | 2.64  | 2.21      | 10.18 | 13.36      | 5.93 | 3.71 | 3.5   |
|             | PM Peak  | 5.08      | 9.46 | 8.42       | 4.33 | 6.44 | 2.5   | 1.63      | 7.75  | 9.75       | 4.71 | 3.46 | 3.17  |

Table E-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street.

| Time Period |   | Eastbound |      |   |       | Northbound |      |      |      |       | Westbound |      |            | Southbound |      |    |       |
|-------------|---|-----------|------|---|-------|------------|------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|------------|------------|------|----|-------|
|             |   | Left      | Thru |   | Right | Left       |      | Thru |      | Right | Left      | Thru | Thru/Right | Left       | Thru |    | Right |
|             |   | 1         | 2    | 3 | 4     | 5          | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9     | 10        | 11   | 12         | 13         | 14   | 15 | 16    |
|             | 1 | 2.71      | 4.86 | 3 | 2.57  | 3.71       | 4.43 | 8.43 | 9.14 | 0.14  | 0.83      | 8.5  | 11         | 1.57       | 6.57 | 6  | 1.14  |

| Time Period |         | Eastbound |       |      |       | Northbound |       |       |       |       | Westbound |       |            | Southbound |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|-------|------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|------------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru  |      | Right | Left       |       | Thru  |       | Right | Left      | Thru  | Thru/Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right |
|             |         | 1         | 2     | 3    | 4     | 5          | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     | 10        | 11    | 12         | 13         | 14   | 15   | 16    |
| AM Peak     | 2       | 3.43      | 4     | 2.29 | 1.43  | 4.57       | 6.14  | 8.14  | 8.71  | 0.43  | 1         | 12.29 | 13.14      | 1.71       | 8.14 | 6.29 | 1.14  |
|             | 3       | 5         | 4.43  | 2.14 | 3     | 3.57       | 4.57  | 6.86  | 8     | 0.14  | 1.43      | 7.43  | 7.14       | 1.14       | 4.43 | 4.43 | 1.57  |
|             | 4       | 5.14      | 4.14  | 3.57 | 1.29  | 4.14       | 4.57  | 4.71  | 6     | 0     | 1.43      | 7.29  | 7          | 0.71       | 2.86 | 3.57 | 1     |
|             | Average | 4.07      | 4.36  | 2.75 | 2.07  | 4          | 4.93  | 7.04  | 7.96  | 0.18  | 1.17      | 8.88  | 9.57       | 1.29       | 5.5  | 5.07 | 1.21  |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 4.71      | 9.86  | 7.86 | 5.71  | 4.29       | 6.86  | 5.86  | 6.86  | 0.14  | 1.86      | 6.71  | 8.71       | 2.57       | 3.14 | 3.71 | 1.43  |
|             | 2       | 6.71      | 9     | 9.43 | 7.14  | 4.71       | 7.86  | 9     | 9     | 0.14  | 2.14      | 5.29  | 7.43       | 3.57       | 3.86 | 5    | 2.29  |
|             | 3       | 9.14      | 11.43 | 9.86 | 6     | 6.14       | 10.14 | 8     | 9     | 0.71  | 3.29      | 9.14  | 14.29      | 4.29       | 3.86 | 4.71 | 2.57  |
|             | 4       | 7.57      | 9.43  | 8.14 | 5.71  | 5.71       | 8     | 6.57  | 5.71  | 0.14  | 1.71      | 6.86  | 7.86       | 4.43       | 4.57 | 5.86 | 2.43  |
|             | Average | 7.04      | 9.93  | 8.82 | 6.14  | 5.21       | 8.21  | 7.36  | 7.64  | 0.29  | 2.25      | 7     | 9.57       | 3.71       | 3.86 | 4.82 | 2.18  |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 7.29      | 9.14  | 6.29 | 2.29  | 5.14       | 9.57  | 12.14 | 10.14 | 0     | 1.14      | 7.86  | 9.29       | 4.14       | 5.29 | 5.43 | 2     |
|             | 2       | 8.5       | 7.5   | 4    | 1.83  | 4.5        | 6.33  | 11.83 | 9.33  | 0.67  | 1.83      | 6.33  | 9.83       | 6.33       | 4.33 | 5.17 | 1.83  |
|             | 3       | 6         | 8.86  | 5.86 | 3     | 3.14       | 5.57  | 16.43 | 14.43 | 0.29  | 2.5       | 7.83  | 9.67       | 5.33       | 3.17 | 4.33 | 1.5   |
|             | 4       | 3.43      | 8.14  | 6.71 | 3.43  | 3.86       | 5.43  | 4.86  | 5     | 0.29  | 1.67      | 5.5   | 9.17       | 2.17       | 3.33 | 3.33 | 2     |
|             | Average | 6.3       | 8.41  | 5.71 | 2.64  | 4.16       | 6.73  | 11.32 | 9.73  | 0.31  | 1.79      | 6.88  | 9.49       | 4.49       | 4.03 | 4.57 | 1.83  |

Table E-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street.

| Time Period | EB (Main) |      |       | NB   |       |       | WB (Main) |      |            | SB   |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-----------|------|------------|------|------|-------|
|             | Left      | Thru | Right | Left | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru | Thru/Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 4.07      | 3.55 | 2.07  | 4.46 | 7.5   | 0.18  | 1.17      | 8.88 | 9.57       | 1.29 | 5.29 | 1.21  |
| Off Peak    | 7.04      | 9.38 | 6.14  | 6.71 | 7.5   | 0.29  | 2.25      | 7    | 9.57       | 3.71 | 4.34 | 2.18  |
| PM Peak     | 6.3       | 7.06 | 2.64  | 5.44 | 10.52 | 0.31  | 1.79      | 6.88 | 9.49       | 4.49 | 4.3  | 1.83  |

After Study (Sep. 22 & Sep. 23, 2015)

Table E-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period |         | Eastbound |      |                | Northbound |      |      |       | Westbound |      |                | Southbound |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|------|----------------|------------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|----------------|------------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right | Left      | Thru | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right |
|             |         | 1         | 2    | 3              | 4          | 5    | 6    | 7     | 8         | 9    | 10             | 11         | 12   | 13   | 14    |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.71      | 2.57 | 1.43           | 1.71       | 2.86 | 1.29 | 0.43  | 1.86      | 9.29 | 10.14          | 2.33       | 7.00 | 5.83 | 2.83  |
|             | 2       | 4.43      | 2.57 | 4.14           | 3.71       | 1.86 | 4.43 | 1.29  | 0.71      | 9.14 | 10.29          | 2.57       | 8.71 | 8.43 | 3.00  |
|             | 3       | 1.71      | 2.14 | 2.43           | 1.29       | 4.86 | 0.29 | 1.29  | 1.71      | 7.29 | 9.00           | 2.57       | 4.43 | 4.00 | 1.57  |
|             | 4       | 2.00      | 2.57 | 3.29           | 2.29       | 4.29 | 1.86 | 0.71  | 1.71      | 8.57 | 9.57           | 3.00       | 6.43 | 4.71 | 2.14  |
|             | Average | 2.21      | 2.46 | 2.82           | 2.25       | 3.46 | 1.96 | 0.93  | 1.50      | 8.57 | 9.75           | 2.62       | 6.64 | 5.74 | 2.39  |

| Time Period |         | Eastbound |       |                | Northbound |      |      |       | Westbound |       |                | Southbound |       |      |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|-------|----------------|------------|------|------|-------|-----------|-------|----------------|------------|-------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru  | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right | Left      | Thru  | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru  |      | Right |
|             |         | 1         | 2     | 3              | 4          | 5    | 6    | 7     | 8         | 9     | 10             | 11         | 12    | 13   | 14    |
| Off<br>Peak | 1       | 3.83      | 4.67  | 4.50           | 3.33       | 3.83 | 4.33 | 4.50  | 2.50      | 7.50  | 11.17          | 4.83       | 2.83  | 2.00 | 5.67  |
|             | 2       | 4.00      | 9.67  | 7.83           | 2.50       | 3.00 | 4.83 | 4.67  | 1.83      | 5.83  | 8.33           | 3.67       | 4.00  | 2.83 | 5.50  |
|             | 3       | 6.83      | 11.67 | 12.17          | 3.50       | 3.00 | 7.00 | 8.00  | 3.17      | 13.67 | 15.17          | 9.67       | 4.33  | 4.17 | 4.83  |
|             | 4       | 6.67      | 11.83 | 12.17          | 4.17       | 2.67 | 7.00 | 4.83  | 5.33      | 16.00 | 16.00          | 5.17       | 4.83  | 4.00 | 4.17  |
|             | Average | 5.33      | 9.46  | 9.17           | 3.38       | 3.13 | 5.79 | 5.50  | 3.21      | 10.75 | 12.67          | 5.83       | 4.00  | 3.25 | 5.04  |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 4.33      | 8.33  | 7.67           | 3.83       | 9.67 | 5.33 | 4.17  | 2.00      | 6.83  | 8.83           | 5.83       | 15.50 | 2.50 | 4.50  |
|             | 2       | 3.17      | 7.83  | 5.33           | 4.00       | 8.00 | 2.67 | 2.83  | 2.17      | 8.50  | 10.50          | 3.67       | 3.83  | 3.17 | 3.33  |
|             | 3       | 5.83      | 13.67 | 11.33          | 4.33       | 8.33 | 6.33 | 2.83  | 2.00      | 7.50  | 11.50          | 5.67       | 4.50  | 2.83 | 3.50  |
|             | 4       | 1.83      | 5.67  | 4.83           | 3.50       | 7.17 | 2.00 | 1.33  | 0.83      | 5.00  | 7.00           | 2.83       | 3.33  | 3.00 | 1.17  |
|             | Average | 3.79      | 8.88  | 7.29           | 3.92       | 8.29 | 4.08 | 2.79  | 1.75      | 6.96  | 9.46           | 4.50       | 6.79  | 2.88 | 3.13  |

Table E-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period | EB(Main) |      |            | NB(Side) |      |       | WB(Main) |       |            | SB(Side) |      |       |
|-------------|----------|------|------------|----------|------|-------|----------|-------|------------|----------|------|-------|
|             | Left     | Thru | Thru+Right | Left     | Thru | Right | Left     | Thru  | Thru+Right | Left     | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 2.21     | 2.46 | 2.82       | 2.25     | 2.71 | 0.93  | 1.50     | 8.57  | 9.75       | 2.62     | 6.19 | 2.39  |
| Off Peak    | 5.33     | 9.46 | 9.17       | 3.38     | 4.46 | 5.50  | 3.21     | 10.75 | 12.67      | 5.83     | 3.63 | 5.04  |
| PM Peak     | 3.79     | 8.88 | 7.29       | 3.92     | 6.19 | 2.79  | 1.75     | 6.96  | 9.46       | 4.50     | 4.83 | 3.13  |

Table E-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period              |         | Eastbound |      |      |       | Northbound |      |      |      |       | Westbound |       |            | Southbound |       |       |       |
|--------------------------|---------|-----------|------|------|-------|------------|------|------|------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
|                          |         | Left      | Thru |      | Right | Left       |      | Thru |      | Right | Left      | Thru  | Thru+Right | Left       | Thru  |       | Right |
|                          |         | 1         | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5          | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9     | 10        | 11    | 12         | 13         | 14    | 15    | 16    |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 1       | 5.83      | 5.67 | 4.17 | 1.67  | 2.33       | 3.33 | 6.67 | 7.33 | 0.33  | 0.86      | 9.29  | 8.57       | 2.57       | 10.29 | 9.14  | 4.00  |
|                          | 2       | 7.17      | 6.00 | 2.67 | 2.50  | 2.00       | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.17 | 0.33  | 1.43      | 7.71  | 8.00       | 2.14       | 10.29 | 10.00 | 3.57  |
|                          | 3       | 5.14      | 5.71 | 3.57 | 1.71  | 4.00       | 3.14 | 6.14 | 6.43 | 0.14  | 1.00      | 7.43  | 6.14       | 1.86       | 6.14  | 6.29  | 2.14  |
|                          | 4       | 9.33      | 7.00 | 5.50 | 1.33  | 3.83       | 5.00 | 4.67 | 6.00 | 0.00  | 0.71      | 7.57  | 7.29       | 3.00       | 8.29  | 7.00  | 2.57  |
|                          | Average | 6.87      | 6.10 | 3.98 | 1.80  | 3.04       | 3.87 | 5.12 | 5.98 | 0.20  | 1.00      | 8.00  | 7.50       | 2.39       | 8.75  | 8.11  | 3.07  |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 1       | 4.29      | 4.14 | 2.57 | 0.71  | 3.33       | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 0.17  | 1.00      | 6.86  | 6.57       | 1.71       | 11.29 | 9.00  | 4.29  |
|                          | 2       | 5.71      | 6.43 | 2.29 | 1.00  | 5.29       | 6.71 | 4.43 | 5.71 | 0.00  | 1.14      | 12.71 | 13.14      | 1.86       | 10.43 | 9.71  | 4.43  |
|                          | 3       | 5.57      | 3.14 | 2.57 | 0.14  | 2.71       | 3.43 | 4.57 | 5.29 | 0.00  | 0.71      | 5.43  | 5.86       | 1.71       | 6.71  | 6.86  | 2.43  |
|                          | 4       | 5.00      | 3.71 | 2.43 | 0.43  | 2.14       | 3.57 | 4.29 | 5.57 | 0.00  | 1.00      | 5.71  | 7.00       | 1.71       | 6.71  | 6.71  | 2.29  |

| Time Period |         | Eastbound |       |      |       | Northbound |      |        |        |       | Westbound |       |            | Southbound |       |       |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|-------|------|-------|------------|------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru  |      | Right | Left       |      | Thru   |        | Right | Left      | Thru  | Thru+Right | Left       | Thru  |       | Right |
|             |         | 1         | 2     | 3    | 4     | 5          | 6    | 7      | 8      | 9     | 10        | 11    | 12         | 13         | 14    | 15    | 16    |
| Average     |         | 5.14      | 4.36  | 2.46 | 0.57  | 3.37       | 4.55 | 4.40   | 5.27   | 0.04  | 0.96      | 7.68  | 8.14       | 1.75       | 8.79  | 8.07  | 3.36  |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 11.43     | 6.86  | 4.57 | 1.71  | 6.86       | 6.57 | 7.71   | 6.14   | 1.43  | 1.43      | 10.71 | 11.00      | 3.57       | 7.43  | 8.29  | 2.86  |
|             | 2       | 13.17     | 7.17  | 5.17 | 1.17  | 4.67       | 7.33 | 7.33   | 8.67   | 1.33  | 3.33      | 12.17 | 12.33      | 4.57       | 8.14  | 8.86  | 4.29  |
|             | 3       | 12.50     | 7.83  | 6.50 | 0.83  | 4.33       | 6.50 | 9.83   | 10.67  | 0.67  | 2.33      | 12.00 | 15.00      | 8.00       | 11.50 | 8.50  | 2.17  |
|             | 4       | 10.50     | 4.67  | 3.67 | 2.17  | 6.00       | 6.67 | 7.33   | 8.67   | 1.17  | 2.67      | 9.50  | 11.17      | 5.83       | 10.17 | 10.00 | 6.83  |
|             | Average | 11.90     | 6.63  | 4.98 | 1.47  | 5.46       | 6.77 | 8.05   | 8.54   | 1.15  | 2.44      | 11.10 | 12.38      | 5.49       | 9.31  | 8.91  | 4.04  |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 14.67     | 12.83 | 4.67 | 1.17  | 4.00       | 7.00 | 13.33  | 12.50  | 1.00  | 3.83      | 10.17 | 10.83      | 7.83       | 9.17  | 9.50  | 4.17  |
|             | 2       | 12.00     | 7.83  | 3.50 | 0.67  | 4.80       | 7.80 | 16.60  | 16.40  | 1.00  | 2.50      | 7.00  | 13.33      | 5.33       | 7.50  | 7.33  | 5.50  |
|             | 3       | 15.60     | 9.60  | 3.80 | 2.00  | 3.40       | 6.00 | 20.00* | 20.00* | 1.00  | 3.67      | 8.33  | 10.67      | 6.33       | 9.67  | 8.83  | 6.33  |
|             | 4       | 9.83      | 6.17  | 3.50 | 1.33  | 4.40       | 5.60 | 5.80   | 6.40   | 1.60  | 2.50      | 8.50  | 11.33      | 5.33       | 6.83  | 6.33  | 3.67  |
|             | Average | 13.03     | 9.11  | 3.87 | 1.29  | 4.15       | 6.60 | 13.93  | 13.83  | 1.15  | 3.13      | 8.50  | 11.54      | 6.21       | 8.29  | 8.00  | 4.92  |

Note: \* indicates cycle failure.

Table E-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period              | EB (Main) |      |       | NB (Side) |       |       | WB (Main) |       |            | SB (Side) |      |       |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|------|-------|
|                          | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Thru+Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 5.14      | 3.41 | 0.57  | 3.96      | 4.84  | 0.04  | 0.96      | 7.68  | 8.14       | 1.75      | 8.43 | 3.36  |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 6.87      | 5.04 | 1.80  | 3.46      | 5.55  | 0.20  | 1.00      | 8.00  | 7.50       | 2.39      | 8.43 | 3.07  |
| Off Peak                 | 11.90     | 5.80 | 1.47  | 6.12      | 8.29  | 1.15  | 2.44      | 11.10 | 12.38      | 5.49      | 9.11 | 4.04  |
| PM Peak                  | 13.03     | 6.49 | 1.29  | 5.38      | 16.75 | 1.15  | 3.13      | 8.50  | 11.54      | 6.21      | 8.15 | 4.92  |

*Comparisons of Before and After Queue Lengths for Critical Intersections*

The differences in queue length between the before and after measurements are shown in Table E-3.18 to Table E-3.21. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant increase in queue length.



Table E-3.18 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period | Lane  |       |       |       |       |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |      |       | Average |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|---------|
|             | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13   | 14    |         |
| AM Peak     | -1.67 | -3.99 | -3.62 | 0.24  | -0.74 | 0.72 | 0.11 | -0.15 | 3.78  | 4.55  | 0.06  | 1.35  | 1.67 | -0.18 | 0.15    |
| Off Peak    | 0.83  | 1.28  | -0.44 | -3.73 | -2.27 | 4.04 | 2.86 | 0.99  | 0.57  | -0.69 | -0.10 | -0.39 | 0.21 | 1.54  | 0.34    |
| PM Peak     | -1.29 | -0.58 | -1.13 | -0.42 | -1.08 | 0.58 | 0.29 | 0.13  | -0.79 | -0.29 | -0.21 | 2.54  | 0.21 | -0.04 | -0.15   |

Table E-3.19 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Jenks Ave & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period | EB (Main) |       |            | NB (Side) |       |       | WB (Main) |       |            | SB (Side) |       |       |
|-------------|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|
|             | Left      | Thru  | Thru+Right | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Thru+Right | Left      | Thru  | Right |
| AM Peak     | -1.67     | -3.99 | -3.62      | 0.24      | -0.01 | 0.11  | -0.15     | 3.78  | 4.55       | 0.06      | 1.51  | -0.18 |
| Off Peak    | 0.83      | 1.28  | -0.44      | -3.73     | 0.89  | 2.86  | 0.99      | 0.57  | -0.69      | -0.10     | -0.09 | 1.54  |
| PM Peak     | -1.29     | -0.58 | -1.13      | -0.42     | -0.25 | 0.29  | 0.13      | -0.79 | -0.29      | -0.21     | 1.38  | -0.04 |

Table E-3.20 Difference in Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period              | Lane |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |      |      |      | Average |
|--------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|---------|
|                          | 1    | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16   |         |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 2.80 | 1.74  | 1.23  | -0.27 | -0.96 | -1.06 | -1.92 | -1.98 | 0.02  | -0.17 | -0.88 | -2.07 | 1.11 | 3.25 | 3.04 | 1.86 | 0.36    |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 1.07 | 0.00  | -0.29 | -1.50 | -0.63 | -0.38 | -2.63 | -2.70 | -0.14 | -0.21 | -1.20 | -1.43 | 0.46 | 3.29 | 3.00 | 2.14 | -0.07   |
| Off Peak                 | 4.86 | -3.30 | -3.85 | -4.67 | 0.25  | -1.45 | 0.70  | 0.89  | 0.86  | 0.19  | 4.10  | 2.80  | 1.78 | 5.45 | 4.09 | 1.86 | 0.91    |
| PM Peak                  | 6.72 | 0.70  | -1.85 | -1.35 | -0.01 | -0.13 | 2.62  | 4.10  | 0.84  | 1.34  | 1.62  | 2.05  | 1.71 | 4.26 | 3.43 | 3.08 | 1.82    |

Table E-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period              | EB (Main) |       |       | NB (Side) |       |       |       | WB (Main) |                |      | SB (Side) |       |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|----------------|------|-----------|-------|
|                          | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left      | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru      | Thru<br>+Right | Left | Thru      | Right |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 2.80      | 1.48  | -0.27 | -1.01     | -1.95 | 0.02  | -0.17 | -0.88     | -2.07          | 1.11 | 3.14      | 1.86  |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 1.07      | -0.14 | -1.50 | -0.50     | -2.66 | -0.14 | -0.21 | -1.20     | -1.43          | 0.46 | 3.14      | 2.14  |
| Off Peak                 | 4.86      | -3.57 | -4.67 | -0.60     | 0.79  | 0.86  | 0.19  | 4.10      | 2.80           | 1.78 | 4.77      | 1.86  |
| PM Peak                  | 6.72      | -0.58 | -1.35 | -0.07     | 3.36  | 0.84  | 1.34  | 1.62      | 2.05           | 1.71 | 3.85      | 3.08  |

### *Discussion*

For the Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street intersection, the average queue length decreased by an average of 0.15 vehicles in the PM Peak, but increased by 0.15 and 0.34 vehicles in the AM and Off Peak, respectively. The highest increase was observed in the WB through and right turn queue, which increased during the AM Peak by 4.55 veh/lane (it is the predominant direction of travel at that time).


For the SR 77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street intersection, for AM peak no incident, the average queue length decreased by an average of 0.07 vehicles, for AM peak with incident, the average queue length increased by an average of 0.36 vehicles. From the queue length data, the influence of incident on queue length at SR77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street intersection is not significant. The Off peak and PM peak increased by 0.91 and 1.82 vehicles, respectively. The highest increase was observed in the EB left turn queue (main line movements), which increased during all time periods by 1.07 (2.80), 4.86 and 6.72 vehicles, respectively (it is the predominant direction of travel at that time). Also, for all turn movements of the SB (the side street movements), queue length increased.

### E.3.4. Queue to Lane Storage Ratio

In addition to queue length, it is important to assess any impact to adjacent lanes or to upstream facilities. The queue to link/lane ratio is used to establish the likelihood of spillback, which is presented in this section by movement and by time period. For the AM peak after study, the research team collected the SR 77 and 23rd St. intersection traffic counts on 9/22/2015 when the incident was active, as the “AM Peak Incident”, and we use the queue length collected from video during a weekday (9/29/2015, Tuesday) for the SR 77 and 23rd St. intersection as the “AM Peak No Incident”.

The following assumptions are used:

- The storage capacity is estimated as the maximum number of vehicles in the lane.
- The queue to link/lane storage ratio is estimated as 1 if the observer reported “spillback”, and as 0.8 if reported as the maximum number of vehicles in the sight distance.
- Queue to lane storage ratios over 80% are highlighted in yellow, as they represent conditions with a high probability for spillback.



(a) Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

(b) SR 77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

Figure E-3.10 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Jan. 27 & Jan.28, 2015)

Table E-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period |         | EB (Main) |      |            | NB   |      |      |      | WB (Main)  |      |      | SB   |            |      |      |
|-------------|---------|-----------|------|------------|------|------|------|------|------------|------|------|------|------------|------|------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru | Thru/Right | Left | Left |      | Thru | Thru/Right | Left | Left | Thru | Thru/Right |      | Left |
|             |         | 1         | 2    | 3          | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8          | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12         | 13   | 14   |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.46      | 0.23 | 0.17       | 0.43 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.19       | 0.2  | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.24       | 0.15 | 0.24 |
|             | 2       | 0.72      | 0.3  | 0.27       | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.29       | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.25       | 0.19 | 0.21 |
|             | 3       | 0.43      | 0.19 | 0.24       | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.14       | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.1  | 0.16       | 0.13 | 0.24 |
|             | 4       | 0.33      | 0.2  | 0.24       | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.2        | 0.17 | 0.2  | 0.27 | 0.12       | 0.11 | 0.24 |
|             | Average | 0.49      | 0.23 | 0.23       | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.21       | 0.18 | 0.2  | 0.23 | 0.19       | 0.15 | 0.23 |
| Off peak    | 1       | 0.5       | 0.16 | 0.23       | 0.49 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.2        | 0.5  | 0.59 | 0.6  | 0.12       | 0.09 | 0.32 |
|             | 2       | 0.54      | 0.32 | 0.36       | 0.87 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.3  | 0.32       | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.14       | 0.12 | 0.23 |
|             | 3       | 0.54      | 0.32 | 0.35       | 1.00 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.26 | 0.2        | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.87 | 0.18       | 0.09 | 0.44 |
|             | 4       | 0.68      | 0.37 | 0.43       | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.39       | 0.34 | 0.55 | 0.25 | 0.18       | 0.13 | 0.27 |
|             | Average | 0.56      | 0.29 | 0.34       | 0.79 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.28       | 0.39 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.16       | 0.11 | 0.32 |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.67      | 0.39 | 0.3        | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.15 | 0.32 | 0.19       | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.26 | 0.14       | 0.08 | 0.35 |
|             | 2       | 0.83      | 0.38 | 0.33       | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.16 | 0.38 | 0.33       | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.77 | 0.21       | 0.1  | 0.33 |
|             | 3       | 0.58      | 0.35 | 0.32       | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.2  | 0.08       | 0.22 | 0.3  | 0.45 | 0.15       | 0.11 | 0.24 |

| Time Period |         | EB (Main) |      |            | NB   |      |      | WB (Main) |            |      | SB   |      |            |      |      |
|-------------|---------|-----------|------|------------|------|------|------|-----------|------------|------|------|------|------------|------|------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru | Thru/Right | Left | Left |      | Thru      | Thru/Right | Left | Left | Thru | Thru/Right |      | Left |
|             |         | 1         | 2    | 3          | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7         | 8          | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12         | 13   | 14   |
|             | 4       | 0.46      | 0.24 | 0.26       | 0.56 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.1       | 0.21       | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.11       | 0.08 | 0.23 |
|             | Average | 0.64      | 0.34 | 0.3        | 0.48 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.25      | 0.2        | 0.3  | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.15       | 0.1  | 0.29 |

Table E-3.23 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period |         | EB   |      |      |       | NB   |      |      |      |       | WB   |      |            | SB   |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------------|------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left | Thru |      | Right | Left |      | Thru |      | Right | Left | Thru | Thru/Right | Left | Thru |      | Right |
|             |         | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9     | 10   | 11   | 12         | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16    |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.12  | 0.25 | 0.3  | 0.3  | 0.33 | 0.01  | 0.12 | 0.39 | 0.5        | 0.1  | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.05  |
|             | 2       | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.06  | 0.3  | 0.41 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.03  | 0.14 | 0.56 | 0.6        | 0.11 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.05  |
|             | 3       | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.14  | 0.24 | 0.3  | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.01  | 0.2  | 0.34 | 0.32       | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.07  |
|             | 4       | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.06  | 0.28 | 0.3  | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0     | 0.2  | 0.33 | 0.32       | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.04  |
|             | Average | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.1  | 0.09  | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.01  | 0.17 | 0.4  | 0.44       | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.05  |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.26  | 0.29 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.01  | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.4        | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.06  |
|             | 2       | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.32  | 0.31 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.01  | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.34       | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.1   |

| Time Period |         | EB   |      |      |       | NB   |      |      |      |       | WB   |      |            | SB   |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------------|------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left | Thru |      | Right | Left |      | Thru |      | Right | Left | Thru | Thru/Right | Left | Thru |      | Right |
|             |         | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9     | 10   | 11   | 12         | 13   | 14   | 15   | 16    |
|             | 3       | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.27  | 0.41 | 0.68 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.05  | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.65       | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.2  | 0.11  |
|             | 4       | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.26  | 0.38 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.2  | 0.01  | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.36       | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.1   |
|             | Average | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.28  | 0.35 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.02  | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.44       | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.2  | 0.09  |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.1   | 0.34 | 0.64 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0     | 0.16 | 0.36 | 0.42       | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.08  |
|             | 2       | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.08  | 0.3  | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.04  | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.45       | 0.4  | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.08  |
|             | 3       | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.14  | 0.21 | 0.37 | 0.59 | 0.52 | 0.02  | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.44       | 0.33 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.06  |
|             | 4       | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.16  | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.02  | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.42       | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.08  |
|             | Average | 0.29 | 0.3  | 0.2  | 0.12  | 0.28 | 0.45 | 0.4  | 0.35 | 0.02  | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.43       | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.08  |

After Study (Sep. 22 & Sep. 23, 2015)

Table E-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period |         | Eastbound |      |                | Northbound |      |      | Westbound |      |      | Southbound     |      |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|------|----------------|------------|------|------|-----------|------|------|----------------|------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right     | Left | Thru | Thru+<br>Right | Left | Thru |      | Right |
|             |         | 1         | 2    | 3              | 4          | 5    | 6    | 7         | 8    | 9    | 10             | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14    |
| AM Peak     | 1       | 0.09      | 0.09 | 0.05           | 0.19       | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.04      | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.39           | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.26  |
|             | 2       | 0.55      | 0.09 | 0.15           | 0.41       | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.13      | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.40           | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.27  |
|             | 3       | 0.21      | 0.08 | 0.09           | 0.14       | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.13      | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.35           | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.14  |
|             | 4       | 0.25      | 0.09 | 0.12           | 0.25       | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.07      | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.37           | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.19  |
|             | Average | 0.28      | 0.09 | 0.10           | 0.25       | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.09      | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.38           | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.22  |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 0.48      | 0.17 | 0.16           | 0.37       | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.45      | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.43           | 0.44 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.52  |
|             | 2       | 0.50      | 0.35 | 0.28           | 0.28       | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.47      | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.32           | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.50  |
|             | 3       | 0.85      | 0.42 | 0.43           | 0.39       | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.80      | 0.40 | 0.53 | 0.58           | 0.88 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.44  |
|             | 4       | 0.83      | 0.42 | 0.43           | 0.46       | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.48      | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.62           | 0.47 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.38  |
|             | Average | 0.67      | 0.34 | 0.33           | 0.38       | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.55      | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.49           | 0.53 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.46  |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.54      | 0.30 | 0.27           | 0.43       | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.42      | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.34           | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.41  |
|             | 2       | 0.40      | 0.28 | 0.19           | 0.44       | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.28      | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.40           | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.30  |



| Time Period |         | Eastbound |      |                | Northbound |      |      |       | Westbound |      |                | Southbound |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|------|----------------|------------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|----------------|------------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right | Left      | Thru | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right |
|             |         | 1         | 2    | 3              | 4          | 5    | 6    | 7     | 8         | 9    | 10             | 11         | 12   | 13   | 14    |
|             | 3       | 0.73      | 0.49 | 0.40           | 0.48       | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.28  | 0.25      | 0.29 | 0.44           | 0.52       | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.32  |
|             | 4       | 0.23      | 0.20 | 0.17           | 0.39       | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.13  | 0.10      | 0.19 | 0.27           | 0.26       | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.11  |
|             | Average | 0.47      | 0.32 | 0.26           | 0.44       | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.28  | 0.22      | 0.27 | 0.36           | 0.41       | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.28  |

Table E-3.25 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane by Period at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period           |         | Eastbound |      |      |       | Northbound |      |      |      |       | Westbound |      |                | Southbound |      |      |       |
|-----------------------|---------|-----------|------|------|-------|------------|------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|----------------|------------|------|------|-------|
|                       |         | Left      | Thru |      | Right | Left       |      | Thru |      | Right | Left      | Thru | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right |
|                       |         | 1         | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5          | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9     | 10        | 11   | 12             | 13         | 14   | 15   | 16    |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident) | 1       | 0.27      | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.08  | 0.16       | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.02  | 0.12      | 0.42 | 0.39           | 0.16       | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.17  |
|                       | 2       | 0.33      | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.11  | 0.13       | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.02  | 0.20      | 0.35 | 0.36           | 0.13       | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.15  |
|                       | 3       | 0.23      | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.08  | 0.27       | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.01  | 0.14      | 0.34 | 0.28           | 0.12       | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.09  |
|                       | 4       | 0.42      | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.06  | 0.26       | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.00  | 0.10      | 0.34 | 0.33           | 0.19       | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.11  |
|                       | Average | 0.31      | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.08  | 0.20       | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.01  | 0.14      | 0.36 | 0.34           | 0.15       | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.13  |

| Time Period              |         | Eastbound |      |      |       | Northbound |      |      |      |       | Westbound |      |                | Southbound |      |      |       |
|--------------------------|---------|-----------|------|------|-------|------------|------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|----------------|------------|------|------|-------|
|                          |         | Left      | Thru |      | Right | Left       |      | Thru |      | Right | Left      | Thru | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right |
|                          |         |           |      |      |       |            |      |      |      |       |           |      |                |            |      |      |       |
|                          |         | 1         | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5          | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9     | 10        | 11   | 12             | 13         | 14   | 15   | 16    |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 1       | 0.19      | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.03  | 0.22       | 0.30 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.01  | 0.14      | 0.31 | 0.30           | 0.11       | 0.47 | 0.38 | 0.18  |
|                          | 2       | 0.26      | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.05  | 0.35       | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.00  | 0.16      | 0.58 | 0.60           | 0.12       | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.18  |
|                          | 3       | 0.25      | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.01  | 0.18       | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.00  | 0.10      | 0.25 | 0.27           | 0.11       | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.10  |
|                          | 4       | 0.23      | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.02  | 0.14       | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.00  | 0.14      | 0.26 | 0.32           | 0.11       | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.10  |
|                          | Average | 0.23      | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.03  | 0.22       | 0.30 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.00  | 0.14      | 0.35 | 0.37           | 0.11       | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.14  |
| Off Peak                 | 1       | 0.52      | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.08  | 0.46       | 0.44 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.10  | 0.20      | 0.49 | 0.50           | 0.22       | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.12  |
|                          | 2       | 0.60      | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.05  | 0.31       | 0.49 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.09  | 0.48      | 0.55 | 0.56           | 0.29       | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.18  |
|                          | 3       | 0.57      | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.04  | 0.29       | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.04  | 0.33      | 0.55 | 0.68           | 0.50       | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.09  |
|                          | 4       | 0.48      | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.10  | 0.40       | 0.44 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.08  | 0.38      | 0.43 | 0.51           | 0.36       | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.28  |
|                          | Average | 0.54      | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.07  | 0.36       | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.08  | 0.35      | 0.50 | 0.56           | 0.34       | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.17  |
| PM Peak                  | 1       | 0.67      | 0.46 | 0.17 | 0.05  | 0.27       | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.07  | 0.55      | 0.46 | 0.49           | 0.49       | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.17  |
|                          | 2       | 0.55      | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.03  | 0.32       | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.07  | 0.36      | 0.32 | 0.61           | 0.33       | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.23  |
|                          | 3       | 0.71      | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.09  | 0.23       | 0.40 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.07  | 0.52      | 0.38 | 0.48           | 0.40       | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.26  |

| Time Period |         | Eastbound |      |      |       | Northbound |      |      |      |       | Westbound |      |                | Southbound |      |      |       |
|-------------|---------|-----------|------|------|-------|------------|------|------|------|-------|-----------|------|----------------|------------|------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left      | Thru |      | Right | Left       |      | Thru |      | Right | Left      | Thru | Thru+<br>Right | Left       | Thru |      | Right |
|             |         | 1         | 2    | 3    | 4     | 5          | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9     | 10        | 11   | 12             | 13         | 14   | 15   | 16    |
|             | 4       | 0.45      | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.06  | 0.29       | 0.37 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.11  | 0.36      | 0.39 | 0.52           | 0.33       | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.15  |
|             | Average | 0.59      | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.06  | 0.28       | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.08  | 0.45      | 0.39 | 0.52           | 0.39       | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.20  |

#### *Comparisons of Before and After Queue Storage Ratios*

The differences in Queue Storage Ratio between before and after measurements are shown in Table E-3.26 to Table E-3.29. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant deterioration in queue storage ratios or spillback potential.

Table E-3.26 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratios at Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period | Lane      |       |       |            |       |      |      |           |       |       |            |       |      |       | Average |
|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|------------|-------|------|------|-----------|-------|-------|------------|-------|------|-------|---------|
|             | Eastbound |       |       | Northbound |       |      |      | Westbound |       |       | Southbound |       |      |       |         |
|             | 1         | 2     | 3     | 4          | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8         | 9     | 10    | 11         | 12    | 13   | 14    |         |
| AM Peak     | -0.21     | -0.14 | -0.13 | 0.03       | -0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | -0.02     | 0.15  | 0.17  | 0.01       | 0.05  | 0.06 | -0.02 | 0.00    |
| Off Peak    | 0.10      | 0.05  | -0.02 | -0.41      | -0.08 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.12      | 0.02  | -0.03 | -0.01      | -0.01 | 0.01 | 0.14  | 0.02    |
| PM Peak     | -0.16     | -0.02 | -0.04 | -0.05      | -0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02      | -0.03 | -0.01 | -0.02      | 0.09  | 0.01 | 0.00  | -0.01   |

Table E-3.27 Difference in Percent of Average Queue Storage Ratios at Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time<br>Period | Lane            |                 |                 |                 |                 |             |             |            |                 |            |            |            |            |            | Averag<br>e |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
|                | Eastbound       |                 |                 | Northbound      |                 |             |             | Westbound  |                 |            | Southbound |            |            |            |             |
|                | 1               | 2               | 3               | 4               | 5               | 6           | 7           | 8          | 9               | 10         | 11         | 12         | 13         | 14         |             |
| AM Peak        | -<br>43.02<br>% | -<br>61.82<br>% | -<br>56.20<br>% | 11.97<br>%      | -<br>17.65<br>% | 57.89%      | 13.45%      | -<br>8.86% | 78.75<br>%      | 87.32<br>% | 2.35%      | 25.45<br>% | 40.91<br>% | -<br>6.85% | 8.84%       |
| Off Peak       | 18.52<br>%      | 15.65<br>%      | -4.58%          | -<br>52.51<br>% | -<br>42.05<br>% | 230.95<br>% | 108.11<br>% | 44.89<br>% | 5.61%           | -<br>5.17% | -<br>1.61% | -<br>8.94% | 7.06%      | 44.05<br>% | 25.71%      |
| PM Peak        | -<br>25.41<br>% | -6.17%          | -<br>13.37<br>% | -9.62%          | -<br>11.56<br>% | 16.67%      | 11.67%      | 7.69%      | -<br>10.22<br>% | -<br>2.99% | -<br>4.42% | 59.80<br>% | 7.81%      | -<br>1.32% | 1.33%       |

Table E-3.28 Difference in Average Queue Storage Ratio at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time Period              | Lane      |      |       |       |            |       |       |       |       |           |       |       |            |      |      |      | Average |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|------------|------|------|------|---------|
|                          | Eastbound |      |       |       | Northbound |       |       |       |       | Westbound |       |       | Southbound |      |      |      |         |
|                          | 1         | 2    | 3     | 4     | 5          | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     | 10        | 11    | 12    | 13         | 14   | 15   | 16   |         |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 0.13      | 0.06 | 0.04  | -0.01 | -0.06      | -0.07 | -0.07 | -0.07 | 0.00  | -0.02     | -0.04 | -0.09 | 0.07       | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.01    |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 0.05      | 0.00 | -0.01 | -0.07 | -0.04      | -0.03 | -0.09 | -0.10 | -0.01 | -0.03     | -0.05 | -0.06 | 0.03       | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.00    |

| Time Period | Lane      |       |       |       |            |       |      |      |      |           |      |      |            |      |      |      | Average |
|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|------------|------|------|------|---------|
|             | Eastbound |       |       |       | Northbound |       |      |      |      | Westbound |      |      | Southbound |      |      |      |         |
|             | 1         | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5          | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10        | 11   | 12   | 13         | 14   | 15   | 16   |         |
| Off Peak    | 0.22      | -0.12 | -0.14 | -0.21 | 0.02       | -0.10 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.03      | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.11       | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.04    |
| PM Peak     | 0.31      | 0.02  | -0.07 | -0.06 | 0.00       | -0.01 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.19      | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.11       | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.09    |

Table E-3.29 Difference in Percent of Average Queue Storage Ratio at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Time<br>Period           | Lane        |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |            |             |            |             | Ave        |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|                          | Eastbound   |                 |                 |                 |                 | Northbound      |                 |                 |                 | Westbound       |                 |                 | Southbound |             |            |             |            |
|                          | 1           | 2               | 3               | 4               | 5               | 6               | 7               | 8               | 9               | 10              | 11              | 12              | 13         | 14          | 15         | 16          |            |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 68.71<br>%  | 39.89<br>%      | 44.59<br>%      | -<br>12.93<br>% | -<br>23.96<br>% | -<br>21.50<br>% | -<br>27.24<br>% | -<br>24.89<br>% | 13.33<br>%      | -<br>14.72<br>% | -<br>9.86%      | -<br>21.64<br>% | 86.11<br>% | 59.09<br>%  | 59.86<br>% | 152.94<br>% | 22.99<br>% |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 26.32<br>%  | 0.00%           | -<br>10.39<br>% | -<br>72.41<br>% | -<br>15.77<br>% | -<br>7.61%      | -<br>37.39<br>% | -<br>33.86<br>% | -<br>76.67<br>% | -<br>17.77<br>% | -<br>13.48<br>% | -<br>14.93<br>% | 36.11<br>% | 59.74<br>%  | 59.15<br>% | 176.47<br>% | 3.59%      |
| Off Peak                 | 69.12<br>%  | -<br>33.21<br>% | -<br>43.59<br>% | -<br>76.07<br>% | 4.79%           | -<br>17.61<br>% | 9.47%           | 11.68<br>%      | 302.08<br>%     | 8.47%           | 58.50<br>%      | 29.29<br>%      | 47.92<br>% | 141.36<br>% | 84.81<br>% | 85.25<br>%  | 42.64<br>% |
| PM Peak                  | 106.63<br>% | 8.29%           | -<br>32.33<br>% | -<br>51.02<br>% | -<br>0.26%      | -<br>1.88%      | 23.14<br>%      | 42.14<br>%      | 271.54<br>%     | 75.00<br>%      | 23.53<br>%      | 21.64<br>%      | 38.15<br>% | 105.76<br>% | 75.23<br>% | 168.18<br>% | 54.61<br>% |

### *Discussion*

- For the Jenks Ave. intersection, during the before study there were three queue storage ratios that were high. Two of them (NB left during the Off peak and EB left during the PM peak) were significantly reduced in the after data. However, the storage ratios for the EB left movement increased during the Off peak period. Generally, queue storage ratios increased for the AM Peak and Off Peak, and they decreased for the PM Peak.
- For the SR 77 intersection there are no critical queue storage ratios for either of the two analysis periods. However, queue storage ratios increased for all time periods.
- The highest increase of queue storage ratio for Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street intersection was observed for the NB Off Peak ( 0.29), and the highest increase of queue storage ratio for SR 77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street intersection was observed for the EB PM Peak (0.31); in terms of percentages, the highest increase was observed for the NB Off Peak (302.08% for Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> St., 230.95% for SR 77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> St.).
- For both intersections, the highest increases of queue storage ratios were for the NB and SB directions (side streets movements), while the EB queue storage ratios generally decreased (main line movements). The new system seems to favor the main street traffic.

### **E.3.5. Equivalent PCE Flows**

Traffic flows were counted manually and converted to equivalent PCE flows (pce/hour) by considering the percentage of heavy vehicles. It was assumed that the PCE for trucks is 2. For the AM peak after study, the research team collected the SR 77 and 23rd St. intersection traffic counts on 9/22/2015 when the incident was active, as the “AM Peak Incident”, and we use the traffic flow collected from video during a weekday (9/29/2015, Tuesday) for the SR 77 and 23rd St. intersection as the “AM Peak No Incident”.

Truck Percentage Observations

Table E-3.30 Truck Percentages at Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street.

| Period   | Interval       | EB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | NB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | Total        |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 1.84%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.58%        | 2.94%        | 5.00%        | 3.70%        | 8.70%        | 0.00%        | 0.65%        | 0.00%        | 1.94%        |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 2.16%        | 0.00%        | 1.85%        | 2.23%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.70%        | 0.00%        | 1.15%        | 1.35%        |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 2.05%        | 3.03%        | 0.00%        | 3.46%        | 1.47%        | 0.00%        | 0.94%        | 0.00%        | 2.38%        | 1.23%        | 0.00%        | 1.87%        |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 1.02%        | 2.44%        | 0.00%        | 3.49%        | 10.53%       | 0.00%        | 2.20%        | 2.17%        | 2.17%        | 1.75%        | 1.14%        | 2.29%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.56%</b> | <b>0.73%</b> | <b>0.62%</b> | <b>1.94%</b> | <b>1.44%</b> | <b>0.85%</b> | <b>0.80%</b> | <b>0.68%</b> | <b>1.94%</b> | <b>0.31%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.03%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1              | 3.45%        | 1.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.57%        | 1.46%        | 13.33%       | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.93%        | 1.32%        | 1.40%        |
|          | 2              | 3.77%        | 1.77%        | 0.00%        | 2.56%        | 1.07%        | 5.13%        | 2.27%        | 1.63%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.75%        | 1.48%        |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 0.94%        | 0.00%        | 2.94%        | 0.55%        | 3.85%        | 0.00%        | 4.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.73%        | 0.00%        | 0.86%        |
|          | 4              | 0.82%        | 1.74%        | 1.47%        | 0.00%        | 0.59%        | 2.50%        | 0.00%        | 2.44%        | 0.00%        | 4.23%        | 0.70%        | 0.00%        | 1.20%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>1.13%</b> | <b>0.96%</b> | <b>0.53%</b> | <b>2.38%</b> | <b>1.26%</b> | <b>6.43%</b> | <b>1.05%</b> | <b>1.94%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.97%</b> | <b>0.61%</b> | <b>0.60%</b> | <b>1.18%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 0.74%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.41%        | 4.76%        | 1.72%        | 2.03%        | 0.00%        | 1.41%        | 1.36%        | 1.18%        | 1.18%        |
|          | 2              | 1.32%        | 0.71%        | 2.27%        | 0.00%        | 1.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.67%        | 0.53%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.08%        | 0.75%        |
|          | 3              | 2.33%        | 0.87%        | 0.00%        | 4.35%        | 0.60%        | 2.33%        | 0.00%        | 1.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.73%        |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 0.81%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.31%        | 4.76%        | 1.96%        | 0.00%        | 2.33%        | 3.39%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.96%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.98%</b> | <b>0.78%</b> | <b>0.65%</b> | <b>0.93%</b> | <b>1.07%</b> | <b>2.96%</b> | <b>1.32%</b> | <b>0.88%</b> | <b>0.41%</b> | <b>1.06%</b> | <b>0.38%</b> | <b>0.59%</b> | <b>0.90%</b> |

Table E-3.31 Truck Percentages at SR-77 &amp; 23rd Street

| Period   | Interval       | EB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | NB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | Ave          |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 1.27%        | 4.57%        | 0.00%        | 4.76%        | 1.68%        | 0.00%        | 0.88%        | 1.89%        | 0.00%        | 2.04%        | 1.86%        | 1.95%        | 1.93%        |
|          | 2              | 2.86%        | 3.38%        | 2.78%        | 1.89%        | 1.83%        | 0.91%        | 3.43%        | 3.90%        | 0.00%        | 2.44%        | 0.82%        | 1.37%        | 2.18%        |
|          | 3              | 1.50%        | 3.73%        | 3.36%        | 0.00%        | 2.22%        | 1.47%        | 0.00%        | 4.13%        | 3.70%        | 2.13%        | 3.59%        | 2.89%        | 2.75%        |
|          | 4              | 0.71%        | 7.88%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.05%        | 8.00%        | 1.70%        | 3.70%        | 0.00%        | 8.47%        | 3.61%        | 0.00%        | 3.23%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>1.53%</b> | <b>4.78%</b> | <b>1.65%</b> | <b>1.89%</b> | <b>1.94%</b> | <b>2.52%</b> | <b>1.68%</b> | <b>3.42%</b> | <b>1.06%</b> | <b>3.80%</b> | <b>2.32%</b> | <b>1.56%</b> | <b>2.51%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1              | 0.79%        | 1.18%        | 1.72%        | 0.00%        | 2.02%        | 3.03%        | 0.68%        | 2.64%        | 3.45%        | 0.00%        | 2.55%        | 1.82%        | 1.67%        |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 1.85%        | 2.52%        | 2.78%        | 0.69%        | 3.70%        | 3.23%        | 1.91%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.91%        | 2.38%        | 1.83%        |
|          | 3              | 0.59%        | 2.63%        | 0.71%        | 0.00%        | 2.18%        | 2.38%        | 1.22%        | 3.51%        | 0.00%        | 1.19%        | 3.31%        | 1.23%        | 2.07%        |
|          | 4              | 0.68%        | 1.81%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.53%        | 5.10%        | 1.49%        | 1.92%        | 0.00%        | 3.85%        | 3.63%        | 2.78%        | 2.18%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.16%</b> | <b>0.89%</b> | <b>0.35%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.90%</b> | <b>1.83%</b> | <b>0.62%</b> | <b>1.11%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.29%</b> | <b>1.51%</b> | <b>1.22%</b> | <b>0.86%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 1.66%        | 0.98%        | 1.53%        | 5.56%        | 1.29%        | 4.44%        | 1.42%        | 2.72%        | 0.00%        | 1.37%        | 1.94%        | 2.06%        | 1.81%        |
|          | 2              | 0.53%        | 0.64%        | 0.70%        | 0.00%        | 1.01%        | 2.17%        | 0.68%        | 1.82%        | 0.00%        | 3.70%        | 1.48%        | 2.68%        | 1.20%        |
|          | 3              | 0.47%        | 0.52%        | 0.66%        | 3.70%        | 0.75%        | 0.00%        | 0.61%        | 0.70%        | 0.00%        | 1.92%        | 2.61%        | 0.82%        | 0.95%        |
|          | 4              | 1.51%        | 0.00%        | 1.35%        | 0.00%        | 0.38%        | 5.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.26%        | 3.23%        | 0.00%        | 1.09%        | 0.00%        | 0.60%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.90%</b> | <b>0.54%</b> | <b>1.05%</b> | <b>2.17%</b> | <b>0.75%</b> | <b>2.82%</b> | <b>0.69%</b> | <b>1.26%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.79%</b> | <b>1.74%</b> | <b>1.39%</b> | <b>1.07%</b> |



Before Study (Jan. 27 & Jan.28, 2015)

Table E-3.32 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Period   | Interval  | EB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|----------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 1         | 16   | 104  | 7     | 18   | 212  | 22    | 13   | 18   | 15    | 15   | 87   | 34    |
|          | 2         | 29   | 151  | 26    | 22   | 270  | 42    | 14   | 67   | 15    | 19   | 96   | 57    |
|          | 3         | 34   | 143  | 17    | 22   | 187  | 34    | 22   | 53   | 24    | 16   | 95   | 47    |
|          | 4         | 16   | 155  | 28    | 20   | 227  | 22    | 23   | 41   | 16    | 21   | 64   | 55    |
|          | Flow Rate | 95   | 553  | 78    | 82   | 896  | 120   | 72   | 179  | 70    | 71   | 342  | 193   |
| Off Peak | 1         | 30   | 217  | 26    | 16   | 251  | 29    | 42   | 42   | 34    | 46   | 53   | 40    |
|          | 2         | 28   | 234  | 21    | 20   | 244  | 16    | 48   | 63   | 31    | 42   | 52   | 51    |
|          | 3         | 22   | 271  | 24    | 16   | 274  | 12    | 47   | 66   | 33    | 34   | 63   | 59    |
|          | 4         | 49   | 295  | 42    | 19   | 251  | 20    | 40   | 68   | 27    | 38   | 67   | 37    |
|          | Flow Rate | 129  | 1017 | 113   | 71   | 1020 | 77    | 177  | 239  | 125   | 160  | 235  | 187   |
| PM Peak  | 1         | 35   | 269  | 18    | 15   | 219  | 18    | 30   | 70   | 26    | 33   | 84   | 51    |
|          | 2         | 48   | 276  | 25    | 16   | 247  | 15    | 35   | 91   | 35    | 32   | 71   | 52    |
|          | 3         | 55   | 298  | 18    | 10   | 232  | 25    | 28   | 110  | 29    | 38   | 68   | 48    |
|          | 4         | 30   | 245  | 18    | 9    | 218  | 9     | 26   | 76   | 16    | 31   | 42   | 41    |
|          | Flow Rate | 168  | 1088 | 79    | 50   | 916  | 67    | 119  | 347  | 106   | 134  | 265  | 192   |

Table E-3.33 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Period   | Interval  | EB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|----------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 1         | 29   | 65   | 31    | 11   | 154  | 9     | 42   | 102  | 3     | 12   | 167  | 64    |
|          | 2         | 26   | 73   | 35    | 18   | 223  | 7     | 90   | 120  | 1     | 27   | 206  | 74    |
|          | 3         | 42   | 71   | 25    | 6    | 174  | 9     | 38   | 104  | 5     | 19   | 167  | 66    |
|          | 4         | 39   | 68   | 20    | 5    | 145  | 8     | 60   | 89   | 7     | 28   | 158  | 63    |
|          | Flow Rate | 136  | 277  | 111   | 40   | 696  | 33    | 230  | 415  | 16    | 86   | 698  | 267   |
| Off Peak | 1         | 57   | 125  | 67    | 15   | 117  | 13    | 65   | 108  | 12    | 57   | 92   | 94    |
|          | 2         | 53   | 128  | 88    | 16   | 147  | 20    | 76   | 136  | 12    | 39   | 111  | 98    |
|          | 3         | 74   | 143  | 78    | 15   | 138  | 19    | 78   | 183  | 13    | 42   | 129  | 75    |
|          | 4         | 68   | 169  | 68    | 30   | 152  | 19    | 93   | 112  | 13    | 54   | 173  | 95    |
|          | Flow Rate | 252  | 565  | 301   | 76   | 554  | 71    | 312  | 539  | 50    | 192  | 505  | 362   |
| PM Peak  | 1         | 77   | 188  | 55    | 20   | 112  | 24    | 72   | 200  | 12    | 37   | 96   | 40    |
|          | 2         | 89   | 232  | 80    | 18   | 143  | 19    | 72   | 172  | 14    | 42   | 104  | 50    |
|          | 3         | 108  | 191  | 67    | 12   | 123  | 22    | 77   | 240  | 16    | 46   | 129  | 58    |
|          | 4         | 60   | 170  | 56    | 14   | 107  | 19    | 73   | 161  | 9     | 30   | 96   | 48    |
|          | Flow Rate | 334  | 781  | 258   | 64   | 485  | 84    | 294  | 773  | 51    | 155  | 425  | 196   |

## After Study (Sep. 22 & Sep. 23, 2015)

Table E-3.34 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Hourly Volume (pce/hour) at Jenks& 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Period   | Interval  | Eastbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Southbound |      |       |
|----------|-----------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|
|          |           | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 1         | 22        | 117  | 11    | 18        | 185  | 13    | 8          | 38   | 10    | 15         | 69   | 35    |
|          | 2         | 21        | 133  | 19    | 33        | 281  | 26    | 13         | 56   | 17    | 19         | 121  | 31    |
|          | 3         | 23        | 155  | 17    | 17        | 202  | 35    | 15         | 54   | 21    | 27         | 70   | 31    |
|          | 4         | 26        | 142  | 14    | 13        | 188  | 20    | 10         | 52   | 31    | 26         | 52   | 34    |
|          | Flow Rate | 92        | 547  | 61    | 81        | 856  | 94    | 46         | 200  | 79    | 87         | 312  | 131   |
| Off Peak | 1         | 30        | 290  | 23    | 13        | 305  | 22    | 31         | 42   | 26    | 37         | 56   | 37    |
|          | 2         | 27        | 284  | 29    | 20        | 322  | 25    | 42         | 62   | 43    | 41         | 46   | 61    |
|          | 3         | 44        | 268  | 26    | 19        | 277  | 15    | 43         | 64   | 38    | 42         | 75   | 38    |
|          | 4         | 74        | 290  | 27    | 27        | 259  | 21    | 45         | 58   | 37    | 36         | 77   | 39    |
|          | Flow Rate | 175       | 1132 | 105   | 79        | 1163 | 83    | 161        | 226  | 144   | 156        | 254  | 175   |
| PM Peak  | 1         | 40        | 272  | 20    | 15        | 286  | 26    | 29         | 81   | 24    | 39         | 65   | 35    |
|          | 2         | 29        | 288  | 20    | 13        | 260  | 14    | 26         | 99   | 40    | 39         | 68   | 42    |
|          | 3         | 33        | 282  | 21    | 14        | 273  | 19    | 30         | 92   | 44    | 45         | 74   | 48    |
|          | 4         | 38        | 255  | 16    | 16        | 245  | 13    | 26         | 67   | 28    | 30         | 51   | 26    |
|          | Flow Rate | 140       | 1097 | 77    | 58        | 1064 | 72    | 111        | 339  | 136   | 153        | 258  | 151   |

Table E-3.35 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Hourly Volume (pce/hour) at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Period                   | Interval         | Eastbound  |            |            | Westbound |            |           | Northbound |            |           | Southbound |            |            | Total       |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
|                          |                  | Left       | Thru       | Right      | Left      | Thru       | Right     | Left       | Thru       | Right     | Left       | Thru       | Right      |             |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 1                | 14         | 76         | 30         | 11        | 148        | 10        | 36         | 114        | 4         | 21         | 200        | 41         | 705         |
|                          | 2                | 42         | 89         | 35         | 18        | 167        | 7         | 75         | 145        | 11        | 26         | 241        | 73         | 929         |
|                          | 3                | 32         | 90         | 46         | 14        | 133        | 8         | 96         | 103        | 8         | 12         | 179        | 46         | 767         |
|                          | 4                | 57         | 76         | 33         | 15        | 118        | 13        | 68         | 115        | 8         | 13         | 138        | 55         | 709         |
|                          | <b>Flow Rate</b> | <b>145</b> | <b>331</b> | <b>144</b> | <b>58</b> | <b>566</b> | <b>38</b> | <b>275</b> | <b>477</b> | <b>31</b> | <b>72</b>  | <b>758</b> | <b>215</b> | <b>3110</b> |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 1                | 37         | 88         | 42         | 22        | 122        | 11        | 36         | 108        | 8         | 17         | 127        | 52         | 670         |
|                          | 2                | 40         | 83         | 41         | 18        | 202        | 7         | 76         | 135        | 15        | 31         | 169        | 75         | 892         |
|                          | 3                | 61         | 89         | 52         | 8         | 218        | 11        | 57         | 121        | 15        | 17         | 144        | 66         | 858         |
|                          | 4                | 46         | 75         | 40         | 16        | 155        | 17        | 51         | 104        | 10        | 23         | 134        | 39         | 710         |
|                          | <b>Flow Rate</b> | <b>184</b> | <b>335</b> | <b>175</b> | <b>64</b> | <b>697</b> | <b>46</b> | <b>220</b> | <b>468</b> | <b>48</b> | <b>88</b>  | <b>574</b> | <b>232</b> | <b>3130</b> |
| Off Peak                 | 1                | 71         | 133        | 51         | 10        | 136        | 21        | 82         | 125        | 18        | 48         | 109        | 74         | 878         |
|                          | 2                | 98         | 147        | 75         | 21        | 145        | 36        | 84         | 131        | 23        | 33         | 101        | 74         | 968         |
|                          | 3                | 97         | 208        | 64         | 18        | 143        | 24        | 88         | 112        | 24        | 43         | 121        | 89         | 1031        |
|                          | 4                | 103        | 206        | 83         | 29        | 158        | 16        | 85         | 108        | 12        | 31         | 123        | 72         | 1026        |

| Period  | Interval  | Eastbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       | Northbound |      |       | Southbound |      |       | Total |
|---------|-----------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-------|
|         |           | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right |       |
|         | Flow Rate | 369       | 694  | 273   | 78        | 582  | 97    | 339        | 476  | 77    | 155        | 454  | 309   | 3903  |
| PM Peak | 1         | 107       | 223  | 78    | 18        | 123  | 23    | 71         | 177  | 11    | 37         | 114  | 59    | 1041  |
|         | 2         | 100       | 242  | 63    | 23        | 158  | 28    | 75         | 163  | 10    | 42         | 102  | 65    | 1071  |
|         | 3         | 105       | 198  | 85    | 16        | 147  | 24    | 89         | 194  | 19    | 60         | 146  | 65    | 1148  |
|         | 4         | 142       | 230  | 94    | 20        | 160  | 23    | 58         | 226  | 23    | 48         | 90   | 53    | 1167  |
|         | Flow Rate | 454       | 893  | 320   | 77        | 588  | 98    | 293        | 760  | 63    | 187        | 452  | 242   | 4427  |

### Comparisons of Before and After Flows

The differences in traffic flow between the before and after measurements are shown in Table E-3.36 and Table E-3.37. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant decrease, yellow shows modest change, and red shows significant increase in flow.

Table E-3.36 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Period   | Eastbound |      |            | Westbound |      |            | Northbound |      |       | Southbound |      |       |
|----------|-----------|------|------------|-----------|------|------------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|
|          | Left      | Thru | Thru+Right | Left      | Thru | Thru+Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | -3        | -6   | -17        | -1        | -40  | -26        | -26        | 21   | 9     | 16         | -30  | -62   |
| Off Peak | 46        | 115  | -8         | 8         | 143  | 6          | -16        | -13  | 19    | -4         | 19   | -12   |
| PM Peak  | -28       | 9    | -2         | 8         | 148  | 5          | -8         | -8   | 30    | 19         | -7   | -41   |

Table E-3.37 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Period                   | Eastbound |      |       | Westbound |      |            | Northbound |      |       | Southbound |      |       |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|------|------------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|
|                          | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Thru+Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 9         | 54   | 33    | 18        | -130 | 5          | 45         | 62   | 15    | -14        | 60   | -52   |
| AM Peak<br>(No incident) | 48        | 58   | 64    | 24        | 1    | 13         | -10        | 53   | 32    | 2          | -124 | -35   |
| Off Peak                 | 117       | 129  | -28   | 2         | 28   | 26         | 27         | -63  | 27    | -37        | -51  | -53   |
| PM Peak                  | 120       | 112  | 62    | 13        | 103  | 14         | -1         | -13  | 12    | 32         | 27   | 46    |

### Discussion

- The traffic volume for the SR 77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street intersection increased during all three time periods by an average of 6.98%
- For the Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street intersection volume increased for the Off Peak and PM peak, while it decreased for the AM peak by 6.00%.

The increased queues may be at least partially due to the increase in flows between the before and after data collection periods.

### E.3.6. Consideration of Traffic Flows Jointly with Queue Length

The differences in “Traffic Flow” and “Queue Length” between before and after measurements are shown in Table E-3.38 and Table E-3.40. The tables are color-coded as follows: green indicates that “Queue” decreases and “Traffic Flow” increases, Red indicates “Queue” increases and “Traffic Flow” decreases, No Color indicates “Traffic Flow” and “Queue” increase or decrease at the same time. Generally, green indicates improvement despite an increase in flow. For the AM peak after study, the research team collected the SR 77 and 23<sup>rd</sup> St. intersection traffic counts on 9/22/2015 when the incident was active, as the “AM Peak Incident”, and we use the flow and queue length collected from video during a weekday (9/29/2015, Tuesday) for the SR 77 and 23<sup>rd</sup> St. intersection as the “AM Peak No Incident”.

Table E-3.38 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Period   | EB(Main) |       |      |       |            |       | NB(Side) |       |        |       |       |      | WB(Main) |       |      |       |            |       | SB(Side) |       |      |       |       |       |
|----------|----------|-------|------|-------|------------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|----------|-------|------|-------|------------|-------|----------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
|          | Left     |       | Thru |       | Thru+Right |       | Left     |       | Thru   |       | Right |      | Left     |       | Thru |       | Thru+Right |       | Left     |       | Thru |       | Right |       |
|          | TF       | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF         | Q     | TF       | Q     | TF     | Q     | TF    | Q    | TF       | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF         | Q     | TF       | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak  | -3       | -1.67 | -6   | -3.99 | -17        | -3.62 | -26      | 0.24  | 21.00  | -2.74 | 9     | 0.11 | -1       | -0.15 | -40  | 3.78  | -26        | 4.55  | 16       | 0.06  | -30  | 1.51  | -62   | -0.18 |
| Off Peak | 46       | 0.83  | 115  | 1.28  | -8         | -0.44 | -16      | -3.73 | -13.00 | -2.68 | 19    | 2.86 | 8        | 0.99  | 143  | 0.57  | 6          | -0.69 | -4       | -0.10 | 19   | -0.09 | -12   | 1.54  |
| PM Peak  | -28      | -1.29 | 9    | -0.58 | -2         | -1.13 | -8       | -0.42 | -8.00  | -6.69 | 30    | 0.29 | 8        | 0.13  | 148  | -0.79 | 5          | -0.29 | 19       | -0.21 | -7   | 1.38  | -41   | -0.04 |

Table E-3.39 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at Jenks Ave. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Period   | EB(Main) |      |      |      |            |      | NB(Side) |      |      |      |       |      | WB(Main) |     |      |      |            |     | SB(Side) |     |      |     |       |     |
|----------|----------|------|------|------|------------|------|----------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|-----|------|------|------------|-----|----------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|
|          | Left     |      | Thru |      | Thru+Right |      | Left     |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left     |     | Thru |      | Thru+Right |     | Left     |     | Thru |     | Right |     |
|          | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF         | Q    | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF       | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF         | Q   | TF       | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q   |
| AM Peak  | -3%      | -43% | -1%  | -62% | -22%       | -56% | -36%     | 12%  | 12%  | -50% | 13%   | 13%  | -1%      | -9% | -4%  | 79%  | -22%       | 87% | 23%      | 2%  | -9%  | 32% | -32%  | -7% |
| Off Peak | 36%      | 19%  | 11%  | 16%  | -7%        | -5%  | -9%      | -53% | -5%  | -38% | 15%   | 108% | 11%      | 45% | 14%  | 6%   | 8%         | -5% | -3%      | -2% | 8%   | -2% | -6%   | 44% |
| PM Peak  | -17%     | -25% | 1%   | -6%  | -3%        | -13% | -7%      | -10% | -2%  | -52% | 28%   | 12%  | 16%      | 8%  | 16%  | -10% | 7%         | -3% | 14%      | -4% | -3%  | 40% | -21%  | -1% |

Table E-3.40 Differences in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at SR-77 & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

| Period                   | EB(Main) |      |      |       |       |       | NB(Side) |       |       |       |       |       | WB(Main) |       |      |       |            |       | SB(Side) |      |      |      |       |      |
|--------------------------|----------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|------|-------|------------|-------|----------|------|------|------|-------|------|
|                          | Left     |      | Thru |       | Right |       | Left     |       | Thru  |       | Right |       | Left     |       | Thru |       | Thru+Right |       | Left     |      | Thru |      | Right |      |
|                          | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF       | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF       | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF         | Q     | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 9        | 2.80 | 54   | 1.48  | 33    | -0.27 | 18       | -1.01 | -130  | -1.95 | 5     | 0.02  | 45       | -0.17 | 62   | -0.88 | 15         | -2.07 | -14      | 1.11 | 60   | 3.14 | -52   | 1.86 |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 48       | 1.07 | 58   | -0.14 | 64    | -1.50 | -10      | -0.50 | 53.00 | -2.66 | 32    | -0.14 | 24       | -0.21 | 1    | -1.20 | 13         | -1.43 | 2        | 0.46 | -124 | 3.14 | -35   | 2.14 |



| Period   | EB(Main) |      |      |       |       |       | NB(Side) |       |        |      |       |      | WB(Main) |      |      |      |            |      | SB(Side) |      |      |      |       |      |
|----------|----------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|--------|------|-------|------|----------|------|------|------|------------|------|----------|------|------|------|-------|------|
|          | Left     |      | Thru |       | Right |       | Left     |       | Thru   |      | Right |      | Left     |      | Thru |      | Thru+Right |      | Left     |      | Thru |      | Right |      |
|          | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF       | Q     | TF     | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF         | Q    | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    |
| Off Peak | 117      | 4.86 | 129  | -3.57 | -28   | -4.67 | 27       | -0.60 | -63.00 | 0.79 | 27    | 0.86 | 2        | 0.19 | 28   | 4.10 | 26         | 2.80 | -37      | 1.78 | -51  | 4.77 | -53   | 1.86 |
| PM Peak  | 120      | 6.72 | 112  | -0.58 | 62    | -1.35 | -1       | -0.07 | -13.00 | 3.36 | 12    | 0.84 | 13       | 1.34 | 103  | 1.62 | 14         | 2.05 | 32       | 1.71 | 27   | 3.85 | 46    | 3.08 |

Table E-3.41 Differences (%) in Traffic Flow and Queue Length at SR-77 & Street

| Period                   | EB(Main) |      |      |      |       |      | NB(Side) |      |      |      |       |      | WB(Main) |      |      |      |            |      | SB(Side) |     |      |      |       |      |
|--------------------------|----------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|------|------|------|------------|------|----------|-----|------|------|-------|------|
|                          | Left     |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left     |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left     |      | Thru |      | Thru+Right |      | Left     |     | Thru |      | Right |      |
|                          | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF         | Q    | TF       | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    |
| AM Peak<br>(Incident)    | 7%       | 69%  | 19%  | 42%  | 30%   | -13% | 45%      | -23% | -19% | -26% | 15%   | 13%  | 20%      | -15% | 94%  | -10% | -16%       | 22%  | -16%     | 86% | 9%   | 59%  | -19%  | 153% |
| AM Peak<br>(No Incident) | 35%      | 26%  | 21%  | -4%  | 58%   | -72% | -4%      | -11% | 13%  | -36% | 200%  | -77% | 60%      | -18% | 0%   | 13%  | 39%        | -15% | 2%       | 36% | -18% | 59%  | -13%  | 176% |
| Off Peak                 | 46%      | 69%  | 23%  | -38% | -9%   | -76% | 9%       | -9%  | -12% | 11%  | 54%   | 302% | 3%       | 8%   | 5%   | 59%  | 37%        | 29%  | -19%     | 48% | -10% | 110% | -15%  | 85%  |
| PM Peak                  | 36%      | 107% | 14%  | -8%  | 24%   | -51% | 0%       | -1%  | -2%  | 32%  | 24%   | 272% | 20%      | 75%  | 21%  | 24%  | 17%        | 22%  | 21%      | 38% | 6%   | 90%  | 23%   | 168% |

### *Discussion*

The following can be concluded from the comparison of traffic flows jointly with queue length:

We cannot conclude that there is a high correlation between increasing traffic with increasing queue at these two critical intersections. One of the reasons for this may be that generally the changes in either of these measures are not significant. A second reason may be that improved signalization patterns resulted in higher throughputs for certain movements, which also showed shorter queues.

It is shown from the colored cells in the tables that the traffic conditions for the SR 77. & 23<sup>rd</sup> Street intersection EB and WB (Main) have improved during several time periods after the InSync installation. However, the traffic conditions for the NB and SB (Side) have somewhat deteriorated during several time periods.

## E.4. Questionnaire

### SECTION 1: RESPONDENT'S INFORMATION

Name **Marc R. Mackey**

Organization **Bay County Public Works**

Position **Traffic Operations Engineer**

Address

Phone

Fax \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail [mmackey@baycountyfl.gov](mailto:mmackey@baycountyfl.gov)

**\*NOTE: The interview in Appendix B and in this Appendix are the same interview. Both this site and the site in Appendix B are situated in Bay County, and only one interview was conducted for the county.\***

### SECTION 2: PREVIOUS TRAFFIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

37. Please specify the type of traffic control used before Adaptive Signal Control Technology (ASCT) was installed for your site. (Please check all that apply.)

a. Fixed time coordinated control

**b. Actuated coordinated control**

c. Fixed-time isolated control

d. Actuated isolated control

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

38. What type of traffic controller was used before ASCT was implemented?

**a. NEMA TS-1**

**b. NEMA TS-2**

c. 170

d. 2070

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

39. How many detectors were used before ASCT was implemented for a typical intersection (e.g., *Newberry Rd & NW 75<sup>th</sup> St*)? Please also specify the location where the detectors were typically placed for each specific detector type (video detection, inductive loops, radars, etc.).

**Two advance detectors at each main through movement. Left turning detectors for all approaches; Stop bar detector for side-streets.**

40. What was the frequency of retiming the signal timing plan for the corridor before ASCT was implemented?

**2-3 years**

41. How often were maintenance and updates performed to your previous control system in terms of the following components?

c. Detection: **Regular quarterly check usually accompanied by an annual check**

d. Control Hardware:

42. Software:

43. What was the annual maintenance cost in terms of hardware, software and personnel of the previous control system for the whole corridor where the ASCT is now deployed?

44. What was the life expectancy of your traffic control before the deployment of ASCT? (Please refer to the hardware and software.)

**It went well (for 6 years) before being replaced. The old system is quite simple and durable.**

45. How many crashes of each category were reported during the past 4 years before the ASCT implementation? (2011-2014)

|      | K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No<br>Apparent<br>Injury) |
|------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2011 |               |                            |                          |                           |                                 |
| 2012 |               |                            |                          |                           |                                 |
| 2013 |               |                            |                          |                           |                                 |
| 2014 |               |                            |                          |                           |                                 |

### SECTION 3: ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM (ASCT)

46. Which Adaptive Traffic Control System (ASCT) does your agency deploy?

a. InSync; Version: Fusion

b. Synchro Green; Version: \_\_\_\_\_

c. Other; please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

47. Did you consider any other ASCT before you selected this one for installation? Why did you reject the other(s) in favor of this one?

**No, state picked the software**

48. What were your major criteria for choosing the ASCT for the selected corridor?

**N/A**

49. What is the life expectancy of your ASCT system? (Consider both hardware and software.)

**5 years warranty purchased.**

50. What type of traffic controller is currently in use after the ASCT implementation?

**d. Same as before the ASCT implementation**

e. New, please specify type:

f. Same, but the following updates were needed: \_\_\_\_\_

51. How many and what type of detectors are used after the ASCT implementation on an intersection level (e.g., *Newberry Rd & NW 75<sup>th</sup> St*)? Please note any updates that the previous detection system needed so as to work with your ASCT.

**4 cameras (one each approach); All left-turn detectors; Side street stop bar detectors. Fusion provides additional back-up data counts. However, the operation of the system depends on the video detection**

52. Was there a need to update your previous software operating system at your Transportation Management Center in order to get your current ASCT software working?

**a. Yes**

**b. No**

53. How often do you plan to perform physical maintenance or updates on your new ASCT in terms of the following components?

c. Detection:

d. ASCT Hardware:

c. Software:

54. Was there a need for training your personnel in order to operate the new ASCT?

c. **Yes**, Num. of employees trained **4**, extensive training that covers intro, software, hardware and system setting, implementation.

d. No

55. Was there any change on the number of staff required to operate/maintain the effective signal ASCT operations? (Comparison of staff needed before and after the deployment.)

**No**

56. Where did expertise come from for your personnel's training, the ASCT installation, operation and the system's maintenance? Please check all that apply.

|                   | In-House | Vendor     | Contractor |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Employee Training |          | <b>Yes</b> |            |
| ASCT Installation |          | <b>Yes</b> |            |
| ASCT Operation    |          | <b>Yes</b> |            |
| ASCT Maintenance  |          | <b>Yes</b> |            |

57. How many crashes of each category were reported after ASCT was implemented?

| K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

#### **SECTION 4: COST COMPONENTS**

58. What is the overall capital cost of purchasing the ASCT (in terms of the software and licenses of the ASCT) for the corridor? If the purchase includes any service of implementation, personnel training or maintenance, please also specify here.

**\$45,000 per intersection**

59. What is the implementation cost (considering the installation on site, any updates in software and hardware, design needs and contract hours) for the ASCT corridor? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

60. What was total cost for training your personnel to operate and manage the new ASCT? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

61. What is the expected maintenance cost (in terms of hardware, software and personnel) for the ASCT corridor on a yearly basis? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

62. Can you specify the costs for the following ASCT components analytically?

b. Firmware \$ \_\_\_\_\_

b. Software \$ \_\_\_\_\_

c. Equipment \$ \_\_\_\_\_

d. Maintenance of Traffic Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_

e. Design Needs \$ \_\_\_\_\_

f. Contract Help/Agency Hours Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_

## SECTION 5: INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

63. Were there any institutional issues that you had to overcome while implementing and operating the ASCT project? Please categorize and explain those issues below.

e. Organization and Management Institutional Issues:

**N/A**

f. Regulatory and Legal Institutional Issues:

---

g. Human and Facility Resources Institutional Issues:

---

h. Financial Institutional Issues:

---

64. Which component (e.g., detection, communication, hardware, software, licensing or other) of your ASCT deployment is the most challenging to maintain and why?

**Camera; can get damaged in lightning**

65. What happens to your ASCT operations when some of your detectors fail on the corridor level?

- a. ASCT triggers an alarm and notifies operators
- b. ASCT switches to an “off-line” mode by implementing Time-Of-Day plans
- c. Combination of the above.

**d. Other (please describe):** Run off line data in the most recent two weeks

66. How is your ASCT performance evaluated?

**a. In-house**

1. from the preliminary analysis:

There is some benefits in the reduction of the rear-end crashes;

2. Majority of the benefits are in the travel time saving. Generally 7% travel time reduction is seen; in certain case, the reduction is even closer to 20% yet somewhat hurts the side-street;

3. The benefits are mainly seen in the light or moderate traffic volumes. In the over-saturated case, the performance is "equally bad" to the previous system.

b. By an independent evaluator, please describe: \_\_\_\_\_

c. Not applicable—there is no evaluation

67. If the corridor on which the ASCT operates experiences over saturation, how would you rate the operation of the ASCT in response to these traffic conditions?

a. ASCT prevents or eliminates oversaturation

b. ASCT eliminates or reduces the extent of the periods of oversaturation

**c. ASCT adversely affects the traffic conditions during periods of oversaturation**

d. Other: \_\_\_\_\_

e. Oversaturation is very rare on the corridors operated by our ASCT

68. Based on your opinion and the up-to-date operation, when are ASCT operations proven to be the most effective?

**a. Peak periods** (Comment: with no saturation)

b. Off-peak periods

c. Shoulders of peak periods

d. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

69. Has the level of performance of ASCT been sustained since its installation?

**a. Yes**

b. No; why not?

70. What was the public reaction to the ASCT operation? Have you received any complaints about long delays and queues?

**The public generally are happy with the new system**

71. How satisfied are you, in general, with your ASCT deployment?



**a. Very satisfied**

b. Somewhat satisfied

c. Neutral

d. Somewhat dissatisfied

e. Not satisfied at all

72. Are there any other costs or benefits related to ASCT deployment that you would like to report?

a. Benefits **synchronizes and enriches the data from varying aspects. Can have straight access to the database with evidence if things went wrong.**

b. Costs

36. Would you consider expanding the ASCT program in any other of your other sites? Why or why not? If yes, would you use the same technology/firmware or have any suggestions for others? If so, why?

**Yes, one additional corridor (Tyndall Parkway) will also deploy InSync**

## **SECTION 6: SAFETY ISSUES**

37. Do you have any anecdotal / qualitative data on safety benefits / disbenefits of the signal improvement along this corridor?

---

---

38. Has there been other changes along this corridor over the analysis period that could affect the safety of this corridor either positively or negatively?

---

---

39. Are you aware of any changes to the crash data reporting procedures over the analysis period? If yes, what are the changes?

---

---

---

---

40. What are your overall reactions to the trends presented in the report?

---

---

41. Is there another comparable corridor in which signal improvements have not been made to which the results of this corridor can be compared to?

---

---

42. Other thoughts / comments for us?

---

---

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. Your responses will help us with evaluating the deployment and benefits of your current ASCT. If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact Ria Kontou, email: [ekontou@ufl.edu](mailto:ekontou@ufl.edu) or Liteng Zha, email: [litengzha@ufl.edu](mailto:litengzha@ufl.edu) who work under the direct supervision of the faculty advisor Dr. Yafeng Yin

## E.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis

Table E-5.1 Monetized Saving

| Monetized Saving            |                |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$1,588,364.71 |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$195,701.98   |
| Air Pollution Saving        | \$39,451.13    |
| Safety Saving               | -\$189,008.41  |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$1,823,517.82 |
| Total Saving                | \$1,634,509.41 |
| Total Cost                  | \$360,000.00   |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 5.065327264    |
| B/C Ratio                   | 4.540303914    |

Table E-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions

| Monetized Saving            |                |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$1,588,364.71 |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$195,701.98   |
| Safety Saving               | -\$189,008.41  |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$1,784,066.69 |
| Total Saving                | \$1,595,058.28 |
| Total Cost                  | \$360,000.00   |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 4.955740795    |
| B/C Ratio                   | 4.430717445    |

## **APPENDIX F: Summary of 66th St, Pinellas County**

### **F.1. Executive Summary**

The objective of this research is to evaluate traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the implementation of proposed Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT), document the advantages and disadvantages of different ASCT approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT.

This appendix summarizes the before and after field data collected along the 66th Street, Pinellas County corridor from Ulmerton Road to 54th Avenue. This corridor has a different type of configuration compared to the other arterial corridors analyzed in this project, since the InSync adaptive signal control system has been implemented along a side street rather than along the mainline arterial. The system has been implemented along 66th Street (which runs North-South), while the mainline arterials are Ulmerton Road and Park Boulevard (which run East-West). These two arterials carry high levels of commuter traffic to Tampa via I-275 and to Clearwater via SR-19. The intersections of these arterials with the 66th Street are considered as the two critical intersections in the analysis of this corridor. In total, the corridor spans 5 miles in length with 40 unsignalized sections spread over either sides of the corridor.

The InSync adaptive signal control system was implemented along this corridor in April 24, 2017. Two data collection methods were used to collect the desired information. Floating car runs were conducted with the UFTI instrumented vehicle to collect vehicle travel times during three time periods – AM Peak (7-9AM), Off Peak (1-3 PM) and PM Peak (4-6PM.) In addition, turning movement counts and queue lengths were collected at the two critical intersections (Ulmerton Road and Park Boulevard). Based on these, five performance measures were obtained for the before and after study period: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (at critical intersections), Queue to Lane Storage Ratio (at critical intersections), and Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) flows (at critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and presented in this appendix.

The following were observed:

- The InSync resulted in an overall decrease in travel time in both directions (20.3% for the SB, and 10.5% for the NB) and during all time periods studied. Conditions improved more significantly for the SB direction. This corridor has the highest percentage decrease in travel time among all the corridors studied in this project so far.
- During the before study, the intersection delay for the two critical intersections – Ulmerton Rd and Park Boulevard – were the highest compared to all other intersections along the corridor, particularly for the SB. After the InSync installation there was an overall decrease in delay in both directions, especially for the SB.
- The average queue length at Ulmerton Road decreased for all approaches and time periods except for the WB through movements during PM peak and Off peak. The Park Boulevard intersection average queue length decreased for the NB and SB

- approaches, but the EB and WB approaches had longer queues during the Off and PM peak periods.
- As expected, the traffic volumes at both the critical intersections are higher for the EB and WB directions during both before and after studies. The PM peak has higher volumes than the AM and Off peak periods.

## F.2. Corridor Information

Figure F-2.1 provides a schematic of the 66th Street, Pinellas County Corridor (North-South). Table F-2.1 lists the intersections along the corridor. The adjacent land use is mostly industrial and commercial with restaurants, hotels, gas stations, drug stores, automotive service shops and banks. Commuting and recreational traffic coming mainly from Tampa towards St. Pete Beach is carried by I-275, toward the NE of this corridor. This traffic crosses the corridor through two intersections (Ulmerton Rd and Park Blvd) which were selected by the County as the critical intersections for this corridor. These two roadways are actually the mainline arterials, while the study corridor (66th St) is a side street. Ulmerton Road mainly carries traffic to and from Clearwater and Tampa, whereas Park Boulevard carries traffic mainly to and from Tampa, feeding I-275. For the analysis of the two critical intersections detailed turning movement and queue counts were collected. Figure F-2.2 provides the lane configuration of these two critical intersections.








Figure F-2.1 Schematic of 66<sup>th</sup> street, Pinellas County corridor

Table F-2.1 List of Intersections along SR-693 (66<sup>th</sup> Street) Corridor

|           | <b>Intersection</b>      | <b>No. of Unsignalized Intersections</b> | <b>Distance</b> |
|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>1</b>  | <b>Ulmerton Rd</b>       | -                                        | -               |
| <b>2</b>  | 126 <sup>th</sup> Avenue | 2                                        | 0.5 Miles       |
| <b>3</b>  | 118 <sup>th</sup> Avenue | 6                                        | 0.5 Miles       |
| <b>4</b>  | Bryan Dairy              | 3                                        | 0.45 Miles      |
| <b>5</b>  | 102 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue | 2                                        | 0.55 Miles      |
| <b>6</b>  | 94 <sup>th</sup> Avenue  | 5                                        | 0.5 Miles       |
| <b>7</b>  | 82 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue  | 8                                        | 0.75 Miles      |
| <b>8</b>  | 78 <sup>th</sup> Avenue  | 1                                        | 0.25 Miles      |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>Park Blvd</b>         | 2                                        | 0.25 Miles      |
| <b>10</b> | 70 <sup>th</sup> Avenue  | 2                                        | 0.25 Miles      |
| <b>11</b> | 62 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue  | 5                                        | 0.5 Miles       |
| <b>12</b> | 54 <sup>th</sup> Avenue  | 4                                        | 0.5 Miles       |



(a) SR-693 (66th Street) and SR 688 (Ulmerton Rd)

(b) SR-693 (66th Street) and SR 694 (Park Boulevard)

Figure F-2.2 Lane configuration schematic and overview aerial photo of critical intersections

### F.3. Performance Measures

Five performance measures were evaluated: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (critical intersections), Queue-to-Lane Storage Ratio (critical intersections), and PCE Flows (critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and the results of the differences (“after data” – “before data”) are presented.

#### F.3.1. Route Travel Time

The average travel time (min) along the route was measured through a floating car study. During the before data collection we collected data for a total of 8 runs for each time period. During the after data collection we were able to perform during the first day a total of 10 runs for each time period, and during the second day 11 runs for the AM peak, 9 for the OFF peak and 9 for the PM peak. Tables F-3.1 and F-3.2 provide the route travel time for the before and after data. The travel time comparison is presented in Table F-3.3.

*Before Study (Nov. 9 & Nov. 10, 2016)*

Table F-3.1 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)  | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak | Average      |
|-----------------|---------|----------|---------|--------------|
| 66th Street, SB | 12.94   | 14.37    | 14.84   | <b>14.05</b> |
| 66th Street, NB | 13.88   | 13.06    | 14.43   | <b>13.78</b> |

*After Study (May 16 & May 17, 2017)*

Table F-3.2 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)  | AM    | Off Peak | PM    | Average      |
|-----------------|-------|----------|-------|--------------|
| 66th Street, SB | 10.57 | 11.49    | 11.53 | <b>11.20</b> |
| 66th Street, NB | 11.82 | 11.96    | 13.22 | <b>12.33</b> |

*Comparison of Before and After Travel Times*

Table F-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (after – before-no rain)

| Route TT (min)  | AM             | Off Peak     | PM             | Average               |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 66th Street, SB | -2.37 (-18.3%) | -2.88 (-20%) | -3.31 (-22.3%) | <b>-2.85 (-20.3%)</b> |
| 66th Street, NB | -2.06 (-14.8%) | -1.1 (-8.4%) | -1.21 (-8.4%)  | <b>-1.45 (-10.5%)</b> |






Figure F-3.1 Travel times along 66<sup>th</sup> street, Pinellas County

#### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of travel times:

- Overall, there was a reduction in travel time for both directions (20.3% for the SB, and 10.5% for the NB) and during all time periods. The reduction is generally higher for the SB direction. This corridor has the highest percentage decrease in travel time among all the corridors evaluated to-date for this project. This may be because this corridor is not a main arterial, and thus may not have had optimal signal timings.
- The greatest reduction in travel time occurs during the PM peak for the SB direction (22.3% or 3.31 min.)
- The relationship of travel times between the three study periods is similar for the before and after data collection, i.e., the travel time for the PM peak period is the highest for both the SB and NB directions along the 66th Street. In the SB, the Off Peak travel time is higher than the AM Peak for both the before and after studies. In the NB, the Off Peak is less than the AM Peak and the PM Peak for the before, and nearly the same for the after study.

### F.3.2 Delay

Delay (sec) at each intersection along the corridor was also obtained using the floating car measurements.

*Before Study (Nov. 9 & Nov. 10, 2016)*

Table F-3.4 and Figure F-3.5 show the delay at each intersection for the through movement in the SB in tabular and graphical view. The intersection delay for the two critical intersections (Ulmerton Rd and Park Blvd.) is the highest during all study time periods (AM Peak, Off Peak and PM Peak), particularly for the SB. At other intersections, the delay is significantly less.

Table F-3.4 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak       | Off Peak      | PM Peak       |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| <b>Ulmerton Rd</b>           | <b>121.13</b> | <b>112.00</b> | <b>150.00</b> |
| 126 <sup>th</sup> Avenue     | 0.00          | 16.21         | 0.00          |
| 118 <sup>th</sup> Avenue     | 0.00          | 0.00          | 0.00          |
| Bryan Dairy                  | 9.50          | 35.92         | 0.00          |
| 102 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue     | 2.75          | 27.29         | 0.00          |
| 94 <sup>th</sup> Avenue      | 1.63          | 6.58          | 0.88          |
| 82 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue      | 3.75          | 11.79         | 2.38          |
| 78 <sup>th</sup> Avenue      | 26.63         | 0.00          | 12.75         |
| <b>Park Blvd</b>             | <b>86.75</b>  | <b>102.92</b> | <b>112.75</b> |
| 70 <sup>th</sup> Avenue      | 7.38          | 1.50          | 0.00          |
| 62 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue      | 14.63         | 6.79          | 15.88         |
| 54 <sup>th</sup> Avenue      | 45.25         | 47.00         | 58.38         |




Figure F-3.2 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the SB direction-before study

Table F-3.4 and Figure F-3.5 show the delays obtained by intersection for the NB. Figure F-3.3 shows the delay at each intersection for the through movement in the NB direction. The intersection delay in the NB direction is highest at Park Blvd during all study time periods (AM Peak, Off Peak and PM Peak). Delay at Ulmerton Rd is highest during the PM Peak period. The Off-Peak delays are higher than the PM Peak delays at six different intersections.

Table F-3.5 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction – before Study

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak      | Off Peak     | PM Peak      |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| <b>Ulmerton Rd</b>           | <b>31.25</b> | <b>35.63</b> | <b>69.00</b> |
| 126 <sup>th</sup> Avenue     | 35.88        | 13.00        | 2.13         |
| 118 <sup>th</sup> Avenue     | 14.00        | 15.88        | 36.80        |
| Bryan Dairy                  | 39.00        | 32.38        | 20.25        |
| 102 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue     | 23.75        | 23.75        | 20.50        |
| 94 <sup>th</sup> Avenue      | 2.63         | 12.13        | 0.00         |
| 82 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue      | 12.00        | 25.13        | 31.38        |
| 78 <sup>th</sup> Avenue      | 13.88        | 14.13        | 0.00         |
| <b>Park Blvd</b>             | <b>88.50</b> | <b>67.13</b> | <b>70.63</b> |
| 70 <sup>th</sup> Avenue      | 3.38         | 8.38         | 5.63         |
| 62 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue      | 18.00        | 14.88        | 23.00        |
| 54 <sup>th</sup> Avenue      | 20.25        | 35.25        | 52.38        |




Figure F-3.3 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction – before study

*After Study (May 16 & May 17, 2017)*

Table F-3.6 and Figure F-3.7 summarize the delay data for the after study in the SB direction. Again, the data shows that the highest intersection delay is reached at the two critical intersections. At other intersections, the delay is significantly less. The intersection with 118th Avenue remained with zero delay for all the runs conducted by floating car. Also, during the AM and PM peak periods some intersections had zero or very low delay for all runs.

Table F-3.6 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction –after Study

| <b>Delay at Intersections (sec)</b> | <b>AM Peak</b> | <b>Off Peak</b> | <b>PM Peak</b> |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| <b>Ulmerton Rd</b>                  | <b>81.91</b>   | <b>57.00</b>    | <b>42.44</b>   |
| 126 <sup>th</sup> Avenue            | 0.09           | 2.22            | 0.00           |
| 118 <sup>th</sup> Avenue            | 0.00           | 0.00            | 0.00           |
| Bryan Dairy                         | 0.00           | 21.56           | 0.00           |
| 102 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue            | 10.00          | 21.44           | 21.33          |
| 94 <sup>th</sup> Avenue             | 2.09           | 3.00            | 0.67           |
| 82 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue             | 0.00           | 7.56            | 10.00          |
| 78 <sup>th</sup> Avenue             | 2.45           | 6.78            | 7.33           |
| <b>Park Blvd</b>                    | <b>35.82</b>   | <b>36.78</b>    | <b>65.78</b>   |
| 70 <sup>th</sup> Avenue             | 0.27           | 8.44            | 5.56           |
| 62 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue             | 0.00           | 3.78            | 6.33           |
| 54 <sup>th</sup> Avenue             | 0.00           | 11.67           | 17.56          |




Figure F-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the SB direction – after study

Table F-3.6 and Figure F-3.4 provide the delay data for the NB direction. As shown, the highest delay is reached at the intersections of Ulmerton Rd and 54th Avenue (approximately 1 minute of delay during the off-peak and PM peak). Some intersections show zero or very low delay.

Table F-3.7 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction– after Study

| <b>Delay at Intersections (sec)</b> | <b>AM Peak</b> | <b>Off Peak</b> | <b>PM Peak</b> |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| <b>Ulmerton Rd</b>                  | <b>35.40</b>   | <b>73.44</b>    | <b>51.67</b>   |
| 126 <sup>th</sup> Avenue            | 0.00           | 3.11            | 2.00           |
| 118 <sup>th</sup> Avenue            | 20.00          | 1.56            | 0.67           |
| Bryan Dairy                         | 29.70          | 14.67           | 50.89          |
| 102 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue            | 19.00          | 21.78           | 29.22          |
| 94 <sup>th</sup> Avenue             | 1.60           | 0.00            | 0.00           |
| 82 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue             | 7.20           | 0.00            | 0.00           |
| 78 <sup>th</sup> Avenue             | 0.00           | 0.00            | 0.00           |
| <b>Park Blvd</b>                    | <b>16.30</b>   | <b>14.22</b>    | <b>0.89</b>    |
| 70 <sup>th</sup> Avenue             | 15.80          | 1.78            | 15.67          |
| 62 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue             | 8.70           | 0.78            | 12.11          |
| 54 <sup>th</sup> Avenue             | 25.80          | 62.89           | 64.00          |




Figure F-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction – after study



### *Comparisons of Before and After Intersection Delay Times*

The differences in delay between the before and after studies are shown in Table F-3.8 and Table F-3.9. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table F-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the SB Direction

| <b>Delay at Intersections (sec)</b> | <b>AM Peak</b> | <b>Off Peak</b> | <b>PM Peak</b> |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| <b>Ulmerton Rd</b>                  | <b>-39.22</b>  | <b>-55.00</b>   | <b>-107.56</b> |
| 126th Avenue                        | 0.09           | -13.99          | 0.00           |
| 118th Avenue                        | 0.00           | 0.00            | 0.00           |
| Bryan Dairy                         | -9.50          | -14.36          | 0.00           |
| 102nd Avenue                        | 7.25           | -5.85           | 21.33          |
| 94th Avenue                         | 0.46           | -3.58           | -0.21          |
| 82nd Avenue                         | -3.75          | -4.23           | 7.62           |
| 78th Avenue                         | -24.18         | 6.78            | -5.42          |
| <b>Park Blvd</b>                    | <b>-50.93</b>  | <b>-66.14</b>   | <b>-46.97</b>  |
| 70th Avenue                         | -7.11          | 6.94            | 5.56           |
| 62nd Avenue                         | -14.63         | -3.01           | -9.55          |
| 54th Avenue                         | -45.25         | -35.33          | -40.82         |
| <b>Average</b>                      | <b>-15.56</b>  | <b>-15.65</b>   | <b>-14.67</b>  |

Table F-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the NB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec) | AM Peak       | Off Peak      | PM Peak       |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| <b>Ulmerton Rd</b>           | <b>4.15</b>   | <b>37.81</b>  | <b>-17.33</b> |
| 126th Avenue                 | -35.88        | -9.89         | -0.13         |
| 118th Avenue                 | 6.00          | -14.32        | -36.13        |
| Bryan Dairy                  | -9.30         | -17.71        | 30.64         |
| 102nd Avenue                 | -4.75         | -1.97         | 8.72          |
| 94th Avenue                  | -1.03         | -12.13        | 0.00          |
| 82nd Avenue                  | -4.80         | -25.13        | -31.38        |
| 78th Avenue                  | -13.88        | -14.13        | 0.00          |
| <b>Park Blvd</b>             | <b>-72.20</b> | <b>-52.91</b> | <b>-69.74</b> |
| 70th Avenue                  | 12.42         | -6.60         | 10.04         |
| 62nd Avenue                  | -9.30         | -14.10        | -10.89        |
| 54th Avenue                  | 5.55          | 27.64         | 11.62         |
| <b>Average</b>               | <b>-10.25</b> | <b>-8.62</b>  | <b>-8.72</b>  |




Figure F-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the SB direction




Figure F-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the NB direction

### Discussion


The following can be concluded from the comparison of delays:

- The data shows that after installation of the InSync system there was an overall decrease in delay in both directions.
- One of the critical intersections, Park Boulevard, had a reduction in delay during all three time periods in both directions. The most significant delay reduction was 107.56 seconds and was observed at the other critical intersection, Ulmerton Road, during the PM peak in the SB direction.
- An increase in delay was observed for some intersections. In the SB direction, the maximum increase was 21.3 seconds and was observed at the 102nd Avenue intersection during the PM peak. In the NB direction, four intersections show a significant increase in delay (mostly during the PM peak).

### F.3.3. Queue Length

Queue length (number of vehicles/lane) is presented by movement and by time period. This measure is used to evaluate oversaturated conditions at the critical intersections along the study corridors. Note that the queue length reported here is the observed maximum number of vehicles queued during each cycle, and does not represent the total number of vehicles that may have stopped during the cycle. During some time periods, because of cycle failure, vehicles need to stop multiple times before passing through the intersection.

Figure F-3.8 presents the schematic of the lane configurations at the two critical intersections. The queue length is reported for each of these lanes.



(a) SR-693 (66th Street) and SR 688 (Ulmerton Rd)      (b) SR-693 (66th Street) and SR 694 (Park Blvd)

Figure F-3.8 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Nov. 9 & Nov.10, 2016)

Table F-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – before Study.

| Time Period | Time Segment (15 min) | EB   |    |         |    |    |       | NB   |    |         |    |    |       | WB   |    |         |    |    |       | SB   |    |         |    |    |       |
|-------------|-----------------------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|
|             |                       | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right |
| Lane Number |                       | 1    | 2  | 3       | 4  | 5  | 6     | 7    | 8  | 9       | 10 | 11 | 12    | 13   | 14 | 15      | 16 | 17 | 18    | 19   | 20 | 21      | 22 | 23 | 24    |
| AM Peak     | 1                     | 22   | 22 | 22      | 22 | 22 | 0     | 4    | 5  | 7       | 8  | 7  | 0     | 5    | 5  | 11      | 10 | 9  | 0     | 3    | 7  | 15      | 17 | 8  | 2     |
|             | 2                     | 22   | 22 | 22      | 22 | 22 | 0     | 6    | 6  | 13      | 13 | 13 | 0     | 6    | 6  | 9       | 8  | 8  | 0     | 3    | 7  | 14      | 11 | 11 | 3     |
|             | 3                     | 22   | 22 | 22      | 22 | 22 | 0     | 4    | 6  | 16      | 15 | 14 | 0     | 7    | 7  | 8       | 9  | 8  | 1     | 3    | 5  | 20      | 18 | 17 | 2     |
|             | 4                     | 22   | 22 | 22      | 22 | 22 | 0     | 6    | 6  | 13      | 13 | 13 | 0     | 5    | 7  | 9       | 10 | 11 | 0     | 2    | 3  | 13      | 12 | 10 | 2     |
|             | Average               | 22   | 22 | 22      | 22 | 22 | 0     | 5    | 6  | 12      | 12 | 11 | 0     | 6    | 6  | 9       | 9  | 9  | 0     | 3    | 5  | 15      | 14 | 12 | 2     |
| Off Peak    | 1                     | 15   | 15 | 16      | 15 | 15 | 0     | 5    | 4  | 10      | 10 | 8  | 0     | 5    | 6  | 9       | 11 | 10 | 0     | 2    | 5  | 11      | 6  | 9  | 6     |
|             | 2                     | 15   | 15 | 18      | 18 | 18 | 0     | 8    | 9  | 11      | 10 | 10 | 3     | 7    | 7  | 6       | 5  | 6  | 2     | 0    | 2  | 13      | 11 | 9  | 6     |
|             | 3                     | 11   | 10 | 14      | 13 | 12 | 0     | 7    | 7  | 13      | 12 | 10 | 0     | 5    | 6  | 5       | 6  | 8  | 2     | 3    | 4  | 16      | 9  | 9  | 4     |
|             | 4                     | 7    | 7  | 18      | 19 | 19 | 0     | 7    | 8  | 17      | 17 | 16 | 0     | 5    | 6  | 8       | 7  | 6  | 0     | 2    | 3  | 14      | 7  | 6  | 6     |
|             | Average               | 12   | 12 | 16      | 16 | 16 | 0     | 7    | 7  | 12      | 12 | 11 | 1     | 5    | 6  | 7       | 7  | 7  | 1     | 2    | 3  | 13      | 8  | 8  | 5     |
| PM Peak     | 1                     | 9    | 10 | 14      | 15 | 16 | 4     | 7    | 9  | 16      | 16 | 16 | 5     | 7    | 7  | 8       | 8  | 8  | 1     | 2    | 3  | 8       | 7  | 7  | 2     |
|             | 2                     | 10   | 10 | 19      | 19 | 20 | 4     | 9    | 10 | 14      | 14 | 13 | 5     | 5    | 6  | 9       | 12 | 8  | 2     | 1    | 3  | 7       | 6  | 7  | 4     |
|             | 3                     | 11   | 10 | 14      | 12 | 13 | 5     | 10   | 10 | 18      | 17 | 17 | 4     | 8    | 7  | 12      | 11 | 12 | 1     | 2    | 1  | 18      | 14 | 11 | 5     |
|             | 4                     | 8    | 8  | 8       | 9  | 7  | 4     | 8    | 10 | 15      | 15 | 15 | 4     | 4    | 6  | 9       | 9  | 10 | 1     | 1    | 3  | 12      | 9  | 10 | 4     |

| Time Period | Time Segment (15 min) | EB   |    |         |    |    |       | NB   |    |         |    |    |       | WB   |   |         |    |   |       | SB   |   |         |   |   |       |
|-------------|-----------------------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|---|---------|----|---|-------|------|---|---------|---|---|-------|
|             |                       | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |   | Through |    |   | Right | Left |   | Through |   |   | Right |
|             | Average               | 10   | 10 | 14      | 14 | 14 | 4     | 8    | 10 | 16      | 16 | 15 | 5     | 6    | 6 | 9       | 10 | 9 | 1     | 1    | 3 | 11      | 9 | 8 | 4     |

Table F-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – before Study.

| Time Period | EB   |         |       | NB   |         |       | WB   |         |       | SB   |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 22   | 22      | 0     | 5    | 12      | 0     | 6    | 9       | 0     | 4    | 14      | 2     |
| Off Peak    | 12   | 16      | 0     | 7    | 12      | 1     | 6    | 7       | 1     | 3    | 10      | 5     |
| PM Peak     | 10   | 14      | 4     | 9    | 15      | 5     | 6    | 10      | 1     | 2    | 9       | 4     |

Table F-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – before Study.

| Time Period | Time Segment | EB   |    |         |    |     | NB   |    |         |    |     | WB   |    |         |    |     | SB   |    |         |    |     |
|-------------|--------------|------|----|---------|----|-----|------|----|---------|----|-----|------|----|---------|----|-----|------|----|---------|----|-----|
|             |              | Left |    | Through |    | T/R | Left |    | Through |    | T/R | Left |    | Through |    | T/R | Left |    | Through |    | T/R |
|             |              | 1    | 2  | 3       | 4  | 5   | 6    | 7  | 8       | 9  | 10  | 11   | 12 | 13      | 14 | 15  | 16   | 17 | 18      | 19 | 20  |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 2    | 4  | 15      | 17 | 14  | 5    | 6  | 19      | 20 | 0   | 5    | 5  | 10      | 10 | 9   | 5    | 7  | 11      | 12 | 12  |
|             | 2            | 2    | 4  | 17      | 18 | 17  | 6    | 9  | 19      | 19 | 0   | 13   | 15 | 16      | 7  | 10  | 3    | 7  | 18      | 19 | 20  |
|             | 3            | 4    | 6  | 21      | 21 | 20  | 12   | 14 | 20      | 20 | 0   | 5    | 8  | 12      | 11 | 10  | 6    | 14 | 20      | 20 | 20  |
|             | 4            | 4    | 4  | 16      | 16 | 16  | 5    | 6  | 15      | 17 | 1   | 5    | 7  | 10      | 8  | 9   | 6    | 10 | 17      | 18 | 16  |
|             | Average      | 3    | 4  | 17      | 18 | 17  | 7    | 9  | 18      | 19 | 0   | 7    | 9  | 12      | 9  | 10  | 5    | 9  | 16      | 17 | 17  |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 4    | 5  | 6       | 7  | 11  | 6    | 8  | 17      | 14 | 18  | 6    | 7  | 11      | 12 | 4   | 7    | 8  | 18      | 18 | 16  |
|             | 2            | 3    | 5  | 7       | 7  | 14  | 8    | 15 | 12      | 9  | 16  | 6    | 7  | 7       | 8  | 3   | 10   | 10 | 18      | 18 | 19  |
|             | 3            | 4    | 5  | 7       | 9  | 15  | 9    | 15 | 18      | 14 | 19  | 6    | 6  | 9       | 10 | 2   | 10   | 11 | 20      | 20 | 20  |
|             | 4            | 4    | 5  | 7       | 8  | 14  | 9    | 19 | 20      | 18 | 19  | 7    | 8  | 10      | 10 | 5   | 8    | 7  | 20      | 20 | 20  |
|             | Average      | 4    | 5  | 7       | 8  | 13  | 8    | 14 | 16      | 14 | 18  | 6    | 7  | 9       | 10 | 3   | 9    | 9  | 19      | 19 | 19  |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 7    | 10 | 12      | 12 | 13  | 6    | 13 | 13      | 13 | 13  | 5    | 10 | 18      | 19 | 20  | 9    | 16 | 20      | 20 | 20  |
|             | 2            | 7    | 10 | 8       | 8  | 8   | 7    | 13 | 19      | 19 | 19  | 5    | 5  | 15      | 16 | 18  | 8    | 9  | 19      | 20 | 19  |
|             | 3            | 6    | 9  | 10      | 10 | 9   | 6    | 10 | 16      | 15 | 13  | 3    | 6  | 17      | 17 | 20  | 7    | 9  | 20      | 20 | 20  |
|             | 4            | 6    | 8  | 10      | 10 | 11  | 8    | 12 | 10      | 10 | 10  | 5    | 5  | 16      | 17 | 18  | 7    | 8  | 18      | 20 | 19  |
|             | Average      | 6    | 9  | 10      | 10 | 10  | 7    | 12 | 14      | 14 | 14  | 4    | 6  | 16      | 17 | 19  | 7    | 10 | 19      | 20 | 20  |

Table F-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – before Study.

| Time Period     | EB   |         |     | NB   |         |     | WB   |         |     | SB   |         |     |
|-----------------|------|---------|-----|------|---------|-----|------|---------|-----|------|---------|-----|
|                 | Left | Through | T/R | Left | Through | T/R | Left | Through | T/R | Left | Through | T/R |
| <b>AM Peak</b>  | 4    | 18      | 17  | 8    | 18      | 0   | 8    | 10      | 10  | 7    | 17      | 17  |
| <b>Off Peak</b> | 4    | 7       | 13  | 11   | 15      | 18  | 7    | 9       | 3   | 9    | 19      | 19  |
| <b>PM Peak</b>  | 8    | 10      | 10  | 9    | 14      | 14  | 5    | 17      | 19  | 9    | 20      | 20  |

As shown above, the longest queues were observed for the 66th Street and Ulmerton Rd intersection, for the EB left and through movements, with queues reaching 22 vehicles.

For the 66th Street and Park Blvd. intersection, the longest queue observed was 21 vehicles for the EB through movement. Also, long queues were observed in the other approaches, with up to 20 vehicles during certain periods, mostly for the through and right movements.

*After Study (May 16 & May 17, 2017)*

Tables F-3.14 to F-3.17 show the average queue length by lane and by movement for both critical intersections from the after study.



Table F-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – after Study

| Time Period | Time Segment<br><br>(15 min) | EB   |    |         |    |    |       | NB   |    |         |    |    |       | WB   |    |         |    |    |       | SB   |    |         |    |    |       |
|-------------|------------------------------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|
|             |                              | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right |
| Lane Number |                              | 1    | 2  | 3       | 4  | 5  | 6     | 7    | 8  | 9       | 10 | 11 | 12    | 13   | 14 | 15      | 16 | 17 | 18    | 19   | 20 | 21      | 22 | 23 | 24    |
| AM Peak     | 1                            | 8    | 8  | 19      | 19 | 19 | 1     | 6    | 5  | 10      | 10 | 8  | 1     | 4    | 4  | 4       | 4  | 4  | 4     | 4    | 4  | 4       | 4  | 4  | 4     |
|             | 2                            | 8    | 7  | 18      | 18 | 17 | 1     | 4    | 6  | 15      | 14 | 12 | 2     | 6    | 6  | 6       | 6  | 6  | 6     | 6    | 6  | 6       | 6  | 6  | 6     |
|             | 3                            | 7    | 6  | 14      | 15 | 15 | 3     | 5    | 6  | 12      | 11 | 10 | 2     | 4    | 4  | 4       | 4  | 4  | 4     | 4    | 4  | 4       | 4  | 4  | 4     |
|             | 4                            | 7    | 7  | 15      | 15 | 16 | 3     | 4    | 6  | 11      | 11 | 8  | 2     | 4    | 4  | 4       | 4  | 4  | 4     | 4    | 4  | 4       | 4  | 4  | 4     |
|             | Average                      | 7    | 7  | 17      | 17 | 17 | 2     | 5    | 6  | 12      | 11 | 9  | 2     | 4    | 4  | 4       | 4  | 4  | 4     | 4    | 4  | 4       | 4  | 4  | 4     |
| Off Peak    | 1                            | 5    | 5  | 8       | 9  | 10 | 2     | 6    | 5  | 5       | 5  | 3  | 1     | 6    | 7  | 13      | 14 | 14 | 3     | 3    | 3  | 11      | 10 | 10 | 3     |
|             | 2                            | 5    | 6  | 7       | 7  | 9  | 1     | 4    | 5  | 3       | 3  | 1  | 1     | 7    | 6  | 15      | 16 | 15 | 3     | 1    | 2  | 13      | 10 | 9  | 2     |
|             | 3                            | 7    | 8  | 10      | 10 | 11 | 3     | 6    | 7  | 7       | 5  | 5  | 1     | 4    | 4  | 16      | 16 | 16 | 3     | 2    | 3  | 11      | 10 | 10 | 4     |
|             | 4                            | 7    | 8  | 13      | 12 | 12 | 3     | 7    | 8  | 13      | 9  | 8  | 1     | 3    | 4  | 11      | 11 | 12 | 2     | 2    | 4  | 7       | 7  | 7  | 3     |
|             | Average                      | 6    | 7  | 9       | 10 | 10 | 2     | 6    | 6  | 7       | 5  | 4  | 1     | 5    | 5  | 14      | 14 | 14 | 3     | 2    | 3  | 10      | 9  | 9  | 3     |
| PM Peak     | 1                            | 11   | 10 | 14      | 14 | 14 | 3     | 13   | 12 | 14      | 14 | 12 | 1     | 3    | 4  | 7       | 8  | 8  | 1     | 2    | 3  | 7       | 7  | 8  | 5     |
|             | 2                            | 11   | 13 | 16      | 17 | 18 | 3     | 7    | 9  | 17      | 15 | 13 | 1     | 5    | 6  | 12      | 13 | 13 | 3     | 1    | 2  | 14      | 13 | 13 | 5     |
|             | 3                            | 8    | 7  | 14      | 13 | 17 | 4     | 9    | 9  | 12      | 12 | 10 | 1     | 5    | 5  | 23      | 23 | 23 | 3     | 1    | 1  | 16      | 17 | 15 | 6     |
|             | 4                            | 7    | 7  | 10      | 10 | 13 | 2     | 7    | 10 | 11      | 11 | 9  | 1     | 5    | 5  | 10      | 11 | 12 | 1     | 1    | 2  | 15      | 12 | 11 | 5     |

| Time Period | Time Segment<br><br>(15 min) | EB   |   |         |    |    |       | NB   |    |         |    |    |       | WB   |    |         |    |    |       | SB   |    |         |    |    |       |
|-------------|------------------------------|------|---|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|---------|----|----|-------|
|             |                              | Left |   | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right |
| Lane Number |                              | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4  | 5  | 6     | 7    | 8  | 9       | 10 | 11 | 12    | 13   | 14 | 15      | 16 | 17 | 18    | 19   | 20 | 21      | 22 | 23 | 24    |
|             | Average                      | 9    | 9 | 13      | 14 | 16 | 3     | 9    | 10 | 14      | 13 | 11 | 1     | 4    | 5  | 13      | 14 | 14 | 2     | 1    | 2  | 13      | 12 | 12 | 5     |

Table F-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – after Study.

| Time Period | EB   |         |       | NB   |         |       | WB   |         |       | SB   |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 7    | 17      | 2     | 5    | 11      | 2     | 4    | 4       | 4     | 4    | 4       | 4     |
| Off Peak    | 6    | 10      | 2     | 6    | 5       | 1     | 5    | 14      | 3     | 2    | 9       | 3     |
| PM Peak     | 9    | 14      | 3     | 10   | 12      | 1     | 5    | 13      | 2     | 2    | 12      | 5     |

Table F-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – after Study.

| Time Period | Time Segment | EB   |    |         |    |     | NB   |    |         |    |     | WB   |    |         |    |     | SB   |    |         |    |     |
|-------------|--------------|------|----|---------|----|-----|------|----|---------|----|-----|------|----|---------|----|-----|------|----|---------|----|-----|
|             |              | Left |    | Through |    | T/R | Left |    | Through |    | T/R | Left |    | Through |    | T/R | Left |    | Through |    | T/R |
|             |              | 1    | 2  | 3       | 4  | 5   | 6    | 7  | 8       | 9  | 10  | 11   | 12 | 13      | 14 | 15  | 16   | 17 | 18      | 19 | 20  |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 3    | 3  | 13      | 13 | 12  | 3    | 6  | 10      | 9  | 9   | 7    | 8  | 9       | 9  | 8   | 5    | 5  | 6       | 6  | 7   |
|             | 2            | 2    | 4  | 17      | 17 | 17  | 7    | 8  | 11      | 12 | 10  | 9    | 10 | 13      | 13 | 14  | 6    | 8  | 8       | 8  | 8   |
|             | 3            | 4    | 7  | 15      | 15 | 17  | 7    | 9  | 8       | 8  | 6   | 5    | 8  | 10      | 10 | 9   | 6    | 6  | 8       | 8  | 7   |
|             | 4            | 3    | 5  | 15      | 16 | 18  | 4    | 6  | 8       | 8  | 8   | 5    | 8  | 14      | 15 | 15  | 5    | 5  | 11      | 11 | 12  |
|             | Average      | 3    | 5  | 15      | 15 | 16  | 5    | 7  | 9       | 9  | 8   | 6    | 8  | 11      | 11 | 11  | 5    | 6  | 8       | 8  | 8   |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 5    | 5  | 15      | 15 | 20  | 7    | 8  | 4       | 3  | 4   | 8    | 7  | 16      | 17 | 15  | 8    | 9  | 11      | 11 | 7   |
|             | 2            | 4    | 4  | 12      | 12 | 16  | 6    | 7  | 5       | 6  | 8   | 7    | 7  | 15      | 14 | 12  | 5    | 6  | 9       | 10 | 8   |
|             | 3            | 4    | 5  | 20      | 20 | 20  | 8    | 11 | 9       | 9  | 9   | 7    | 6  | 13      | 13 | 11  | 5    | 7  | 9       | 9  | 8   |
|             | 4            | 4    | 6  | 15      | 16 | 20  | 8    | 10 | 6       | 5  | 6   | 5    | 6  | 19      | 20 | 19  | 6    | 7  | 9       | 8  | 10  |
|             | Average      | 4    | 5  | 16      | 16 | 19  | 7    | 9  | 6       | 6  | 7   | 7    | 6  | 16      | 16 | 14  | 6    | 7  | 9       | 9  | 8   |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 3    | 4  | 18      | 17 | 20  | 7    | 8  | 7       | 9  | 10  | 6    | 9  | 19      | 18 | 17  | 9    | 9  | 9       | 9  | 8   |
|             | 2            | 4    | 6  | 14      | 15 | 19  | 8    | 14 | 7       | 6  | 5   | 8    | 9  | 16      | 15 | 13  | 8    | 9  | 8       | 8  | 7   |
|             | 3            | 4    | 4  | 20      | 20 | 20  | 7    | 9  | 6       | 5  | 4   | 8    | 10 | 17      | 18 | 14  | 10   | 10 | 15      | 15 | 15  |
|             | 4            | 5    | 10 | 9       | 6  | 12  | 6    | 8  | 6       | 5  | 7   | 7    | 8  | 12      | 12 | 9   | 11   | 11 | 14      | 14 | 13  |

| Time Period | Time Segment | EB   |   |         |    |     | NB   |    |         |   |     | WB   |    |         |    |     | SB   |    |         |    |     |
|-------------|--------------|------|---|---------|----|-----|------|----|---------|---|-----|------|----|---------|----|-----|------|----|---------|----|-----|
|             |              | Left |   | Through |    | T/R | Left |    | Through |   | T/R | Left |    | Through |    | T/R | Left |    | Through |    | T/R |
|             |              | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4  | 5   | 6    | 7  | 8       | 9 | 10  | 11   | 12 | 13      | 14 | 15  | 16   | 17 | 18      | 19 | 20  |
|             | Average      | 4    | 6 | 15      | 14 | 18  | 7    | 10 | 6       | 6 | 6   | 7    | 9  | 16      | 16 | 13  | 9    | 10 | 12      | 11 | 11  |

Table F-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – after Study.

| Time Period | EB   |         |     | NB   |         |     | WB   |         |     | SB   |         |     |
|-------------|------|---------|-----|------|---------|-----|------|---------|-----|------|---------|-----|
|             | Left | Through | T/R | Left | Through | T/R | Left | Through | T/R | Left | Through | T/R |
| AM Peak     | 4    | 15      | 16  | 6    | 9       | 8   | 7    | 11      | 11  | 6    | 8       | 8   |
| Off Peak    | 4    | 16      | 19  | 8    | 6       | 7   | 7    | 16      | 14  | 7    | 9       | 8   |
| PM Peak     | 5    | 15      | 18  | 8    | 6       | 6   | 8    | 16      | 13  | 10   | 11      | 11  |

For the after study, at the 66th Street and Ulmerton Rd intersection the longest queues observed were at the EB through movement during the AM peak, with 19 vehicles. For the 66th Street and Park Blvd. intersection, the longest queue observed was of 20 vehicles during the Off peak and the PM peak for the through-right shared lane.

### Comparison of Before and After Queue Lengths for Critical Intersections

The differences in queue length between the before and after measurements are shown in Table F-3.18 to Table F-3.21. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table F-3.18 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd

| Time Period | EB             |                |               |               |               |               | NB            |               |               |               |               |               | WB            |               |               |               |               |               | SB            |               |               |               |          |               | Avg .         |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|
|             | Left           |                | Through       |               |               | Rig ht        | Left          |               | Through       |               |               | Rig ht        | Left          |               | Through       |               |               | Rig ht        | Left          |               | Through       |               |          | Rig ht        |               |
| Lane Number | 1              | 2              | 3             | 4             | 5             | 6             | 7             | 8             | 9             | 10            | 11            | 12            | 13            | 14            | 15            | 16            | 17            | 18            | 19            | 20            | 21            | 22            | 23       | 24            |               |
| AM Peak     | -<br>14.7<br>3 | -<br>15.0<br>5 | -<br>5.4<br>1 | -<br>5.1<br>6 | -<br>5.4<br>3 | 1.9<br>4      | -<br>0.0<br>9 | 0.0<br>3      | -<br>0.2<br>4 | -<br>0.7<br>1 | -<br>2.0<br>6 | 1.5<br>3      | -<br>1.4<br>6 | -<br>1.6<br>1 | -<br>0.2<br>5 | -<br>0.2<br>5 | -<br>1.4<br>4 | -<br>0.1<br>9 | -<br>0.2<br>5 | -<br>1.6<br>1 | -<br>1.7<br>9 | -<br>2.6<br>1 | 0.3<br>9 | -<br>2.0<br>6 | -<br>2.4<br>4 |
| Off Peak    | -<br>5.65      | -<br>4.60      | -<br>6.9<br>7 | -<br>6.6<br>7 | -<br>5.7<br>6 | 2.1<br>8      | -<br>0.7<br>8 | -<br>0.8<br>8 | -<br>5.5<br>8 | -<br>6.6<br>4 | -<br>6.6<br>9 | 0.1<br>0      | -<br>0.0<br>9 | -<br>1.0<br>4 | 6.8<br>9      | 7.2<br>8      | 6.9<br>0      | 1.7<br>1      | 0.0<br>1      | -<br>0.1<br>0 | -<br>2.8<br>4 | 0.9<br>9      | 0.6<br>8 | -<br>2.4<br>3 | -<br>1.2<br>5 |
| PM Peak     | -<br>0.28      | -<br>0.54      | -<br>0.2<br>3 | -<br>0.0<br>4 | 1.6<br>4      | -<br>0.8<br>8 | 0.9<br>8      | 0.2<br>8      | -<br>1.8<br>3 | -<br>2.7<br>5 | -<br>4.4<br>5 | -<br>3.4<br>6 | -<br>1.4<br>9 | -<br>1.4<br>6 | 3.7<br>4      | 3.6<br>3      | 4.5<br>3      | 0.7<br>5      | -<br>0.0<br>9 | -<br>0.3<br>4 | 1.7<br>4      | 3.4<br>0      | 3.5<br>4 | 1.7<br>5      | 0.3<br>4      |

Table F-3.19 Difference in Avg. Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd

| Time Period | EB     |         |       | NB    |         |       | WB    |         |       | SB    |         |       |
|-------------|--------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|             | Left   | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | -14.89 | -5.33   | 1.94  | -0.03 | -1.00   | 1.53  | -1.54 | -0.65   | -0.19 | -0.93 | -1.34   | -2.06 |
| Off Peak    | -5.13  | -6.47   | 2.18  | -0.83 | -6.30   | 0.10  | -0.56 | 7.02    | 1.71  | -0.04 | -0.39   | -2.43 |
| PM Peak     | -0.41  | 0.46    | -0.88 | 0.63  | -3.01   | -3.46 | -1.48 | 3.96    | 0.75  | -0.21 | 2.89    | 1.75  |

Table F-3.20 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd

| Time Period | EB        |           |           |           |           | NB        |           |            |           |            | WB        |           |           |           |           | SB        |           |           |           |            | Average |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|
|             | Left      |           | Through   |           | Right     | Left      |           | Through    |           | Right      | Left      |           | Through   |           | Right     | Left      |           | Through   |           | Right      |         |
| Lane Number | 1         | 2         | 3         | 4         | 5         | 6         | 7         | 8          | 9         | 10         | 11        | 12        | 13        | 14        | 15        | 16        | 17        | 18        | 19        | 20         |         |
| AM Peak     | 0.09      | 0.25      | -<br>2.54 | -<br>2.69 | -<br>0.43 | -<br>1.69 | -<br>1.50 | -9.25      | -<br>9.44 | 7.81       | -<br>0.54 | -<br>0.19 | -<br>0.49 | 2.65      | 1.58      | 0.31      | -<br>3.31 | -<br>7.94 | -<br>8.81 | -8.56      | -2.23   |
| Off Peak    | 0.13      | 0.06      | 8.75      | 8.00      | 5.75      | -<br>0.69 | -<br>5.29 | -<br>10.67 | -<br>8.13 | -<br>11.19 | 0.38      | -<br>0.63 | 6.56      | 6.13      | 10.56     | -<br>2.75 | -<br>1.75 | -<br>9.38 | -<br>9.63 | -<br>10.44 | -1.21   |
| PM Peak     | -<br>2.34 | -<br>3.44 | 5.30      | 4.44      | 7.81      | 0.13      | -<br>2.06 | -8.00      | -<br>8.06 | -7.50      | 2.61      | 2.34      | -<br>0.64 | -<br>1.39 | -<br>5.66 | 2.06      | -<br>0.38 | -<br>7.63 | -<br>8.56 | -8.63      | -1.98   |

Table F-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd

| Time Period | EB        |             |           | NB        |             |            | WB        |             |           | SB        |             |            |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|
|             | Left      | Throug<br>h | Righ<br>t | Left      | Throug<br>h | Right      | Left      | Throug<br>h | Righ<br>t | Left      | Throug<br>h | Right      |
| AM Peak     | 0.17      | -2.61       | -<br>0.43 | -<br>1.59 | -9.34       | 7.81       | -<br>0.36 | 1.08        | 1.58      | -<br>1.50 | -8.38       | -8.56      |
| Off Peak    | 0.09      | 8.38        | 5.75      | -<br>2.99 | -9.40       | -<br>11.19 | -<br>0.13 | 6.34        | 10.5<br>6 | -<br>2.25 | -9.50       | -<br>10.44 |
| PM Peak     | -<br>2.89 | 4.87        | 7.81      | -<br>0.97 | -8.03       | -7.50      | 2.48      | -1.01       | -<br>5.66 | 0.84      | -8.09       | -8.63      |

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of queue lengths:


- The average queue length mostly improved for all approaches at the Ulmerton Rd intersection, especially for the EB approach where the reduction reaches almost 15 vehicles per lane. Through lanes on the WB approach have an increase in average queue length of 7 vehicles/lane. This is consistent with other implementations of InSync, where queues along the coordinated arterial are reduced while the queues on the side streets increase.
- The 66th Street and Park Blvd intersection average queue length mostly improved for the main approaches (NB and SB). The greatest improvement is of 11 vehicles/lane and it occurs for the NB through movement. However, there is an increase in queue lengths for both the EB and WB approaches (maximum increase is 11 vehicles for the WB approach.)
- On average, at the Ulmerton Rd intersection, the queue length decreased for the AM peak and Off peak periods (2.06 and 2.43 veh/lane, respectively) and increased for the PM peak (1.75 veh/lane). At the Park Blvd intersection, the average queue length decreased during all time periods (reductions of 8.56, 10.44 and 8.63 veh/lane during the AM, Off and PM peak, respectively.)

### F.3.4. Queue to Lane Storage Ratio

In addition to queue length, it is important to assess any impact to adjacent lanes or to upstream facilities. The queue to link/lane ratio is used to establish the likelihood of spillback, which is presented in this section by movement and by time period.

The following assumptions are used:

- The storage capacity is estimated as the maximum number of vehicles in the lane.
- The queue to link/lane storage ratio is estimated as 1 if the observer reported “spillback”, and as 0.8 if reported as the maximum number of vehicles visible to the observer.
- Queue to lane storage ratios over 80% are highlighted in yellow, as they represent conditions with a high probability for spillback.



(a) SR-693 (66th Street) and SR 688 (Ulmerton Rd)

(b) SR-693 (66th Street) and SR 694 (Park Boulevard)

Figure F-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections



Before Study (Nov. 9 & Nov.10, 2016)

Table F-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – before Study.

| Time<br>peri<br>od | Time<br>segme<br>nt | EB       |          |          |          |          |           | NB       |          |          |          |          |           | WB       |          |          |          |          |           | SB       |          |          |          |          |           |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
|                    |                     | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht |
| Lane Number        |                     | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6         | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12        | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17       | 18        | 19       | 20       | 21       | 22       | 23       | 24        |
| AM<br>PEAK         | 1                   | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.3<br>5 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.3<br>2 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>4 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.7<br>5 | 0.8<br>1 | 0.6<br>0 | 0.7<br>1 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.1<br>0  |
|                    | 2                   | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>8 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.5<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>8 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.0<br>6  | 0.6<br>3 | 0.8<br>1 | 0.5<br>6 | 0.4<br>5 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.1<br>7  |
|                    | 3                   | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.3<br>1 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.6<br>9 | 0.6<br>7 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.5<br>4 | 0.7<br>0 | 0.4<br>2 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.1<br>3  | 0.6<br>3 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.8<br>4 | 0.7<br>6 | 0.9<br>4 | 0.1<br>3  |
|                    | 4                   | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>8 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.5<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>2 | 0.7<br>0 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.5<br>2 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.5<br>0 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.5<br>7 | 0.1<br>5  |
|                    | Avera<br>ge         | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>1 | 0.4<br>5 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.5<br>4 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>7 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.5<br>7 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.0<br>5  | 0.6<br>3 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.6<br>4 | 0.6<br>0 | 0.6<br>5 | 0.1<br>4  |
| OFF<br>PEAK        | 1                   | 0.6<br>6 | 0.6<br>6 | 0.7<br>3 | 0.6<br>9 | 0.6<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.3<br>8 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.3<br>8 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.6<br>9 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.5<br>6 | 0.5<br>6 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.3<br>8  |
|                    | 2                   | 0.6<br>6 | 0.6<br>7 | 0.8<br>2 | 0.8<br>2 | 0.8<br>3 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.6<br>5 | 0.7<br>1 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.2<br>5  | 0.5<br>4 | 0.6<br>8 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.2<br>7 | 0.3<br>8  | 0.0<br>6 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.5<br>3 | 0.4<br>5 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.4<br>2  |
|                    | 3                   | 0.4<br>8 | 0.4<br>5 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.6<br>0 | 0.5<br>6 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.5<br>6 | 0.5<br>4 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.5<br>2 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>0 | 0.6<br>0 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.3<br>6 | 0.5<br>0  | 0.6<br>9 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.6<br>8 | 0.3<br>6 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.2<br>3  |
|                    | 4                   | 0.2<br>3 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.6<br>4 | 0.6<br>5 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>4 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.4<br>2 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.4<br>2 | 0.2<br>7 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.5<br>6 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.5<br>6 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.3<br>5 | 0.3<br>7  |

| Time<br>peri<br>od | Time<br>segme<br>nt | EB       |          |          |          |          |           | NB       |          |          |          |          |           | WB       |          |          |          |          |           | SB       |          |          |          |          |           |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
|                    |                     | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht |
| Lane Number        |                     | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6         | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12        | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17       | 18        | 19       | 20       | 21       | 22       | 23       | 24        |
|                    | Avera<br>ge         | 0.5<br>1 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.7<br>0 | 0.6<br>9 | 0.6<br>8 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.5<br>1 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.5<br>2 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.4<br>5 | 0.0<br>6  | 0.4<br>3 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.2<br>2  | 0.4<br>7 | 0.3<br>9 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.3<br>5  |
| PM<br>PEAK         | 1                   | 0.4<br>1 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.6<br>6 | 0.7<br>0 | 0.4<br>2  | 0.5<br>6 | 0.6<br>5 | 0.7<br>3 | 0.7<br>2 | 0.7<br>3 | 0.4<br>0  | 0.5<br>6 | 0.7<br>0 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.3<br>9 | 0.3<br>1  | 0.5<br>6 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.3<br>2 | 0.2<br>7 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.1<br>0  |
|                    | 2                   | 0.4<br>5 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.8<br>0 | 0.8<br>1 | 0.6<br>4 | 0.5<br>3  | 0.7<br>5 | 0.8<br>8 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.4<br>2  | 0.4<br>4 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.7<br>2 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.5<br>0  | 0.3<br>1 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.3<br>0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.3<br>6 | 0.2<br>7  |
|                    | 3                   | 0.5<br>1 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.5<br>3  | 0.7<br>9 | 0.7<br>9 | 0.8<br>1 | 0.7<br>8 | 0.7<br>8 | 0.3<br>5  | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.2<br>5  | 0.3<br>4 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.8<br>2 | 0.6<br>9 | 0.6<br>5 | 0.3<br>3  |
|                    | 4                   | 0.3<br>5 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.3<br>6 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.4<br>2  | 0.6<br>3 | 0.7<br>5 | 0.6<br>7 | 0.6<br>8 | 0.6<br>7 | 0.3<br>5  | 0.3<br>3 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.1<br>3  | 0.1<br>9 | 0.4<br>1 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.5<br>3 | 0.2<br>8  |
|                    | Avera<br>ge         | 0.4<br>3 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.4<br>7  | 0.6<br>8 | 0.7<br>7 | 0.7<br>1 | 0.7<br>0 | 0.6<br>8 | 0.3<br>8  | 0.4<br>8 | 0.6<br>2 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.4<br>2 | 0.3<br>0  | 0.3<br>5 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.2<br>4  |

Table F-3.23 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – before Study.

| Time<br>peri<br>od | Time<br>segme<br>nt | #<br>cycl<br>es in<br>15<br>min | EB   |     |         |     |   |           | NB   |   |          |          |          |           | WB   |          |         |    |        |           | SB   |        |         |          |    |           |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|---------|-----|---|-----------|------|---|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------|----------|---------|----|--------|-----------|------|--------|---------|----------|----|-----------|
|                    |                     |                                 | Left |     | Through |     |   | Rig<br>ht | Left |   | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left |          | Through |    |        | Rig<br>ht | Left |        | Through |          |    | Rig<br>ht |
| Lane Number        |                     |                                 | 1    | 2   | 3       | 4   | 5 | 6         | 7    | 8 | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12        | 13   | 14       | 1<br>5  | 16 | 1<br>7 | 18        | 19   | 2<br>0 | 21      | 22       | 23 | 24        |
| AM<br>PEA<br>K     | 1                   | 4                               | 4    | 4   | 4       | 4   | 4 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0         | 1    | 2      | 0       | 0        | 0  | 0         |
|                    | 2                   | 4                               | 4    | 4   | 4       | 4   | 4 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0         | 1    | 2      | 0       | 0        | 0  | 0         |
|                    | 3                   | 4                               | 4    | 4   | 4       | 4   | 4 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 1        | 1        | 1        | 0         | 0    | 1        | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0         | 0    | 0      | 1       | 0        | 3  | 0         |
|                    | 4                   | 4                               | 4    | 4   | 4       | 4   | 4 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0         | 0    | 0      | 0       | 0        | 0  | 0         |
|                    | Average             |                                 | 4    | 4   | 4       | 4   | 4 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>5 | 0         | 0    | 0.2<br>5 | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0         | 0    | 0.5    | 1       | 0.2<br>5 | 0  | 0.7<br>5  |
| OFF<br>PEA<br>K    | 1                   | 4                               | 2    | 2   | 2       | 2   | 2 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0         | 0    | 0      | 0       | 0        | 0  | 0         |
|                    | 2                   | 4                               | 1    | 1   | 2       | 2   | 2 | 0         | 0    | 1 | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1         | 1    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0      | 1         | 0    | 0      | 0       | 0        | 0  | 1         |
|                    | 3                   | 4                               | 0    | 0   | 0       | 0   | 0 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0    | 1        | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0         | 1    | 0      | 0       | 0        | 0  | 0         |
|                    | 4                   | 4                               | 0    | 0   | 0       | 1   | 0 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 1        | 1        | 1        | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0         | 1    | 0      | 0       | 0        | 0  | 0         |
|                    | Average             |                                 | 0.8  | 0.8 | 1       | 1.3 | 1 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0.3      | 0.3      | 0.3      | 0.3       | 0.3  | 0.3      | 0.3     | 0  | 0      | 0         | 0.3  | 0.5    | 0       | 0        | 0  | 0         |
| PM<br>PEA<br>K     | 1                   | 4                               | 0    | 0   | 1       | 1   | 1 | 1         | 0    | 0 | 2        | 2        | 2        | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0         | 0    | 0      | 0       | 0        | 0  | 0         |
|                    | 2                   | 4                               | 0    | 0   | 3       | 3   | 2 | 0         | 1    | 0 | 1        | 1        | 1        | 0         | 0    | 0        | 0       | 1  | 0      | 0         | 0    | 0      | 0       | 0        | 0  | 0         |

| Time<br>peri<br>od | Time<br>segme<br>nt | #<br>cycl<br>es in<br>15<br>min | EB   |   |          |          |   |           | NB       |   |         |    |    |           | WB   |    |         |          |        |           | SB   |        |         |          |          |           |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|------|---|----------|----------|---|-----------|----------|---|---------|----|----|-----------|------|----|---------|----------|--------|-----------|------|--------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|
|                    |                     |                                 | Left |   | Through  |          |   | Rig<br>ht | Left     |   | Through |    |    | Rig<br>ht | Left |    | Through |          |        | Rig<br>ht | Left |        | Through |          |          | Rig<br>ht |
| Lane Number        |                     |                                 | 1    | 2 | 3        | 4        | 5 | 6         | 7        | 8 | 9       | 10 | 11 | 12        | 13   | 14 | 1<br>5  | 16       | 1<br>7 | 18        | 19   | 2<br>0 | 21      | 22       | 23       | 24        |
|                    | 3                   | 4                               | 0    | 0 | 1        | 1        | 1 | 0         | 0        | 0 | 3       | 3  | 3  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0        | 0      | 0         | 0    | 0      | 1       | 1        | 0        | 0         |
|                    | 4                   | 4                               | 0    | 0 | 0        | 0        | 0 | 0         | 0        | 0 | 2       | 2  | 2  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0        | 0      | 0         | 0    | 0      | 0       | 0        | 0        | 0         |
|                    | Average             |                                 | 0    | 0 | 1.2<br>5 | 1.2<br>5 | 1 | 0.2<br>5  | 0.2<br>5 | 0 | 2       | 2  | 2  | 0         | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0.2<br>5 | 0      | 0         | 0    | 0      | 0       | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>5 | 0         |

Table F-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – before Study.

| Time<br>period | Time<br>segmen<br>t | EB   |      |         |      |      | NB   |      |         |      |      | WB   |      |         |      |      | SB   |      |         |      |      |
|----------------|---------------------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|
|                |                     | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  |
| Lane Number    |                     | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15   | 16   | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20   |
| AM<br>PEAK     | 1                   | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.68    | 0.77 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.95    | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.51    | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.54    | 0.61 | 0.58 |
|                | 2                   | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.78    | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.94    | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.79    | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.89    | 0.93 | 0.98 |
|                | 3                   | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.93    | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.61 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.60    | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 0.68 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                | 4                   | 0.53 | 0.35 | 0.74    | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.75    | 0.83 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.48    | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.84    | 0.89 | 0.81 |

| Time<br>period | Time<br>segmen<br>t | EB   |      |         |      |      | NB   |      |         |      |      | WB   |      |         |      |      | SB   |      |         |      |      |
|----------------|---------------------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|
|                |                     | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  |
| Lane Number    |                     | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15   | 16   | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20   |
|                | Average             | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.78    | 0.82 | 0.75 | 0.54 | 0.39 | 0.91    | 0.93 | 0.02 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.59    | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.82    | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| OFF<br>PEAK    | 1                   | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.28    | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.85    | 0.70 | 0.90 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.53    | 0.58 | 0.21 | 0.54 | 0.39 | 0.88    | 0.90 | 0.79 |
|                | 2                   | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.32    | 0.30 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.69 | 0.58    | 0.44 | 0.78 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.36    | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.77 | 0.49 | 0.88    | 0.89 | 0.93 |
|                | 3                   | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.30    | 0.42 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.89    | 0.71 | 0.95 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.45    | 0.49 | 0.10 | 0.77 | 0.56 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                | 4                   | 0.53 | 0.40 | 0.33    | 0.36 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.84 | 0.98    | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.47 | 0.44 | 0.48    | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.62 | 0.36 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                | Average             | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.31    | 0.35 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.82    | 0.69 | 0.89 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.45    | 0.48 | 0.17 | 0.67 | 0.45 | 0.94    | 0.95 | 0.93 |
| PM<br>PEAK     | 1                   | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.55    | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.46 | 0.58 | 0.65    | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.32 | 0.53 | 0.89    | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.67 | 0.79 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                | 2                   | 0.88 | 0.79 | 0.35    | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.94    | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.74    | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.94    | 1.00 | 0.96 |
|                | 3                   | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.45    | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.78    | 0.76 | 0.65 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.85    | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                | 4                   | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.45    | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0.49    | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.81    | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.52 | 0.38 | 0.90    | 0.98 | 0.94 |

| Time period | Time segment | EB   |      |         |      |      | NB   |      |         |      |      | WB   |      |         |      |      | SB   |      |         |      |      |
|-------------|--------------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|
|             |              | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  | Left |      | Through |      | T/R  |
| Lane Number |              | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15   | 16   | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20   |
|             | Average      | 0.80 | 0.77 | 0.45    | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.71    | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.82    | 0.86 | 0.94 | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.96    | 0.99 | 0.98 |

Table F-3.25 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – before Study.

| Time period | Time segment | # cycles in 15 min | EB   |   |         |   |     | NB   |     |         |      |     | WB   |      |         |    |     | SB   |     |         |     |     |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------|---|---------|---|-----|------|-----|---------|------|-----|------|------|---------|----|-----|------|-----|---------|-----|-----|
|             |              |                    | Left |   | Through |   | T/R | Left |     | Through |      | T/R | Left |      | Through |    | T/R | Left |     | Through |     | T/R |
| Lane Number |              |                    | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5   | 6    | 7   | 8       | 9    | 10  | 11   | 12   | 13      | 14 | 15  | 16   | 17  | 18      | 19  | 20  |
| AM PEAK     | 1            | 4                  | 0    | 0 | 1       | 1 | 1   | 0    | 0   | 3       | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0       | 0   | 0   |
|             | 2            | 4                  | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0   | 3       | 3    | 0   | 1    | 1    | 10      | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 2       | 2   | 3   |
|             | 3            | 4                  | 1    | 0 | 3       | 3 | 3   | 3    | 0   | 4       | 4    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0    | 2   | 4       | 4   | 4   |
|             | 4            | 4                  | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0   | 2       | 2    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 2       | 2   | 1   |
|             | Average      |                    | 0.25 | 0 | 1       | 1 | 1   | 0.75 | 0   | 3       | 2.25 | 0   | 0.25 | 0.25 | 2.5     | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0.5 | 2       | 2   | 2   |
| OFF PEAK    | 1            | 4                  | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0   | 2       | 2    | 2   | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 3       | 3   | 1   |
|             | 2            | 4                  | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 1   | 0       | 0    | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 3       | 3   | 3   |
|             | 3            | 4                  | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0   | 1       | 0    | 3   | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 4       | 4   | 4   |
|             | 4            | 4                  | 1    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0   | 3       | 2    | 2   | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 4       | 4   | 4   |
|             | Average      |                    | 0.3  | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0.3 | 1.5     | 1    | 1.8 | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0   | 3.5     | 3.5 | 3   |

| Time period | Time segment | # cycles in 15 min | EB   |      |         |   |     | NB   |   |         |      |      | WB   |    |         |    |      | SB   |    |         |     |     |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------|------|---------|---|-----|------|---|---------|------|------|------|----|---------|----|------|------|----|---------|-----|-----|
|             |              |                    | Left |      | Through |   | T/R | Left |   | Through |      | T/R  | Left |    | Through |    | T/R  | Left |    | Through |     | T/R |
| Lane Number |              |                    | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4 | 5   | 6    | 7 | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12 | 13      | 14 | 15   | 16   | 17 | 18      | 19  | 20  |
| PM PEAK     | 1            | 4                  | 1    | 2    | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0  | 2       | 2  | 2    | 0    | 0  | 4       | 4   | 4   |
|             | 2            | 4                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0 | 3       | 3    | 3    | 0    | 0  | 1       | 2  | 2    | 0    | 0  | 3       | 3   | 3   |
|             | 3            | 4                  | 1    | 1    | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 4    | 0    | 0  | 4       | 4   | 4   |
|             | 4            | 4                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0  | 1       | 3  | 0    | 0    | 0  | 3       | 3   | 3   |
|             | Average      |                    | 0.5  | 0.75 | 0       | 0 | 0   | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0  | 0       | 1  | 1.75 | 2    | 0  | 0       | 3.5 | 3.5 |

After Study (May 16 & May 17, 2017)

Table F-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – after Study

| Time Period    |   | EB       |          |          |          |          | NB       |          |          |          |          |          | WB       |          |          |          |          |          | SB       |          |          |          |          |          |        |
|----------------|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
|                |   | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig ht   | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig ht   | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig ht   | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Righ t |
| Lane Number    |   | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6        | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17       | 18       | 19       | 20       | 21       | 22       | 23       | 24     |
| AM<br>Pea<br>k | 1 | 0.3<br>6 | 0.3<br>5 | 0.8<br>6 | 0.8<br>8 | 0.8<br>6 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.3<br>0 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.5<br>3 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.4<br>5 | 0.3<br>0 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.7<br>3 | 0.00   |
|                | 2 | 0.3<br>5 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.8<br>4 | 0.8<br>4 | 0.7<br>6 | 0.0<br>7 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.7<br>4 | 0.7<br>0 | 0.6<br>0 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.5<br>4 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.6<br>9 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.8<br>1 | 0.00   |
|                | 3 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.6<br>5 | 0.6<br>7 | 0.6<br>8 | 0.3<br>6 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.6<br>1 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.5<br>4 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.3<br>9 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.5<br>2 | 0.4<br>2 | 0.5<br>3 | 0.00   |

| Time Period |         | EB   |      |         |      |      | NB     |      |      |         |      |      | WB     |      |      |         |      |      | SB     |      |      |         |      |      |        |
|-------------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|--------|
|             |         | Left |      | Through |      |      | Rig ht | Left |      | Through |      |      | Rig ht | Left |      | Through |      |      | Rig ht | Left |      | Through |      |      | Righ t |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6      | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11   | 12     | 13   | 14   | 15      | 16   | 17   | 18     | 19   | 20   | 21      | 22   | 23   | 24     |
|             | 4       | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.67    | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.31   | 0.37 | 0.49 | 0.55    | 0.54 | 0.40 | 0.13   | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.50    | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.00   | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.58    | 0.39 | 0.60 | 0.00   |
|             | Average | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.75    | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.22   | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.60    | 0.57 | 0.47 | 0.13   | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.48    | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.00   | 0.56 | 0.43 | 0.57    | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.00   |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.35    | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.22   | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.23    | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.05   | 0.53 | 0.66 | 0.71    | 0.85 | 0.65 | 0.65   | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.46    | 0.40 | 0.57 | 0.22   |
|             | 2       | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.31    | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.06   | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.16    | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.05   | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.85    | 1.00 | 0.73 | 0.81   | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.52    | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.10   |
|             | 3       | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.45    | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.33   | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.34    | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.08   | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.86    | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.63   | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.45    | 0.41 | 0.53 | 0.25   |
|             | 4       | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.61    | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.36   | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.64    | 0.46 | 0.41 | 0.10   | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.61    | 0.70 | 0.56 | 0.50   | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.31    | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.19   |
|             | Average | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.43    | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.24   | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.34    | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.07   | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.76    | 0.90 | 0.67 | 0.65   | 0.47 | 0.38 | 0.43    | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.19   |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.62    | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.36   | 1.00 | 0.90 | 0.71    | 0.68 | 0.58 | 0.06   | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.40    | 0.50 | 0.36 | 0.15   | 0.56 | 0.41 | 0.30    | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.33   |
|             | 2       | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.73    | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.31   | 0.62 | 0.66 | 0.86    | 0.75 | 0.66 | 0.12   | 0.42 | 0.60 | 0.67    | 0.78 | 0.63 | 0.69   | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.57    | 0.55 | 0.72 | 0.35   |
|             | 3       | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.62    | 0.61 | 0.77 | 0.49   | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.61    | 0.59 | 0.51 | 0.10   | 0.40 | 0.48 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85   | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.66    | 0.70 | 0.85 | 0.42   |



| Time Period |         | EB   |      |         |      |      |        | NB   |      |         |      |      |        | WB   |      |         |      |      |        | SB   |      |         |      |      |        |
|-------------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|------|--------|
|             |         | Left |      | Through |      |      | Rig ht | Left |      | Through |      |      | Rig ht | Left |      | Through |      |      | Rig ht | Left |      | Through |      |      | Righ t |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6      | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11   | 12     | 13   | 14   | 15      | 16   | 17   | 18     | 19   | 20   | 21      | 22   | 23   | 24     |
|             | 4       | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.47    | 0.47 | 0.57 | 0.27   | 0.60 | 0.77 | 0.56    | 0.54 | 0.43 | 0.08   | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.53    | 0.69 | 0.56 | 0.25   | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.61    | 0.52 | 0.63 | 0.32   |
|             | Average | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.61    | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.35   | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.69    | 0.64 | 0.54 | 0.09   | 0.37 | 0.49 | 0.72    | 0.85 | 0.66 | 0.48   | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.53    | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.35   |

Table F-3.27 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – After Study

| Time Period | Time Segment | # Cycle<br>s in<br>15 mins | EB   |   |         |   |       |      | NB |         |   |       |      |    | WB      |    |       |      |      |         | SB |       |    |    |    |     |
|-------------|--------------|----------------------------|------|---|---------|---|-------|------|----|---------|---|-------|------|----|---------|----|-------|------|------|---------|----|-------|----|----|----|-----|
|             |              |                            | Left |   | Through |   | Right | Left |    | Through |   | Right | Left |    | Through |    | Right | Left |      | Through |    | Right |    |    |    |     |
| Lane Number |              |                            | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5     | 6    | 7  | 8       | 9 | 10    | 11   | 12 | 13      | 14 | 15    | 16   | 17   | 18      | 19 | 20    | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24  |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 5                          | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0   |
|             | 2            | 5                          | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 1  | 0     | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0   |
|             | 3            | 5                          | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 1 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 1    | 0    | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   |
|             | 4            | 5                          | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 1  | 0     | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   |
|             | Average      |                            | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0 | 0.25  | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0.25 | 0       | 0  | 0.5   | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0.5 |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 5                          | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 1    | 0    | 2       | 2  | 0     | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   |
|             | 2            | 5                          | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 2     | 2    | 1    | 2       | 0  | 0     | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0   |

| Time Period | Time Segment | # Cycle s in 15 mins | EB   |   |         |   |   | NB    |      |      |         |      | WB |       |      |    |         | SB   |      |       |      |    |         |    |      |       |
|-------------|--------------|----------------------|------|---|---------|---|---|-------|------|------|---------|------|----|-------|------|----|---------|------|------|-------|------|----|---------|----|------|-------|
|             |              |                      | Left |   | Through |   |   | Right | Left |      | Through |      |    | Right | Left |    | Through |      |      | Right | Left |    | Through |    |      | Right |
| Lane Number |              |                      | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5 | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9       | 10   | 11 | 12    | 13   | 14 | 15      | 16   | 17   | 18    | 19   | 20 | 21      | 22 | 23   | 24    |
|             | 3            | 4                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 2       | 2    | 1    | 1     | 1    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0    | 0     |
|             | 4            | 5                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 1    | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0    | 0     |
|             | Average      |                      | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0.25 | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 1       | 1.25 | 0.5  | 1.25  | 0.75 | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0    | 0     |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 5                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 3    | 2    | 1       | 0    | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 1    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0    | 0     |
|             | 2            | 5                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0    | 2       | 1    | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 1    | 0    | 1     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 1    | 0     |
|             | 3            | 5                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 1     | 1    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 5       | 5    | 5    | 3     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 2    | 0     |
|             | 4            | 5                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0    | 1    | 0       | 0    | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0    | 0     |
|             | Average      |                      | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0.25  | 1    | 0.75 | 0.75    | 0.25 | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 1.25    | 1.75 | 1.25 | 1     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0.75 | 0     |

Table F-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – After Study

| Time Period |   | EB   |      |         |      |       | NB   |      |         |      |       | WB   |      |         |      |       | SB   |      |         |      |       |
|-------------|---|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|
|             |   | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right |
| Lane Number |   | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10    | 11   | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15    | 16   | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20    |
| AM Peak     | 1 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.58    | 0.60 | 0.56  | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.48    | 0.46 | 0.43  | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.34    | 0.43 | 0.39  | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.31    | 0.31 | 0.33  |

| Time Period |         | EB   |      |         |      |       | NB   |      |         |      |       | WB   |      |         |      |       | SB   |      |         |      |       |
|-------------|---------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10    | 11   | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15    | 16   | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20    |
|             | 2       | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.75    | 0.78 | 0.76  | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.54    | 0.61 | 0.51  | 0.60 | 0.54 | 0.45    | 0.65 | 0.68  | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.41    | 0.41 | 0.39  |
|             | 3       | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.66    | 0.67 | 0.78  | 0.56 | 0.40 | 0.40    | 0.39 | 0.30  | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.23    | 0.48 | 0.44  | 0.48 | 0.29 | 0.41    | 0.40 | 0.36  |
|             | 4       | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.68    | 0.72 | 0.82  | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.38    | 0.38 | 0.39  | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.25    | 0.73 | 0.73  | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.54    | 0.54 | 0.58  |
|             | Average | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.67    | 0.69 | 0.73  | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.45    | 0.46 | 0.41  | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.32    | 0.57 | 0.56  | 0.41 | 0.29 | 0.42    | 0.42 | 0.41  |
| Off Peak    | 1       | 0.56 | 0.40 | 0.67    | 0.69 | 0.91  | 0.52 | 0.35 | 0.18    | 0.14 | 0.19  | 0.52 | 0.39 | 0.39    | 0.83 | 0.74  | 0.60 | 0.45 | 0.55    | 0.53 | 0.36  |
|             | 2       | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.56    | 0.56 | 0.74  | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.27    | 0.30 | 0.40  | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.36    | 0.71 | 0.58  | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.45    | 0.49 | 0.40  |
|             | 3       | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.91    | 0.91 | 0.90  | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.43    | 0.45 | 0.46  | 0.43 | 0.32 | 0.33    | 0.64 | 0.56  | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.45    | 0.45 | 0.39  |
|             | 4       | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.68    | 0.70 | 0.90  | 0.60 | 0.44 | 0.29    | 0.25 | 0.29  | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.26    | 0.98 | 0.93  | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.43    | 0.40 | 0.48  |
|             | Average | 0.48 | 0.41 | 0.70    | 0.72 | 0.86  | 0.55 | 0.41 | 0.29    | 0.28 | 0.33  | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.33    | 0.79 | 0.70  | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.47    | 0.47 | 0.41  |
| PM Peak     | 1       | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0.83    | 0.77 | 0.91  | 0.52 | 0.38 | 0.35    | 0.45 | 0.48  | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.31    | 0.91 | 0.83  | 0.65 | 0.44 | 0.46    | 0.43 | 0.40  |

| Time Period |         | EB   |      |         |      |       | NB   |      |         |      |       | WB   |      |         |      |       | SB   |      |         |      |       |
|-------------|---------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|------|-------|
|             |         | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |      | Right |
| Lane Number |         | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10    | 11   | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15    | 16   | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20    |
|             | 2       | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.63    | 0.66 | 0.86  | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.35    | 0.31 | 0.23  | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.38    | 0.76 | 0.66  | 0.63 | 0.46 | 0.40    | 0.39 | 0.36  |
|             | 3       | 0.53 | 0.33 | 0.91    | 0.91 | 0.91  | 0.52 | 0.39 | 0.28    | 0.23 | 0.20  | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.40    | 0.88 | 0.70  | 0.79 | 0.50 | 0.75    | 0.75 | 0.75  |
|             | 4       | 0.56 | 0.79 | 0.41    | 0.26 | 0.55  | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.28    | 0.26 | 0.35  | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.33    | 0.60 | 0.44  | 0.83 | 0.56 | 0.70    | 0.70 | 0.66  |
|             | Average | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.69    | 0.65 | 0.81  | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.31    | 0.31 | 0.31  | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.79    | 0.79 | 0.66  | 0.73 | 0.49 | 0.58    | 0.57 | 0.54  |

Table F-3.29 Number of Cycles with Spillback at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – After Study

| Time Period | Time Segme nt | # Cycle s in 15 mins | EB   |   |         |   |        | NB   |   |         |   |        | WB   |    |         |    |        | SB   |    |         |    |       |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|------|---|---------|---|--------|------|---|---------|---|--------|------|----|---------|----|--------|------|----|---------|----|-------|
|             |               |                      | Left |   | Through |   | Righ t | Left |   | Through |   | Righ t | Left |    | Through |    | Righ t | Left |    | Through |    | Right |
| Lane Number |               |                      | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5      | 6    | 7 | 8       | 9 | 10     | 11   | 12 | 13      | 14 | 15     | 16   | 17 | 18      | 19 | 20    |
| AM Peak     | 1             | 4                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0      | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0      | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     |
|             | 2             | 4                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0      | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0      | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     |
|             | 3             | 5                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0      | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0      | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0      | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     |
|             | 4             | 4                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0      | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0      | 0    | 0  | 1       | 1  | 1      | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     |

| Time Period | Time Segme nt | # Cycle s in 15 mins | EB   |      |         |      |        | NB   |      |         |      |        | WB   |      |         |      |        | SB   |      |         |      |       |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|------|------|---------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|--------|------|------|---------|------|-------|
|             |               |                      | Left |      | Through |      | Righ t | Left |      | Through |      | Righ t | Left |      | Through |      | Righ t | Left |      | Through |      | Right |
| Lane Number |               |                      | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5      | 6    | 7    | 8       | 9    | 10     | 11   | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15     | 16   | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20    |
|             | Average       |                      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25    | 0.25 | 0.25   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| Off Peak    | 1             | 4                    | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 1       | 1    | 1      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0     |
|             | 2             | 4                    | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 1       | 1    | 1      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0     |
|             | 3             | 4                    | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 1       | 1    | 1      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0     |
|             | 4             | 4                    | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 3       | 3    | 3      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0     |
|             | Average       |                      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.50    | 1.50 | 1.50   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |
| PM Peak     | 1             | 5                    | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 4       | 3    | 2      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0     |
|             | 2             | 5                    | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 1       | 1    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0     |
|             | 3             | 4                    | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 2       | 2    | 1      | 1    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0     |
|             | 4             | 4                    | 0    | 1    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0      | 1    | 0    | 0       | 0    | 0     |
|             | Average       |                      | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.75    | 1.50 | 0.75   | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00  |

### Comparisons of Before and After Queue Storage Ratios

The differences in Queue Storage Ratio between before and after measurements are shown in Table F-3.30 and F-3.31. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table F-3.30 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd

| Time Period | EB            |               |               |               |               |               | NB            |               |               |               |               |               | WB            |               |               |               |               |               | SB            |               |               |               |          |               | Avg .         |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|
|             | Left          |               | Through       |               |               | Rig ht        | Left          |               | Through       |               |               | Rig ht        | Left          |               | Through       |               |               | Rig ht        | Left          |               | Through       |               |          | Rig ht        |               |
| Lane Number | 1             | 2             | 3             | 4             | 5             | 6             | 7             | 8             | 9             | 10            | 11            | 12            | 13            | 14            | 15            | 16            | 17            | 18            | 19            | 20            | 21            | 22            | 23       | 24            |               |
| AM Peak     | -<br>0.6<br>7 | -<br>0.6<br>8 | -<br>0.2<br>5 | -<br>0.2<br>3 | -<br>0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>2      | -<br>0.0<br>1 | 0.0<br>0      | -<br>0.0<br>1 | -<br>0.0<br>4 | -<br>0.1<br>0 | 0.1<br>3      | -<br>0.1<br>2 | -<br>0.1<br>6 | -<br>0.0<br>1 | -<br>0.0<br>2 | -<br>0.0<br>7 | -<br>0.0<br>5 | -<br>0.0<br>6 | -<br>0.2<br>0 | -<br>0.0<br>7 | -<br>0.1<br>1 | 0.0<br>2 | -<br>0.1<br>4 | -<br>0.1<br>2 |
| Off Peak    | -<br>0.2<br>6 | -<br>0.2<br>1 | -<br>0.3<br>2 | -<br>0.3<br>0 | -<br>0.2<br>6 | 0.2<br>4      | -<br>0.0<br>6 | -<br>0.0<br>7 | -<br>0.2<br>8 | -<br>0.3<br>3 | -<br>0.3<br>3 | 0.0<br>1      | -<br>0.0<br>1 | -<br>0.1<br>0 | 0.3<br>8      | 0.4<br>5      | 0.3<br>3      | 0.4<br>3      | 0.0<br>0      | -<br>0.0<br>1 | -<br>0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>4      | 0.0<br>4 | -<br>0.1<br>6 | -<br>0.0<br>4 |
| PM Peak     | -<br>0.0<br>1 | -<br>0.0<br>2 | -<br>0.0<br>1 | 0.0<br>0      | 0.0<br>7      | -<br>0.1<br>0 | 0.0<br>8      | 0.0<br>2      | -<br>0.0<br>9 | -<br>0.1<br>4 | 0.2<br>2      | -<br>0.2<br>9 | -<br>0.1<br>2 | -<br>0.1<br>5 | 0.2<br>1      | 0.2<br>3      | 0.2<br>2      | 0.1<br>9      | -<br>0.0<br>2 | -<br>0.0<br>4 | 0.0<br>7      | 0.1<br>4      | 0.2<br>0 | 0.1<br>2      | 0.0<br>1      |

Table F-3.31 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd

| Time Period | EB   |       |         |      |       | NB    |       |         |      |       | WB   |       |         |       |       | SB    |       |         |       |       | Avg  |
|-------------|------|-------|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|---------|------|-------|------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------|
|             | Left |       | Through |      | Right | Left  |       | Through |      | Right | Left |       | Through |       | Right | Left  |       | Through |       | Right |      |
| Lane Number | 1    | 2     | 3       | 4    | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8       | 9    | 10    | 11   | 12    | 13      | 14    | 15    | 16    | 17    | 18      | 19    | 20    |      |
| AM Peak     | 0.10 | -0.15 | 0.12    | 0.14 | 0.73  | -0.05 | 0.10  | 0.22    | 0.16 | 0.32  | 0.47 | -0.33 | -0.13   | -0.11 | -0.15 | -0.31 | 0.41  | 0.01    | -0.02 | -0.64 | 0.04 |
| Off Peak    | 0.20 | -0.06 | 0.19    | 0.18 | 0.71  | 0.01  | -0.11 | 0.07    | 0.10 | 0.32  | 0.16 | 0.01  | 0.46    | 0.18  | 0.03  | -0.11 | 0.39  | 0.14    | 0.11  | -0.36 | 0.13 |
| PM Peak     | 0.23 | 0.01  | 0.18    | 0.11 | 0.72  | 0.04  | -0.09 | 0.10    | 0.08 | 0.34  | 0.21 | 0.19  | 0.46    | 0.30  | 0.39  | 0.04  | -0.14 | 0.22    | 0.00  | -0.59 | 0.14 |

### Discussion

The following are concluded from the comparison of queue storage ratios:

- Overall, the queue storage ratios have improved for the two critical intersections.
- The queue storage ratios for the EB left at Ulmerton Road significantly improved, particularly for the AM peak. However, the WB through and right movements have an increase in the queue storage ratios, especially for the off-peak period.
- The Park Boulevard intersection shows moderate changes during all periods from all approaches, but there is a significant improvement on queue storage ratios for the SB right movement during all periods, and a deterioration for the EB right during all periods.
- There is reduction in the number of cycles with spillback at both critical intersections, particularly for Ulmerton Road at the EB approach and, for Park Boulevard at the main approaches (NB and SB) throughout the day. Since the Insync adaptive system does not use traditional cycle lengths, the approaches with spillbacks or longer queues could be served on multiple occasions.

### F.3.5. Equivalent PCE Flows

Traffic flows were counted manually and converted to equivalent PCE flows (pce/hour) by considering the percentage of heavy vehicles. It was assumed that the PCE for trucks is 2.

#### Truck Percentage Observations

Table F-3.32 Truck Percentages at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd.

| Period   | Interval       | NB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | EB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | Ave.         |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 4.41%        | 5.00%        | 6.56%        | 0.00%        | 0.43%        | 5.83%        | 7.02%        | 3.87%        | 1.79%        | 7.69%        | 5.53%        | 18.18%       | 5.53%        |
|          | 2              | 8.62%        | 3.35%        | 1.89%        | 0.00%        | 1.23%        | 3.85%        | 2.50%        | 3.28%        | 3.03%        | 0.00%        | 4.14%        | 0.00%        | 2.66%        |
|          | 3              | 12.00%       | 6.06%        | 2.63%        | 0.00%        | 2.13%        | 0.00%        | 5.19%        | 5.96%        | 1.67%        | 2.33%        | 6.37%        | 11.11%       | 4.62%        |
|          | 4              | 2.90%        | 4.91%        | 0.00%        | 5.13%        | 0.99%        | 2.88%        | 0.00%        | 5.64%        | 13.04%       | 4.35%        | 4.86%        | 6.25%        | 4.25%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>6.98%</b> | <b>4.83%</b> | <b>2.77%</b> | <b>1.28%</b> | <b>1.19%</b> | <b>3.14%</b> | <b>3.68%</b> | <b>4.69%</b> | <b>4.88%</b> | <b>3.59%</b> | <b>5.23%</b> | <b>8.89%</b> | <b>4.26%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1              | 4.48%        | 2.03%        | 7.69%        | 5.26%        | 3.60%        | 4.05%        | 1.49%        | 8.31%        | 1.72%        | 6.25%        | 5.74%        | 3.85%        | 4.54%        |
|          | 2              | 1.30%        | 2.82%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.95%        | 3.75%        | 9.09%        | 8.75%        | 1.79%        | 1.72%        | 7.25%        | 5.26%        | 3.64%        |
|          | 3              | 7.02%        | 3.87%        | 8.33%        | 5.00%        | 3.42%        | 2.99%        | 7.32%        | 9.47%        | 5.71%        | 0.00%        | 5.13%        | 0.00%        | 4.85%        |
|          | 4              | 3.33%        | 3.39%        | 10.42%       | 4.00%        | 3.05%        | 8.47%        | 2.70%        | 6.82%        | 3.85%        | 3.45%        | 4.70%        | 5.88%        | 5.01%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>4.03%</b> | <b>3.03%</b> | <b>6.61%</b> | <b>3.57%</b> | <b>3.00%</b> | <b>4.82%</b> | <b>5.15%</b> | <b>8.34%</b> | <b>3.27%</b> | <b>2.86%</b> | <b>5.71%</b> | <b>3.75%</b> | <b>4.51%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 4.35%        | 2.22%        | 5.56%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.65%        | 0.94%        | 3.57%        | 1.41%        | 1.92%        | 2.67%        | 0.00%        | 2.27%        |
|          | 2              | 1.19%        | 1.22%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.12%        | 4.21%        | 1.64%        | 2.47%        | 1.28%        | 2.94%        | 1.70%        | 0.00%        | 1.48%        |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 0.40%        | 2.27%        | 0.00%        | 1.97%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.18%        | 0.00%        | 4.41%        | 2.11%        | 0.00%        | 1.11%        |



| Period | Interval       | NB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | EB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | Ave.         |
|--------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|        |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
|        | 4              | 3.03%        | 0.98%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.63%        | 0.00%        | 0.84%        | 2.58%        | 0.00%        | 2.08%        | 1.61%        | 2.33%        | 1.26%        |
|        | <b>Average</b> | <b>2.14%</b> | <b>1.21%</b> | <b>1.96%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.18%</b> | <b>2.22%</b> | <b>0.86%</b> | <b>2.70%</b> | <b>0.67%</b> | <b>2.84%</b> | <b>2.02%</b> | <b>0.58%</b> | <b>1.53%</b> |

Table F-3.33 Truck Percentages at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd.

| Period   | Interval       | NB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | EB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | Ave.         |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 0.93%        | 0.00%        | 3.64%        | 0.00%        | 2.70%        | 0.00%        | 1.78%        | 8.11%        | 2.50%        | 6.22%        | 4.00%        | 2.49%        |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 1.09%        | 3.85%        | 2.82%        | 4.93%        | 5.13%        | 0.00%        | 2.58%        | 6.98%        | 3.92%        | 3.21%        | 6.25%        | 3.40%        |
|          | 3              | 2.44%        | 1.78%        | 1.75%        | 4.29%        | 3.05%        | 3.23%        | 2.17%        | 1.16%        | 7.14%        | 2.04%        | 3.77%        | 2.63%        | 2.95%        |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 3.30%        | 6.25%        | 2.74%        | 2.67%        | 6.67%        | 7.14%        | 1.09%        | 7.02%        | 1.85%        | 6.85%        | 2.78%        | 4.03%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.61%</b> | <b>1.77%</b> | <b>2.96%</b> | <b>3.37%</b> | <b>2.66%</b> | <b>4.43%</b> | <b>2.33%</b> | <b>1.65%</b> | <b>7.31%</b> | <b>2.58%</b> | <b>5.01%</b> | <b>3.91%</b> | <b>3.22%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1              | 0.00%        | 3.55%        | 2.78%        | 2.86%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.75%        | 2.76%        | 4.17%        | 1.59%        | 2.73%        | 2.63%        | 2.07%        |
|          | 2              | 1.69%        | 2.70%        | 13.04%       | 3.57%        | 2.29%        | 2.00%        | 1.75%        | 5.41%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.03%        | 2.63%        | 3.01%        |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.65%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.92%        | 1.35%        | 1.82%        | 0.00%        | 3.10%        | 1.61%        | 1.37%        |
|          | 4              | 3.41%        | 2.13%        | 4.00%        | 1.65%        | 3.21%        | 0.00%        | 2.33%        | 5.26%        | 0.00%        | 4.92%        | 0.78%        | 6.67%        | 2.86%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>1.28%</b> | <b>2.10%</b> | <b>6.12%</b> | <b>2.02%</b> | <b>1.37%</b> | <b>0.50%</b> | <b>2.44%</b> | <b>3.69%</b> | <b>1.50%</b> | <b>1.63%</b> | <b>1.91%</b> | <b>3.39%</b> | <b>2.33%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 1.18%        | 2.42%        | 4.65%        | 0.00%        | 2.33%        | 0.00%        | 1.69%        | 3.63%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.53%        | 16.13%       | 2.80%        |

| Period | Interval | NB    |       |       | SB    |       |       | EB    |       |       | WB    |       |       | Ave.  |
|--------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|        |          | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right |       |
|        | 2        | 1.56% | 0.53% | 0.00% | 4.00% | 0.92% | 0.00% | 1.69% | 3.33% | 0.00% | 1.37% | 1.11% | 3.85% | 1.53% |
|        | 3        | 0.00% | 1.38% | 2.13% | 0.00% | 0.43% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.58% | 1.43% | 0.00% | 0.68% | 2.44% | 0.84% |
|        | 4        | 3.30% | 1.86% | 4.88% | 0.00% | 1.16% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.86% | 1.35% | 0.00% | 0.23% | 4.88% | 1.63% |
|        | Average  | 1.51% | 1.55% | 2.91% | 1.00% | 1.21% | 0.00% | 0.85% | 2.60% | 0.69% | 0.34% | 0.89% | 6.82% | 1.70% |

*Before Study (Nov. 9 & Nov. 10, 2016)*

Table F-3.34 PCE Flow Rates (pce/15 min and pce/hour) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd.

| Time Period (15 min) |           | NB   |      |       | SB   |      |       | EB   |      |       | WB   |      |       |
|----------------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|                      |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak              | 1         | 71   | 168  | 65    | 38   | 234  | 109   | 61   | 403  | 57    | 42   | 267  | 13    |
|                      | 2         | 63   | 216  | 54    | 37   | 247  | 108   | 82   | 409  | 68    | 67   | 352  | 8     |
|                      | 3         | 28   | 210  | 39    | 15   | 144  | 66    | 81   | 391  | 61    | 44   | 217  | 10    |
|                      | 4         | 71   | 171  | 64    | 41   | 204  | 107   | 125  | 356  | 78    | 48   | 259  | 17    |
|                      | Flow Rate | 233  | 765  | 222   | 131  | 829  | 390   | 349  | 1559 | 264   | 201  | 1095 | 48    |
| Off Peak             | 1         | 70   | 151  | 42    | 20   | 144  | 77    | 68   | 352  | 59    | 51   | 221  | 27    |
|                      | 2         | 78   | 146  | 33    | 23   | 157  | 83    | 60   | 323  | 57    | 59   | 281  | 20    |
|                      | 3         | 61   | 161  | 39    | 21   | 151  | 69    | 88   | 370  | 74    | 53   | 287  | 30    |

| Time Period (15 min) |           | NB   |      |       | SB   |      |       | EB   |      |       | WB   |      |       |
|----------------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|                      |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
|                      | 4         | 62   | 183  | 53    | 26   | 169  | 64    | 76   | 407  | 54    | 30   | 334  | 18    |
|                      | Flow Rate | 271  | 641  | 167   | 90   | 621  | 293   | 292  | 1452 | 244   | 193  | 1123 | 95    |
| PM Peak              | 1         | 72   | 230  | 57    | 30   | 173  | 90    | 107  | 319  | 72    | 53   | 385  | 25    |
|                      | 2         | 85   | 248  | 52    | 20   | 181  | 99    | 124  | 374  | 79    | 70   | 419  | 38    |
|                      | 3         | 91   | 251  | 90    | 21   | 207  | 55    | 105  | 375  | 68    | 71   | 532  | 25    |
|                      | 4         | 102  | 207  | 62    | 24   | 187  | 61    | 120  | 278  | 46    | 49   | 378  | 44    |
|                      | Flow Rate | 350  | 936  | 261   | 95   | 748  | 305   | 456  | 1346 | 265   | 243  | 1714 | 132   |

Table F-3.35 PCE Flow Rates (pce/15 min and pce/hour) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd.

| Time Period<br>(15 min) |           | NB   |      |       | SB   |      |       | EB   |      |       | WB   |      |       |
|-------------------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|                         |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak                 | 1         | 62   | 218  | 38    | 57   | 177  | 38    | 41   | 400  | 40    | 41   | 222  | 26    |
|                         | 2         | 71   | 278  | 54    | 73   | 319  | 41    | 33   | 437  | 46    | 53   | 257  | 34    |
|                         | 3         | 42   | 229  | 58    | 73   | 270  | 32    | 47   | 350  | 45    | 50   | 220  | 39    |
|                         | 4         | 64   | 282  | 51    | 75   | 308  | 16    | 45   | 371  | 61    | 55   | 234  | 37    |
|                         | Flow Rate | 239  | 1007 | 201   | 278  | 1074 | 127   | 166  | 1558 | 192   | 199  | 933  | 136   |
| Off Peak                | 1         | 75   | 175  | 37    | 72   | 184  | 28    | 58   | 298  | 75    | 64   | 263  | 39    |
|                         | 2         | 60   | 190  | 52    | 87   | 179  | 51    | 58   | 312  | 58    | 26   | 293  | 39    |
|                         | 3         | 62   | 182  | 45    | 101  | 188  | 36    | 53   | 301  | 56    | 44   | 333  | 63    |
|                         | 4         | 91   | 240  | 26    | 123  | 225  | 31    | 44   | 200  | 68    | 64   | 389  | 32    |
|                         | Flow Rate | 288  | 787  | 160   | 383  | 776  | 146   | 213  | 1111 | 257   | 198  | 1278 | 173   |
| PM Peak                 | 1         | 86   | 212  | 45    | 69   | 220  | 27    | 60   | 343  | 79    | 71   | 398  | 36    |
|                         | 2         | 65   | 190  | 39    | 104  | 220  | 42    | 60   | 372  | 75    | 74   | 454  | 27    |
|                         | 3         | 69   | 220  | 48    | 83   | 235  | 34    | 74   | 385  | 71    | 79   | 447  | 42    |
|                         | 4         | 94   | 219  | 43    | 54   | 261  | 18    | 57   | 383  | 75    | 93   | 434  | 43    |
|                         | Flow Rate | 314  | 841  | 175   | 310  | 936  | 121   | 251  | 1483 | 300   | 317  | 1733 | 148   |

The traffic volumes in Eastbound and Westbound movements are highest during all study time periods (AM Peak, Off Peak and PM Peak) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd (Table F-3.36). This is because the major street is Ulmerton Rd which connects to I-275 in the East and the minor street is 66<sup>th</sup> Street. Similarly the traffic volumes in Eastbound and Westbound movements are higher during the Off Peak and PM Peak at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd (Table F-3.37). This is because the major street is Park Blvd which connects to I-275 and SR-92 in the East and the minor street is 66<sup>th</sup> Street. During AM Peak at Park Blvd, NB and SB volumes are more than the WB volume.

*Truck Percentage Observations After Study (May 16 & May 17, 2017)*

Table F-3.36 Truck Percentages at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – after Study

| Period   | Interval   | EB           |              |              | NB           |              |               | WB           |              |              | SB           |              |               | Ave.         |
|----------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
|          |            | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right         | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right         |              |
| AM Peak  | 1          | 1.79%        | 0.68%        | 2.27%        | 0.00%        | 1.76%        | 2.94%         | 13.79%       | 8.59%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.09%        | 8.86%         | 3.56%        |
|          | 2          | 0.00%        | 2.82%        | 1.41%        | 2.60%        | 4.37%        | 10.00%        | 10.17%       | 6.21%        | 0.00%        | 8.00%        | 2.80%        | 13.33%        | 5.14%        |
|          | 3          | 0.00%        | 1.37%        | 1.54%        | 2.27%        | 9.66%        | 10.64%        | 7.69%        | 9.48%        | 10.00%       | 8.00%        | 3.85%        | 0.00%         | 5.37%        |
|          | 4          | 0.00%        | 1.32%        | 1.61%        | 4.00%        | 11.72%       | 23.40%        | 4.65%        | 10.00%       | 6.67%        | 7.69%        | 3.91%        | 5.68%         | 6.72%        |
|          | <b>Avg</b> | <b>0.45%</b> | <b>1.55%</b> | <b>1.71%</b> | <b>2.22%</b> | <b>6.88%</b> | <b>11.75%</b> | <b>9.08%</b> | <b>8.57%</b> | <b>4.17%</b> | <b>5.92%</b> | <b>3.16%</b> | <b>6.97%</b>  | <b>5.20%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1          | 0.00%        | 3.15%        | 4.05%        | 0.00%        | 1.85%        | 0.00%         | 3.41%        | 4.33%        | 0.00%        | 3.13%        | 2.05%        | 5.08%         | 2.26%        |
|          | 2          | 0.63%        | 2.24%        | 3.49%        | 1.20%        | 1.37%        | 4.55%         | 4.35%        | 5.99%        | 6.67%        | 3.57%        | 3.80%        | 8.82%         | 3.89%        |
|          | 3          | 0.96%        | 2.73%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.61%        | 5.41%         | 2.17%        | 3.64%        | 0.00%        | 2.86%        | 1.93%        | 9.43%         | 2.48%        |
|          | 4          | 0.00%        | 2.55%        | 3.13%        | 0.00%        | 1.46%        | 3.45%         | 3.13%        | 4.12%        | 25.00%       | 0.00%        | 0.96%        | 17.78%        | 5.13%        |
|          | <b>Avg</b> | <b>0.40%</b> | <b>2.67%</b> | <b>2.67%</b> | <b>0.30%</b> | <b>1.32%</b> | <b>3.35%</b>  | <b>3.26%</b> | <b>4.52%</b> | <b>7.92%</b> | <b>2.39%</b> | <b>2.19%</b> | <b>10.28%</b> | <b>3.44%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1          | 0.71%        | 1.79%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.29%        | 0.00%         | 20.00%       | 2.81%        | 5.26%        | 4.76%        | 5.75%        | 13.33%        | 4.64%        |
|          | 2          | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.45%        | 2.63%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.98%        | 5.48%         | 1.13%        |

| Period | Interval   | EB           |              |              | NB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | Ave.         |
|--------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|        |            | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
|        | 3          | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.27%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.39%        | 3.28%        | 2.17%        | 5.26%        | 4.48%        | 4.17%        | 2.00%        |
|        | 4          | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.94%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.01%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.98%        | 6.14%        | 1.26%        |
|        | <b>Avg</b> | <b>0.18%</b> | <b>0.45%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.32%</b> | <b>2.42%</b> | <b>0.66%</b> | <b>5.85%</b> | <b>2.03%</b> | <b>1.86%</b> | <b>2.51%</b> | <b>3.55%</b> | <b>7.28%</b> | <b>2.26%</b> |

Table F-3.37 Truck Percentages at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – after Study.

| Period   | Interval   | EB           |              |              | NB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | Ave.         |
|----------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |            | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
| AM Peak  | 1          | 0.00%        | 1.52%        | 2.27%        | 1.89%        | 0.94%        | 3.57%        | 9.80%        | 8.59%        | 0.00%        | 10.81%       | 7.55%        | 5.56%        | 4.38%        |
|          | 2          | 0.00%        | 0.60%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.13%        | 0.00%        | 6.00%        | 6.21%        | 0.00%        | 4.44%        | 5.49%        | 10.00%       | 2.82%        |
|          | 3          | 0.00%        | 1.24%        | 1.39%        | 2.56%        | 0.33%        | 1.22%        | 8.11%        | 9.48%        | 10.00%       | 7.84%        | 3.91%        | 0.00%        | 3.84%        |
|          | 4          | 0.00%        | 0.36%        | 5.93%        | 4.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.94%        | 7.41%        | 10.00%       | 6.67%        | 3.39%        | 4.72%        | 11.76%       | 4.77%        |
|          | <b>Avg</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.93%</b> | <b>2.40%</b> | <b>2.11%</b> | <b>0.60%</b> | <b>1.93%</b> | <b>7.83%</b> | <b>8.57%</b> | <b>4.17%</b> | <b>6.62%</b> | <b>5.42%</b> | <b>6.83%</b> | <b>3.95%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1          | 0.00%        | 0.92%        | 3.45%        | 0.87%        | 1.63%        | 1.18%        | 13.33%       | 2.53%        | 6.67%        | 7.55%        | 6.64%        | 4.88%        | 4.14%        |
|          | 2          | 24.92%       | 2.70%        | 1.17%        | 0.87%        | 0.55%        | 1.17%        | 1.79%        | 2.02%        | 9.38%        | 8.70%        | 4.75%        | 8.33%        | 5.53%        |
|          | 3          | 0.00%        | 0.93%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.55%        | 0.00%        | 5.80%        | 2.69%        | 5.45%        | 4.62%        | 2.38%        | 1.75%        | 2.01%        |
|          | 4          | 0.00%        | 0.84%        | 1.08%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.51%        | 2.08%        | 9.59%        | 2.47%        | 0.00%        | 1.55%        |
|          | <b>Avg</b> | <b>6.23%</b> | <b>1.35%</b> | <b>1.42%</b> | <b>0.43%</b> | <b>0.68%</b> | <b>0.59%</b> | <b>5.23%</b> | <b>2.44%</b> | <b>5.89%</b> | <b>7.61%</b> | <b>4.06%</b> | <b>3.74%</b> | <b>3.31%</b> |

| Period     | Interval | EB    |       |       | NB    |       |       | WB    |       |        | SB     |       |       | Ave.  |
|------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
|            |          | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right  | Left   | Thru  | Right |       |
| PM<br>Peak | 1        | 2.60% | 0.45% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.32% | 2.44% | 6.38% | 2.61% | 11.11% | 9.23%  | 1.22% | 3.85% | 3.35% |
|            | 2        | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.58% | 0.79% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 12.70% | 2.54% | 3.64% | 1.69% |
|            | 3        | 0.00% | 0.58% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.92% | 8.22% | 4.89% | 0.00%  | 5.08%  | 3.72% | 7.14% | 2.63% |
|            | 4        | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.03% | 0.80% | 1.49% | 2.99% | 1.81% | 0.00%  | 4.11%  | 5.74% | 0.00% | 1.66% |
|            | Avg      | 0.65% | 0.26% | 0.00% | 0.76% | 0.43% | 1.66% | 4.40% | 2.33% | 2.78%  | 7.78%  | 3.30% | 3.66% | 2.33% |

*PCE Flow Rates After Study (May 16 & May 17, 2017)*

Table F-3.38 PCE Flow Rates (pce/15 min and pce/hour) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd – after Study.

| Time Period |           | EB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 57   | 296  | 45    | 47   | 173  | 35    | 33   | 215  | 9     | 19   | 195  | 86    |
|             | 2         | 64   | 328  | 72    | 79   | 191  | 33    | 65   | 325  | 9     | 27   | 220  | 102   |
|             | 3         | 48   | 222  | 66    | 45   | 227  | 52    | 42   | 231  | 22    | 27   | 162  | 75    |
|             | 4         | 97   | 308  | 63    | 26   | 143  | 58    | 45   | 242  | 16    | 28   | 186  | 93    |
|             | Flow Rate | 266  | 1154 | 246   | 197  | 734  | 178   | 185  | 1013 | 56    | 101  | 763  | 356   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 60   | 262  | 77    | 49   | 110  | 16    | 91   | 289  | 19    | 33   | 149  | 62    |
|             | 2         | 160  | 501  | 89    | 84   | 371  | 115   | 48   | 301  | 16    | 29   | 191  | 37    |
|             | 3         | 105  | 263  | 16    | 36   | 166  | 78    | 47   | 313  | 14    | 36   | 211  | 58    |
|             | 4         | 113  | 241  | 33    | 34   | 209  | 60    | 33   | 354  | 10    | 51   | 211  | 53    |
|             | Flow Rate | 438  | 1267 | 215   | 203  | 856  | 269   | 219  | 1257 | 59    | 149  | 762  | 210   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 142  | 397  | 67    | 80   | 157  | 46    | 42   | 366  | 20    | 44   | 184  | 51    |
|             | 2         | 111  | 375  | 149   | 65   | 150  | 39    | 47   | 402  | 28    | 16   | 206  | 77    |
|             | 3         | 46   | 392  | 117   | 80   | 169  | 37    | 61   | 504  | 47    | 20   | 303  | 100   |
|             | 4         | 84   | 188  | 45    | 57   | 85   | 47    | 69   | 709  | 51    | 38   | 257  | 121   |
|             | Flow Rate | 383  | 1352 | 378   | 282  | 561  | 169   | 219  | 1981 | 146   | 118  | 950  | 349   |



Table F-3.39 PCE Flow Rates (pce/15 min and pce/hour) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd – after Study

| Time Period |           | EB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 30   | 200  | 45    | 54   | 214  | 29    | 56   | 215  | 9     | 41   | 171  | 38    |
|             | 2         | 97   | 334  | 64    | 20   | 268  | 74    | 53   | 325  | 9     | 47   | 288  | 44    |
|             | 3         | 83   | 245  | 73    | 40   | 301  | 83    | 40   | 231  | 22    | 55   | 239  | 33    |
|             | 4         | 72   | 277  | 125   | 26   | 132  | 35    | 58   | 242  | 16    | 61   | 244  | 38    |
|             | Flow Rate | 282  | 1056 | 307   | 140  | 915  | 221   | 207  | 1013 | 56    | 204  | 942  | 153   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 6    | 219  | 90    | 116  | 187  | 86    | 68   | 445  | 48    | 114  | 305  | 43    |
|             | 2         | 10   | 228  | 86    | 117  | 184  | 86    | 57   | 405  | 35    | 75   | 397  | 39    |
|             | 3         | 6    | 218  | 84    | 114  | 182  | 84    | 73   | 382  | 58    | 68   | 431  | 58    |
|             | 4         | 7    | 239  | 94    | 125  | 198  | 92    | 44   | 327  | 49    | 80   | 374  | 59    |
|             | Flow Rate | 29   | 904  | 354   | 471  | 751  | 348   | 242  | 1559 | 190   | 337  | 1507 | 199   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 79   | 224  | 68    | 68   | 314  | 126   | 50   | 275  | 30    | 71   | 249  | 27    |
|             | 2         | 67   | 191  | 55    | 16   | 346  | 127   | 69   | 334  | 38    | 71   | 242  | 57    |
|             | 3         | 123  | 345  | 112   | 60   | 308  | 106   | 79   | 322  | 19    | 62   | 307  | 60    |
|             | 4         | 96   | 191  | 51    | 34   | 376  | 68    | 69   | 338  | 25    | 76   | 258  | 40    |
|             | Flow Rate | 365  | 951  | 286   | 178  | 1344 | 427   | 267  | 1269 | 112   | 280  | 1056 | 184   |

### Comparisons of Before and After Flows

The differences in traffic flow between the before and after measurements are shown in Table F-3.40 and Table F-3.41. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant decrease, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table F-3.40 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd

| Period   | EB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | -83  | -405 | -18   | -36  | -31  | -44   | -16  | -82  | 8     | -30  | -66  | -34   |
| Off Peak | 146  | -185 | -29   | -68  | 215  | 102   | 26   | 134  | -36   | 59   | 141  | -83   |
| PM Peak  | -73  | 6    | 113   | -68  | -375 | -92   | -24  | 267  | 14    | 23   | 202  | 44    |

Table F-3.41 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd

| Period   | EB   |      |       | NB   |      |       | WB   |      |       | SB   |      |       |
|----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 116  | -502 | 115   | -99  | -92  | 20    | 8    | 80   | -80   | -74  | -132 | 26    |
| Off Peak | -184 | -207 | 97    | 183  | -36  | 188   | 44   | 281  | 17    | -46  | 731  | 53    |
| PM Peak  | 114  | -532 | -14   | -136 | 503  | 252   | -50  | -464 | -36   | -30  | 120  | 63    |

### Discussion

The following are concluded from the comparison of traffic flows:

- At Ulmerton Rd, volumes during the after study were found to be lower during the AM peak for all the approaches, which may be due to seasonal variation. During the off-peak, the volumes are higher on all approaches with the exception of the EB approach. During the PM peak the volumes decrease for the NB and increase for the other approaches.
- At Park Boulevard, the EB through movement has a reduction in volumes across all time periods, while the WB has a reduction during the PM peak. The NB has a significant increase in volume during the PM peak and the SB during the Off peak. This might be due to the prioritization of North-South movements by the adaptive system.

### F.3.6. Consideration of Traffic Flows Jointly with Queue Length

The differences in “Traffic Flow” and “Queue Length” between before and after measurements are shown in Table F-3.42 and Table F-3.44. The tables are color-coded as follows: green indicates that “Queue” decreases and “Traffic Flow” increases, red indicates “Queue” increases and “Traffic Flow” decreases, no color indicates “Traffic Flow” and “Queue” increase or decrease at the same time. Generally, green indicates improvement despite an increase in flow.

Table F-3.42 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd

| Time Period | EB   |        |      |       |       |       | NB   |       |      |       |       |       | WB   |       |      |      |       |       | SB   |       |      |       |       |       |
|-------------|------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
|             | Left |        | Thru |       | Right |       | Left |       | Thru |       | Right |       | Left |       | Thru |      | Right |       | Left |       | Thru |       | Right |       |
|             | TF   | Q      | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak     | -83  | -14.89 | -405 | -5.33 | -18   | 1.94  | -36  | -0.03 | -31  | 1.00  | -44   | 1.53  | -16  | -1.54 | -82  | 0.65 | 8     | -0.19 | -30  | -0.93 | -66  | -1.34 | -34   | -2.06 |
| Off peak    | 146  | -5.13  | -185 | -6.47 | -29   | 2.18  | -68  | -0.83 | 215  | -6.30 | 102   | 0.10  | 26   | -0.56 | 134  | 7.02 | -36   | 1.71  | 59   | -0.04 | 141  | -0.39 | -83   | -2.43 |
| PM Peak     | -73  | -0.41  | 6    | 0.46  | 113   | -0.88 | -68  | 0.63  | -375 | -3.01 | -92   | -3.46 | -24  | -1.48 | 267  | 3.96 | 14    | 0.75  | 23   | -0.21 | 202  | 2.89  | 44    | 1.75  |

Table F-3.43 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Ulmerton Rd

| Time Period | EB   |      |      |      |       |      | NB   |      |      |      |       |      | WB   |      |      |      |       |      | SB   |      |      |      |       |       |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|             | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |       |
|             | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak     | -36% | -68% | -53% | -24% | -8%   | N/A  | -27% | -1%  | -4%  | -8%  | -11%  | N/A  | -5%  | -26% | -5%  | -7%  | 3%    | N/A  | -15% | -23% | -6%  | -10% | -71%  | -103% |
| Off Peak    | 54%  | -43% | -29% | -40% | -17%  | N/A  | -76% | -12% | 35%  | -53% | 35%   | 10%  | 9%   | -9%  | 9%   | 100% | -15%  | 171% | 31%  | -1%  | 13%  | -4%  | -87%  | -49%  |
| PM Peak     | -21% | -4%  | 1%   | 3%   | 43%   | -22% | -72% | 7%   | -50% | -20% | -30%  | -69% | -5%  | -25% | 20%  | 40%  | 5%    | 75%  | 9%   | -11% | 12%  | 32%  | 33%   | 44%   |

Table F-3.44 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd

| Time Period | EB   |      |      |       |       |       | NB   |       |      |      |       |        | WB   |       |      |      |       |       | SB   |       |      |       |       |        |
|-------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|--------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|--------|
|             | Left |      | Thru |       | Right |       | Left |       | Thru |      | Right |        | Left |       | Thru |      | Right |       | Left |       | Thru |       | Right |        |
|             | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q      | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q      |
| AM Peak     | 116  | 0.17 | -502 | -2.61 | 115   | -0.43 | -99  | 1.59  | -92  | 9.34 | 20    | 7.81   | 8    | 0.36  | 80   | 1.08 | -80   | 1.58  | -74  | 1.50  | -132 | 8.38  | 26    | -8.56  |
| Off-peak    | -184 | 0.09 | -207 | 8.38  | 97    | 5.75  | 183  | -2.99 | -36  | 9.40 | 188   | -11.19 | 44   | -0.13 | 281  | 6.34 | 17    | 10.56 | -46  | -2.25 | 731  | -9.50 | 53    | -10.44 |

| Time Period | EB   |       |      |      |       |      | NB   |       |      |       |       |       | WB   |      |      |       |       |       | SB   |      |      |       |       |       |
|-------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
|             | Left |       | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |       | Thru |       | Right |       | Left |      | Thru |       | Right |       | Left |      | Thru |       | Right |       |
|             | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q     | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q     | TF    | Q     |
| PM Peak     | 114  | -2.89 | -532 | 4.87 | -14   | 7.81 | -136 | -0.97 | 503  | -8.03 | 252   | -7.50 | -50  | 2.48 | -464 | -1.01 | -36   | -5.66 | -30  | 0.84 | 120  | -8.09 | 63    | -8.63 |

Table F-3.45 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at 66<sup>th</sup> Street & Park Blvd

| Time Period | EB   |      |      |      |       |      | NB   |      |      |      |       |       | WB   |     |      |     |       |       | SB   |      |      |      |       |       |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-----|------|-----|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
|             | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |       | Left |     | Thru |     | Right |       | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |       |
|             | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak     | 49%  | 4%   | -50% | -17% | 57%   | -7%  | -36% | -20% | -9%  | -78% | 16%   | N/A   | 5%   | -5% | 5%   | 12% | -42%  | 53%   | -37% | -21% | -14% | 56%  | 19%   | -143% |
| Off-peak    | -64% | 2%   | -26% | 105% | 61%   | 115% | 48%  | -27% | -5%  | -67% | 129%  | -186% | 21%  | -2% | 25%  | 91% | 7%    | 1056% | -23% | -25% | 57%  | -56% | 31%   | -209% |
| PM Peak     | 36%  | -36% | -63% | 54%  | -8%   | 195% | -44% | -11% | 54%  | -62% | 208%  | -188% | -20% | 50% | -31% | -7% | -12%  | -81%  | -9%  | 9%   | 7%   | -45% | 43%   | -216% |

### *Discussion*

The following can be concluded from the comparison of traffic flows jointly with queue length:

- At Ulmerton Rd, all approaches, except a few right turn movements, showed either a moderate improvement or remained as before.
- At Park Blvd. conditions either improved or remained the same for all approaches except for the EB. The SB during Off peak and the NB during PM peak showed the highest percentage improvements. This can be due to the adaptive system prioritizing the North-South direction.

## F.4. Questionnaire

### SECTION 1: RESPONDENT'S INFORMATION

Name: Norman Jester

Organization: Pinellas County Public Works Transportation

Position: Signal System Supervisor

Address: 22211 U.S. Highway 19/Bldg. 10 Clearwater, Florida 33765

Phone (727)464-8908

Fax (727)4648858

E-mail [njester@pinellascounty.org](mailto:njester@pinellascounty.org)

### SECTION 2: PREVIOUS TRAFFIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

1. Please specify the type of traffic control used before Adaptive Signal Control

Technology (ASCT) was installed for your site. (Please check all that apply.)

- a. Fixed time coordinated control                      b. Actuated coordinated control
- c. Fixed-time isolated control                      d. Actuated isolated control
- e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

2. What type of traffic controller was used before ASCT was implemented?

- a. NEMA TS-1              b. NEMA TS-2
- c. 170                      d. 2070
- e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

14. How many detectors were used before ASCT was implemented for a typical intersection (e.g., *Newberry Rd & NW 75<sup>th</sup> St*)? Please also specify the location where the detectors were typically placed for each specific detector type (video detection, inductive loops, radars, etc.).

Loops or video, at every intersection and every approach. On main street, they were placed 25-30 feet back while at minor roads they were located at the stop bar.

15. What was the frequency of retiming the signal timing plan for the corridor before ASCT was implemented?

It was retimed about two to three years before the study, with minor adjustments when necessary.

16. How often were maintenance and updates performed to your previous control system in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: As needed
- b. Control Hardware: As needed
- c. Software: whenever there are updates

TS1-TS2: 1 year before the year before ASCT.

17. What was the annual maintenance cost in terms of hardware, software and personnel of the previous control system for the whole corridor where the ASCT is now deployed?

Will look into it.

18. What was the life expectancy of your traffic control before the deployment of ASCT?  
(Please refer to the hardware and software.)

Ten years for control hardware. For the coordination system, the same technology is still in use. The estimated life time is 10 years.

19. How many crashes of each category were reported during the past 4 years before the ASCT implementation? (2011-2014)

|  | K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|--|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|  |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |



|      |  |  |  |  |  |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |

### SECTION 3: ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM (ASCT)

9. Which Adaptive Traffic Control System (ASCT) does your agency deploy?

- j. InSync; Version:1.7 \_\_\_\_\_
- k. Synchro Green; Version: \_\_\_\_\_
- l. Other; please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

28. Did you consider any other ASCT before you selected this one for installation? Why did you reject the other(s) in favor of this one?

Yes, considerer using InSync, OPAC and RHODES at the same time.

29. What were your major criteria for choosing the ASCT for the selected corridor?

InSync was considered simpler, while OPAC and RHODES had hardware/support issues.

30. What is the life expectancy of your ASCT system? (Consider both hardware and software.)

10 years.

31. What type of traffic controller is currently in use after the ASCT implementation?

- a. Same as before the ASCT implementation XX
- b. New, please specify type: \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Same, but the following updates were needed:  
\_\_\_\_\_

32. How many and what type of detectors are used after the ASCT implementation on an intersection level (e.g., *Newberry Rd & NW 75<sup>th</sup> St*)? Please note any updates that the previous detection system needed so as to work with your ASCT.

All video detection (cameras) changed with InSync.

33. Was there a need to update your previous software operating system at your Transportation Management Center in order to get your current ASCT software working?

a. Yes XX                      b. No

31. How often do you plan to perform physical maintenance or updates on your new ASCT in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: As needed \_\_\_\_\_
- b. ASCT Hardware: As needed \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Software: As needed \_\_\_\_\_

32. Was there a need for training your personnel in order to operate the new ASCT?

- a. Yes, Num. of employees trained \_3\_, Hours of training per employee a week b. No

Many employees were already trained, and are still learning about the system.

33. Was there any change on the number of staff required to operate/maintain the effective signal ASCT operations? (Comparison of staff needed before and after the deployment.)

There was a change – 1 person was added.

34. Where did expertise come from for your personnel's training, the ASCT installation, operation and the system's maintenance? Please check all that apply.

|                   | In-House               | Vendor           | Contractor |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Employee Training | Currently manages this | Initial Training |            |

|                   |                       |                     |  |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| ASCT Installation | Finished installation | Started the Process |  |
| ASCT Operation    | 90%                   | 10%                 |  |
| ASCT Maintenance  | All done in house     |                     |  |

35. How many crashes of each category were reported after ASCT was implemented?

| K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling Injury) | B<br>(Evident Injury) | C<br>(Possible Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent Injury) |
|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|               |                         |                       |                        |                           |

#### SECTION 4: COST COMPONENTS

45. What is the overall capital cost of purchasing the ASCT (in terms of the software and licenses of the ASCT) for the corridor? If the purchase includes any service of implementation, personnel training or maintenance, please also specify here.

US\$ 40,000 per intersection that covers hardware/equipment, two years of support and engineering behind it. Does not include the installation, which is done in house.

46. What is the implementation cost (considering the installation on site, any updates in software and hardware, design needs and contract hours) for the ASCT corridor? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

Will look into it.

47. What was total cost for training your personnel to operate and manage the new ASCT? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

Will look into it.

48. What is the expected maintenance cost (in terms of hardware, software and personnel) for the ASCT corridor on a yearly basis? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

---

---

Will look into it.

49. Can you specify the costs for the following ASCT components? (will look into it)

- a. Firmware \$ \_\_\_\_\_ b. Software \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Equipment \$ \_\_\_\_\_ d. Maintenance of Traffic Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
e. Design Needs \$ \_\_\_\_\_ f. Contract Help/Agency Hours Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_

#### **SECTION 5: INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES**

50. Were there any institutional issues that you had to overcome while implementing and operating the ASCT project? Please categorize and explain those issues below.

- a. Organization and Management Institutional Issues:

The learning curve of different signal operation systems.

- b. Regulatory and Legal Institutional Issues:

NA

- c. Human and Facility Resources Institutional Issues:

Public perception, since InSync operates differently from what people were used to before.

- d. Financial Institutional Issues:

NA

51. Which component (e.g., detection, communication, hardware, software, licensing or other) of your ASCT deployment is the most challenging to maintain and why?

The hardware components related to detection, because it is video based and maintenance intensive.

52. What happens to your ASCT operations when some of your detectors fail on the corridor level?

- j. ASCT triggers an alarm and notifies operators
- k. ASCT switches to an "off-line" mode by implementing Time-Of-Day plans
- l. Combination of the above.
- d. Other (please describe): historic data time splits, using the period of previous months.

29. How is your ASCT performance evaluated?

- l. In-house XX
- m. By an independent evaluator, please describe: UFTI study and previous consultancy
- n. Not applicable—there is no evaluation

30. If the corridor on which the ASCT operates experiences over saturation, how would you rate the operation of the ASCT in response to these traffic conditions?

- p. ASCT prevents or eliminates oversaturation: helps prevent oversaturation to an extent.
- q. ASCT eliminates or reduces the extent of the periods of oversaturation: handles better than a TOD plan.
- r. ASCT adversely affects the traffic conditions during periods of oversaturation
- s. Other:
- t. Oversaturation is very rare on the corridors operated by our ASCT

31. Based on your opinion and the up-to-date operation, when are ASCT operations proven to be the most effective?

- a. Peak periods
- b. Off-peak periods XX
- c. Shoulders of peak periods
- d. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

32. Has the level of performance of ASCT been sustained since its installation?

- a. Yes XX
- b. No; why not? \_\_\_\_\_

39. What was the public reaction to the ASCT operation? Have you received any complaints about long delays and queues?

Mostly complains on delays. Drivers on side streets complain about the cycle length.

40. How satisfied are you, in general, with your ASCT deployment?

- a. Very satisfied    XX                      b. Somewhat satisfied  
c. Neutral                      d. Somewhat dissatisfied  
e. Not satisfied at all

39. Are there any other costs or benefits related to ASCT deployment that you would like to report?

a. Benefits: The flexibility

b. Costs: NA

40. Would you consider expanding the ASCT program to any other corridors? Why or why not? If yes, would you use the same technology/firmware or have any suggestions for other systems? If so, why?

Yes, because the ones that are in place show good performance. They are implementing new ones in the moment. InSync will be kept. Centracrs may be tried.

## SECTION 6: SAFETY ISSUES

37. Do you have any anecdotal / qualitative data on safety benefits / disbenefits of the signal improvement along this corridor?

---

---

38. Has there been other changes along this corridor over the analysis period that could affect the safety of this corridor either positively or negatively?

---

---

39. Are you aware of any changes to the crash data reporting procedures over the analysis period? If yes, what are the changes?

---

---

---

---

40. What are your overall reactions to the trends presented in the report?

---

---

41. Is there another comparable corridor in which signal improvements have not been made to which the results of this corridor can be compared to?

---

---

42. Other thoughts / comments for us?

---

---

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. Your responses will help us with evaluating the deployment and benefits of your current ASCT.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact Marian Ankomah, email: [moankomah@ufl.edu](mailto:moankomah@ufl.edu) or Tyler Valila, email: [tvalila67@ufl.edu](mailto:tvalila67@ufl.edu) who work under the direct supervision of the faculty advisor Dr. Lily Elefteriadou.

## F.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis

Table F-5.1 Monetized Saving

| Monetized Saving            |                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$4,652,123.70  |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$665,446.95    |
| Air Pollution Saving        | \$132,495.44    |
| Safety Saving               | \$23,316,234.28 |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$5,450,066.08  |
| Total Saving                | \$28,766,300.37 |
| Total Cost                  | \$394,452.00    |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 13.81680429     |
| B/C Ratio                   | 72.92725191     |

Table F-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions

| Monetized Saving            |                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Time saving                 | \$4,652,123.70  |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | \$665,446.95    |
| Safety Saving               | \$23,316,234.28 |
| Total Saving without Safety | \$5,317,570.64  |
| Total Saving                | \$28,633,804.93 |
| Total Cost                  | \$394,452.00    |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | 13.48090679     |
| B/C Ratio                   | 72.5913544      |



## **APPENDIX G: Summary of SR-70, Manatee County**

### **G.1. Executive Summary**

The objective of this research is to evaluate the implementation of proposed Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT) traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida before and after the installation of specific ASCT, document the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT. This appendix summarizes the before and after field data collected along the SR-70, Manatee County corridor from 5<sup>th</sup> St W to Lakewood Ranch Rd.

Two data collection methods were employed to collect the desired information. Floating car runs were conducted with the UFTI instrumented vehicle to obtain vehicle travel times before and after the implementation of SynchroGreen, during three time periods (AM Peak (7-9 AM), Off Peak (10AM-Noon) and PM Peak (4-6 PM)). The floating car method involves driving with the flow of traffic, while the driver passes as many vehicles as pass the driver to obtain an average speed. In addition, turning movement counts and queue lengths were collected at two critical intersections (US-301 and Lockwood Ridge Rd). Based on these, five performance measures were obtained for the before and after study periods: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (at critical intersections), Queue to Lane Storage Ratio (at critical intersections), and Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) flows (at critical intersections).

The following were observed:

- While travel time reduces in the WB (-4.8%), it increases in the EB (5.8%)
- Most of the increase in travel time was due to increasing delay at the intersections east of I-75, possibly due to detection issues at 87<sup>th</sup> St E.
- Upon further investigation, it was observed that the SynchroGreen prioritizes operations along US-301, which intersects the SR-70 corridor and carries relatively higher traffic volume.
- WB through volumes at both intersections decreased significantly. This may be due to seasonal variation and the opening of a new roadway parallel to SR-70.
- The decrease in WB volumes had different effects at the two critical intersections. The US-301 intersection had high side street demands and showed significant increase in WB queues and drop in SB queues. This could be due to SynchroGreen prioritizing the high demand (NB and SB) movements. The Lockwood Ridge Rd. intersection had low side street demands and had a drop in the WB queues.
- Though there is an overall increase in EB travel time (5.8%), the US-301 shows significant improvement in travel time (SB: -20.9%; NB: -17.2%) as well as queues (SB: -3.2; NB: -2.3).

## G.2. Corridor Information

Figure G-2.1 provides a schematic of the SR-70, Manatee County Corridor (West-East). Table G-2.1 lists the intersections along the corridor. The adjacent land uses include malls, restaurants, hotels, gas stations, drug stores, automotive service shops and banks. Two intersections (US-301 and Lockwood Ridge Rd, denoted in bold within Table G-2.1) were selected as the critical intersections along the corridor and detailed turning movement and queue counts were collected at these. Figure G-2.2 provides the lane configuration of these two critical intersections. Due to high volumes on US-301, which intersects SR-70 in the middle of the corridor, the US-301/51<sup>st</sup> Ave intersection, north of US-301/SR70 was included in the SynchroGreen implementation.






Figure G-2.1 Schematic of SR-70, Manatee County corridor


Table G-2.1 List of Intersections along SR-70 Corridor

|           | <b>Intersection</b>                        | <b>No. of Unsignalized Intersections in Between</b> | <b>Distance (Miles)</b> |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>1</b>  | 5 <sup>th</sup> St W                       | -                                                   | -                       |
| <b>2</b>  | 9 <sup>th</sup> St E                       | 3                                                   | 0.76                    |
| <b>3</b>  | <b>301 Blvd E</b>                          | 1                                                   | 0.5                     |
| <b>4</b>  | 22 <sup>nd</sup> St Ct E.                  | 4                                                   | 0.65                    |
| <b>5</b>  | US-301.                                    | 1                                                   | 0.36                    |
| <b>6</b>  | 30 <sup>th</sup> St E                      | 0                                                   | 0.25                    |
| <b>7</b>  | 33 <sup>rd</sup> St E                      | 0                                                   | 0.28                    |
| <b>8</b>  | 37 <sup>th</sup> St E                      | 0                                                   | 0.35                    |
| <b>9</b>  | 39 <sup>th</sup> St E                      | 0                                                   | 0.44                    |
| <b>10</b> | <b>Lockwood Ridge Rd</b>                   | 0                                                   | 0.23                    |
| <b>11</b> | Division of Forestry (Emergency Signal)    | 1                                                   | 0.29                    |
| <b>12</b> | Natalie Way E                              | 0                                                   | 0.48                    |
| <b>13</b> | Caruso Rd                                  | 0                                                   | 0.36                    |
| <b>14</b> | Tara Blvd                                  | 2                                                   | 1.01                    |
| <b>15</b> | I-75 SB W-R                                | 1                                                   | 0.45                    |
| <b>16</b> | I-75 NB E-R                                | 0                                                   | 0.29                    |
| <b>17</b> | 87 <sup>th</sup> St E                      | 1                                                   | 0.41                    |
| <b>18</b> | Braden Run Fire Station (Emergency Signal) | 0                                                   | 0.27                    |
| <b>19</b> | Braden Run                                 | 0                                                   | 0.08                    |
| <b>20</b> | Forest Run E/River Club Blvd               | 0                                                   | 0.68                    |

|    | Intersection      | No. of Unsignalized Intersections in Between | Distance (Miles) |
|----|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 21 | Lakewood Ranch Rd | 1                                            | 0.44             |




(a) US 301. & 53<sup>rd</sup> Ave (SR 70).



(b) Lockwood Ridge Rd & 53<sup>rd</sup> Ave (SR 70)

Figure G-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections  
(The 'smiley' faces are observer locations) – before study



(a) US 301. & 53<sup>rd</sup> Ave (SR 70).

(b) Lockwood Ridge Rd & 53<sup>rd</sup> Ave (SR 70)

Figure G-2.3 Schematic and overview of critical intersections  
(The 'smiley' faces are observer locations) – After Study

### G.3. Performance Measures

Six performance measures are evaluated: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Number of Stops, Queue Length (critical intersections), Queue-to-Lane Storage Ratio (critical intersections), and PCE Flows (critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and the results of the differences (“after data” – “before data”) are presented.

#### G.3.1. Route Travel Time

The average travel time (min) along SR-70 is measured using a floating car. During the before data collection period, we obtained data for 4 runs for the AM Peak, 6 runs for the OFF Peak and 4 runs for the PM Peak. During the after data collection period, we were able to perform a total of 4 runs for the AM Peak, 5 runs for the OFF Peak, and 3 runs for the PM Peak. During the second day of the after-data collection period, we amassed data for 3 runs in the AM Peak, 6 runs for the OFF Peak, and 4 runs for the PM Peak. Tables G-3.1 and G-3.2 provide the route travel time for the before and after data. The travel time comparison is presented in Table G-3.3.

*Before Study SR-70 (Dec. 8 & Dec. 9, 2016)*

Table G-3.1 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min) | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak | Average |
|----------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| SR-70, EB      | 21.11   | 15.74    | 19.72   | 18.85   |
| SR-70, WB      | 20.40   | 15.70    | 21.41   | 19.17   |

*After Study SR-70 (Oct. 3 & Oct. 4, 2018)*

Table G-3.2 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min) | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak | Average |
|----------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| SR-70, EB      | 21.48   | 16.76    | 21.61   | 19.95   |
| SR-70, WB      | 18.53   | 15.57    | 20.66   | 18.26   |

### Comparison of Before and After Travel Time SR-70

Table G-3.3 Change in Amount and Percentage of Route Travel Time (after – before)

| Route TT (min) | AM Peak       | Off Peak      | PM Peak       | Average       |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SR-70, EB      | 0.38 (1.8%)   | 1.02 (6.50%)  | 1.89 (9.6%)   | 1.10 (5.8%)   |
| SR-70, WB      | -1.87 (-9.2%) | -0.12 (-0.8%) | -0.75 (-3.5%) | -0.91 (-4.8%) |




Figure G-3.1 Travel times along SR 70, Manatee County

### Discussion of SR-70

The following can be concluded from the comparison of travel times:

- Overall, there was a reduction in travel time for the WB direction (-4.8%) and an increase in travel time for the EB direction (5.8%) during all time periods.
- The greatest increase in travel time occurs during the PM Peak for the EB direction (9.6% or 1.89 min.)
- The greatest reduction in travel time occurs during the AM Peak for the WB direction (-9.2% or 1.87 min.)
- The SR-70 corridor intersects with US-301, which is a part of Synchrogreen implementation (US-301/ 51<sup>st</sup> Ave) carries relatively higher traffic volume. Hence to get a better understanding, travel times along US-301 were obtained from different data sources (floating car and BlueTOAD) and compared. The results of this comparison are shown below.

### *US-301 Travel Time*

The before travel time along US-301 was measured using a floating car by the local TMC in March 2016 and the after travel time was obtained from BlueTOAD for October 2018. The before data included 6 runs during each time period, and the after data is an average of Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays during the month of October 2018. The lengths of the sections used in the two data sources were different. Hence, the shorter section was used for the comparison. The US-301 route of 0.813 miles is shown in Figure G-3.2. Table G-3.4 and Table G-3.5 provide the route travel time for the before and after data. The travel time comparison is presented in Table G-3.6.



Figure G-3.2 Control points for US-301 travel time

*Before Study US-301 (Mar. 17, 2016)*



Table G-3.4 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min) | AM   | PM   | Average |
|----------------|------|------|---------|
| US-301, SB     | 2.32 | 1.58 | 1.95    |
| US-301 NB      | 1.90 | 1.92 | 1.91    |

*After Study US-301 (Tues/Wed/Thu: October 1, 2018 to October 31, 2018)*

Table G-3.5 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min) | AM   | PM   | Average |
|----------------|------|------|---------|
| US-301, SB     | 1.61 | 1.47 | 1.54    |
| US-301, NB     | 1.40 | 1.76 | 1.58    |

*Comparison of Before and After Travel Times along US-301*

Table G-3.6 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (after – before)

| Route TT (min) | AM              | PM            | Average               |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| US-301, SB     | -0.70 (-30.2 %) | -0.11 (-7.0%) | <b>-0.41 (-20.9%)</b> |
| US-301, NB     | -0.50 (-26.1%)  | -0.16 (-8.4%) | <b>-0.33 (-17.2%)</b> |




Figure G-3.3 Travel times along US-301

#### *Discussion of US-301*

The following can be concluded from the comparison of travel times along US-301:

- Overall, there was a significant decrease in travel time for both directions (-20.9% for the SB, and -17.2% for the NB) and during both time periods.
- US-301 carries relatively higher volumes compared to SR-70 and SynchroGreen could be prioritizing US-301 over SR-70. This issue is discussed further in Section 6, considering both flows and queues at the SR70/US-301 intersection.

#### **G.3.2. Delay**

Delay (sec) at each intersection along the corridor was also obtained using the floating car measurements.

Before Study (Dec. 8 & Dec. 9, 2016)

Table G-3.7 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction – before Study

| Delay at Intersections (sec)        | AM Peak      | Off Peak     | PM Peak      |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 5 <sup>th</sup> St W                | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.33         |
| 9 <sup>th</sup> St E                | 11.33        | 2.57         | 51.33        |
| 301 Blvd E                          | 3.83         | 20.57        | 24.33        |
| 22 <sup>nd</sup> St Ct E.           | 14.50        | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| <b>US-301</b>                       | <b>57.33</b> | <b>12.43</b> | <b>48.33</b> |
| 30 <sup>th</sup> St E               | 12.00        | 6.57         | 10.83        |
| 33 <sup>rd</sup> St E               | 4.40         | 0.00         | 8.67         |
| 37 <sup>th</sup> St E               | 0.00         | 4.00         | 2.83         |
| 39 <sup>th</sup> St E               | 13.40        | 5.57         | 25.75        |
| <b>Lockwood Ridge Rd</b>            | <b>75.25</b> | <b>23.50</b> | <b>36.25</b> |
| Division of Forestry (Emergency)    | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Natalie Way E                       | 0.00         | 0.00         | 10.50        |
| Caruso Rd                           | 27.75        | 23.83        | 9.75         |
| Tara Blvd                           | 4.75         | 39.50        | 29.00        |
| I-75 SB W-R                         | 2.75         | 0.00         | 3.50         |
| I-75 NB E-R                         | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| 87 <sup>th</sup> St E               | 0.00         | 2.00         | 8.50         |
| Braden Run Fire Station (Emergency) | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Braden Run                          | 0.00         | 0.00         | 6.75         |
| Forest Run E/River Club Blvd        | 20.25        | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Lakewood Ranch Rd                   | 34.00        | 4.67         | 0.00         |

Figure G-3.4 graphs the delay at each intersection for the through movement in the EB direction. The intersection delay for the two critical sections – US-301 and Lockwood Ridge Rd are the highest during all study time periods (AM Peak, Off Peak and PM Peak) in the EB direction. At other intersections, the delay is significantly less. A few intersections had zero delay for all the runs conducted during the floating car runs.




Figure G-3.4 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction – before study

Table G-3.8 and Figure G-3.9 show the delays obtained by intersection for the WB. Figure G-3.6 shows the delay at each intersection for the through movement in the WB direction. The intersection delay in the WB direction is highest at Lakewood Ranch Rd during all time periods. The Off-Peak delays are less than either AM Peak or PM Peak delays at most intersections.

Table G-3.8 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction – before Study

| Delay at Intersections (sec)               | AM Peak      | Off Peak     | PM Peak      |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lakewood Ranch Rd                          | 80.50        | 58.14        | 65.25        |
| Forest Run E/River Club Blvd               | 15.75        | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Braden Run                                 | 6.25         | 0.71         | 0.00         |
| Braden Run Fire Station (Emergency Signal) | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| 87th St E                                  | 1.50         | 0.00         | 7.50         |
| I-75 NB E-R                                | 0.00         | 0.00         | 8.25         |
| I-75 SB W-R                                | 8.50         | 0.00         | 13.75        |
| Tara Blvd                                  | 14.75        | 26.57        | 52.50        |
| Caruso Rd                                  | 20.75        | 13.14        | 42.50        |
| Natalie Way E                              | 0.00         | 0.00         | 3.25         |
| Division of Forestry (Emergency Signal)    | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| <b>Lockwood Ridge Rd</b>                   | <b>12.25</b> | <b>11.62</b> | <b>34.00</b> |
| 39th St E                                  | 3.25         | 1.29         | 7.75         |
| 37th St E                                  | 0.00         | 1.14         | 3.25         |
| 33rd St E                                  | 14.60        | 4.86         | 7.80         |
| 30th St E                                  | 26.40        | 12.71        | 10.00        |
| <b>US-301.</b>                             | <b>30.20</b> | <b>12.88</b> | <b>21.50</b> |
| 22nd St Ct E.                              | 24.00        | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| 301 Blvd E                                 | 25.67        | 0.00         | 16.00        |
| 9th St E                                   | 4.83         | 0.00         | 19.50        |
| 5th St W                                   | 13.33        | 0.00         | 13.33        |




Figure G-3.5 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction – before study

*After Study (Oct. 3 & Oct. 4, 2018)*

Table G-3.9 and Figure G-3.10 summarize the delay data for the after study in the EB direction. The data show that the critical intersections along with Tara Blvd and Lakewood Ranch have the highest intersection delays. A few intersections had zero delay for all the floating car runs.

Table G-3.9 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction – after Study

| Delay at Intersections (sec)        | AM Peak      | Off Peak     | PM Peak      |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 5 <sup>th</sup> St W                | 3.50         | 0.83         | 4.75         |
| 9 <sup>th</sup> St E                | 20.60        | 16.67        | 16.25        |
| 301 Blvd E                          | 62.00        | 29.67        | 14.00        |
| 22 <sup>nd</sup> St Ct E.           | 4.20         | 0.00         | 9.25         |
| <b>US-301</b>                       | <b>55.40</b> | <b>17.83</b> | <b>32.25</b> |
| 30 <sup>th</sup> St E               | 0.00         | 9.00         | 0.00         |
| 33 <sup>rd</sup> St E               | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.25         |
| 37 <sup>th</sup> St E               | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| 39 <sup>th</sup> St E               | 7.60         | 2.17         | 6.00         |
| <b>Lockwood Ridge Rd</b>            | <b>27.00</b> | <b>29.67</b> | <b>91.50</b> |
| Division of Forestry (Emergency)    | 0.50         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Natalie Way E                       | 0.00         | 0.00         | 7.75         |
| Caruso Rd                           | 8.25         | 34.00        | 0.00         |
| Tara Blvd                           | 11.25        | 20.50        | 8.50         |
| I-75 SB W-R                         | 0.00         | 0.00         | 14.25        |
| I-75 NB E-R                         | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| 87 <sup>th</sup> St E               | 12.25        | 6.67         | 47.25        |
| Braden Run Fire Station (Emergency) | 5.50         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Braden Run                          | 25.00        | 0.00         | 22.75        |
| Forest Run E/River Club Blvd        | 31.25        | 0.00         | 31.00        |
| Lakewood Ranch Rd                   | 18.75        | 35.50        | 24.25        |




Figure G-3.6 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction – after study

Table G-3.10 and Figure G-3.7 provide the delay data for the NB direction. As shown, the highest consistent delay was observed at the intersection of Lakewood Ranch. The delay at the Lakewood Ranch Rd. ranges from half a minute to a minute for all three periods. Some intersections had zero or very low delay during our data collection.



Table G-3.10 Delay (s) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction – after Study

| Delay at Intersections (sec)               | AM Peak      | Off Peak     | PM Peak      |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lakewood Ranch Rd                          | 54.75        | 32.17        | 66.50        |
| Forest Run E/River Club Blvd               | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Braden Run                                 | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Braden Run Fire Station (Emergency Signal) | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| 87 <sup>th</sup> St E                      | 0.00         | 0.00         | 1.25         |
| I-75 NB E-R                                | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| I-75 SB W-R                                | 6.50         | 0.00         | 2.00         |
| Tara Blvd                                  | 91.50        | 31.60        | 12.75        |
| Caruso Rd                                  | 3.25         | 14.20        | 1.50         |
| Natalie Way E                              | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| Division of Forestry (Emergency Signal)    | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00         |
| <b>Lockwood Ridge Rd</b>                   | <b>9.25</b>  | <b>3.20</b>  | <b>21.25</b> |
| 39 <sup>th</sup> St E                      | 0.00         | 0.00         | 4.40         |
| 37 <sup>th</sup> St E                      | 5.25         | 13.00        | 40.20        |
| 33 <sup>rd</sup> St E                      | 15.00        | 0.00         | 5.80         |
| 30 <sup>th</sup> St E                      | 16.25        | 9.60         | 44.00        |
| <b>US-301.</b>                             | <b>31.75</b> | <b>10.60</b> | <b>46.60</b> |
| 22 <sup>nd</sup> St Ct E.                  | 0.00         | 0.00         | 6.60         |
| 301 Blvd E                                 | 17.00        | 7.60         | 15.00        |
| 9 <sup>th</sup> St E                       | 0.00         | 2.20         | 15.00        |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> St W                       | 0.00         | 1.80         | 9.00         |




Figure G-3.7 Delay (s) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction – after study

#### Comparisons of Before and After Intersection Delay Times

The differences in delay between the before and after studies are shown in Table G-3.11 and Table G-3.12. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table G-3.11 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the EB Direction

| Intersections                          | AM Peak       | Off Peak    | PM Peak       |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| 5th St W                               | 3.50          | 0.83        | 4.42          |
| 9th St E                               | 9.27          | 14.10       | -35.08        |
| 301 Blvd E                             | 58.17         | 9.10        | -10.33        |
| 22nd St Ct E.                          | -10.30        | 0.00        | 9.25          |
| <b>US-301.</b>                         | <b>-1.93</b>  | <b>5.40</b> | <b>-16.08</b> |
| 30th St E                              | -12.00        | 2.43        | -10.83        |
| 33rd St E                              | -4.40         | 0.00        | -8.42         |
| 37th St E                              | 0.00          | -4.00       | -2.83         |
| 39th St E                              | -5.80         | -3.40       | -19.75        |
| <b>Lockwood Ridge Rd</b>               | <b>-48.25</b> | <b>6.17</b> | <b>55.25</b>  |
| Division of Forestry (Emergency)       | 0.50          | 0.00        | 0.00          |
| Natalie Way E                          | 0.00          | 0.00        | -2.75         |
| Caruso Rd                              | -19.50        | 10.17       | -9.75         |
| Tara Blvd                              | 6.50          | -19.00      | -20.50        |
| I-75 SB W-R                            | -2.75         | 0.00        | 10.75         |
| I-75 NB E-R                            | 0.00          | 0.00        | 0.00          |
| 87th St E                              | 12.25         | 4.67        | 38.75         |
| Braden Run Fire Station<br>(Emergency) | 5.50          | 0.00        | 0.00          |
| Braden Run                             | 25.00         | 0.00        | 16.00         |
| Forest Run E/River Club Blvd           | 11.00         | 0.00        | 31.00         |
| Lakewood Ranch Rd                      | -15.25        | 30.83       | 24.25         |

Table G-3.12 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Through Movement along the WB Direction

| Intersections                          | AM Peak | Off Peak | PM Peak |
|----------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Lakewood Ranch Rd                      | -25.75  | -25.98   | 1.25    |
| Forest Run E/River Club Blvd           | -15.75  | 0.00     | 0.00    |
| Braden Run                             | -6.25   | -0.71    | 0.00    |
| Braden Run Fire Station<br>(Emergency) | 0.00    | 0.00     | 0.00    |
| 87th St E                              | -1.50   | 0.00     | -6.25   |
| I-75 NB E-R                            | 0.00    | 0.00     | -8.25   |
| I-75 SB W-R                            | -2.00   | 0.00     | -11.75  |
| Tara Blvd                              | 76.75   | 5.03     | -39.75  |
| Caruso Rd                              | -17.50  | 1.06     | -41.00  |
| Natalie Way E                          | 0.00    | 0.00     | -3.25   |
| Division of Forestry<br>(Emergency)    | 0.00    | 0.00     | 0.00    |
| Lockwood Ridge Rd                      | -3.00   | -8.42    | -12.75  |
| 39th St E                              | -3.25   | -1.29    | -3.35   |
| 37th St E                              | 5.25    | 11.86    | 36.95   |
| 33rd St E                              | 0.40    | -4.86    | -2.00   |
| 30th St E                              | -10.15  | -3.11    | 34.00   |
| US-301.                                | 1.55    | -2.28    | 25.10   |
| 22nd St Ct E.                          | -24.00  | 0.00     | 6.60    |
| 301 Blvd E                             | -8.67   | 7.60     | -1.00   |
| 9th St E                               | -4.83   | 2.20     | -4.50   |
| 5th St W                               | -13.33  | 1.80     | -4.33   |




Figure G-3.8 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the EB direction




Figure G-3.9 difference in delay (sec) for each intersection through movement along the WB direction

### *Discussion*

The following can be concluded from the comparison of delays:

- The differences in travel time between the EB and WB directions are primarily due to the differences in delay for the intersections on the east side of I-75 (87<sup>th</sup> St to Lakewood Ranch Rd). Increased delay was observed in the EB direction for all the intersections east of I-75, while reduced delay was observed in the WB direction for these intersections. Based on discussions with local traffic management center, this increase in delay could be due to detection issues at 87<sup>th</sup> E St.
- One of the critical intersections, Lockwood Ridge Rd., had low demands on the side streets and therefore had a decrease in delay in all periods in the WB direction
- US-301, the second critical intersection, had high side street demands. It had an increase in delay for EB in Off Peak and for WB in AM and PM Peaks.

### G.3.3. Queue Length

Queue length (number of vehicles/lane) is presented by movement and by time period. This measure is used to evaluate oversaturated conditions at the critical intersections along the study corridors. Note that the queue length reported here is the observed maximum number of vehicles queued during each cycle, and does not represent the total number of vehicles that may have stopped during the cycle. During some time periods, because of cycle failure, vehicles need to stop multiple times before passing through the intersection.

Figure G-3.10 presents the schematic of the lane configurations at the two critical intersections. Queue length is reported for each of these lanes.




Figure G-3.10 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Dec. 8 & Dec.9, 2016)

Table G-3.13 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-70 & US-301 – before Study

| Time Period | Time Segment | Eastbound |         |    |     | Northbound |   |         |    |       | Westbound |    |         |    |       | Southbound |    |         |    |       |    |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|----|-----|------------|---|---------|----|-------|-----------|----|---------|----|-------|------------|----|---------|----|-------|----|
|             |              | Left      | Through |    | T/R | Left       |   | Through |    | Right | Left      |    | Through |    | Right | Left       |    | Through |    | Right |    |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3  | 4   | 5          | 6 | 7       | 8  | 9     | 10        | 11 | 12      | 13 | 14    | 15         | 16 | 17      | 18 | 19    | 20 |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 4         | 15      | 15 | 15  | 4          | 4 | 11      | 10 | 2     | 12        | 11 | 7       | 6  | 4     | 0          | 15 | 15      | 15 | 15    | 3  |
|             | 2            | 3         | 15      | 15 | 15  | 5          | 5 | 12      | 13 | 2     | 15        | 15 | 13      | 13 | 12    | 6          | 15 | 15      | 15 | 15    | 2  |
|             | 3            | 3         | 11      | 11 | 11  | 5          | 5 | 14      | 11 | 2     | 9         | 8  | 6       | 6  | 4     | 0          | 6  | 9       | 13 | 12    | 0  |
|             | 4            | 3         | 10      | 11 | 10  | 4          | 4 | 12      | 11 | 1     | 10        | 10 | 9       | 8  | 8     | 1          | 3  | 10      | 9  | 7     | 0  |
|             | Average      | 3         | 13      | 13 | 13  | 4          | 5 | 12      | 11 | 2     | 11        | 11 | 9       | 9  | 7     | 2          | 10 | 12      | 13 | 12    | 1  |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 2         | 6       | 6  | 8   | 4          | 4 | 8       | 8  | 3     | 6         | 5  | 7       | 7  | 5     | 2          | 5  | 5       | 13 | 11    | 0  |
|             | 2            | 2         | 6       | 6  | 7   | 4          | 3 | 8       | 7  | 2     | 6         | 6  | 9       | 8  | 7     | 2          | 4  | 5       | 9  | 10    | 0  |
|             | 3            | 2         | 5       | 5  | 6   | 3          | 3 | 9       | 9  | 1     | 5         | 5  | 7       | 7  | 6     | 2          | 4  | 5       | 9  | 9     | 0  |
|             | 4            | 2         | 7       | 7  | 9   | 2          | 3 | 14      | 12 | 1     | 6         | 6  | 8       | 8  | 6     | 1          | 5  | 5       | 12 | 12    | 0  |
|             | Average      | 2         | 6       | 6  | 7   | 3          | 3 | 9       | 9  | 2     | 5         | 5  | 8       | 7  | 6     | 2          | 4  | 5       | 11 | 11    | 0  |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 5         | 12      | 13 | 13  | 6          | 5 | 15      | 15 | 6     | 14        | 14 | 11      | 10 | 7     | 1          | 4  | 6       | 8  | 8     | 1  |
|             | 2            | 5         | 12      | 12 | 12  | 6          | 6 | 15      | 15 | 8     | 7         | 7  | 10      | 10 | 7     | 1          | 7  | 9       | 10 | 9     | 1  |
|             | 3            | 2         | 13      | 13 | 14  | 5          | 6 | 15      | 15 | 9     | 6         | 5  | 12      | 12 | 12    | 2          | 6  | 8       | 9  | 9     | 1  |
|             | 4            | 5         | 9       | 10 | 10  | 5          | 6 | 15      | 15 | 9     | 7         | 5  | 15      | 15 | 15    | 2          | 3  | 5       | 7  | 6     | 1  |



| Time Period | Time Segment | Eastbound |         |    |     | Northbound |   |         |    |       | Westbound |    |         |    |    |       | Southbound |    |         |    |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|----|-----|------------|---|---------|----|-------|-----------|----|---------|----|----|-------|------------|----|---------|----|-------|
|             |              | Left      | Through |    | T/R | Left       |   | Through |    | Right | Left      |    | Through |    |    | Right | Left       |    | Through |    | Right |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3  | 4   | 5          | 6 | 7       | 8  | 9     | 10        | 11 | 12      | 13 | 14 | 15    | 16         | 17 | 18      | 19 | 20    |
|             | Average      | 5         | 12      | 12 | 12  | 5          | 6 | 15      | 15 | 8     | 8         | 8  | 12      | 12 | 10 | 1     | 5          | 7  | 8       | 8  | 1     |

Table G-3.14 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-70 & US-301 – before Study

| Time Period | Eastbound |         |       | Northbound |         |       | Westbound |         |       | Southbound |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|
|             | Left      | Through | Right | Left       | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left       | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 3         | 11      | 5     | 4          | 12      | 2     | 11        | 8       | 2     | 11         | 13      | 1     |
| Off Peak    | 2         | 6       | 2     | 3          | 9       | 2     | 5         | 7       | 2     | 5          | 11      | 0     |
| PM Peak     | 5         | 11      | 3     | 6          | 15      | 8     | 8         | 11      | 1     | 6          | 8       | 1     |

Table G-3.15 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – before Study

| Time Period | Time Segment | Eastbound |         |    |    | Northbound |      |         | Westbound |      |    |         |    |    | Southbound |    |     |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|----|----|------------|------|---------|-----------|------|----|---------|----|----|------------|----|-----|
|             |              | Left      | Through |    |    | Right      | Left | Through | Right     | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right      | L  | T/R |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3  | 4  | 5          | 6    | 7       | 8         | 9    | 10 | 11      | 12 | 13 | 14         | 15 | 16  |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 0         | 15      | 15 | 15 | 0          | 8    | 3       | 9         | 12   | 12 | 12      | 12 | 15 | 15         | 15 | 11  |
|             | 2            | 0         | 15      | 15 | 15 | 0          | 6    | 2       | 12        | 11   | 12 | 12      | 11 | 11 | 4          | 15 | 7   |
|             | 3            | 0         | 15      | 15 | 15 | 0          | 10   | 2       | 12        | 14   | 14 | 12      | 12 | 11 | 0          | 7  | 7   |
|             | 4            | 1         | 10      | 11 | 9  | 1          | 6    | 2       | 2         | 7    | 7  | 10      | 9  | 7  | 0          | 7  | 4   |
|             | Average      | 1         | 14      | 14 | 13 | 0          | 7    | 2       | 9         | 11   | 12 | 12      | 11 | 11 | 5          | 11 | 7   |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 3         | 9       | 8  | 8  | 1          | 4    | 2       | 6         | 8    | 7  | 9       | 8  | 7  | 1          | 5  | 5   |
|             | 2            | 2         | 5       | 5  | 5  | 1          | 4    | 3       | 8         | 9    | 8  | 6       | 6  | 6  | 1          | 4  | 5   |
|             | 3            | 2         | 3       | 3  | 3  | 0          | 6    | 3       | 8         | 7    | 7  | 7       | 7  | 6  | 1          | 4  | 7   |
|             | 4            | 4         | 5       | 4  | 6  | 0          | 6    | 2       | 6         | 8    | 9  | 8       | 9  | 9  | 1          | 5  | 6   |
|             | Average      | 3         | 6       | 5  | 5  | 1          | 5    | 3       | 7         | 8    | 8  | 7       | 7  | 7  | 1          | 5  | 6   |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 3         | 12      | 11 | 10 | 0          | 7    | 4       | 15        | 4    | 13 | 0       | 13 | 14 | 14         | 15 | 15  |
|             | 2            | 5         | 15      | 15 | 14 | 0          | 10   | 6       | 15        | 8    | 9  | 0       | 14 | 14 | 14         | 14 | 14  |
|             | 3            | 2         | 15      | 15 | 15 | 0          | 12   | 11      | 15        | 2    | 15 | 1       | 15 | 15 | 15         | 15 | 15  |
|             | 4            | 5         | 15      | 15 | 15 | 0          | 10   | 9       | 15        | 5    | 15 | 1       | 14 | 15 | 15         | 15 | 15  |
|             | Average      | 4         | 14      | 14 | 14 | 0          | 10   | 8       | 15        | 5    | 13 | 0       | 14 | 15 | 15         | 15 | 15  |

Table G-3.16 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – before Study

| Time Period | Eastbound |         |       | Northbound |         |       | Westbound |         |       | Southbound |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|
|             | Left      | Through | Right | Left       | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left       | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 14      | 0     | 7          | 2       | 9     | 11        | 11      | 5     | 11         | 6       | 2     |
| Off Peak    | 3         | 5       | 1     | 5          | 3       | 7     | 8         | 7       | 1     | 5          | 4       | 2     |
| PM Peak     | 4         | 14      | 0     | 10         | 8       | 15    | 9         | 10      | 15    | 15         | 12      | 2     |

As shown above, the queues were longest for the SR-70 and Lockwood Ridge Rd. intersection in the PM Peak, for the EB and SB through movements which had a length of 15 cars or greater. Both critical intersections had high queues reaching or surpassing a queue length of 15 cars in the AM Peak in the EB direction.

*After Study (Oct. 3 & Oct. 4, 2018)*

Tables G-3.17 to G-3.19 show the average queue length by lane and by movement for both critical intersections from the after study.

Table G-3.17 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-70 &amp; US-301 – After Study

| Time Period | Time Segment | Eastbound |         |     |   | Northbound |         |    |    |       | Westbound |         |    |    |       | Southbound |         |    |       |    |    |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|-----|---|------------|---------|----|----|-------|-----------|---------|----|----|-------|------------|---------|----|-------|----|----|
|             |              | Left      | Through | T/R |   | Left       | Through |    |    | Right | Left      | Through |    |    | Right | Left       | Through |    | Right |    |    |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3   | 4 | 5          | 6       | 7  | 8  | 9     | 10        | 11      | 12 | 13 | 14    | 15         | 16      | 17 | 18    | 19 | 20 |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 4         | 7       | 8   | 4 | 4          | 10      | 12 | 12 | 2     | 4         | 4       | 12 | 12 | 12    | 1          | 9       | 10 | 10    | 8  | 0  |
|             | 2            | 6         | 9       | 9   | 7 | 5          | 11      | 11 | 10 | 1     | 7         | 7       | 14 | 14 | 14    | 2          | 10      | 11 | 11    | 8  | 0  |
|             | 3            | 5         | 13      | 14  | 4 | 5          | 15      | 14 | 15 | 3     | 6         | 6       | 15 | 15 | 15    | 1          | 8       | 9  | 9     | 8  | 0  |
|             | 4            | 0         | 0       | 0   | 0 | 3          | 11      | 12 | 9  | 3     | 4         | 4       | 15 | 14 | 13    | 1          | 9       | 10 | 10    | 7  | 0  |
|             | Average      | 4         | 7       | 8   | 4 | 4          | 12      | 12 | 11 | 2     | 5         | 5       | 14 | 14 | 13    | 1          | 9       | 10 | 10    | 8  | 0  |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 3         | 7       | 8   | 1 | 1          | 4       | 4  | 6  | 0     | 4         | 2       | 9  | 8  | 8     | 1          | 5       | 5  | 5     | 5  | 1  |
|             | 2            | 4         | 6       | 7   | 1 | 2          | 5       | 6  | 8  | 0     | 3         | 2       | 9  | 8  | 8     | 1          | 5       | 6  | 5     | 4  | 0  |
|             | 3            | 3         | 12      | 13  | 1 | 1          | 2       | 4  | 6  | 0     | 2         | 2       | 11 | 10 | 9     | 1          | 5       | 7  | 7     | 5  | 1  |
|             | 4            | 5         | 12      | 12  | 1 | 2          | 7       | 7  | 8  | 0     | 3         | 3       | 10 | 10 | 10    | 0          | 6       | 8  | 8     | 4  | 2  |
|             | Average      | 4         | 9       | 10  | 1 | 1          | 4       | 6  | 7  | 0     | 3         | 2       | 10 | 9  | 9     | 1          | 5       | 6  | 6     | 5  | 1  |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 4         | 10      | 9   | 0 | 5          | 12      | 12 | 12 | 1     | 6         | 7       | 15 | 15 | 14    | 1          | 5       | 7  | 7     | 5  | 2  |
|             | 2            | 14        | 11      | 14  | 0 | 8          | 15      | 15 | 15 | 2     | 9         | 8       | 15 | 15 | 15    | 4          | 7       | 8  | 7     | 6  | 2  |
|             | 3            | 8         | 13      | 13  | 0 | 4          | 12      | 12 | 12 | 0     | 8         | 6       | 15 | 15 | 15    | 3          | 9       | 10 | 10    | 9  | 0  |
|             | 4            | 6         | 7       | 7   | 0 | 4          | 10      | 12 | 0  | 6     | 6         | 13      | 13 | 13 | 13    | 3          | 7       | 9  | 8     | 7  | 0  |
|             | Average      | 8         | 10      | 11  | 0 | 5          | 12      | 13 | 10 | 2     | 7         | 9       | 15 | 14 | 14    | 3          | 7       | 8  | 8     | 7  | 1  |

Table G-3.18 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-70 &amp; US-301 – After Study

| Time Period | Eastbound |         |       | Northbound |         |       | Westbound |         |       | Southbound |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|
|             | Left      | Through | Right | Left       | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left       | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 4         | 7       | 1     | 4          | 12      | 2     | 4         | 11      | 1     | 9          | 9       | 0     |
| Off Peak    | 4         | 7       | 0     | 1          | 6       | 0     | 3         | 7       | 1     | 6          | 6       | 1     |
| PM Peak     | 8         | 10      | 0     | 5          | 11      | 2     | 7         | 12      | 3     | 8          | 8       | 1     |

Table G-3.19 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at SR-70 &amp; Lockwood Ridge Rd – after Study

| Time Period | Time Segment | Eastbound |         |    |    | Northbound |      |         | Westbound |      |    |         |    |    | Southbound |    |     |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|----|----|------------|------|---------|-----------|------|----|---------|----|----|------------|----|-----|
|             |              | Left      | Through |    |    | Right      | Left | Through | Right     | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right      | L  | T/R |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3  | 4  | 5          | 6    | 7       | 8         | 9    | 10 | 11      | 12 | 13 | 14         | 15 | 16  |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 4         | 12      | 12 | 14 | 0          | 9    | 6       | 5         | 13   | 13 | 15      | 15 | 14 | 0          | 14 | 3   |
|             | 2            | 4         | 14      | 14 | 12 | 0          | 7    | 9       | 8         | 15   | 15 | 12      | 13 | 11 | 0          | 15 | 3   |
|             | 3            | 1         | 14      | 14 | 14 | 1          | 9    | 6       | 8         | 13   | 13 | 9       | 10 | 6  | 0          | 7  | 2   |
|             | 4            | 0         | 10      | 10 | 10 | 0          | 9    | 6       | 7         | 8    | 10 | 7       | 8  | 7  | 0          | 11 | 3   |
|             | Average      | 3         | 13      | 13 | 12 | 0          | 8    | 7       | 7         | 12   | 13 | 11      | 11 | 10 | 0          | 12 | 3   |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 2         | 4       | 3  | 5  | 0          | 8    | 7       | 4         | 8    | 9  | 4       | 4  | 5  | 0          | 7  | 3   |
|             | 2            | 1         | 5       | 5  | 6  | 0          | 6    | 5       | 2         | 8    | 7  | 5       | 4  | 4  | 0          | 4  | 4   |
|             | 3            | 2         | 5       | 5  | 4  | 0          | 9    | 4       | 4         | 8    | 8  | 3       | 4  | 4  | 0          | 7  | 3   |

| Time Period | Time Segment | Eastbound |         |    |    | Northbound |      |         | Westbound |      |    |         |    |    | Southbound |    |     |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|----|----|------------|------|---------|-----------|------|----|---------|----|----|------------|----|-----|
|             |              | Left      | Through |    |    | Right      | Left | Through | Right     | Left |    | Through |    |    | Right      | L  | T/R |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3  | 4  | 5          | 6    | 7       | 8         | 9    | 10 | 11      | 12 | 13 | 14         | 15 | 16  |
|             | 4            | 1         | 8       | 8  | 8  | 0          | 6    | 5       | 3         | 8    | 7  | 7       | 6  | 5  | 0          | 4  | 3   |
|             | Average      | 1         | 5       | 5  | 6  | 0          | 7    | 5       | 3         | 8    | 8  | 5       | 4  | 4  | 0          | 6  | 3   |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 5         | 15      | 15 | 15 | 0          | 11   | 12      | 7         | 10   | 10 | 9       | 8  | 9  | 0          | 12 | 2   |
|             | 2            | 3         | 15      | 15 | 15 | 0          | 12   | 13      | 7         | 10   | 10 | 7       | 7  | 6  | 0          | 12 | 4   |
|             | 3            | 1         | 14      | 14 | 13 | 0          | 12   | 10      | 6         | 8    | 9  | 7       | 7  | 8  | 0          | 15 | 4   |
|             | 4            | 0         | 15      | 15 | 15 | 0          | 13   | 14      | 14        | 12   | 12 | 6       | 5  | 6  | 0          | 15 | 2   |
|             | Average      | 2         | 15      | 15 | 15 | 0          | 12   | 12      | 9         | 10   | 10 | 7       | 7  | 7  | 0          | 14 | 3   |

Table G-3.20 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – After Study

| Time Period | Eastbound |         |       | Northbound |         |       | Westbound |         |       | Southbound |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|
|             | Left      | Through | Right | Left       | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left       | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 3         | 12      | 0     | 8          | 7       | 7     | 12        | 11      | 0     | 12         | 3       | 0     |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 6       | 0     | 7          | 5       | 3     | 8         | 4       | 0     | 6          | 2       | 1     |
| PM Peak     | 2         | 15      | 0     | 12         | 12      | 9     | 10        | 7       | 0     | 14         | 3       | 0     |

For the after study, SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd. had the longest queues during the PM Peak in the EB direction. The long queues on EB at Lockwood ridge correspond with the longest delay (91.5 s) and longest travel time (24.9 min) in the PM Peak. For SR-70 & US-301, the longest through movement queues were found in the EB and WB directions and shorter queues were observed on SB and NB for relatively higher traffic flow. The corresponding NB and SB travel times also decreased significantly on US-301.

#### *Comparison of Before and After Queue Lengths for Critical Intersections*

The differences in queue length between the before and after measurements are shown in Tables G-3.21 to G-3.24. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table G-3.21 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at US-301 & SR-70

| Time Period | Eastbound |         |      |       | Northbound |     |         |      |       | Westbound |      |         |     |     |       | Southbound |      |         |      |       |
|-------------|-----------|---------|------|-------|------------|-----|---------|------|-------|-----------|------|---------|-----|-----|-------|------------|------|---------|------|-------|
|             | Left      | Through |      | T/R   | Left       |     | Through |      | Right | Left      |      | Through |     |     | Right | Left       |      | Through |      | Right |
| Lane Number | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4     | 5          | 6   | 7       | 8    | 9     | 10        | 11   | 12      | 13  | 14  | 15    | 16         | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20    |
| AM Peak     | 0.7       | -5.3    | -5.4 | -9.1  | 0.0        | 7.4 | 0.1     | 0.0  | 0.7   | -6.6      | -5.9 | 5.3     | 5.3 | 6.5 | -0.3  | -0.6       | -2.3 | -3.3    | -4.7 | -1.2  |
| Off Peak    | 1.9       | 3.3     | 3.5  | -6.3  | -1.5       | 1.3 | -3.9    | -2.2 | -1.5  | -2.4      | -3.1 | 2.0     | 1.7 | 2.5 | -1.2  | 1.1        | 1.5  | -4.2    | -5.8 | 1.1   |
| PM Peak     | 3.3       | -1.5    | -1.4 | -12.2 | 0.0        | 6.4 | -2.2    | -5.5 | -5.6  | -1.2      | 0.7  | 2.5     | 2.7 | 4.1 | 1.3   | 2.1        | 1.7  | -0.2    | -0.8 | 0.4   |

Note: Lane 6 changed from a left movement to a through movement, which may explain why Lane 6 increases in queue length

Table G-3.22 Difference in Avg. Queue Length (veh/lane) at US-301 &amp; SR-70

| Time Period | EB   |         |       | NB   |         |       | WB   |         |       | SB   |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 0.7  | -5.2    | -4.1  | -0.1 | 0.1     | 0.7   | -6.3 | 5.7     | -0.3  | -1.4 | -4.0    | -1.2  |
| Off Peak    | 1.9  | 0.7     | -1.7  | -1.6 | -3.1    | -1.5  | -2.8 | 2.1     | -1.2  | 1.3  | -5.0    | 1.1   |
| PM Peak     | 3.3  | -4.0    | -3.2  | -0.2 | -3.8    | -5.6  | -0.3 | 3.1     | 1.3   | 1.9  | -0.5    | 0.4   |

Table G-3.23 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Lockwood Ridge &amp; SR-70

| Time Period | EB   |         |      |      |       | NB   |         |       | WB   |      |         |      |      |       | SB   |       |
|-------------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
|             | Left | Through |      |      | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right | L    | T/R   |
| Lane Number | 1    | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7       | 8     | 9    | 10   | 11      | 12   | 13   | 14    | 15   | 16    |
| AM Peak     | 2.0  | -1.2    | -1.4 | -1.0 | 0.0   | 1.2  | 4.5     | -1.8  | 1.1  | 1.0  | -0.8    | 0.4  | -1.5 | -4.8  | 0.7  | -4.5  |
| Off Peak    | -1.3 | -0.1    | 0.4  | 0.5  | -0.4  | 2.5  | 2.6     | -3.9  | 0.2  | -0.2 | -2.7    | -2.8 | -2.3 | -0.9  | 1.1  | -2.5  |
| PM Peak     | -2.0 | 0.6     | 0.8  | 1.1  | 0.0   | 2.5  | 4.5     | -6.4  | 5.0  | -2.6 | 6.8     | -7.2 | -7.6 | -14.6 | -0.9 | -11.4 |



Table G-3.24 Difference in Avg. Queue Length (veh/lane) at Lockwood Ridge & SR-70

| Time Period | EB   |         |       | NB   |         |       | WB   |         |       | SB   |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 2.0  | -1.2    | 0.0   | 1.2  | 4.5     | -1.8  | 1.1  | -0.6    | -4.8  | 0.7  | -3.2    | -1.3  |
| Off Peak    | -1.3 | 0.3     | -0.4  | 2.5  | 2.6     | -3.9  | 0.0  | -2.6    | -0.9  | 1.1  | -1.8    | -0.6  |
| PM Peak     | -2.0 | 0.8     | 0.0   | 2.5  | 4.5     | -6.4  | 1.2  | -2.7    | -14.6 | -0.9 | -9.6    | -1.8  |

## Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of queue lengths:

- US-301 intersection: Except for the WB through movements, all other queues either reduced or remained approximately the same. The queues reduce significantly at the high volume side streets (SB and NB). Consistent with the decrease in travel time along US-301, this further shows that SynchroGreen is prioritizing N-S movements on US-301 over E-W movements along SR-70, most likely due to the relatively higher traffic volumes.
- Lockwood Ridge Rd. intersection: Except for the NB through and left movements, all other queues either reduced or remained approximately the same. Unlike US-301, WB queues were reduced. The reduced WB queue could be due to a new roadway (44<sup>th</sup> Avenue E) that opened up to the public in 2017 (see Figure G-3.11), as traffic may be diverted from SR-70 to the new facility. This new roadway runs parallel to SR-70 between 19<sup>th</sup> St E and 45<sup>th</sup> St E (shown in green in Figure G-3.11). The decrease in the SB queue could be due to the roadway-widening project along this segment (marked in red in Figure G-3.11).




Figure G-3.11 Changes near Lockwood Ridge intersection of SR-70 corridor

### G.3.4. Queue to Lane Storage Ratio

In addition to queue length, it is important to assess any impact to adjacent lanes or to upstream facilities. The queue to link/lane storage ratio is used to establish the likelihood of spillback, which is presented in this section by movement and by time period.

The following assumptions are used:

- The storage capacity is estimated as the maximum number of vehicles in the lane.
- The queue to link/lane storage ratio is estimated as 1 if the observer reported “spillback”, and as 0.8 if reported as the maximum number of vehicles in the sight distance.
- Queue to lane storage ratios over 80% are highlighted in yellow, as they represent conditions with a high probability for spillback.




Figure G-3.12 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (Dec.8 & Dec.9, 2016)

Table G-3.25 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-70 & US-301– before Study

| Time period | Time segment | Eastbound |         |      |      | Northbound |      |         |      |       | Westbound |      |         |      |      | Southbound |      |      |         |      |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|------|------|------------|------|---------|------|-------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|------------|------|------|---------|------|-------|
|             |              | Left      | Through |      | T/R  | Left       |      | Through |      | Right | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right      | Left |      | Through |      | Right |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5          | 6    | 7       | 8    | 9     | 10        | 11   | 12      | 13   | 14   | 15         | 16   | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20    |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 0.24      | 1       | 1    | 1    | 0.29       | 0.33 | 0.72    | 0.68 | 0.16  | 0.82      | 0.78 | 0.45    | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0          | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83    | 0.83 | 0.13  |
|             | 2            | 0.19      | 0.83    | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.28       | 0.29 | 0.69    | 0.72 | 0.1   | 0.98      | 0.98 | 0.89    | 0.89 | 0.81 | 0.4        | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83    | 0.83 | 0.11  |
|             | 3            | 0.19      | 0.7     | 0.74 | 0.71 | 0.31       | 0.32 | 0.9     | 0.76 | 0.1   | 0.61      | 0.52 | 0.42    | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.01       | 0.37 | 0.57 | 0.89    | 0.8  | 0     |
|             | 4            | 0.19      | 0.67    | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.27       | 0.24 | 0.77    | 0.73 | 0.07  | 0.66      | 0.63 | 0.61    | 0.56 | 0.5  | 0.04       | 0.2  | 0.67 | 0.61    | 0.49 | 0     |
|             | Average      | 0.2       | 0.8     | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.29       | 0.3  | 0.77    | 0.72 | 0.11  | 0.77      | 0.73 | 0.59    | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.11       | 0.56 | 0.73 | 0.79    | 0.74 | 0.06  |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 0.14      | 0.4     | 0.41 | 0.5  | 0.23       | 0.23 | 0.5     | 0.52 | 0.17  | 0.37      | 0.34 | 0.47    | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.12       | 0.3  | 0.34 | 0.83    | 0.72 | 0     |
|             | 2            | 0.14      | 0.38    | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.26       | 0.2  | 0.5     | 0.49 | 0.11  | 0.38      | 0.39 | 0.58    | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.16       | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.62    | 0.63 | 0     |
|             | 3            | 0.13      | 0.32    | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.18       | 0.2  | 0.62    | 0.58 | 0.07  | 0.32      | 0.31 | 0.44    | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.12       | 0.24 | 0.3  | 0.57    | 0.62 | 0     |
|             | 4            | 0.1       | 0.47    | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.13       | 0.19 | 0.9     | 0.81 | 0.07  | 0.37      | 0.4  | 0.54    | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.09       | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.82    | 0.81 | 0     |
|             | Average      | 0.13      | 0.39    | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.2        | 0.21 | 0.63    | 0.6  | 0.1   | 0.36      | 0.36 | 0.51    | 0.49 | 0.41 | 0.12       | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.71    | 0.7  | 0     |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 0.3       | 0.67    | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.31       | 0.3  | 0.83    | 0.83 | 0.32  | 0.77      | 0.77 | 0.61    | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.06       | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.42    | 0.42 | 0.04  |
|             | 2            | 0.3       | 0.67    | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.33       | 0.36 | 0.83    | 0.83 | 0.42  | 0.38      | 0.39 | 0.56    | 0.53 | 0.4  | 0.04       | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.56    | 0.5  | 0.03  |
|             | 3            | 0.13      | 0.74    | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.26       | 0.33 | 0.83    | 0.83 | 0.49  | 0.4       | 0.33 | 0.8     | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.1        | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.52    | 0.48 | 0.06  |
|             | 4            | 0.29      | 0.51    | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.28       | 0.31 | 0.83    | 0.83 | 0.48  | 0.4       | 0.3  | 0.83    | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.12       | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.37    | 0.31 | 0.03  |
|             | Average      | 0.26      | 0.65    | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.29       | 0.33 | 0.83    | 0.83 | 0.43  | 0.49      | 0.45 | 0.7     | 0.68 | 0.6  | 0.08       | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.47    | 0.43 | 0.04  |

Table G-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – before Study

| Time period | Time segment | Eastbound |         |      |      | Northbound |      |         | Westbound |      |      |         |      |      | Southbound |      |      |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|------|------|------------|------|---------|-----------|------|------|---------|------|------|------------|------|------|
|             |              | Left      | Through |      |      | Right      | Left | Through | Right     | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right      | L    | T/R  |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5          | 6    | 7       | 8         | 9    | 10   | 11      | 12   | 13   | 14         | 15   | 16   |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 0.01      | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00       | 0.53 | 0.17    | 0.57      | 0.79 | 0.83 | 0.81    | 0.83 | 0.99 | 0.99       | 1.00 | 0.76 |
|             | 2            | 0.02      | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00       | 0.39 | 0.10    | 0.82      | 0.78 | 0.90 | 0.83    | 0.72 | 0.78 | 0.33       | 1.00 | 0.42 |
|             | 3            | 0.01      | 0.99    | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.00       | 0.64 | 0.16    | 0.79      | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.83    | 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.00       | 0.47 | 0.47 |
|             | 4            | 0.09      | 0.67    | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.08       | 0.38 | 0.16    | 0.16      | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.68    | 0.59 | 0.48 | 0.02       | 0.49 | 0.29 |
|             | Average      | 0.03      | 0.91    | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.02       | 0.49 | 0.14    | 0.58      | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.79    | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.34       | 0.74 | 0.48 |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 0.18      | 0.60    | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.06       | 0.26 | 0.16    | 0.40      | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.57    | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.06       | 0.31 | 0.34 |
|             | 2            | 0.16      | 0.36    | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.04       | 0.26 | 0.19    | 0.53      | 0.60 | 0.54 | 0.39    | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.03       | 0.27 | 0.36 |
|             | 3            | 0.12      | 0.21    | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.01       | 0.37 | 0.18    | 0.56      | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.43    | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.06       | 0.29 | 0.48 |
|             | 4            | 0.28      | 0.31    | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.02       | 0.37 | 0.16    | 0.39      | 0.52 | 0.61 | 0.54    | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.09       | 0.36 | 0.38 |
|             | Average      | 0.18      | 0.37    | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.03       | 0.31 | 0.17    | 0.47      | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.48    | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.06       | 0.31 | 0.39 |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 0.23      | 0.79    | 0.73 | 0.67 | 0.00       | 0.47 | 0.27    | 1.00      | 0.29 | 0.86 | 0.01    | 0.86 | 0.94 | 0.94       | 0.97 | 1.00 |
|             | 2            | 0.43      | 1.00    | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.00       | 0.62 | 0.43    | 1.00      | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.02    | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95       | 0.90 | 0.90 |
|             | 3            | 0.16      | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00       | 0.77 | 0.71    | 1.00      | 0.13 | 1.00 | 0.05    | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00       | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|             | 4            | 0.36      | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00       | 0.65 | 0.61    | 1.00      | 0.36 | 1.00 | 0.04    | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00       | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|             | Average      | 0.30      | 0.95    | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.00       | 0.63 | 0.51    | 1.00      | 0.32 | 0.86 | 0.03    | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.97       | 0.97 | 0.98 |

After Study (Oct. 3 & Oct.4, 2018)

Table G-3.27 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-70 & US-301 – After Study

|             | Time segment | Eastbound |         |      |      | Northbound |      |         |      |       | Westbound |      |         |      |      |       | Southbound |      |         |      |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|------|------|------------|------|---------|------|-------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------------|------|---------|------|-------|
|             |              | Left      | Through |      | T/R  | Left       |      | Through |      | Right | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | Left       |      | Through |      | Right |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5          | 6    | 7       | 8    | 9     | 10        | 11   | 12      | 13   | 14   | 15    | 16         | 17   | 18      | 19   | 20    |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 0.39      | 0.64    | 0.67 | 0.27 | 0.19       | 0.57 | 0.64    | 0.51 | 0.08  | 0.18      | 0.19 | 0.68    | 0.63 | 0.06 | 0.60  | 0.65       | 0.66 | 0.51    | 0.00 | 0.39  |
|             | 2            | 0.41      | 0.53    | 0.55 | 0.41 | 0.33       | 0.76 | 0.73    | 0.64 | 0.09  | 0.44      | 0.44 | 0.96    | 0.96 | 0.16 | 0.68  | 0.72       | 0.75 | 0.51    | 0.00 | 0.41  |
|             | 3            | 0.36      | 0.74    | 0.78 | 0.24 | 0.36       | 0.98 | 0.96    | 1    | 0.20  | 0.38      | 0.38 | 1       | 1    | 0.07 | 0.56  | 0.61       | 0.59 | 0.53    | 0.00 | 0.36  |
|             | 4            | 0.41      | 0.66    | 0.69 | 0.16 | 0.2        | 0.75 | 0.77    | 0.6  | 0.20  | 0.23      | 0.23 | 0.98    | 0.87 | 0.07 | 0.58  | 0.63       | 0.63 | 0.48    | 0.00 | 0.41  |
|             | Average      | 0.39      | 0.64    | 0.67 | 0.27 | 0.27       | 0.76 | 0.77    | 0.69 | 0.14  | 0.31      | 0.31 | 0.9     | 0.86 | 0.09 | 0.60  | 0.65       | 0.66 | 0.51    | 0.00 | 0.39  |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 0.22      | 0.47    | 0.51 | 0.08 | 0.08       | 0.23 | 0.29    | 0.38 | 0.01  | 0.23      | 0.13 | 0.57    | 0.54 | 0.04 | 0.34  | 0.36       | 0.35 | 0.36    | 0.07 | 0.22  |
|             | 2            | 0.27      | 0.4     | 0.44 | 0.08 | 0.13       | 0.31 | 0.42    | 0.5  | 0.01  | 0.18      | 0.16 | 0.59    | 0.51 | 0.03 | 0.35  | 0.4        | 0.36 | 0.27    | 0.00 | 0.27  |
|             | 3            | 0.19      | 0.78    | 0.84 | 0.07 | 0.04       | 0.13 | 0.23    | 0.33 | 0.00  | 0.12      | 0.12 | 0.6     | 0.49 | 0.04 | 0.33  | 0.46       | 0.48 | 0.34    | 0.10 | 0.19  |
|             | 4            | 0.34      | 0.63    | 0.62 | 0.04 | 0.07       | 0.24 | 0.26    | 0.28 | 0.00  | 0.16      | 0.1  | 0.47    | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.43  | 0.53       | 0.56 | 0.3     | 0.15 | 0.34  |
|             | Average      | 0.26      | 0.57    | 0.6  | 0.07 | 0.08       | 0.23 | 0.3     | 0.37 | 0.01  | 0.17      | 0.13 | 0.56    | 0.49 | 0.03 | 0.36  | 0.44       | 0.44 | 0.32    | 0.08 | 0.26  |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 0.21      | 0.51    | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.31       | 0.81 | 0.83    | 0.77 | 0.08  | 0.41      | 0.45 | 1       | 0.95 | 0.05 | 0.28  | 0.39       | 0.39 | 0.28    | 0.11 | 0.21  |
|             | 2            | 0.91      | 0.76    | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.55       | 0.99 | 1       | 0.99 | 0.11  | 0.61      | 0.53 | 1       | 1    | 0.29 | 0.45  | 0.53       | 0.49 | 0.4     | 0.15 | 0.91  |
|             | 3            | 0.51      | 0.83    | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.29       | 0.77 | 0.81    | 0.79 | 0.00  | 0.53      | 0.43 | 1       | 1    | 0.19 | 0.63  | 0.67       | 0.65 | 0.63    | 0.03 | 0.51  |
|             | 4            | 0.35      | 0.51    | 0.45 | 0.03 | 0.28       | 0.69 | 0.77    | 0.00 | 0.39  | 0.37      | 0.85 | 0.87    | 0.87 | 0.19 | 0.49  | 0.57       | 0.56 | 0.45    | 0.00 | 0.35  |
|             | Average      | 0.49      | 0.65    | 0.67 | 0.01 | 0.36       | 0.82 | 0.85    | 0.64 | 0.14  | 0.48      | 0.57 | 0.97    | 0.95 | 0.18 | 0.46  | 0.54       | 0.52 | 0.44    | 0.07 | 0.49  |

Table G-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at SR-70 &amp; Lockwood Ridge Rd – after Study

| Time period | Time segment | Eastbound |         |      |      |       | Northbound |         |       | Westbound |      |         |      |      |       | Southbound |      |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|------------|---------|-------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------------|------|
|             |              | Left      | Through |      |      | Right | Left       | Through | Right | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right | L          | T/R  |
| Lane Number |              | 1         | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6          | 7       | 8     | 9         | 10   | 11      | 12   | 13   | 14    | 15         | 16   |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 0.24      | 0.68    | 0.69 | 0.78 | 0.00  | 0.40       | 0.24    | 0.23  | 0.84      | 0.84 | 1.00    | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.00  | 0.95       | 0.20 |
|             | 2            | 0.24      | 0.78    | 0.79 | 0.66 | 0.00  | 0.41       | 0.50    | 0.42  | 1.00      | 1.00 | 0.88    | 0.90 | 0.83 | 0.00  | 1.00       | 0.27 |
|             | 3            | 0.06      | 0.79    | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.04  | 0.49       | 0.33    | 0.42  | 0.87      | 0.89 | 0.63    | 0.68 | 0.39 | 0.00  | 0.44       | 0.16 |
|             | 4            | 0.02      | 0.64    | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.02  | 0.48       | 0.33    | 0.40  | 0.47      | 0.53 | 0.40    | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.00  | 0.63       | 0.14 |
|             | Average      | 0.14      | 0.72    | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.02  | 0.44       | 0.35    | 0.37  | 0.79      | 0.82 | 0.73    | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.00  | 0.76       | 0.19 |
| Off Peak    | 1            | 0.11      | 0.29    | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.02  | 0.43       | 0.37    | 0.22  | 0.42      | 0.49 | 0.20    | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.00  | 0.38       | 0.19 |
|             | 2            | 0.06      | 0.26    | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.00  | 0.36       | 0.28    | 0.12  | 0.44      | 0.41 | 0.26    | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.00  | 0.23       | 0.20 |
|             | 3            | 0.10      | 0.28    | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.00  | 0.48       | 0.24    | 0.21  | 0.43      | 0.43 | 0.19    | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.00  | 0.40       | 0.17 |
|             | 4            | 0.08      | 0.44    | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.00  | 0.27       | 0.20    | 0.12  | 0.47      | 0.39 | 0.37    | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.00  | 0.24       | 0.19 |
|             | Average      | 0.09      | 0.32    | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.01  | 0.38       | 0.27    | 0.17  | 0.44      | 0.43 | 0.25    | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00  | 0.31       | 0.19 |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 0.31      | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00  | 0.71       | 0.77    | 0.44  | 0.53      | 0.53 | 0.52    | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.00  | 0.68       | 0.15 |
|             | 2            | 0.20      | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00  | 0.78       | 0.83    | 0.50  | 0.70      | 0.67 | 0.52    | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.00  | 0.78       | 0.30 |
|             | 3            | 0.05      | 0.93    | 0.95 | 0.88 | 0.00  | 0.83       | 0.68    | 0.41  | 0.52      | 0.61 | 0.44    | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.00  | 1.00       | 0.29 |
|             | 4            | 0.00      | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00  | 0.88       | 0.91    | 0.96  | 0.69      | 0.69 | 0.33    | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.00  | 0.83       | 0.17 |
|             | Average      | 0.14      | 0.98    | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.00  | 0.80       | 0.80    | 0.58  | 0.61      | 0.63 | 0.45    | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.00  | 0.82       | 0.23 |

### Comparisons of Before and After Queue Storage Ratios

The differences in Queue Storage Ratio between before and after measurements are shown in Table G-3.29 and Table G-3.30. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table G-3.29 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at SR-70 & US-301

| Time Period | EB   |         |      |      | NB   |     |         |      |       | WB   |      |         |     |       | SB   |     |         |      |       |      |
|-------------|------|---------|------|------|------|-----|---------|------|-------|------|------|---------|-----|-------|------|-----|---------|------|-------|------|
|             | Left | Through |      | T/R  | Left |     | Through |      | Right | Left |      | Through |     | Right | Left |     | Through |      | Right |      |
| Lane Number | 1    | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6   | 7       | 8    | 9     | 10   | 11   | 12      | 13  | 14    | 15   | 16  | 17      | 18   | 19    | 20   |
| AM Peak     | 0.2  | -0.2    | -0.2 | -0.5 | 0.0  | 0.5 | 0.0     | 0.0  | 0.0   | -0.5 | -0.4 | 0.3     | 0.3 | 0.4   | 0.0  | 0.0 | -0.1    | -0.1 | -0.2  | -0.1 |
| Off Peak    | 0.1  | 0.2     | 0.2  | -0.4 | -0.1 | 0.0 | -0.3    | -0.2 | -0.1  | -0.2 | -0.2 | 0.0     | 0.0 | 0.1   | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1     | -0.3 | -0.4  | 0.1  |
| PM Peak     | 0.2  | 0.0     | 0.0  | -0.7 | 0.1  | 0.5 | 0.0     | -0.2 | -0.3  | 0.0  | 0.1  | 0.3     | 0.3 | 0.4   | 0.1  | 0.2 | 0.2     | 0.1  | 0.0   | 0.0  |

Note: Lane 6 changed from a left movement to a through movement.



Table G-3.30 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at SR-70 &amp; Lockwood Ridge

| Time Period | EB   |         |      |      |       | NB   |         |       | WB   |      |         |      |      |       |      | SB   |  |
|-------------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|--|
|             | Left | Through |      |      | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left |      | Through |      |      | Right | L    | T/R  |  |
| Lane Number | 1    | 2       | 3    | 4    | 5     | 6    | 7       | 8     | 9    | 10   | 11      | 12   | 13   | 14    | 15   | 16   |  |
| AM Peak     | 0.1  | -0.1    | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.0   | 0.1  | 0.3     | -0.1  | 0.1  | 0.0  | -0.1    | 0.0  | -0.1 | -0.3  | 0.0  | -0.3 |  |
| Off Peak    | -0.1 | 0.0     | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.2  | 0.2     | -0.3  | 0.0  | 0.0  | -0.2    | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.1  | 0.1  | -0.2 |  |
| PM Peak     | -0.2 | 0.0     | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.0   | 0.2  | 0.3     | -0.4  | 0.3  | -0.2 | 0.5     | -0.5 | -0.5 | -1.0  | -0.1 | -0.8 |  |

### Discussion

The queues reaching capacity/spillover are marked in yellow in Tables G-3.29 to G-3.30. The following are concluded from the comparison of queue storage ratios:

- US-301 intersection: Compared to the before data collection, the EB and SB have fewer or no instances of queues reaching capacity ( $Q/S > 0.8$ ). While there was no change in the NB queues, the WB has more instances of queues about to spillover during the PM Peak.
- Lockwood Ridge Rd. intersection: During the before study all approaches had some instances of queues reaching capacity. However, after SynchroGreen implementation, except for WB in the AM Peak and EB in the PM Peak, all other approaches have little to no instances of queue spillover.

### G.3.5. Equivalent PCE Flows

Traffic flows were counted manually and converted to equivalent PCE flows (pce/hour) by considering the percentage of heavy vehicles. It was assumed that the PCE for trucks is 2.

*Truck Percentage Observations Before Study (Dec.8 & Dec.9, 2016)*

Table G-3.31 Truck Percentages at SR-70 & US-301 – before Study

| Period   | Interval | Northbound |       |        | Southbound |        |        | Eastbound |       |        | Westbound |       |        | Ave.  |
|----------|----------|------------|-------|--------|------------|--------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|
|          |          | Left       | Thru  | Right  | Left       | Thru   | Right  | Left      | Thru  | Right  | Left      | Thru  | Right  |       |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 9.52%      | 7.55% | 0.00%  | 4.08%      | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 3.57%     | 3.08% | 4.00%  | 5.56%     | 2.95% | 1.79%  | 3.51% |
|          | 2        | 0.00%      | 6.64% | 23.40% | 2.99%      | 2.67%  | 0.00%  | 5.56%     | 4.49% | 2.78%  | 13.38%    | 9.51% | 16.36% | 7.31% |
|          | 3        | 9.62%      | 4.66% | 14.55% | 1.01%      | 2.86%  | 0.00%  | 8.33%     | 3.60% | 1.89%  | 6.35%     | 5.75% | 6.90%  | 5.46% |
|          | 4        | 12.20%     | 8.57% | 14.75% | 4.88%      | 0.55%  | 6.25%  | 0.00%     | 5.15% | 9.09%  | 12.84%    | 5.96% | 8.00%  | 7.35% |
|          | Average  | 7.83%      | 6.85% | 13.18% | 3.24%      | 1.52%  | 1.56%  | 4.37%     | 4.08% | 4.44%  | 9.53%     | 6.04% | 8.26%  | 5.91% |
| Off Peak | 1        | 5.77%      | 9.72% | 14.04% | 8.16%      | 0.00%  | 8.33%  | 0.00%     | 5.31% | 15.63% | 22.89%    | 4.30% | 8.00%  | 8.51% |
|          | 2        | 5.88%      | 6.29% | 19.30% | 10.91%     | 7.64%  | 14.29% | 0.00%     | 4.13% | 11.76% | 11.90%    | 3.66% | 12.77% | 9.04% |
|          | 3        | 1.49%      | 0.00% | 14.29% | 4.17%      | 0.00%  | 12.50% | 0.00%     | 4.41% | 6.06%  | 19.54%    | 3.67% | 5.45%  | 5.97% |
|          | 4        | 1.89%      | 6.45% | 6.96%  | 8.11%      | 12.22% | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 6.77% | 15.63% | 13.43%    | 2.68% | 5.41%  | 6.63% |
|          | Average  | 3.76%      | 5.61% | 13.64% | 7.84%      | 4.97%  | 8.78%  | 0.00%     | 5.16% | 12.27% | 16.94%    | 3.58% | 7.91%  | 7.54% |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 1.11%      | 4.14% | 5.88%  | 2.06%      | 4.76%  | 5.00%  | 10.34%    | 1.36% | 13.16% | 9.30%     | 5.54% | 7.46%  | 5.84% |
|          | 2        | 5.19%      | 2.99% | 8.33%  | 0.74%      | 2.35%  | 0.00%  | 2.63%     | 0.28% | 2.33%  | 9.02%     | 3.14% | 3.17%  | 3.35% |
|          | 3        | 2.27%      | 2.01% | 5.13%  | 2.80%      | 2.43%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 1.88% | 2.86%  | 5.74%     | 1.34% | 3.39%  | 2.49% |
|          | 4        | 4.76%      | 2.94% | 1.75%  | 1.18%      | 1.91%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 2.11% | 2.50%  | 9.17%     | 1.38% | 2.63%  | 2.53% |
|          | Average  | 3.34%      | 3.02% | 5.27%  | 1.69%      | 2.86%  | 1.25%  | 3.24%     | 1.41% | 5.21%  | 8.31%     | 2.85% | 4.16%  | 3.55% |

Table G-3.32 Truck Percentages at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – before Study

| Period   | Interval | Northbound |        |       | Southbound |       |        | Eastbound |        |        | Westbound |       |        | Ave.  |
|----------|----------|------------|--------|-------|------------|-------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|
|          |          | Left       | Thru   | Right | Left       | Thru  | Right  | Left      | Thru   | Right  | Left      | Thru  | Right  |       |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 0.00%      | 0.00%  | 4.76% | 4.35%      | 8.00% | 11.11% | 6.00%     | 5.72%  | 9.52%  | 2.38%     | 4.18% | 3.57%  | 4.97% |
|          | 2        | 1.49%      | 0.00%  | 1.83% | 1.05%      | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 10.00%    | 6.53%  | 6.67%  | 3.91%     | 5.01% | 9.09%  | 3.80% |
|          | 3        | 5.17%      | 0.00%  | 3.21% | 2.25%      | 2.63% | 0.00%  | 11.11%    | 6.35%  | 6.38%  | 6.77%     | 7.79% | 17.39% | 5.75% |
|          | 4        | 6.85%      | 0.00%  | 8.20% | 6.15%      | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 6.59%  | 8.70%  | 9.00%     | 7.49% | 12.50% | 5.46% |
|          | Average  | 3.38%      | 0.00%  | 4.50% | 3.45%      | 2.66% | 2.78%  | 6.78%     | 6.30%  | 7.82%  | 5.51%     | 6.12% | 10.64% | 4.99% |
| Off Peak | 1        | 7.84%      | 0.00%  | 7.41% | 2.38%      | 3.45% | 0.00%  | 4.55%     | 12.26% | 12.82% | 2.78%     | 5.34% | 0.00%  | 4.90% |
|          | 2        | 4.08%      | 12.50% | 9.88% | 2.13%      | 2.94% | 0.00%  | 3.33%     | 10.10% | 2.50%  | 3.70%     | 5.26% | 4.00%  | 5.04% |
|          | 3        | 3.77%      | 0.00%  | 6.67% | 2.44%      | 0.00% | 7.69%  | 3.57%     | 7.69%  | 2.27%  | 1.36%     | 5.10% | 0.00%  | 3.38% |
|          | 4        | 1.75%      | 0.00%  | 3.77% | 1.96%      | 0.00% | 7.69%  | 0.00%     | 8.70%  | 1.72%  | 2.45%     | 6.15% | 0.00%  | 2.85% |
|          | Average  | 4.36%      | 3.13%  | 6.93% | 2.23%      | 1.60% | 3.85%  | 2.86%     | 9.69%  | 4.83%  | 2.57%     | 5.46% | 1.00%  | 4.04% |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 4.84%      | 4.88%  | 5.00% | 2.56%      | 0.00% | 8.33%  | 9.68%     | 4.68%  | 1.54%  | 3.85%     | 4.46% | 0.00%  | 4.15% |
|          | 2        | 1.43%      | 4.00%  | 1.96% | 0.00%      | 1.96% | 0.00%  | 4.17%     | 4.48%  | 6.38%  | 2.63%     | 7.31% | 3.70%  | 3.17% |
|          | 3        | 0.00%      | 5.88%  | 4.90% | 1.37%      | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 3.07%  | 0.00%  | 0.78%     | 3.03% | 1.69%  | 1.73% |
|          | 4        | 5.48%      | 3.66%  | 0.63% | 0.00%      | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 2.17%  | 2.94%  | 3.77%     | 2.49% | 0.00%  | 1.76% |
|          | Average  | 2.94%      | 4.60%  | 3.12% | 0.98%      | 0.49% | 2.08%  | 3.46%     | 3.60%  | 2.72%  | 2.76%     | 4.32% | 1.35%  | 2.70% |

PCE Flow Rates Before Study (Dec. 8 & Dec. 9, 2016)

Table G-3.33 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-70 & US-301 – before Study

| Period   | Interval | Northbound |      |       | Southbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       |
|----------|----------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|          |          | Left       | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 21         | 159  | 59    | 49         | 309  | 17    | 28        | 260  | 50    | 108       | 271  | 56    |
|          | 2        | 45         | 211  | 47    | 67         | 375  | 20    | 36        | 245  | 72    | 142       | 347  | 55    |
|          | 3        | 52         | 236  | 55    | 99         | 384  | 16    | 24        | 250  | 53    | 189       | 400  | 58    |
|          | 4        | 41         | 140  | 61    | 41         | 181  | 16    | 35        | 272  | 33    | 109       | 319  | 50    |
|          | Average  | 159        | 746  | 222   | 256        | 1249 | 69    | 123       | 1027 | 208   | 548       | 1337 | 219   |
| Off Peak | 1        | 52         | 144  | 57    | 49         | 125  | 12    | 17        | 226  | 32    | 83        | 256  | 50    |
|          | 2        | 51         | 175  | 57    | 55         | 157  | 14    | 19        | 242  | 34    | 84        | 273  | 47    |
|          | 3        | 67         | 188  | 63    | 48         | 138  | 8     | 22        | 272  | 33    | 87        | 245  | 55    |
|          | 4        | 53         | 186  | 115   | 74         | 180  | 9     | 14        | 266  | 32    | 67        | 224  | 37    |
|          | Average  | 223        | 693  | 292   | 226        | 600  | 43    | 72        | 1006 | 131   | 321       | 998  | 189   |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 90         | 290  | 85    | 97         | 231  | 20    | 29        | 220  | 38    | 129       | 289  | 67    |
|          | 2        | 77         | 301  | 84    | 135        | 213  | 35    | 38        | 359  | 43    | 122       | 382  | 63    |
|          | 3        | 88         | 349  | 117   | 143        | 206  | 27    | 39        | 426  | 35    | 122       | 374  | 59    |
|          | 4        | 63         | 340  | 114   | 170        | 262  | 37    | 41        | 332  | 40    | 109       | 435  | 76    |
|          | Average  | 318        | 1280 | 400   | 545        | 912  | 119   | 147       | 1337 | 156   | 482       | 1480 | 265   |

Table G-3.34 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – before Study

| Period   | Interval | Northbound |      |       | Southbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       |
|----------|----------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|          |          | Left       | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 41         | 20   | 168   | 46         | 25   | 9     | 50        | 367  | 21    | 42        | 287  | 56    |
|          | 2        | 67         | 24   | 164   | 95         | 35   | 4     | 20        | 429  | 30    | 128       | 459  | 22    |
|          | 3        | 58         | 13   | 156   | 89         | 38   | 5     | 27        | 362  | 47    | 133       | 398  | 23    |
|          | 4        | 73         | 23   | 122   | 65         | 44   | 20    | 19        | 349  | 46    | 100       | 414  | 24    |
|          | Average  | 239        | 80   | 610   | 295        | 142  | 38    | 116       | 1507 | 144   | 403       | 1558 | 125   |
| Off Peak | 1        | 51         | 20   | 81    | 42         | 29   | 12    | 22        | 310  | 39    | 108       | 393  | 17    |
|          | 2        | 49         | 24   | 81    | 47         | 34   | 11    | 30        | 287  | 40    | 135       | 342  | 25    |
|          | 3        | 53         | 14   | 90    | 41         | 32   | 13    | 28        | 325  | 44    | 147       | 392  | 20    |
|          | 4        | 57         | 26   | 106   | 51         | 39   | 13    | 26        | 345  | 58    | 163       | 439  | 28    |
|          | Average  | 210        | 84   | 358   | 181        | 134  | 49    | 106       | 1267 | 181   | 553       | 1566 | 90    |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 62         | 41   | 120   | 39         | 41   | 12    | 31        | 534  | 65    | 78        | 404  | 13    |
|          | 2        | 70         | 50   | 153   | 80         | 51   | 10    | 24        | 580  | 47    | 114       | 438  | 27    |
|          | 3        | 60         | 51   | 143   | 73         | 57   | 5     | 29        | 521  | 45    | 128       | 396  | 59    |
|          | 4        | 73         | 82   | 160   | 89         | 58   | 10    | 22        | 508  | 34    | 106       | 441  | 60    |
|          | Average  | 265        | 224  | 576   | 281        | 207  | 37    | 106       | 2143 | 191   | 426       | 1679 | 159   |

Truck Percentage Observations After Study (Oct. 3 & Dec. 4, 2018)

Table G-3.35 Truck Percentages at SR-70 & US-301 – after Study

| Period   | Interval | Northbound |       |       | Southbound |        |         | Eastbound |       |        | Westbound |       |        | Ave.   |
|----------|----------|------------|-------|-------|------------|--------|---------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|
|          |          | Left       | Thru  | Right | Left       | Thru   | Right   | Left      | Thru  | Right  | Left      | Thru  | Right  |        |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 0.00%      | 1.47% | 0.00% | 7.23%      | 0.00%  | 22.22%  | 14.12%    | 6.67% | 20.00% | 0.00%     | 3.96% | 43.90% | 9.96%  |
|          | 2        | 0.00%      | 3.01% | 2.00% | 15.28%     | 6.83%  | 25.00%  | 16.67%    | 2.95% | 20.00% | 0.00%     | 6.18% | 24.14% | 10.17% |
|          | 3        | 0.00%      | 2.84% | 3.70% | 4.72%      | 2.75%  | 14.29%  | 0.00%     | 7.84% | 4.76%  | 0.00%     | 1.86% | 11.36% | 4.51%  |
|          | 4        | 0.00%      | 3.68% | 2.56% | 7.23%      | 7.32%  | 7.81%   | 15.56%    | 5.15% | 0.00%  | 6.52%     | 6.51% | 7.25%  | 5.80%  |
|          | Average  | 0.00%      | 2.75% | 2.07% | 8.61%      | 4.22%  | 17.33%  | 11.58%    | 5.65% | 11.19% | 1.63%     | 4.63% | 21.66% | 7.61%  |
| Off Peak | 1        | 0.00%      | 1.25% | 9.09% | 13.04%     | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 12.90%    | 7.41% | 7.89%  | 4.55%     | 6.14% | 16.22% | 6.54%  |
|          | 2        | 0.00%      | 0.58% | 3.85% | 4.69%      | 10.27% | 11.11%  | 31.71%    | 8.45% | 8.06%  | 5.71%     | 6.02% | 15.09% | 8.80%  |
|          | 3        | 7.14%      | 0.00% | 6.45% | 14.63%     | 0.00%  | 0.00%   | 20.00%    | 3.29% | 14.29% | 9.09%     | 4.76% | 9.84%  | 7.46%  |
|          | 4        | 0.00%      | 2.25% | 3.45% | 10.31%     | 6.69%  | 11.76%  | 10.89%    | 5.84% | 4.55%  | 8.57%     | 5.43% | 10.00% | 6.65%  |
|          | Average  | 1.79%      | 1.02% | 5.71% | 10.67%     | 4.24%  | 5.72%   | 18.88%    | 6.25% | 8.70%  | 6.98%     | 5.59% | 12.79% | 7.36%  |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 4.17%      | 1.56% | 0.00% | 9.78%      | 5.42%  | 9.52%   | 10.84%    | 5.35% | 11.76% | 0.00%     | 2.10% | 7.07%  | 5.63%  |
|          | 2        | 4.76%      | 1.09% | 4.00% | 8.70%      | 5.20%  | 15.00%  | 8.21%     | 3.48% | 5.43%  | 0.00%     | 2.49% | 6.45%  | 5.40%  |
|          | 3        | 0.00%      | 1.29% | 8.33% | 3.17%      | 3.30%  | 100.00% | 9.26%     | 2.33% | 3.41%  | 0.00%     | 1.81% | 4.07%  | 11.41% |
|          | 4        | 0.00%      | 2.06% | 0.00% | 3.88%      | 5.80%  | 18.75%  | 3.42%     | 3.77% | 2.25%  | 1.56%     | 1.34% | 5.19%  | 4.00%  |
|          | Average  | 2.23%      | 1.50% | 3.08% | 6.38%      | 4.93%  | 35.82%  | 7.93%     | 3.73% | 5.71%  | 0.39%     | 1.93% | 5.70%  | 6.61%  |

Table G-3.36 Truck Percentages at SR-70 &amp; Lockwood Ridge Rd – after Study

| Period   | Interval | Northbound |        |       | Southbound |        |        | Eastbound |        |        | Westbound |        |        | Ave.   |
|----------|----------|------------|--------|-------|------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|
|          |          | Left       | Thru   | Right | Left       | Thru   | Right  | Left      | Thru   | Right  | Left      | Thru   | Right  |        |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 9.38%      | 23.53% | 6.72% | 6.25%      | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 42.86%    | 7.29%  | 17.39% | 0.00%     | 4.88%  | 0.00%  | 9.86%  |
|          | 2        | 3.68%      | 6.25%  | 6.42% | 3.70%      | 10.00% | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 6.63%  | 5.00%  | 1.42%     | 7.28%  | 0.00%  | 4.20%  |
|          | 3        | 5.97%      | 11.36% | 9.09% | 0.00%      | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 40.00%    | 9.41%  | 6.52%  | 3.60%     | 5.85%  | 0.00%  | 7.65%  |
|          | 4        | 2.25%      | 7.69%  | 5.04% | 0.00%      | 4.17%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 9.06%  | 5.56%  | 2.33%     | 5.72%  | 2.86%  | 3.72%  |
|          | Average  | 5.32%      | 12.21% | 6.82% | 2.49%      | 3.54%  | 0.00%  | 20.71%    | 8.10%  | 8.62%  | 1.84%     | 5.93%  | 0.71%  | 6.36%  |
| Off Peak | 1        | 4.92%      | 6.56%  | 7.94% | 37.14%     | 58.33% | 83.33% | 0.00%     | 10.37% | 2.78%  | 31.94%    | 19.00% | 60.00% | 26.86% |
|          | 2        | 1.56%      | 6.45%  | 5.81% | 0.00%      | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 11.15% | 8.11%  | 2.50%     | 8.89%  | 8.70%  | 4.43%  |
|          | 3        | 4.76%      | 0.00%  | 3.23% | 2.50%      | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 5.72%  | 0.00%  | 4.42%     | 5.77%  | 0.00%  | 2.20%  |
|          | 4        | 6.56%      | 6.25%  | 5.38% | 0.00%      | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 7.61%  | 2.04%  | 4.35%     | 8.77%  | 4.55%  | 3.79%  |
|          | Average  | 4.45%      | 4.81%  | 5.59% | 9.91%      | 14.58% | 20.83% | 0.00%     | 8.71%  | 3.23%  | 10.80%    | 10.61% | 18.31% | 9.32%  |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 3.66%      | 4.40%  | 4.71% | 2.38%      | 4.55%  | 0.00%  | 2.86%     | 8.77%  | 5.56%  | 5.77%     | 4.62%  | 9.09%  | 4.70%  |
|          | 2        | 1.89%      | 1.47%  | 4.38% | 2.17%      | 0.00%  | 20.00% | 6.25%     | 3.94%  | 4.35%  | 1.38%     | 6.93%  | 5.08%  | 4.82%  |
|          | 3        | 0.00%      | 0.00%  | 0.99% | 0.00%      | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%     | 5.53%  | 0.00%  | 3.31%     | 5.88%  | 6.90%  | 1.88%  |
|          | 4        | 2.25%      | 4.84%  | 1.94% | 1.52%      | 1.52%  | 6.67%  | 0.00%     | 2.18%  | 0.00%  | 3.48%     | 5.81%  | 7.02%  | 2.12%  |
|          | Average  | 1.95%      | 2.68%  | 3.00% | 1.52%      | 1.52%  | 6.67%  | 2.28%     | 5.11%  | 2.48%  | 3.48%     | 5.81%  | 7.02%  | 3.63%  |

PCE Flow Rates After Study (Oct. 3 & Oct. 4, 2016)

Table G-3.37 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-70 & US-301 – after Study

| Period   | Interval | Northbound |      |       | Southbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       |
|----------|----------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|          |          | Left       | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 15         | 204  | 23    | 83         | 227  | 81    | 85        | 315  | 35    | 21        | 101  | 41    |
|          | 2        | 22         | 166  | 50    | 72         | 249  | 60    | 30        | 237  | 25    | 38        | 178  | 29    |
|          | 3        | 16         | 141  | 27    | 106        | 327  | 49    | 34        | 268  | 21    | 52        | 161  | 44    |
|          | 4        | 9          | 163  | 39    | 83         | 328  | 64    | 45        | 272  | 5     | 46        | 169  | 69    |
|          | Average  | 62         | 674  | 139   | 344        | 1131 | 254   | 194       | 1092 | 86    | 157       | 609  | 183   |
| Off Peak | 1        | 14         | 160  | 22    | 23         | 120  | 3     | 31        | 162  | 38    | 22        | 114  | 37    |
|          | 2        | 15         | 172  | 26    | 64         | 224  | 18    | 41        | 213  | 62    | 35        | 133  | 53    |
|          | 3        | 14         | 219  | 31    | 41         | 126  | 12    | 25        | 152  | 28    | 33        | 105  | 61    |
|          | 4        | 12         | 222  | 29    | 97         | 254  | 68    | 101       | 274  | 22    | 35        | 129  | 80    |
|          | Average  | 55         | 773  | 108   | 225        | 724  | 101   | 198       | 801  | 150   | 125       | 481  | 231   |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 24         | 192  | 25    | 92         | 277  | 21    | 83        | 243  | 51    | 57        | 238  | 99    |
|          | 2        | 21         | 183  | 25    | 161        | 269  | 20    | 134       | 345  | 92    | 58        | 241  | 124   |
|          | 3        | 34         | 232  | 24    | 189        | 364  | 2     | 108       | 472  | 88    | 78        | 277  | 123   |
|          | 4        | 25         | 194  | 21    | 129        | 207  | 16    | 117       | 292  | 89    | 64        | 224  | 77    |
|          | Average  | 104        | 801  | 95    | 571        | 1117 | 59    | 442       | 1352 | 320   | 257       | 980  | 423   |



Table G-3.38 Traffic Volume (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd – after Study

| Period   | Interval | Northbound |      |       | Southbound |      |       | Eastbound |      |       | Westbound |      |       |
|----------|----------|------------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|-----------|------|-------|
|          |          | Left       | Thru | Right | Left       | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right | Left      | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 1        | 32         | 17   | 119   | 16         | 9    | 0     | 7         | 192  | 23    | 21        | 82   | 12    |
|          | 2        | 136        | 16   | 187   | 54         | 20   | 4     | 14        | 543  | 80    | 141       | 261  | 50    |
|          | 3        | 67         | 44   | 242   | 63         | 23   | 1     | 10        | 563  | 46    | 139       | 359  | 29    |
|          | 4        | 89         | 26   | 119   | 64         | 24   | 3     | 15        | 287  | 36    | 129       | 332  | 35    |
|          | Average  | 324        | 103  | 667   | 197        | 76   | 8     | 46        | 1585 | 185   | 430       | 1034 | 126   |
| Off Peak | 1        | 61         | 61   | 63    | 35         | 12   | 6     | 27        | 270  | 36    | 72        | 221  | 10    |
|          | 2        | 64         | 31   | 86    | 41         | 20   | 8     | 16        | 260  | 37    | 80        | 315  | 23    |
|          | 3        | 84         | 28   | 124   | 40         | 23   | 6     | 10        | 332  | 42    | 113       | 260  | 20    |
|          | 4        | 61         | 48   | 93    | 43         | 17   | 7     | 8         | 355  | 49    | 92        | 285  | 22    |
|          | Average  | 270        | 168  | 366   | 159        | 72   | 27    | 61        | 1217 | 164   | 357       | 1081 | 75    |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 82         | 91   | 170   | 42         | 22   | 2     | 35        | 399  | 90    | 104       | 303  | 44    |
|          | 2        | 106        | 68   | 160   | 46         | 26   | 5     | 48        | 558  | 69    | 145       | 361  | 59    |
|          | 3        | 122        | 46   | 303   | 45         | 21   | 3     | 58        | 561  | 48    | 121       | 323  | 58    |
|          | 4        | 89         | 62   | 258   | 0          | 0    | 0     | 34        | 504  | 44    | 0         | 0    | 0     |
|          | Average  | 399        | 267  | 891   | 133        | 69   | 10    | 175       | 2022 | 251   | 370       | 987  | 161   |

### Comparisons of Before and After Flows

The differences in traffic flow between the before and after measurements are shown in Tables H-3.39 and H-3.40. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant decrease, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table G-3.39 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at SR-70 & US-301

| Period   | NB   |      |       | SB   |      |       | EB   |      |       | WB   |      |       |
|----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | -97  | -72  | -83   | 88   | 118  | 185   | 71   | 65   | -122  | -391 | -728 | -36   |
| Off Peak | -168 | 80   | -184  | -1   | -124 | 58    | 126  | -205 | 19    | -196 | -517 | -42   |
| PM Peak  | -214 | -479 | -305  | 26   | 205  | -60   | 295  | 15   | 164   | -225 | -500 | 158   |

Table G-3.40 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at SR-70 & Lockwood Ridge Rd

| Period   | NB   |      |       | SB   |      |       | EB   |      |       | WB   |      |       |
|----------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
|          | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| AM Peak  | 85   | 23   | 57    | -98  | -66  | -30   | -70  | 78   | 41    | 27   | -524 | 1     |
| Off Peak | 60   | 84   | 8     | -22  | -62  | -22   | -45  | -50  | -17   | -196 | -485 | -15   |
| PM Peak  | 134  | 43   | 315   | -104 | -115 | -24   | 69   | -121 | 60    | 67   | -363 | 56    |

### Discussion

- US-301 intersection: Compared to before, EB left and SB through have increased volumes, while WB through and left have significantly reduced volumes. This could be due to decreased demand in WB direction or due to SynchroGreen prioritizing the high-volume SB direction.
- Lockwood Ridge Rd. intersection: Except for the WB through, there are no significant changes in volume in the other approaches. Unlike US-301, the side street volumes at Lockwood Ridge Rd. are very low. Therefore, the low volume in WB could be a direct result of decrease in demand due to seasonal variations or due to a new public roadway that opened in 2017 (44<sup>th</sup> Avenue E), that runs parallel to SR-70 (Figure G-3.2).
- The decrease in SB at Lockwood Ridge Road could be due to the roadway-widening project on 45<sup>th</sup> Street East, as commuters might be using alternate routes/parallel facilities to avoid construction (Figure G-3.2).

### G.3.6. Consideration of Traffic Flows Jointly with Queue Length

The differences in “Traffic Flow” and “Queue Length” between before and after measurements are shown in Tables G-3.41 and G-3.42. The tables are color-coded as follows: green indicates that “Queue” decreases and “Traffic Flow” increases, red indicates “Queue” increases and “Traffic Flow” decreases, no color indicates “Traffic Flow” and “Queue” increase or decrease at the same time. Generally, green indicates improvement despite an increase in flow.

Table G-3.41 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at SR-70 & US-301

| Time Period | NB   |      |      |     |       |     | SB   |      |      |   |       |      | EB   |     |      |      |       |   | WB   |   |      |     |       |      |
|-------------|------|------|------|-----|-------|-----|------|------|------|---|-------|------|------|-----|------|------|-------|---|------|---|------|-----|-------|------|
|             | Left |      | Thru |     | Right |     | Left |      | Thru |   | Right |      | Left |     | Thru |      | Right |   | Left |   | Thru |     | Right |      |
|             | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q | TF   | Q | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q    |
| AM Peak     | -97  | -0.1 | -72  | 0.1 | -83   | 0.7 | 88   | -1.4 | -    | - | 185   | -1.2 | 71   | 0.7 | 65   | -5.2 | -     | - | -    | - | -728 | 5.7 | -36   | -0.3 |

| Time Period | NB   |      |      |      |       |      | SB   |     |      |      |       |     | EB   |     |      |      |       |      | WB   |      |      |     |       |      |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-----|------|------|-------|-----|------|-----|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|-------|------|
|             | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |     | Thru |      | Right |     | Left |     | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |     | Right |      |
|             | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q    |
| Off Peak    | -168 | -1.6 | 80   | -3.1 | -184  | -1.5 | -1   | 1.3 | 124  | -5.0 | 58    | 1.1 | 126  | 1.9 | -205 | 0.7  | 19    | -1.7 | -196 | -2.8 | -517 | 2.1 | 42    | -1.2 |
| PM Peak     | -214 | -0.2 | -479 | -3.8 | -305  | -5.6 | 26   | 1.9 | 205  | -0.5 | -60   | 0.4 | 295  | 3.3 | 15   | -4.0 | 164   | -3.2 | -225 | -0.3 | -500 | 3.1 | 158   | 1.3  |

Table G-3.42 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at SR-70 & US-301

| Time Period | NB   |     |      |     |       |     | SB   |      |      |     |       |       | EB   |     |      |     |       |     | WB   |     |      |     |       |      |
|-------------|------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-------|-------|------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-------|------|
|             | Left |     | Thru |     | Right |     | Left |      | Thru |     | Right |       | Left |     | Thru |     | Right |     | Left |     | Thru |     | Right |      |
|             | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q     | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q    |
| AM Peak     | -61% | -3% | -10% | 1%  | -37%  | 44% | 34%  | -13% | -9%  | 32% | 268%  | -100% | 58%  | 20% | 6%   | 47% | -59%  | 86% | -71% | 56% | -54% | 70% | -16%  | -15% |
| Off Peak    | -75% | 51% | 12%  | 33% | -63%  | 95% | 0%   | 28%  | 21%  | 47% | 135%  | N/A   | 175% | 96% | -20% | 13% | 15%   | 81% | -61% | 52% | -52% | 29% | 22%   | -68% |
| PM Peak     | -67% | -4% | -37% | 26% | -76%  | 72% | 5%   | 32%  | 22%  | -6% | -50%  | 53%   | 201% | 71% | 1%   | 37% | 105%  | 99% | -47% | -3% | -34% | 28% | 60%   | 96%  |

Table G-3.43 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at SR-70 &amp; Lockwood Ridge Rd

| Time Period | NB   |     |      |     |       |      | SB   |      |      |      |       |      | EB   |      |      |      |       |      | WB   |     |      |      |       |       |
|-------------|------|-----|------|-----|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-----|------|------|-------|-------|
|             | Left |     | Thru |     | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |     | Thru |      | Right |       |
|             | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak     | 85   | 1.2 | 23   | 4.5 | 57    | -1.8 | -98  | 0.7  | -66  | -3.2 | -30   | -1.3 | -70  | 2    | 78   | -1.2 | 41    | 0.0  | 27   | 1.1 | -52  | -0.6 | 1     | -4.8  |
| Off Peak    | 60   | 2.5 | 84   | 2.6 | 8     | -3.9 | -22  | 1.1  | -62  | -1.8 | -22   | 0.6  | -45  | -1.3 | -50  | 0.3  | -17   | -0.4 | -19  | 0.0 | -48  | -2.6 | -15   | -0.9  |
| PM Peak     | 134  | 2.5 | 43   | 4.5 | 315   | -6.4 | -104 | -0.9 | -115 | -9.6 | -24   | -1.8 | 69   | -2   | -121 | 0.8  | 60    | 0.0  | 67   | 1.2 | -36  | -2.7 | 56    | -14.6 |

Table G-3.44 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at SR-70 &amp; Lockwood Ridge Rd.

| Time Period | NB   |     |      |      |       |      | SB   |    |      |      |       |      | EB   |      |      |     |       |    | WB   |     |      |     |       |       |
|-------------|------|-----|------|------|-------|------|------|----|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|-------|----|------|-----|------|-----|-------|-------|
|             | Left |     | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |    | Thru |      | Right |      | Left |      | Thru |     | Right |    | Left |     | Thru |     | Right |       |
|             | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q  | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q  | TF   | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q     |
| AM Peak     | 36%  | 16% | 29%  | 208% | 9%    | -21% | -33% | 6% | -46% | -55% | -79%  | -84% | -60% | 400% | 5%   | -9% | 28%   | 0% | 7%   | 10% | -34% | -5% | 1%    | -100% |

| Time Period | NB   |      |       |       |            |           | SB        |         |           |          |           |           | EB        |          |          |    |       |          | WB       |      |      |          |           |            |
|-------------|------|------|-------|-------|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----|-------|----------|----------|------|------|----------|-----------|------------|
|             | Left |      | Thru  |       | Right      |           | Left      |         | Thru      |          | Right     |           | Left      |          | Thru     |    | Right |          | Left     |      | Thru |          | Right     |            |
|             | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q     | TF         | Q         | TF        | Q       | TF        | Q        | TF        | Q         | TF        | Q        | TF       | Q  | TF    | Q        | TF       | Q    | TF   | Q        | TF        | Q          |
| Off Peak    | 29 % | 54 % | 100 % | 102 % | 2%<br>55 % | -<br>55 % | -<br>12 % | 24 %    | -<br>46 % | -<br>47% | -<br>45 % | -<br>26 % | -<br>42 % | -<br>47% | -<br>4 % | 6% | -9%   | -<br>80% | -<br>35% | 0%   | -31% | -<br>37% | -<br>17 % | -<br>103 % |
| PM Peak     | 51 % | 26 % | 19%   | 60%   | 55 %       | -<br>43 % | -<br>37 % | -<br>1% | -<br>56 % | -<br>82% | -<br>65 % | -<br>59 % | 65 %      | -<br>48% | -<br>6 % | 6% | 31 %  | 0%       | 16%      | 13 % | -22% | -<br>28% | 35 %      | -<br>100 % |

### Discussion

The green boxes indicate clear improvement and the red boxes indicate clear deterioration. The following can be concluded from the comparison of traffic flows jointly with queue length:

- US-301 intersection: SB and EB movements show clear improvement, and the volumes increase while queues decrease. WB through queues significantly increase, despite having reduced volumes. The SB improvement is consistent with the decrease in travel time on US-301 (i.e., SynchroGreen prioritizing the high volume along US-301).
- Lockwood Ridge Rd. intersection: Unlike US-301, there are no significant changes at Lockwood Ridge Rd. While there is a drop in traffic volume in the WB through direction, the queues along this movement also decreased. The drop in volume at WBT may be due to people using the newly opened parallel road, 44<sup>th</sup> Ave E, as an alternative route (Figure G-3.2).

## G.4. Questionnaire

### SECTION 1: RESPONDENT'S INFORMATION

Name **Renjan Joseph**

Organization **FDOT**

Position **TSM&O Engineer**

Address **FDOT District 1 – Traffic Operations 801 N Broadway Ave, P.O. Box -1249**

Phone (863) – 519 - 2746

Fax

E-mail **Renjan.Joseph@dot.state.fl.us**

### SECTION 2: PREVIOUS TRAFFIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

1. Please specify the type of traffic control used before Adaptive Signal Control

Technology (ASCT) was installed for your site. (Please check all that apply.)

a. Fixed time coordinated control

**b. Actuated coordinated control**

c. Fixed-time isolated control

d. Actuated isolated control

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

2. What type of traffic controller was used before ASCT was implemented?

a. NEMA TS-1 **b. NEMA TS-2**

c. 170 d. 2070

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

20. How many detectors were used before ASCT was implemented for a typical intersection? Please also specify the location where the detectors were typically placed for each specific detector type (video detection, inductive loops, radars, etc.).

#### **Loops (one per lane)**

21. What was the frequency of retiming the signal timing plan for the corridor before ASCT was implemented?

**Retiming in 2012.**

**Fine-tuning in 2016 based on observations.**

22. How often were maintenance and updates performed to your previous control system in terms of the following components?

**Everything is checked annually.**

- a. Detection: **as needed basis**
- b. Control Hardware:
- c. Software:

23. What was the annual maintenance cost in terms of hardware, software and personnel of the previous control system for the whole corridor where the ASCT is now deployed?

24. What was the life expectancy of your traffic control before the deployment of ASCT? (Please refer to the hardware and software.)

**Controllers never had to be changed.**

25. How many crashes of each category were reported during the past 4 years before the ASCT implementation? (2011-2014)

|      | K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2011 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2012 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2013 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2014 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

### **SECTION 3: ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM (ASCT)**

9. Which Adaptive Traffic Control System (ASCT) does your agency deploy?

- m. InSync; Version: \_\_\_\_\_
- n. **Synchro Green**; Version: **ATMS 2.8**
- o. Other; please specify: \_\_\_\_\_



34. Did you consider any other ASCT before you selected this one for installation? Why did you reject the other(s) in favor of this one?

**No, it was a federally funded grant and had to be open bid.**

35. What were your major criteria for choosing the ASCT for the selected corridor?

**N/A**

36. What is the life expectancy of your ASCT system? (Consider both hardware and software.)

**Software maintenance agreement has warranty for 3 years. Hoping for at least 5 years.**

37. What type of traffic controller is currently in use after the ASCT implementation?

a. **Same as before the ASCT implementation**

b. New, please specify type: \_\_\_\_\_

c. Other, specify:

38. How many and what type of detectors are used after the ASCT implementation on an intersection level? Please note any updates that the previous detection system needed so as to work with your ASCT.

39. Was there a need to update your previous software operating system at your Transportation Management Center in order to get your current ASCT software working?

a. **Yes**

b. **No**

36. How often do you plan to perform physical maintenance or updates on your new ASCT in terms of the following components?

**Once a year, the intersections are all checked**

a. Detection: **as needed**

b. ASCT Hardware:

c. Software: **Covered by software maintenance agreement for first three years.**

**Vendor will do it as necessary when updates are released.**

37. Was there a need for training your personnel in order to operate the new ASCT?

a. **Yes**      b. **No**

**5 days**

38. Was there any change on the number of staff required to operate/maintain the effective signal ASCT operations? (Comparison of staff needed before and after the deployment.)

**Staff number is the same.**

39. Where did expertise come from for your personnel's training, the ASCT installation, operation and the system's maintenance? Please check all that apply.

|                   | In-House | Vendor     | Contractor |
|-------------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Employee Training |          |            |            |
| ASCT Installation |          |            |            |
| ASCT Operation    |          |            |            |
| ASCT Maintenance  |          | <b>Yes</b> |            |

40. How many crashes of each category were reported after ASCT was implemented?

| K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

#### SECTION 4: COST COMPONENTS

53. What is the overall capital cost of purchasing the ASCT (in terms of the software and licenses of the ASCT) for the corridor? If the purchase includes any service of implementation, personnel training or maintenance, please also specify here.

54. What is the implementation cost (considering the installation on site, any updates in software and hardware, design needs and contract hours) for the ASCT corridor? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

55. What was total cost for training your personnel to operate and manage the new ASCT? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

56. What is the expected maintenance cost (in terms of hardware, software and personnel) for the ASCT corridor on a yearly basis? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

---

---

57. Can you specify the costs for the following ASCT components?

- a. Firmware \$ \_\_\_\_\_ b. Software \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Equipment d. Maintenance of Traffic Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
e. Design Needs \$ \_\_\_\_\_ f. Contract Help/Agency Hours Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_

#### SECTION 5: INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

58. Were there any institutional issues that you had to overcome while implementing and operating the ASCT project? Please categorize and explain those issues below.

##### Issues in all of the following categories

- a. Organization and Management Institutional Issues:

**Matching grant issues**

- b. Regulatory and Legal Institutional Issues:

**Legal issues with assigning grant to an existing contract instead of bidding it out**

- c. Human and Facility Resources Institutional Issues:

**Difficulty figuring a lot out such as changing out traffic controllers, complication with lots of parties involved and contracts**

- d. Financial Institutional Issues:

59. Which component (e.g., detection, communication, hardware, software, licensing or other) of your ASCT deployment is the most challenging to maintain and why?

**Detection: Vendor preferred magnetometers while county wanted video detection, so had to add and buy more detection. Issue is solved now.**

60. What happens to your ASCT operations when some of your detectors fail on the corridor level?

- m. ASCT triggers an alarm and notifies operators  
n. **ASCT switches to an "off-line" mode by implementing Time-Of-Day plans**  
o. Combination of the above. d. Other (please describe):

29. How is your ASCT performance evaluated?

- o. In-house:
- p. By an independent evaluator, please describe: \_\_\_\_\_
- q. Not applicable—there is no evaluation
- r. **Combination:**

**In-house: Bluetooth travel time**

**FDOT does evaluation on state roads, reporting quarterly for strategic plan**

30. If the corridor on which the ASCT operates experiences over saturation, how would you rate the operation of the ASCT in response to these traffic conditions?

- u. ASCT prevents or eliminates oversaturation
- v. ASCT eliminates or reduces the extent of the periods of oversaturation
- w. ASCT adversely affects the traffic conditions during periods of oversaturation
- x. **Other: Doesn't prevent or eliminate but helps to manage oversaturation**
- y. Oversaturation is very rare on the corridors operated by our ASCT

31. Based on your opinion and the up-to-date operation, when are ASCT operations proven to be the most effective?

**Depends**

**a. Peak periods - when looking at saturated conditions**

**b. Off-peak periods**

**c. Shoulders of peak periods**

d. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

32. Has the level of performance of ASCT been sustained since its installation?

**a. Yes**      b. No; why not?

41. What was the public reaction to the ASCT operation? Have you received any complaints about long delays and queues?

**They do not notice it. First two weeks were bad, but after parameter adjustments, it was the same with number of calls received.**

42. How satisfied are you, in general, with your ASCT deployment?

- a. Very satisfied      b. **Somewhat satisfied**
- c. Neutral      d. Somewhat dissatisfied

e. Not satisfied at all

41. Are there any other costs or benefits related to ASCT deployment that you would like to report?

a. Benefits: **Helps with incident management and lowered frequency of free timing**

b. Costs

42. Would you consider expanding the ASCT program to any other corridors? Why or why not? If yes, would you use the same technology/firmware or have any suggestions for other systems? If so, why?

**Yes, with the right timing, funding, and corridor.**

**Technology depends on funding and ability to choose**

## **SECTION 6: SAFETY ISSUES**

37. Do you have any anecdotal / qualitative data on safety benefits / disbenefits of the signal improvement along this corridor?

**No.**

38. Has there been other changes along this corridor over the analysis period that could affect the safety of this corridor either positively or negatively?

**Construction on I-75 interchange and 45<sup>th</sup> Street E. No prediction of either negatively affecting safety.**

39. Are you aware of any changes to the crash data reporting procedures over the analysis period? If yes, what are the changes?

**No.**

40. What are your overall reactions to the trends presented in the report?

**More explanation can come from information on construction, new openings, and US-301 travel time**

41. Is there another comparable corridor in which signal improvements have not been made to which the results of this corridor can be compared to?

**Yes and no. SR64 was just retimed, and it was opened to 6 lanes from 4 lanes recently. So, it might not be a fair comparison even though they both have six lane corridors. It is also under construction.**

42. Other thoughts / comments for us?

**None**

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. Your responses will help us with evaluating the deployment and benefits of your current ASCT.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact Dr. Pruthvi Manjunatha, email: [pruthvim@ufl.edu](mailto:pruthvim@ufl.edu) who works with Dr. Lily Elefteriadou.

## G.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis

Table G-5.1 Monetized Saving

| Monetized Saving            |               |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Time saving                 | -\$108,203.84 |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | -\$53,527.64  |
| Air Pollution Saving        | -\$95,495.15  |
| Safety Saving               | \$0.00        |
| Total Saving without Safety | -\$257,226.62 |
| Total Saving                | -\$257,226.62 |
| Total Cost                  | \$997,700.00  |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | -0.257819609  |
| B/C Ratio                   | -0.257819609  |

Table G-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions

| Monetized Saving            |               |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Time saving                 | -\$108,203.84 |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | -\$53,527.64  |
| Safety Saving               | \$0.00        |
| Total Saving without Safety | -\$161,731.48 |
| Total Saving                | -\$161,731.48 |
| Total Cost                  | \$997,700.00  |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | -0.16210432   |
| B/C Ratio                   | -0.16210432   |

## **APPENDIX H: Summary of E. Van Fleet Dr. and N. Broadway Ave., Polk County**

### **H.1. Executive Summary**

The objective of this research is to evaluate traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the implementation of proposed Adaptive Signal Control Technologies (ASCT), document the advantages and disadvantages of different ASCT approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for state-wide implementation of ASCT.

This appendix summarizes the before and after field data collected along the Van Fleet Drive and North Broadway Ave, Bartow corridor from Manor Drive to N. Holland Pkwy.

The InSync adaptive signal control system was implemented along this corridor in March 2016. Two data collection methods were used to collect the desired information. Floating car runs were conducted with the UFTI instrumented vehicle to collect vehicle travel times during three time periods (AM Peak (7-9 AM), Off Peak (9:30 AM -11:30 AM) and PM Peak (4-6 PM)). The floating car method involves driving with traffic and the driver passes as many vehicles that pass the driver to obtain an average speed. In addition, turning movement counts and queue lengths were collected at two critical intersections (N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet. and Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet). Based on these measurements, five performance measures were obtained for the before study period: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (at critical intersections), Queue to Lane Storage Ratio (at critical intersections), and Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) flows (at critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and presented in this appendix.

All eastbound delays rise throughout the day with the exception of Manor Drive (Rain), Walmart access, and E. Van Fleet. Westbound delays rise throughout the day, and follow a rising trend at every intersection with the exception of Walmart. Based on delay, the most critical intersection in each of the time periods is:

- AM Peak: E. Van Fleet (rain and no rain) (EB) and N. Holland Pkwy (rain and no rain) (WB). The EB travel time does not change between rain and no rain.
- Off Peak: E. Van Fleet (rain) and N. Broadway Ave (no rain) (EB) and Walmart (rain and no rain) (WB). Truck percentages rise during this period across most approaches at both critical intersections.
- PM Peak: N. Broadway Ave. (no rain) and E. Van Fleet (rain) (EB) and N. Holland Pkwy (rain and no rain) (WB). The left-turning traffic heading NB at Walmart has high queue-storage ratios throughout the peak time. The travel time EB during rain versus WB during rain rises by more than 1 minute.

The before study was conducted after the implementation of the InSync in the corridor, while the system was turned off. During the first day of the before study (Feb 22nd, 2017), light rain persisted through the morning and off peak times with severe rain during the afternoon peak times. Due to the heavy rain, data were not collected for approximately 27 minutes (from 4.48 to 5.15 PM) for the N. Broadway Ave and E. Van Fleet intersection. The missing queue and



volume data for this intersection were obtained through interpolation using the data collected immediately before and immediately after this time period. The first 12-minute period (4.48-5.00 PM) corresponded to queue length data collection and the remaining 15-minute period to volume data collection. For the before study there is one day of travel time data collection without rain, and one with rain. Delay and travel times for the day with rain are included in this report. However, most of the before-after comparisons were made using the data obtained during good weather.

The following were concluded:

- Based on the comparison of each performance measure, we observe that the quality of service for most of the movements has generally improved. Overall, there was a reduction in travel time for the EB (-12.9%), and an increase for the WB (14.2%). Prior to the InSync installation, the average travel time for the two directions was similar, but it seems the new system favors the EB.
- The two movements with the most significant increase in delay are left turns: the left turn from N. Broadway onto E. Van Fleet in the EB direction, and the left turn from N. Holland onto E. Van Fleet in the WB direction. Again, this may be a function of priorities set up within InSync to favor through movements.
- The SB left at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive intersection, which had one of the longest queues during the AM and PM peak periods in the before study, had a reduction in queue length for those time periods. The queues at the NB left at the Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet intersection, which also had long queues in the before study, were also reduced, particularly during the PM peak period.
- The queue storage ratios for the NB left at the Walmart Access and E. Van Fleet Drive were significantly improved, particularly for the PM peak. The NB through at the N. Broadway Ave and East Van Fleet continues to have longer queues. This movement had a 15-min period with spillback for two of the lanes during the PM peak. Overall, the queue storage ratios were improved for the two critical intersections.
- At N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive, with the InSync installation, the volumes traveling through the intersection are lower during the AM peak and much higher during the off-peak, especially the WB through, WB right, and SB left movements.
- At the Walmart Access and E. Van Fleet Drive, volumes are similar between the before and after study, except for reductions in the EB through and right movements and an increase in the WB through movement during the AM peak.

## H.2. Corridor Information

Figure H-2.1 provides a schematic of the N. Broadway Ave and E. Van Fleet Drive, at Bartow. As shown, the corridor starts in the SB direction along N. Broadway, and turns eastbound at E. Van Fleet Drive. Table H-1 lists the intersections along the corridor. The land use from Manor Drive to the Walmart Access Road is mostly industrial and commercial with abundant parking. Two intersections (N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive, and Walmart Access Road and E. Van Fleet Drive) were selected as the critical intersections where detailed turning movement and queue counts were collected. Figure H-2.2 provides the lane configuration of these two critical intersections.

The before study was conducted after the implementation of InSync in the corridor, while the system was turned off. During the first day of the before study (Feb 22nd, 2017), there was light rain through the AM and off-peak times with severe rain during the PM peak. Due to the heavy rain, the observers were not able to collect data for approximately 27 minutes (from 4.48 to 5.15 PM) for the N. Broadway Ave and E. Van Fleet intersection. The missing queue and volume data for this intersection were obtained through interpolation using the data collected immediately before and immediately after this time period. The first 12-minute period (4.48-5.00 PM) corresponded to queue length data collection and the remaining 15-minute period to volume data collection. For the before study there is one day of travel time data collection without rain, and one with rain. Delay and travel times for the day with rain are included in this report. However, most of the before-after comparisons were made using the data obtained during good weather.

The EB direction of the corridor begins north of Manor Drive, proceeds southbound, and turns left on E. Van Fleet Drive until the N. Holland Pkwy intersection, where the instrumented vehicle makes a left turn. The EB direction ends shortly thereafter. The WB direction of the corridor begins south of the N. Holland Pkwy. intersection, heads north, turns left at E. Van Fleet Drive, flows westbound, turns right at the N. Broadway Ave. intersection, and clears Manor Drive. Therefore, the EB travel time is affected by two left turns, meanwhile the WB travel time has one left and one right turn movement.








Figure H-2.1 Schematic of the E. Van Fleet and N. Broadway ave, Bartow corridor

Table H-2.1 Intersections along the E. Van Fleet and N. Broadway Ave, Bartow Corridor

| EB | Intersection                               |
|----|--------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Manor Drive and N. Broadway Ave            |
| 2  | <b>N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet</b>   |
| 3  | N. Wilson Ave. and E. Van Fleet            |
| 4  | <b>Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet</b> |
| 5  | N Holland Pkwy and E. Van Fleet            |



(a) N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet



(b) Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet

Figure H-2.2 Schematic and overview of critical intersections

Note: The smiley faces denote the location of data collectors at each site

### H.3. Performance Measures

Five performance measures were evaluated: Link/Route Travel Time, Delay at Intersections, Queue Length (critical intersections), Queue-to-Lane Storage Ratio (critical intersections), and PCE Flows (critical intersections). For each performance measure, a comparison between the before and after data is conducted and the results of the differences (“after data” – “before data”) are presented.

### H.3.1. Route Travel Time

The average travel time (min) along the route was measured using a floating car. Rain fell throughout the first day of data collection (Feb 22) during the before study, with heavy rain during part of the afternoon data collection. Rainfall data (usclimatedata.com) confirms this event having over a half inch of rain. Table H-3.1 provides the route travel time for the before data provided separately for rain and no rain data collection periods.

*Before Study (February 22nd & 23rd, 2017)*

Table H-3.1 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)                             | AM Rain | AM No Rain | Off Peak Rain | Off Peak No Rain | PM Rain | PM No Rain | Average     |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------------|------------------|---------|------------|-------------|
| E. Van Fleet Drive and N. Broadway Ave, EB | 4.44    | 4.39       | 4.5           | 4.23             | 6.18    | 5.81       | <b>4.81</b> |
| E. Van Fleet Drive and N. Broadway Ave, WB | 4.7     | 4.28       | 4.58          | 4.66             | 4.86    | 5.62       | <b>4.85</b> |

*After Study (March 28 & March 29, 2017)*


Table H-3.2 Route Travel Time (min)

| Route TT (min)                             | AM   | Off Peak | PM   | Average     |
|--------------------------------------------|------|----------|------|-------------|
| E. Van Fleet Drive and N. Broadway Ave, EB | 4.20 | 4.32     | 4.06 | <b>4.19</b> |
| E. Van Fleet Drive and N. Broadway Ave, WB | 5.32 | 5.91     | 5.40 | <b>5.54</b> |

### Comparison of Before and After Travel Times

Table H-3.3 Change in Percentage of Route Travel Time (after – before-no rain)

| Route TT (min)                             | AM            | Off Peak     | PM             | Average               |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| E. Van Fleet Drive and N. Broadway Ave, EB | -0.19 (-4.3%) | 0.09 (2.1%)  | -1.75 (-30.1%) | <b>-0.62 (-12.9%)</b> |
| E. Van Fleet Drive and N. Broadway Ave, WB | 1.04 (24.3%)  | 1.25 (26.8%) | -0.22 (-3.9%)  | <b>0.69 (14.2%)</b>   |



(a) E. Van Fleet Dr. and N. Broadway Ave., EB

(b) E. Van Fleet Dr. and N. Broadway Ave., WB

Figure H-3.1 Travel times along E. Van Fleet Dr. and N. Broadway ave., Bartow

### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of travel times:

- Overall, there was a reduction in travel time for the EB (-12.9%), and an increase for the WB (14.2%). Prior to the InSync installation, the average travel time for the two directions was similar, but it seems the new system favors the EB.
- The most significant improvement in travel time was for the EB direction during the PM peak (-30.1%).
- The most significant deterioration in travel time was for the WB direction during the off-peak (26.8%).
- Travel times during the rain were somewhat higher, particularly in the EB, but overall rain effects were not dramatic.

### H.3.2. Delay

Delay (sec) at each intersection along the corridor was also obtained using the floating car measurements. Since the corridor includes turning movements, the graphs below indicate the type of the movement along which delays were obtained.

As shown in Table H-3.4 and Figure H-3.5, for the EB, the delay during the rain was sometimes higher and sometimes lower than the delay during good weather conditions. Generally, the delay was much higher during the rain at the N. Holland Pkwy intersection. For example, the delay during the PM peak was nearly three times more than the delay without rain. This may occur because this movement is a left turn, and it is possible that left turns are more affected by rain than the through movements.

*Before Study (February 22nd & 23rd, 2017)*

Table H-3.4 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec)               | AM Rain | AM No Rain | Off Peak Rain | Off Peak No Rain | PM Rain | PM No Rain |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------------|------------------|---------|------------|
| Manor Drive and N. Broadway Ave            | 18.9    | 19.9       | 12.1          | 13.1             | 14.8    | 24.3       |
| <b>N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet</b>   | 12.3    | 15.9       | 27.1          | 24.6             | 57.5    | 66.1       |
| N. Wilson Ave. and E. Van Fleet            | 5.6     | 1.6        | 0.5           | 1.4              | 7.2     | 8          |
| <b>Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet</b> | 0       | 0          | 0.4           | 6                | 1.8     | 3.6        |
| N. Holland Pkwy and E. Van Fleet           | 27.1    | 23.4       | 29.1          | 11               | 62      | 21.7       |




Figure H-3.2 Delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the EB direction

As shown in Table H-3.5 and Figure H-3.2, for the EB, the delay during the rain was sometimes higher and sometimes lower than the delay during good weather conditions. Generally, the delay was much higher during the rain at the N. Holland Pkwy intersection. For example, the delay during the PM peak was nearly three times more than the delay without rain. This may occur because this movement is a left turn, and it is possible that left turns are more affected by rain than the through movements.

Table H-3.5 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay at Intersections (sec)               | AM Rain | AM No Rain | Off Peak Rain | Off Peak No Rain | PM Rain | PM No Rain |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------------|------------------|---------|------------|
| N. Holland Pkwy and E. Van Fleet           | 51.9    | 28.8       | 13.3          | 11.6             | 48.8    | 58.7       |
| <b>Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet</b> | 8.9     | 8.1        | 28            | 31               | 0       | 1.3        |
| N. Wilson Ave. and E. Van Fleet            | 8       | 3.3        | 9.8           | 4.1              | 20      | 21.3       |
| <b>N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet</b>   | 13.8    | 7.2        | 8             | 14.6             | 2.6     | 22.7       |
| Manor Drive and N. Broadway Ave            | 0       | 4.9        | 10.3          | 4                | 1.6     | 5.2        |




Figure H-3.3 Delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the WB direction

Table H-3.4 and Figure H-3.5 show the delays obtained by intersection for the WB. Similarly to the WB, delay during rain was sometimes higher and sometimes lower. For the N. Holland Pkwy and E. Van Fleet Drive, the delay during the AM was significantly higher when it rained (51.9 sec with rain vs. 28.8 sec without rain). Again, this movement is a left turn, and it is possible that left turns are more affected by rain than through movement.



*After Study (March 28 & March 29, 2017)*

Table H-3.6 and Figure H-3.7 summarize the delay data for the after study in the EB direction. As shown, there is little to negligible delay heading in the EB direction at the Wilson, Walmart, and N. Holland Parkway intersections. The N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet intersection has the highest overall delay of any period with the AM Peak being the worst.

Table H-3.6 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the EB Direction – After Study

| Delay at Intersections (sec)               | AM          | Off Peak    | PM          |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Manor Drive and N. Broadway Ave            | 8.6         | 20.3        | 11.2        |
| <b>N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet</b>   | <b>41.1</b> | <b>26.5</b> | <b>29.6</b> |
| N. Wilson Ave. and E. Van Fleet            | 3.9         | 2.5         | 2.8         |
| <b>Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet</b> | <b>0.0</b>  | <b>4.1</b>  | <b>0.0</b>  |
| N. Holland Pkwy and E. Van Fleet           | 1.1         | 3.0         | 1.4         |




Figure H-3.4 Delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the EB direction – after study

Table H-3.6 and Figure H-3.7 provide the delay data for the WB direction. As shown, the N. Holland Parkway intersection has the highest delays for all three analysis periods.

Table H-3.7 Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the WB Direction – After Study

| Delay at Intersections (sec)               | AM   | Off Peak | PM   |
|--------------------------------------------|------|----------|------|
| N. Holland Pkwy and E. Van Fleet           | 57.3 | 66.9     | 42.9 |
| <b>Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet</b> | 4.4  | 9.5      | 7.9  |
| N. Wilson Ave. and E. Van Fleet            | 18.9 | 30.8     | 33.1 |
| <b>N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet</b>   | 17.3 | 13.1     | 15.3 |
| Manor Drive and N. Broadway Ave            | 7.4  | 4.8      | 10.4 |




Figure H-3.5 Delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the WB direction – after study

### Comparisons of Before and After Intersection Delay Times

The differences in delay between before and after studies (considering only no-rain conditions) are shown in Table H-3.8 and Table H-3.9. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table H-3.8 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the EB Direction

| Delay (sec)                                | AM Peak      | Off Peak    | PM Peak       | Average       |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Manor Drive and N. Broadway Ave            | -11.26       | 7.19        | -13.11        | <b>-5.73</b>  |
| <b>N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet</b>   | 25.17        | 1.88        | -36.50        | <b>-3.15</b>  |
| N. Wilson Ave. and E. Van Fleet            | 2.38         | 1.13        | -5.18         | <b>-0.56</b>  |
| <b>Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet</b> | 0.00         | -1.94       | -3.57         | <b>-1.84</b>  |
| N. Holland Pkwy and E. Van Fleet           | -22.38       | -8.00       | -20.30        | <b>-16.89</b> |
| <b>Average</b>                             | <b>-1.22</b> | <b>0.05</b> | <b>-15.73</b> | <b>-5.63</b>  |

Table H-3.9 Difference in Delay (sec) for Each Intersection Movement along the WB Direction

| Delay (sec)                                | AM Peak      | Off Peak     | PM Peak     | Average      |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| N. Holland Pkwy and E. Van Fleet           | 28.56        | 55.31        | -15.73      | <b>22.71</b> |
| <b>Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet</b> | -3.72        | -21.50       | 6.55        | <b>-6.22</b> |
| N. Wilson Ave. and E. Van Fleet            | 15.61        | 26.63        | 11.73       | <b>17.99</b> |
| <b>N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet</b>   | 10.11        | -1.50        | -7.37       | <b>0.41</b>  |
| Manor Drive and N. Broadway Ave            | 2.56         | 0.81         | 5.25        | <b>2.87</b>  |
| <b>Average</b>                             | <b>10.62</b> | <b>11.95</b> | <b>0.08</b> | <b>7.55</b>  |




Figure H-3.6 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the EB direction




Figure H-3.7 Difference in delay (sec) for each intersection movement along the WB direction

### *Discussion*

The following can be concluded from the comparison of delays:

- The InSync resulted in an overall decrease in travel time in the EB direction, and an increase in the WB direction. This may be a function of the priorities set up within the system, to favor the EB direction.
- The two movements with the most significant increase in delay are left turns: the left turn from N. Broadway onto E. Van Fleet in the EB direction, and the left turn from N. Holland onto E. Van Fleet in the WB direction. Again, this may be a function of priorities set up within InSync to favor through movements.

### H.3.3. Queue Length

Queue length (number of vehicles/lane) is presented by movement and by time period. This measure is used to evaluate oversaturated conditions at the critical intersections along the study corridors. Note that the queue length reported here is the observed maximum number of vehicles queued during each cycle, and does not represent the total number of vehicles that may have stopped during the cycle. During some time periods, because of cycle failure, vehicles need to stop multiple times before passing through the intersection.

Figure H-3.8 presents the schematic of the lane configurations at the two critical intersections. Queue length is reported for each of these lanes.




Figure H-3.8 Lane configuration of critical intersections

#### *Before Study (February 22 & February 23, 2017)*

As shown below, for the N. Broadway and E. Van Fleet intersection, the longest queues were observed in the SB, with queues reaching up to 11 vehicles for the SB left turn movement. For the Walmart Access Rd. intersection, the longest queue observed was 15 vehicles, for the NB left movement. Note that the observers did not have visibility beyond roughly 15 vehicles, thus queues during some of these intervals may have been longer.

Table H-3.10 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Time period | Time segment | NB   |   |         |   |       |      | WB (Main) |         |   |       |      |    | SB |         |    |       |      |    | EB (Main) |         |    |                |    |    |
|-------------|--------------|------|---|---------|---|-------|------|-----------|---------|---|-------|------|----|----|---------|----|-------|------|----|-----------|---------|----|----------------|----|----|
|             |              | Left |   | Through |   | Right | Left |           | Through |   | Right | Left |    |    | Through |    | Right | Left |    |           | Through |    | Through /Right |    |    |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5     | 6    | 7         | 8       | 9 | 10    | 11   | 12 | 13 | 14      | 15 | 16    | 17   | 18 | 19        | 20      | 21 | 22             | 23 | 24 |
| AM PEAK     | 1            | 0    | 2 | 6       | 6 | 2     | 1    | 8         | 6       | 6 | 5     | 3    | 3  | 9  | 11      | 9  | 8     | 4    | 2  | 1         | 2       | 3  | 5              | 5  | 4  |
|             | 2            | 1    | 0 | 4       | 4 | 2     | 0    | 6         | 7       | 3 | 4     | 2    | 4  | 6  | 8       | 9  | 10    | 8    | 1  | 1         | 1       | 2  | 4              | 3  | 3  |
|             | 3            | 0    | 1 | 2       | 1 | 2     | 0    | 2         | 3       | 4 | 4     | 2    | 3  | 5  | 7       | 9  | 8     | 8    | 0  | 1         | 2       | 2  | 4              | 3  | 3  |
|             | 4            | 0    | 1 | 4       | 5 | 2     | 0    | 2         | 3       | 3 | 4     | 3    | 5  | 5  | 5       | 5  | 5     | 4    | 0  | 1         | 4       | 2  | 3              | 3  | 2  |
|             | Average      | 0    | 1 | 4       | 4 | 2     | 1    | 5         | 5       | 4 | 4     | 3    | 3  | 6  | 8       | 8  | 8     | 6    | 1  | 1         | 2       | 3  | 4              | 3  | 3  |
| OFF PEAK    | 1            | 0    | 1 | 4       | 3 | 2     | 0    | 1         | 3       | 2 | 2     | 1    | 1  | 2  | 3       | 3  | 3     | 3    | 1  | 1         | 2       | 2  | 2              | 3  | 3  |
|             | 2            | 1    | 1 | 4       | 3 | 2     | 0    | 1         | 3       | 2 | 2     | 2    | 3  | 3  | 5       | 6  | 2     | 2    | 0  | 1         | 1       | 2  | 3              | 3  | 2  |
|             | 3            | 1    | 1 | 4       | 3 | 3     | 0    | 1         | 1       | 1 | 2     | 2    | 2  | 2  | 3       | 4  | 3     | 2    | 0  | 1         | 1       | 2  | 3              | 2  | 3  |
|             | 4            | 1    | 2 | 4       | 4 | 3     | 0    | 1         | 3       | 2 | 2     | 2    | 2  | 2  | 3       | 5  | 2     | 2    | 1  | 1         | 1       | 2  | 2              | 3  | 3  |
|             | Average      | 0    | 1 | 4       | 3 | 2     | 0    | 1         | 2       | 2 | 2     | 2    | 2  | 2  | 3       | 4  | 2     | 2    | 0  | 1         | 1       | 2  | 3              | 3  | 3  |
| PM PEAK     | 1            | 1    | 2 | 8       | 4 | 4     | 1    | 1         | 2       | 4 | 5     | 3    | 4  | 5  | 7       | 9  | 6     | 6    | 1  | 2         | 3       | 3  | 4              | 3  | 3  |
|             | 2            | 1    | 2 | 8       | 5 | 5     | 1    | 1         | 3       | 4 | 4     | 3    | 4  | 6  | 8       | 10 | 6     | 6    | 1  | 2         | 4       | 4  | 5              | 4  | 5  |
|             | 3            | 1    | 2 | 7       | 6 | 7     | 1    | 2         | 4       | 4 | 3     | 4    | 4  | 8  | 8       | 11 | 5     | 5    | 1  | 3         | 4       | 5  | 6              | 5  | 8  |
|             | 4            | 1    | 1 | 6       | 4 | 3     | 0    | 1         | 3       | 2 | 3     | 2    | 3  | 5  | 8       | 10 | 4     | 4    | 0  | 1         | 3       | 3  | 4              | 3  | 4  |

| Time period | Time segment | NB   |   |         |   |   |       | WB (Main) |   |         |    |    |       | SB   |    |    |         |    |    | EB (Main) |      |    |    |         |    |
|-------------|--------------|------|---|---------|---|---|-------|-----------|---|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|----|---------|----|----|-----------|------|----|----|---------|----|
|             |              | Left |   | Through |   |   | Right | Left      |   | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    |    | Through |    |    | Right     | Left |    |    | Through |    |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5 | 6     | 7         | 8 | 9       | 10 | 11 | 12    | 13   | 14 | 15 | 16      | 17 | 18 | 19        | 20   | 21 | 22 | 23      | 24 |
|             | Average      | 1    | 2 | 7       | 5 | 5 | 1     | 1         | 3 | 3       | 4  | 3  | 4     | 6    | 8  | 10 | 5       | 5  | 1  | 2         | 3    | 4  | 5  | 4       | 5  |

Table H-3.11 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Time Period | NB   |         |       | WB (Main) |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB (Main) |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1    | 3       | 1     | 5         | 4       | 3     | 7    | 7       | 1     | 2         | 3       | 0     |
| OFF Peak    | 1    | 3       | 0     | 2         | 2       | 2     | 3    | 2       | 0     | 1         | 3       | 0     |
| PM Peak     | 1    | 6       | 1     | 2         | 3       | 3     | 8    | 5       | 1     | 3         | 4       | 0     |

Note: Due to severe rain, all data collection was suspended for the third time segment of the PM peak period. Missing data of queues and volumes were interpolated between bordering data sets.



Table H-3.12 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Time period | Time segment | EB (Main) |   |         |   |   |       |   | NB   |    |         | WB (Main) |      |         |    |    | SB    |              |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---|---------|---|---|-------|---|------|----|---------|-----------|------|---------|----|----|-------|--------------|-------|
|             |              | Left      |   | Through |   |   | Right |   | Left |    | Through | Right     | Left | Through |    |    | Right | Through/Left | Right |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1         | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5 | 6     | 7 | 8    | 9  | 10      | 11        | 12   | 13      | 14 | 15 | 16    | 17           | 18    |
| AM PEAK     | 1            | 1         | 1 | 2       | 3 | 7 | 0     | 0 | 12   | 12 | 1       | 1         | 0    | 4       | 3  | 1  | 0     | 2            | 2     |
|             | 2            | 2         | 1 | 0       | 2 | 4 | 0     | 0 | 14   | 14 | 1       | 0         | 0    | 9       | 5  | 2  | 0     | 1            | 1     |
|             | 3            | 2         | 3 | 1       | 2 | 5 | 0     | 0 | 8    | 10 | 0       | 0         | 0    | 7       | 3  | 2  | 0     | 2            | 1     |
|             | 4            | 1         | 2 | 1       | 2 | 4 | 0     | 0 | 9    | 9  | 1       | 0         | 1    | 4       | 2  | 2  | 0     | 3            | 0     |
|             | Average      | 1         | 2 | 1       | 2 | 5 | 0     | 0 | 11   | 11 | 1       | 0         | 0    | 6       | 3  | 2  | 0     | 2            | 1     |
| OFF PEAK    | 1            | 2         | 2 | 3       | 3 | 5 | 0     | 0 | 9    | 9  | 1       | 0         | 1    | 3       | 2  | 2  | 0     | 1            | 1     |
|             | 2            | 3         | 2 | 1       | 3 | 4 | 0     | 0 | 9    | 9  | 0       | 0         | 0    | 2       | 3  | 2  | 0     | 3            | 3     |
|             | 3            | 3         | 3 | 3       | 3 | 4 | 0     | 0 | 10   | 11 | 1       | 0         | 1    | 3       | 3  | 4  | 0     | 3            | 2     |
|             | 4            | 3         | 4 | 3       | 4 | 6 | 0     | 0 | 11   | 12 | 0       | 0         | 1    | 4       | 3  | 3  | 0     | 4            | 3     |
|             | Average      | 3         | 3 | 2       | 3 | 5 | 0     | 0 | 10   | 10 | 1       | 0         | 1    | 3       | 3  | 3  | 0     | 3            | 2     |
| PM PEAK     | 1            | 3         | 3 | 5       | 5 | 5 | 0     | 0 | 14   | 14 | 1       | 0         | 1    | 5       | 3  | 4  | 0     | 4            | 7     |
|             | 2            | 3         | 4 | 4       | 4 | 5 | 0     | 0 | 13   | 12 | 0       | 0         | 1    | 4       | 4  | 4  | 0     | 7            | 3     |
|             | 3            | 4         | 5 | 6       | 6 | 5 | 0     | 0 | 15   | 14 | 1       | 0         | 2    | 7       | 7  | 4  | 0     | 4            | 5     |
|             | 4            | 3         | 3 | 4       | 4 | 7 | 0     | 0 | 12   | 10 | 1       | 0         | 1    | 7       | 6  | 6  | 0     | 7            | 5     |
|             | Average      | 3         | 4 | 4       | 5 | 5 | 0     | 0 | 13   | 13 | 1       | 0         | 1    | 6       | 5  | 4  | 0     | 6            | 5     |

Note: The queue lengths of 15 vehicles for the NB left represent the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance

Table H-3.13 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Time Period | EB (Main) |         |       | NB   |         |       | WB (Main) |         |       | SB   |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left      | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 2         | 3       | 0     | 11   | 1       | 0     | 0         | 4       | 0     | 1    | 1       | 1     |
| OFF Peak    | 3         | 4       | 0     | 10   | 1       | 0     | 1         | 3       | 0     | 2    | 1       | 2     |
| PM Peak     | 4         | 5       | 0     | 13   | 1       | 0     | 1         | 5       | 0     | 4    | 2       | 5     |

Note: The queue lengths of 15 vehicles for the NB left represent the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance

*After Study (March 28 & March 29, 2017)*

Table H-3.14 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study

| Time period | Time segment | NB   |   |         |   |       |      | WB (Main) |         |   |       |      |    | SB      |    |       |      |    |         | EB (Main) |               |    |    |    |    |
|-------------|--------------|------|---|---------|---|-------|------|-----------|---------|---|-------|------|----|---------|----|-------|------|----|---------|-----------|---------------|----|----|----|----|
|             |              | Left |   | Through |   | Right | Left |           | Through |   | Right | Left |    | Through |    | Right | Left |    | Through |           | Through/Right |    |    |    |    |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5     | 6    | 7         | 8       | 9 | 10    | 11   | 12 | 13      | 14 | 15    | 16   | 17 | 18      | 19        | 20            | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| AM PEAK     | 1            | 1    | 1 | 5       | 4 | 3     | 0    | 1         | 5       | 6 | 7     | 5    | 4  | 5       | 10 | 10    | 10   | 9  | 1       | 3         | 2             | 3  | 5  | 3  | 4  |
|             | 2            | 1    | 2 | 4       | 3 | 4     | 0    | 5         | 10      | 6 | 5     | 2    | 2  | 4       | 5  | 8     | 10   | 9  | 0       | 2         | 1             | 3  | 5  | 4  | 5  |
|             | 3            | 0    | 3 | 4       | 2 | 4     | 1    | 3         | 6       | 4 | 5     | 1    | 1  | 3       | 5  | 6     | 9    | 10 | 0       | 2         | 2             | 2  | 4  | 4  | 4  |
|             | 4            | 1    | 2 | 4       | 3 | 3     | 0    | 1         | 4       | 4 | 4     | 1    | 1  | 2       | 4  | 6     | 6    | 6  | 0       | 1         | 2             | 2  | 3  | 3  | 5  |
|             | Average      | 1    | 2 | 4       | 3 | 4     | 0    | 3         | 6       | 5 | 5     | 2    | 2  | 3       | 6  | 8     | 9    | 9  | 0       | 2         | 2             | 2  | 4  | 3  | 5  |
|             | 1            | 2    | 0 | 5       | 4 | 4     | 0    | 2         | 3       | 7 | 7     | 9    | 8  | 7       | 9  | 9     | 5    | 5  | 1       | 2         | 2             | 1  | 5  | 4  | 4  |

| Time period | Time segment | NB   |   |         |    |       |      | WB (Main) |         |   |       |      |    | SB |         |    |       |      |    | EB (Main) |         |    |               |    |    |
|-------------|--------------|------|---|---------|----|-------|------|-----------|---------|---|-------|------|----|----|---------|----|-------|------|----|-----------|---------|----|---------------|----|----|
|             |              | Left |   | Through |    | Right | Left |           | Through |   | Right | Left |    |    | Through |    | Right | Left |    |           | Through |    | Through/Right |    |    |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4  | 5     | 6    | 7         | 8       | 9 | 10    | 11   | 12 | 13 | 14      | 15 | 16    | 17   | 18 | 19        | 20      | 21 | 22            | 23 | 24 |
| OFF PEAK    | 2            | 2    | 2 | 3       | 3  | 3     | 1    | 1         | 2       | 4 | 5     | 6    | 6  | 9  | 11      | 10 | 3     | 3    | 0  | 2         | 2       | 0  | 2             | 2  | 2  |
|             | 3            | 1    | 1 | 3       | 4  | 3     | 0    | 1         | 2       | 4 | 4     | 3    | 2  | 7  | 8       | 7  | 3     | 2    | 0  | 0         | 1       | 1  | 4             | 3  | 4  |
|             | 4            | 1    | 0 | 4       | 3  | 5     | 0    | 2         | 3       | 4 | 4     | 4    | 3  | 6  | 9       | 8  | 2     | 2    | 0  | 1         | 1       | 1  | 2             | 3  | 3  |
|             | Average      | 1    | 1 | 4       | 3  | 4     | 0    | 2         | 2       | 5 | 5     | 5    | 5  | 7  | 9       | 8  | 3     | 3    | 0  | 1         | 2       | 1  | 3             | 3  | 3  |
| PM PEAK     | 1            | 2    | 2 | 11      | 7  | 7     | 0    | 1         | 3       | 4 | 4     | 2    | 3  | 5  | 8       | 9  | 6     | 5    | 0  | 2         | 2       | 3  | 8             | 7  | 6  |
|             | 2            | 0    | 3 | 11      | 8  | 6     | 1    | 1         | 2       | 3 | 3     | 2    | 3  | 6  | 7       | 10 | 4     | 4    | 0  | 3         | 3       | 4  | 4             | 3  | 5  |
|             | 3            | 1    | 2 | 17      | 15 | 13    | 0    | 1         | 2       | 4 | 5     | 3    | 4  | 4  | 7       | 9  | 4     | 3    | 0  | 3         | 3       | 4  | 6             | 5  | 6  |
|             | 4            | 1    | 2 | 5       | 3  | 3     | 0    | 1         | 2       | 4 | 5     | 3    | 4  | 4  | 6       | 9  | 3     | 4    | 0  | 3         | 3       | 4  | 7             | 5  | 6  |
|             | Average      | 1    | 2 | 11      | 8  | 7     | 0    | 1         | 2       | 4 | 4     | 3    | 3  | 5  | 7       | 9  | 4     | 4    | 0  | 3         | 2       | 4  | 6             | 5  | 6  |

Table H-3.15 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study

| Time Period | NB   |         |       | WB (Main) |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB (Main) |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1    | 4       | 0     | 4         | 5       | 2     | 6    | 9       | 0     | 2         | 4       | 0     |
| OFF Peak    | 1    | 4       | 0     | 2         | 5       | 5     | 8    | 3       | 0     | 1         | 3       | 0     |
| PM Peak     | 1    | 9       | 0     | 2         | 4       | 3     | 7    | 4       | 0     | 3         | 6       | 0     |

Table H-3.16 Average Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet – After Study

| Time period | Time segment | EB (Main) |   |         |   |   |       |   | NB   |    |         |       | WB (Main) |         |    |    |       |              | SB    |  |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|---|---------|---|---|-------|---|------|----|---------|-------|-----------|---------|----|----|-------|--------------|-------|--|
|             |              | Left      |   | Through |   |   | Right |   | Left |    | Through | Right | Left      | Through |    |    | Right | Through/Left | Right |  |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1         | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5 | 6     | 7 | 8    | 9  | 10      | 11    | 12        | 13      | 14 | 15 | 16    | 17           | 18    |  |
| AM PEAK     | 1            | 1         | 2 | 2       | 2 | 4 | 0     | 0 | 13   | 13 | 1       | 0     | 1         | 6       | 4  | 4  | 0     | 2            | 1     |  |
|             | 2            | 1         | 1 | 1       | 1 | 2 | 0     | 0 | 12   | 10 | 0       | 0     | 2         | 9       | 3  | 2  | 0     | 1            | 0     |  |
|             | 3            | 1         | 1 | 1       | 1 | 3 | 0     | 0 | 10   | 8  | 0       | 0     | 2         | 6       | 3  | 4  | 0     | 2            | 0     |  |
|             | 4            | 2         | 2 | 1       | 2 | 2 | 0     | 0 | 8    | 8  | 1       | 0     | 1         | 3       | 2  | 2  | 0     | 1            | 0     |  |
|             | Average      | 1         | 1 | 1       | 1 | 3 | 0     | 0 | 11   | 10 | 0       | 0     | 1         | 6       | 3  | 3  | 0     | 2            | 0     |  |
| OFF PEAK    | 1            | 2         | 2 | 0       | 1 | 3 | 0     | 0 | 9    | 8  | 0       | 0     | 1         | 4       | 2  | 2  | 0     | 2            | 0     |  |
|             | 2            | 3         | 2 | 1       | 2 | 3 | 0     | 0 | 9    | 7  | 1       | 0     | 1         | 5       | 3  | 3  | 0     | 2            | 1     |  |
|             | 3            | 3         | 3 | 2       | 2 | 3 | 0     | 0 | 8    | 7  | 2       | 0     | 1         | 3       | 2  | 2  | 0     | 3            | 0     |  |
|             | 4            | 2         | 2 | 1       | 1 | 4 | 0     | 0 | 11   | 10 | 0       | 0     | 2         | 3       | 4  | 4  | 0     | 3            | 0     |  |
|             | Average      | 2         | 2 | 1       | 2 | 3 | 0     | 0 | 9    | 8  | 1       | 0     | 1         | 4       | 3  | 3  | 0     | 3            | 0     |  |
| PM PEAK     | 1            | 3         | 3 | 2       | 3 | 3 | 0     | 0 | 9    | 7  | 2       | 0     | 1         | 6       | 7  | 6  | 0     | 4            | 1     |  |
|             | 2            | 4         | 5 | 3       | 2 | 2 | 0     | 0 | 12   | 11 | 2       | 0     | 0         | 4       | 5  | 5  | 0     | 5            | 3     |  |
|             | 3            | 4         | 3 | 3       | 3 | 4 | 0     | 0 | 10   | 10 | 2       | 0     | 1         | 4       | 4  | 6  | 0     | 4            | 2     |  |
|             | 4            | 3         | 3 | 1       | 2 | 2 | 0     | 0 | 9    | 8  | 2       | 0     | 1         | 4       | 4  | 4  | 0     | 5            | 1     |  |
|             | Average      | 3         | 4 | 2       | 2 | 3 | 0     | 0 | 10   | 9  | 2       | 0     | 1         | 4       | 5  | 5  | 0     | 4            | 1     |  |

Note: The queue lengths of 15 vehicles for the NB left represent the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance

Table H-3.17 Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet – After Study

| Time Period | EB (Main) |         |       | NB   |         |       | WB (Main) |         |       | SB   |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left      | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 2       | 0     | 10   | 0       | 0     | 1         | 4       | 0     | 1    | 0       | 0     |
| OFF Peak    | 2         | 2       | 0     | 9    | 1       | 0     | 1         | 3       | 0     | 2    | 1       | 0     |
| PM Peak     | 3         | 2       | 0     | 9    | 2       | 0     | 1         | 5       | 0     | 3    | 1       | 1     |

Note: The queue lengths of 15 vehicles for the NB left represent the maximum number of vehicles within the observers' sight distance

### Comparison of Before and After Queue Lengths for Critical Intersections

The differences in queue length between the before and after measurements are shown in Table H-3.18 to Table H-3.21. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table H-3.18 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | NB    |       |         |       |      |       | WB (Main) |         |      |      |       |       | SB    |         |       |       |       |       | EB (Main) |         |       |                 |      |      | Average Queue |
|-------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------------|------|------|---------------|
|             | Left  |       | Through |       |      | Right | Left      | Through |      |      | Right | Left  |       | Through |       |       | Right | Left  |           | Through |       | Through / Right |      |      |               |
| Lane Number | 1     | 2     | 3       | 4     | 5    | 6     | 7         | 8       | 9    | 10   | 11    | 12    | 13    | 14      | 15    | 16    | 17    | 18    | 19        | 20      | 21    | 22              | 23   | 24   |               |
| AM Peak     | 0.10  | 0.98  | 0.44    | -0.84 | 1.48 | -0.21 | -2.06     | 1.25    | 1.08 | 0.83 | -0.62 | -1.74 | -2.62 | -1.64   | -0.41 | 1.09  | 2.49  | -0.55 | 0.65      | -0.71   | -0.14 | 0.07            | 0.05 | 1.56 | 0.02          |
| Off Peak    | 0.87  | -0.58 | -0.10   | 0.25  | 1.16 | 0.22  | 0.41      | -0.25   | 3.10 | 3.01 | 3.60  | 2.99  | 4.91  | 5.70    | 3.98  | 0.78  | 0.73  | 0.02  | 0.61      | 0.15    | -1.03 | 0.58            | 0.04 | 0.78 | 1.33          |
| PM Peak     | -0.17 | 0.37  | 3.50    | 3.51  | 2.43 | -0.61 | -0.41     | -0.39   | 0.52 | 0.27 | -0.23 | 0.18  | -1.44 | -0.85   | -0.76 | -1.05 | -1.05 | -0.44 | 0.65      | -0.82   | 0.09  | 1.27            | 1.33 | 0.59 | 0.26          |

Table H-3.19 Difference in Avg. Queue Length (veh/lane) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | NB   |         |       | WB (Main) |         |       | SB    |         |       | EB (Main) |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 0.54 | 0.36    | -0.21 | -0.41     | 0.96    | -1.18 | -1.56 | 1.79    | -0.55 | -0.07     | 0.48    | 0.24  |
| OFF Peak    | 0.15 | 0.44    | 0.22  | 0.08      | 3.05    | 3.29  | 4.86  | 0.76    | 0.02  | -0.09     | 0.44    | 0.08  |
| PM Peak     | 0.10 | 3.15    | -0.61 | -0.40     | 0.40    | -0.20 | -1.02 | -1.05   | -0.44 | -0.03     | 1.03    | 0.10  |

Table H-3.20 Difference in Avg. Queue Length by Lane (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd and E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | EB (Main) |       |         |       |       |       |      | NB    |       |         | WB (Main) |       |       |         |       |       | SB    |              | Average Queue |
|-------------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|---------------|
|             | Left      |       | Through |       |       | Right |      | Left  |       | Through | Right     | Left  |       | Through |       |       | Right | Through/Left | Right         |
| Lane Number | 1         | 2     | 3       | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7    | 8     | 9     | 10      | 11        | 12    | 13    | 14      | 15    | 16    | 17    | 18           |               |
| AM Peak     | -0.12     | -0.56 | 0.04    | -0.55 | -2.16 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.08  | -1.76 | -0.46   | -0.20     | 1.05  | -0.02 | -0.21   | 0.92  | 0.11  | -0.23 | -0.85        | -0.27         |
| Off Peak    | -0.19     | -0.75 | 1.31    | 1.70  | 2.01  | 0.00  | 0.00 | -0.63 | 2.15  | 0.36    | 0.00      | 0.61  | 0.61  | -0.15   | -0.08 | 0.05  | -0.36 | -2.04        | -0.54         |
| PM Peak     | 0.08      | -0.17 | -2.20   | -2.45 | -2.62 | 0.00  | 0.00 | -3.58 | -3.54 | 1.02    | -0.04     | -0.09 | -1.55 | 0.22    | 1.04  | -0.08 | -1.24 | -3.24        | -1.03         |

Table H-3.21 Difference in Average Queue Length (veh/lane) at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | EB (Main) |         |       | NB    |         |       | WB (Main) |         |       | SB    |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|             | Left      | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right | Left      | Through | Right | Left  | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | -0.34     | -0.89   | 0.00  | -0.84 | -0.46   | -0.20 | 1.05      | 0.23    | 0.11  | 0.06  | -0.29   | -0.85 |
| OFF Peak    | -0.47     | -1.67   | 0.00  | -1.39 | 0.36    | 0.00  | 0.61      | 0.13    | 0.05  | -0.14 | -0.22   | -2.04 |
| PM Peak     | -0.04     | -2.42   | 0.00  | -3.56 | 1.02    | -0.04 | -0.09     | -0.10   | -0.08 | -0.75 | -0.49   | -3.24 |

#### Discussion

The following can be concluded from the comparison of queue length:

- The SB left at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive intersection, which had one of the longest queues during the AM and PM peak periods in the before study, had a reduction in queue length for those time periods. The queues at the NB left at the Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet intersection, which also had long queues in the before study, were also reduced, particularly during PM peak period.
- The NB through at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive intersection had a significant increase in queues, particularly for the PM peak period.

Some of the queue increases observed were for movements that initially had relatively low queue lengths (for example, some of the WB lanes at the Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet intersection). In those cases, a large percent increase reflects small absolute change in terms of number of vehicles.




### H.3.4. Queue to Lane Storage Ratio

In addition to queue length, it is important to assess any impact to adjacent lanes or to upstream facilities. The queue to link/lane ratio is used to establish the likelihood of spillback, which is presented in this section by movement and by time period.

The following assumptions are used:

- The storage capacity is estimated as the maximum number of vehicles in the lane.
- The queue to link/lane storage ratio is estimated as 1 if the observer reported “spillback”, and as 0.8 if reported as the maximum number of vehicles in the sight distance.
- Queue to lane storage ratios over 80% are highlighted in yellow, as they represent conditions with a high probability for spillback.



(a) N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet

(b) Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet

Figure H-3.9 Lane configuration of critical intersections

Before Study (February 22nd & 23rd, 2017)

Table H-3.22 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Time<br>peri<br>od | Time<br>segme<br>nt | NB       |          |          |          |          |           | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          |          | SB       |          |          |          |          |           | EB (Main) |          |          |          |          |                       |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
|                    |                     | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          | Through  |          | Right    |          | Left     |          |          | Through  |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          |          | Through  |          | Throug<br>h/<br>Right |
| LANE<br>NUMBER     |                     | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6         | 7         | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17       | 18        | 19        | 20       | 21       | 22       | 23       | 24                    |
| AM<br>Peak         | 1                   | 0.0<br>3 | 0.1      | 0.3<br>3 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>1  | 0.3<br>8  | 0.3<br>2 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.6      | 0.4<br>9 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.0<br>8  | 0.1<br>2  | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.21                  |
|                    | 2                   | 0.0<br>5 | 0.0<br>2 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.3<br>1  | 0.3<br>5 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.3<br>2 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.0<br>7  | 0.0<br>7  | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.16                  |
|                    | 3                   | 0.0<br>2 | 0.0<br>7 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.0<br>4  | 0.1<br>2  | 0.1<br>5 | 0.2      | 0.1<br>9 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.3<br>7 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.0<br>2  | 0.1<br>1  | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.17                  |
|                    | 4                   | 0.0<br>1 | 0.0<br>3 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.0<br>4  | 0.1<br>1  | 0.1<br>6 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>7 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.2<br>9 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.1       | 0.2<br>9 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.11                  |
|                    | Avera<br>ge         | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>5 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>5  | 0.2<br>3  | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.4<br>2 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.4<br>2 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.0<br>5  | 0.1       | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.2      | 0.1<br>7 | 0.16                  |
| OFF<br>Peak        | 1                   | 0.0<br>2 | 0.0<br>7 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.2      | 0.1<br>3 | 0.0<br>2  | 0.0<br>6  | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.0<br>3  | 0.0<br>5  | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.14                  |
|                    | 2                   | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.0<br>4  | 0.1<br>3 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.0<br>6  | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.09                  |
|                    | 3                   | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.0<br>5  | 0.0<br>6 | 0.0<br>4 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.1      | 0.0<br>8 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>2  | 0.0<br>6  | 0.0<br>9 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.14                  |

| Time<br>peri<br>od | Time<br>segme<br>nt | NB       |          |          |          |          |           | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          |          | SB       |          |          |          |           |          | EB (Main) |          |          |          |                       |      |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|------|
|                    |                     | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          | Through  |          | Right    | Left     |          |          | Through  |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |           |          | Through  |          | Throug<br>h/<br>Right |      |
| LANE<br>NUMBER     |                     | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6         | 7         | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17        | 18       | 19        | 20       | 21       | 22       | 23                    | 24   |
|                    | 4                   | 0.0<br>3 | 0.1      | 0.2<br>1 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.1<br>5 | 0         | 0.0<br>6  | 0.1<br>7 | 0.1      | 0.0<br>8 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>3  | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>6  | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.1<br>3              | 0.14 |
|                    | Avera<br>ge         | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.0<br>5  | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.1      | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>3  | 0.0<br>2 | 0.0<br>6  | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>4              | 0.13 |
| PM<br>Peak         | 1                   | 0.0<br>8 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.1       | 0.0<br>6  | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.2<br>9 | 0.4      | 0.4<br>9 | 0.3<br>2 | 0.3<br>2  | 0.0<br>3 | 0.1<br>5  | 0.2<br>2 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.2      | 0.1<br>6              | 0.16 |
|                    | 2                   | 0.0<br>6 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.2<br>9 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.1       | 0.0<br>7  | 0.1<br>4 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.2      | 0.3<br>6 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.3<br>1  | 0.0<br>4 | 0.2       | 0.2<br>7 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.2                   | 0.27 |
|                    | 3                   | 0.0<br>4 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.4<br>2 | 0.3<br>5 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.1       | 0.0<br>8  | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.2      | 0.1<br>8 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.4<br>5 | 0.6      | 0.2<br>9 | 0.2<br>9  | 0.0<br>5 | 0.2<br>6  | 0.3<br>1 | 0.2<br>9 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.2<br>3              | 0.38 |
|                    | 4                   | 0.0<br>6 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.2      | 0.0<br>4  | 0.0<br>6  | 0.1<br>4 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.5<br>8 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.2<br>4  | 0.0<br>1 | 0.0<br>7  | 0.2      | 0.1<br>6 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.1<br>6              | 0.21 |
|                    | Avera<br>ge         | 0.0<br>6 | 0.1      | 0.4<br>2 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.2<br>9 | 0.0<br>9  | 0.0<br>6  | 0.1<br>4 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.2<br>9 | 0.2<br>9  | 0.0<br>3 | 0.1<br>7  | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.1<br>9              | 0.25 |

Table H-3.23 Number of Cycles with Spillback at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Time period | Time segment | # cycles in 15 min | NB   |      |         |   |       |      | WB (Main) |         |   |       |      |    | SB      |    |       |      |    |         | EB (Main) |               |    |    |    |    |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------|------|---------|---|-------|------|-----------|---------|---|-------|------|----|---------|----|-------|------|----|---------|-----------|---------------|----|----|----|----|
|             |              |                    | Left |      | Through |   | Right | Left |           | Through |   | Right | Left |    | Through |    | Right | Left |    | Through |           | Through/Right |    |    |    |    |
| LANE NUMBER |              |                    | 1    | 2    | 3       | 4 | 5     | 6    | 7         | 8       | 9 | 10    | 11   | 12 | 13      | 14 | 15    | 16   | 17 | 18      | 19        | 20            | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| AM Peak     | 1            | 7                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | 2            | 7                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | 3            | 7                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | 4            | 7                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | Average      |                    | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
| OFF Peak    | 1            | 8                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | 2            | 8                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | 3            | 8                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | 4            | 8                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | Average      |                    | 0    | 0.25 | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
| PM Peak     | 1            | 6                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | 2            | 6                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | 3            | 6                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |
|             | 4            | 7                  | 0    | 0    | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0       | 0 | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0       | 0         | 0             | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |

| Time period | Time segment | # cycles in 15 min | NB   |   |         |   |   | WB (Main) |      |   |         |    | SB |       |      |    |    | EB (Main) |    |       |      |    |    |         |    |               |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|------|---|---------|---|---|-----------|------|---|---------|----|----|-------|------|----|----|-----------|----|-------|------|----|----|---------|----|---------------|
|             |              |                    | Left |   | Through |   |   | Right     | Left |   | Through |    |    | Right | Left |    |    | Through   |    | Right | Left |    |    | Through |    | Through/Right |
| LANE NUMBER |              |                    | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5 | 6         | 7    | 8 | 9       | 10 | 11 | 12    | 13   | 14 | 15 | 16        | 17 | 18    | 19   | 20 | 21 | 22      | 23 | 24            |
|             | Average      |                    | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0         | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0         | 0  | 0     | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0       | 0  | 0             |

Table H-3.24 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet – before Study

| Time period | Time segment | EB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       |      | NB   |      |         | WB (Main) |      |         |      |      | SB    |              |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|--------------|-------|
|             |              | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right |      | Left |      | Through | Right     | Left | Through |      |      | Right | Through/Left | Right |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1         | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10      | 11        | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15   | 16    | 17           | 18    |
| AM PEAK     | 1            | 0.07      | 0.06 | 0.11    | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 0.08    | 0.03      | 0.01 | 0.18    | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.00  | 0.08         | 0.11  |
|             | 2            | 0.11      | 0.07 | 0.02    | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.06    | 0.02      | 0.02 | 0.41    | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.00  | 0.06         | 0.05  |
|             | 3            | 0.09      | 0.13 | 0.05    | 0.10 | 0.27 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.68 | 0.02    | 0.00      | 0.03 | 0.34    | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.01  | 0.09         | 0.03  |
|             | 4            | 0.06      | 0.10 | 0.05    | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 0.05    | 0.00      | 0.04 | 0.18    | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.00  | 0.13         | 0.02  |
|             | Average      | 0.08      | 0.09 | 0.06    | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.05    | 0.01      | 0.02 | 0.28    | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.00  | 0.09         | 0.05  |
| OFF PEAK    | 1            | 0.11      | 0.12 | 0.15    | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.06    | 0.00      | 0.05 | 0.14    | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.02  | 0.07         | 0.06  |
|             | 2            | 0.16      | 0.12 | 0.06    | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.03    | 0.00      | 0.03 | 0.11    | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.01  | 0.13         | 0.16  |
|             | 3            | 0.17      | 0.17 | 0.13    | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.05    | 0.00      | 0.08 | 0.14    | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.00  | 0.17         | 0.11  |
|             | 4            | 0.15      | 0.21 | 0.13    | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.04 | 0.22    | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.03  | 0.22         | 0.15  |

| Time period | Time segment | EB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       |      | NB   |      |         | WB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       | SB           |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|--------------|-------|
|             |              | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right |      | Left |      | Through | Right     | Left | Through |      |      | Right | Through/Left | Right |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1         | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10      | 11        | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15   | 16    | 17           | 18    |
|             | Average      | 0.15      | 0.15 | 0.12    | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.03    | 0.00      | 0.05 | 0.15    | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.02  | 0.15         | 0.12  |
| PM PEAK     | 1            | 0.17      | 0.15 | 0.26    | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.10    | 0.01      | 0.04 | 0.23    | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.03  | 0.21         | 0.33  |
|             | 2            | 0.18      | 0.20 | 0.18    | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.02    | 0.00      | 0.08 | 0.22    | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.00  | 0.34         | 0.16  |
|             | 3            | 0.23      | 0.23 | 0.29    | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.05    | 0.00      | 0.13 | 0.34    | 0.33 | 0.20 | 0.00  | 0.19         | 0.23  |
|             | 4            | 0.16      | 0.17 | 0.18    | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.67 | 0.06    | 0.00      | 0.05 | 0.36    | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.01  | 0.37         | 0.23  |
|             | Average      | 0.18      | 0.19 | 0.22    | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 0.05    | 0.00      | 0.07 | 0.29    | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.01  | 0.28         | 0.24  |

Table H-3.25 Number of Cycles with Spillback at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Time period | Time segment | # cycles in 15 min | EB (Main) |   |         |   |   |       |   | NB   |   |         |       |      | WB (Main) |    |    |       |                  |       | SB |  |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|---|---------|---|---|-------|---|------|---|---------|-------|------|-----------|----|----|-------|------------------|-------|----|--|
|             |              |                    | Left      |   | Through |   |   | Right |   | Left |   | Through | Right | Left | Through   |    |    | Right | Through/<br>Left | Right |    |  |
| LANE NUMBER |              |                    | 1         | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5 | 6     | 7 | 8    | 9 | 10      | 11    | 12   | 13        | 14 | 15 | 16    | 17               | 18    |    |  |
| AM PEAK     | 1            | 8                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 3    | 3 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |    |  |
|             | 2            | 7                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 4    | 4 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |    |  |
|             | 3            | 7                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0    | 1 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |    |  |
|             | 4            | 7                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |    |  |
|             | Average      |                    | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0    | 0 | 1.75    | 2     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     | 0  |  |

| Time period | Time segment | # cycles in 15 min | EB (Main) |   |         |   |   |       |   | NB   |   |         |       |      | WB (Main) |    |    |       |                  | SB    |   |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|---|---------|---|---|-------|---|------|---|---------|-------|------|-----------|----|----|-------|------------------|-------|---|
|             |              |                    | Left      |   | Through |   |   | Right |   | Left |   | Through | Right | Left | Through   |    |    | Right | Through/<br>Left | Right |   |
| LANE NUMBER |              |                    | 1         | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5 | 6     | 7 | 8    | 9 | 10      | 11    | 12   | 13        | 14 | 15 | 16    | 17               | 18    |   |
| OFF PEAK    | 1            | 0                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0    | 0 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |   |
|             | 2            | 0                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 1 | 1    | 0 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |   |
|             | 3            | 0                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 1 | 1    | 0 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |   |
|             | 4            | 0                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 3 | 4    | 0 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |   |
|             | Average      |                    | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0    | 0 | 1.25    | 1.5   | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     | 0 |
| PM PEAK     | 1            | 0                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 5 | 4    | 0 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |   |
|             | 2            | 0                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 3 | 3    | 0 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |   |
|             | 3            | 0                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 5 | 5    | 0 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |   |
|             | 4            | 0                  | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 2 | 1    | 0 | 0       | 0     | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     |   |
|             | Average      |                    | 0         | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0 | 0     | 0 | 0    | 0 | 3.75    | 3.25  | 0    | 0         | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0                | 0     | 0 |

After Study (March 28 & March 29, 2017)

Table H-3.26 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study

| Time<br>peri<br>od  | Time<br>segme<br>nt | NB       |          |          |          |          |           | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          |          | SB       |          |          |          |          |           | EB (Main) |          |          |          |          |                       |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
|                     |                     | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          | Through  |          | Right    |          | Left     |          |          | Through  |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          |          | Through  |          | Throug<br>h/<br>Right |
| LANE<br>NUMBER      |                     | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6         | 7         | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17       | 18        | 19        | 20       | 21       | 22       | 23       | 24                    |
| AM<br><br>PEA<br>K  | 1                   | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.2<br>9 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>7  | 0.2<br>6 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.3<br>0 | 0.5<br>3 | 0.5<br>6 | 0.5<br>5 | 0.5<br>2 | 0.0<br>5  | 0.2<br>2  | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.22                  |
|                     | 2                   | 0.0<br>4 | 0.1<br>0 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.2<br>5  | 0.4<br>9 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.1<br>0 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.5<br>6 | 0.5<br>2 | 0.0<br>2  | 0.1<br>8  | 0.0<br>9 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.26                  |
|                     | 3                   | 0.0<br>2 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.1<br>0 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.0<br>7  | 0.1<br>3  | 0.2<br>9 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.0<br>4 | 0.0<br>3 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.3<br>6 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.5<br>4 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.1<br>4  | 0.1<br>2 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.21                  |
|                     | 4                   | 0.0<br>4 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.0<br>4  | 0.0<br>6  | 0.2<br>0 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>3 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.3<br>1 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>8  | 0.1<br>2 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.26                  |
|                     | Avera<br>ge         | 0.0<br>3 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.0<br>3  | 0.1<br>3  | 0.3<br>1 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.1<br>0 | 0.0<br>8 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.4<br>2 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.0<br>2  | 0.1<br>5  | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.24                  |
| OFF<br><br>PEA<br>K | 1                   | 0.0<br>9 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.0<br>3  | 0.1<br>0  | 0.1<br>3 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.2<br>9 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.0<br>4  | 0.1<br>3  | 0.1<br>7 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.22                  |
|                     | 2                   | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.0<br>9  | 0.0<br>5  | 0.0<br>8 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.3<br>0 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.5<br>9 | 0.5<br>4 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.0<br>2  | 0.1<br>9  | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>2 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.10                  |
|                     | 3                   | 0.0<br>6 | 0.0<br>5 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>6  | 0.0<br>9 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>2 | 0.3<br>8 | 0.4<br>7 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.0<br>2  | 0.0<br>1  | 0.1<br>1 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.22                  |



| Time<br>peri<br>od | Time<br>segme<br>nt | NB       |          |          |          |          |           | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          |          | SB       |          |          |          |          |           | EB (Main) |          |          |          |          |                       |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
|                    |                     | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          | Through  |          | Right    |          | Left     |          |          | Through  |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          |          | Through  |          | Throug<br>h/<br>Right |
| LANE<br>NUMBER     |                     | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6         | 7         | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17       | 18        | 19        | 20       | 21       | 22       | 23       | 24                    |
|                    | 4                   | 0.0<br>5 | 0.0<br>2 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.2<br>7 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.0<br>9  | 0.1<br>3 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.4<br>8 | 0.4<br>4 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.0<br>2  | 0.1<br>1  | 0.0<br>8 | 0.0<br>6 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.14                  |
|                    | Avera<br>ge         | 0.0<br>8 | 0.0<br>4 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.0<br>3  | 0.0<br>8  | 0.1<br>1 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.4<br>6 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.0<br>2  | 0.1<br>1  | 0.1<br>2 | 0.0<br>5 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.17                  |
| PM<br><br>PEA<br>K | 1                   | 0.1<br>0 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.6<br>4 | 0.4<br>1 | 0.4<br>1 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>5  | 0.1<br>6 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.1<br>0 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.3<br>2 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.1<br>8  | 0.1<br>4 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.4<br>0 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.30                  |
|                    | 2                   | 0.0<br>1 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.6<br>5 | 0.4<br>5 | 0.3<br>5 | 0.0<br>7  | 0.0<br>4  | 0.1<br>2 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>1 | 0.1<br>6 | 0.3<br>3 | 0.4<br>1 | 0.5<br>4 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.2<br>1  | 0.2<br>0 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.26                  |
|                    | 3                   | 0.0<br>3 | 0.0<br>9 | 0.9<br>7 | 0.9<br>0 | 0.7<br>6 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>4  | 0.1<br>1 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.1<br>5 | 0.1<br>8 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.3<br>6 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.2<br>6  | 0.2<br>1 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.2<br>8 | 0.2<br>7 | 0.29                  |
|                    | 4                   | 0.0<br>6 | 0.1<br>4 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.0<br>3  | 0.0<br>4  | 0.1<br>0 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.2<br>1 | 0.3<br>5 | 0.5<br>2 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.2<br>5  | 0.2<br>0 | 0.2<br>4 | 0.3<br>4 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.28                  |
|                    | Avera<br>ge         | 0.0<br>5 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.6<br>3 | 0.4<br>9 | 0.4<br>3 | 0.0<br>3  | 0.0<br>4  | 0.1<br>2 | 0.1<br>9 | 0.2<br>0 | 0.1<br>3 | 0.1<br>7 | 0.2<br>6 | 0.3<br>9 | 0.5<br>1 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.2<br>3 | 0.0<br>1  | 0.2<br>3  | 0.1<br>9 | 0.2<br>2 | 0.3<br>0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.28                  |

Table H-3.27 Number of Cycles with Spillback at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study

| Time<br>peri<br>od  | Time<br>segme<br>nt | #<br>cycl<br>es<br>in<br>15<br>min | NB       |          |          |          |          | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          | SB       |          |          |          |          | EB (Main) |          |           |          |          |          |          |          |                       |
|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
|                     |                     |                                    | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Right    | Left     |          |          | Through   |          | Rig<br>ht | Left     |          |          | Through  |          | Through<br>/<br>Right |
| LANE NUMBER         |                     |                                    | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6         | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16        | 17       | 18        | 19       | 20       | 21       | 22       | 23       | 24                    |
| AM<br><br>PEA<br>K  | 1                   | 7                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |
|                     | 2                   | 7                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |
|                     | 3                   | 7                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |
|                     | 4                   | 7                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |
|                     | Average             |                                    | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00                  |
| OFF<br><br>PEA<br>K | 1                   | 8                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 1        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |
|                     | 2                   | 8                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 1        | 1        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |
|                     | 3                   | 8                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        |                       |
|                     | 4                   | 8                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |
|                     | Average             |                                    | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.50                  |
| PM                  | 1                   | 6                                  | 0        | 0        | 1        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |
|                     | 2                   | 6                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |

| Time<br>peri<br>od | Time<br>segme<br>nt | #<br>cycl<br>es<br>in<br>15<br>min | NB       |          |          |          |          |           | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          |          | SB       |          |          |          |          |           | EB (Main) |          |          |          |          |                       |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
|                    |                     |                                    | Left     |          | Through  |          |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          | Through  |          | Right    |          | Left     |          |          | Through  |          | Rig<br>ht | Left      |          |          | Through  |          | Through<br>/<br>Right |
| LANE NUMBER        |                     |                                    | 1        | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6         | 7         | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       | 17       | 18        | 19        | 20       | 21       | 22       | 23       | 24                    |
| PEA<br>K           | 3                   | 6                                  | 0        | 0        | 5        | 2        | 1        | 0         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |                       |
|                    | 4                   | 6                                  | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        |                       |
|                    | Average             |                                    | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 1.5<br>0 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.00      | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0  | 0.00      | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.25                  |

Table H-3.28 Average Queue Storage Ratio by Lane and by Period at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study

| Time period | Time segment | EB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       |      | NB   |      |          | WB (Main) |      |         |      |      | SB     |               |        |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|----------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|--------|---------------|--------|
|             |              | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right |      | Left |      | Throug h | Righ t    | Left | Through |      |      | Righ t | Through/Le ft | Righ t |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1         | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10       | 11        | 12   | 13      | 14   | 15   | 16     | 17            | 18     |
| AM PEAK     | 1            | 0.07      | 0.08 | 0.12    | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.04     | 0.00      | 0.08 | 0.28    | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.04   | 0.08          | 0.03   |
|             | 2            | 0.06      | 0.03 | 0.04    | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.64 | 0.00     | 0.00      | 0.15 | 0.44    | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.00   | 0.06          | 0.00   |
|             | 3            | 0.07      | 0.06 | 0.04    | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.56 | 0.01     | 0.00      | 0.12 | 0.31    | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.00   | 0.11          | 0.01   |
|             | 4            | 0.09      | 0.08 | 0.04    | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.04     | 0.00      | 0.08 | 0.13    | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.01   | 0.07          | 0.00   |

| Time period | Time segment | EB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       |      | NB   |      |         |       | WB (Main) |         |      |      |       | SB           |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|--------------|-------|
|             |              | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right |      | Left |      | Through | Right | Left      | Through |      |      | Right | Through/Left | Right |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1         | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10      | 11    | 12        | 13      | 14   | 15   | 16    | 17           | 18    |
|             | Average      | 0.07      | 0.06 | 0.06    | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.64 | 0.02    | 0.00  | 0.11      | 0.29    | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.01  | 0.08         | 0.01  |
| OFF PEAK    | 1            | 0.10      | 0.10 | 0.01    | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.55 | 0.01    | 0.00  | 0.10      | 0.19    | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.01  | 0.11         | 0.02  |
|             | 2            | 0.14      | 0.12 | 0.04    | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.05    | 0.00  | 0.08      | 0.24    | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.02  | 0.11         | 0.03  |
|             | 3            | 0.19      | 0.14 | 0.08    | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 0.14    | 0.00  | 0.08      | 0.14    | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.01  | 0.14         | 0.01  |
|             | 4            | 0.11      | 0.10 | 0.07    | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.03    | 0.00  | 0.12      | 0.15    | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.03  | 0.16         | 0.02  |
|             | Average      | 0.14      | 0.12 | 0.05    | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.54 | 0.06    | 0.00  | 0.09      | 0.18    | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.02  | 0.13         | 0.02  |
| PM PEAK     | 1            | 0.16      | 0.15 | 0.12    | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.49 | 0.16    | 0.00  | 0.08      | 0.28    | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.00  | 0.20         | 0.06  |
|             | 2            | 0.22      | 0.23 | 0.13    | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 0.12    | 0.00  | 0.03      | 0.20    | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.01  | 0.24         | 0.13  |
|             | 3            | 0.21      | 0.17 | 0.15    | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.63 | 0.10    | 0.00  | 0.10      | 0.19    | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.00  | 0.18         | 0.08  |

| Time period | Time segment | EB (Main) |      |         |      |      |       |      | NB   |      |         |       | WB (Main) |         |      |      |       | SB           |       |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|------|------|-------|--------------|-------|
|             |              | Left      |      | Through |      |      | Right |      | Left |      | Through | Right | Left      | Through |      |      | Right | Through/Left | Right |
| LANE NUMBER |              | 1         | 2    | 3       | 4    | 5    | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10      | 11    | 12        | 13      | 14   | 15   | 16    | 17           | 18    |
|             | 4            | 0.16      | 0.17 | 0.07    | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.11    | 0.00  | 0.07      | 0.18    | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.00  | 0.24         | 0.04  |
|             | Average      | 0.19      | 0.18 | 0.11    | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.00  | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.12    | 0.00  | 0.07      | 0.21    | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.00  | 0.21         | 0.07  |

Table H-3.29 Number of Cycles with Spillback at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study

| Time period | Time segment | # cycle<br>s in<br>15 min | EB (Main) |          |          |          |          |          |          | NB       |          |             | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          | SB        |                  |       |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------|
|             |              |                           | Left      |          | Through  |          |          | Right    |          | Left     |          | Throug<br>h | Righ<br>t | Lef<br>t | Through  |          |          | Righ<br>t | Through/L<br>eft | Right |
| LANE NUMBER |              |                           | 1         | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6        | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10          | 11        | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16        | 17               | 18    |
| AM<br>PEAK  | 1            | 6                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 2        | 1        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | 2            | 6                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 2        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | 3            | 6                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | 4            | 6                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | Average      |                           | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 1.0<br>0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.00        | 0.00      | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.00             | 0.00  |
|             | 1            | 7                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |

| Time period | Time segment | # cycle<br>s in<br>15 min | EB (Main) |          |          |          |          |          |          | NB       |          |             | WB (Main) |          |          |          |          | SB        |                  |       |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------|
|             |              |                           | Left      |          | Through  |          |          | Right    |          | Left     |          | Throug<br>h | Righ<br>t | Lef<br>t | Through  |          |          | Righ<br>t | Through/L<br>eft | Right |
| LANE NUMBER |              |                           | 1         | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6        | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10          | 11        | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16        | 17               | 18    |
| OFF<br>PEAK | 2            | 7                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 1        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | 3            | 7                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | 4            | 7                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | Average      |                           | 0.0<br>0  | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00        | 0.00      | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.00             | 0.00  |
| PM<br>PEAK  | 1            | 6                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | 2            | 6                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 2        | 1        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | 3            | 6                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | 4            | 6                         | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 0         | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0                | 0     |
|             | Average      |                           | 0.5<br>0  | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.5<br>0 | 0.2<br>5 | 0.00        | 0.00      | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00      | 0.00             | 0.00  |

### Comparisons of Before and After Queue Storage Ratios

The differences in Queue Storage Ratio between before and after measurements are shown in Table H-3.30 and H-3.31. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant improvement, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table H-3.30 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet

| Time Period | NB    |       |         |       |      |       | WB (Main) |       |         |      |       |       | SB    |       |         |       |       |       | EB (Main) |       |         |      |               |      | Average |
|-------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|------|---------------|------|---------|
|             | Left  |       | Through |       |      | Right | Left      |       | Through |      | Right | Left  |       |       | Through |       | Right | Left  |           |       | Through |      | Through/Right |      |         |
| Lane N      | 1     | 2     | 3       | 4     | 5    | 6     | 7         | 8     | 9       | 10   | 11    | 12    | 13    | 14    | 15      | 16    | 17    | 18    | 19        | 20    | 21      | 22   | 23            | 24   |         |
| AM Peak     | 0.01  | 0.06  | 0.03    | -0.05 | 0.09 | -0.02 | -0.10     | 0.06  | 0.05    | 0.04 | -0.03 | -0.09 | -0.15 | -0.09 | -0.02   | 0.06  | 0.14  | -0.03 | 0.05      | -0.05 | -0.01   | 0.00 | 0.00          | 0.08 | 0.00    |
| Off Peak    | 0.05  | -0.03 | -0.01   | 0.01  | 0.07 | 0.02  | 0.02      | -0.01 | 0.15    | 0.15 | 0.18  | 0.15  | 0.27  | 0.32  | 0.22    | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.00  | 0.05      | 0.01  | -0.06   | 0.03 | 0.00          | 0.04 | 0.07    |
| PM Peak     | -0.01 | 0.02  | 0.21    | 0.21  | 0.14 | -0.06 | -0.02     | -0.02 | 0.03    | 0.01 | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.08 | -0.05 | -0.04   | -0.06 | -0.06 | -0.02 | 0.05      | -0.06 | 0.00    | 0.06 | 0.07          | 0.03 | 0.01    |

Table H-3.31 Difference in Avg. Queue Storage Ratios at Walmart Access Rd, E. Van Fleet

| Time Period | EB (main) |           |           |           |           |          |          | NB        |           |             | WB (Main) |           |           |           |          | SB        |                  | Average   |           |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
|             | Left      |           | Through   |           |           | Right    |          | Left      |           | Throug<br>h | Righ<br>t | Left      | Through   |           |          | Righ<br>t | Through/Le<br>ft |           | Righ<br>t |
| Lane N      | 1         | 2         | 3         | 4         | 5         | 6        | 7        | 8         | 9         | 10          | 11        | 12        | 13        | 14        | 15       | 16        | 17               | 18        |           |
| AM Peak     | -<br>0.01 | -<br>0.03 | 0.00      | -<br>0.03 | -<br>0.11 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.01      | -<br>0.12 | -0.03       | -<br>0.01 | 0.08      | 0.01      | 0.00      | 0.0<br>5 | 0.01      | -0.01            | -<br>0.04 | -0.01     |
| Off Peak    | -<br>0.01 | -<br>0.04 | -<br>0.07 | -<br>0.08 | -<br>0.10 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | -<br>0.04 | -<br>0.14 | 0.02        | 0.00      | 0.05      | 0.03      | -<br>0.01 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.00      | -0.02            | -<br>0.10 | -0.03     |
| PM Peak     | 0.00      | -<br>0.01 | -<br>0.11 | -<br>0.12 | -<br>0.13 | 0.0<br>0 | 0.0<br>0 | -<br>0.24 | -<br>0.24 | 0.07        | 0.00      | -<br>0.01 | -<br>0.08 | 0.01      | 0.0<br>5 | -<br>0.01 | -0.06            | -<br>0.16 | -0.06     |

### Discussion

The following are concluded from the comparison of queue storage ratios:

- Overall, the queue storage ratios were improved for the two critical intersections.
- The queue storage ratios for the NB left at the Walmart Access and E. Van Fleet Drive were significantly improved, particularly for the PM peak.
- There is a reduction in the number of cycles with spillback at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive intersection, especially for the NB left and through movements, throughout the day.



### H.3.5. Equivalent PCE Flows

Traffic flows were counted manually and converted to equivalent passenger car flows (PCE, in pce/hour) by considering the percentage of heavy vehicles. It was assumed that the PCE for trucks is 2.

#### Truck Percentage Observations

Table H-3.32 Truck Percentages at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet

| Period   | Interval       | NB           |              |              | SB            |              |              | EB            |               |               | WB           |               |               | Ave           |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left          | Thru         | Right        | Left          | Thru          | Right         | Left         | Thru          | Right         |               |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 4.00%        | 0.00%        | 8.24%         | 3.59%        | 2.22%        | 10.26%        | 13.04%        | 0.00%         | 1.59%        | 8.33%         | 6.54%         | 4.82%         |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 2.99%        | 0.00%        | 7.41%         | 2.83%        | 4.23%        | 25.49%        | 15.15%        | 0.00%         | 0.96%        | 9.91%         | 7.45%         | 6.37%         |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 2.97%        | 6.67%        | 10.00%        | 0.74%        | 0.00%        | 6.67%         | 16.28%        | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 11.43%        | 12.12%        | 5.57%         |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 2.50%        | 0.00%        | 6.61%         | 0.00%        | 2.78%        | 0.00%         | 18.81%        | 0.00%         | 4.65%        | 9.90%         | 11.26%        | 4.71%         |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>3.11%</b> | <b>1.67%</b> | <b>8.07%</b>  | <b>1.79%</b> | <b>2.31%</b> | <b>10.60%</b> | <b>15.82%</b> | <b>0.00%</b>  | <b>1.80%</b> | <b>9.89%</b>  | <b>9.34%</b>  | <b>5.37%</b>  |
| Off Peak | 1              | 0.00%        | 3.09%        | 0.00%        | 10.67%        | 0.00%        | 6.52%        | 5.71%         | 16.87%        | 0.00%         | 7.32%        | 15.70%        | 11.61%        | 6.46%         |
|          | 2              | 8.33%        | 2.78%        | 0.00%        | 13.04%        | 1.92%        | 0.00%        | 12.20%        | 20.69%        | 12.50%        | 5.41%        | 16.05%        | 15.44%        | 9.03%         |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 2.83%        | 3.33%        | 10.91%        | 0.00%        | 5.26%        | 10.71%        | 22.89%        | 100.00%       | 9.38%        | 18.18%        | 9.52%         | 16.09%        |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 2.27%        | 5.26%        | 17.93%        | 1.33%        | 9.43%        | 22.73%        | 22.99%        | 0.00%         | 12.50%       | 20.48%        | 12.93%        | 10.66%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>2.08%</b> | <b>2.74%</b> | <b>2.15%</b> | <b>13.14%</b> | <b>0.81%</b> | <b>5.30%</b> | <b>12.84%</b> | <b>20.86%</b> | <b>28.13%</b> | <b>8.65%</b> | <b>17.60%</b> | <b>12.38%</b> | <b>10.56%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 4.55%        | 1.60%        | 0.00%        | 5.11%         | 0.85%        | 6.38%        | 5.71%         | 6.56%         | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 9.26%         | 9.09%         | 4.09%         |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.86%        | 3.95%         | 1.71%        | 2.04%        | 11.27%        | 1.02%         | 0.00%         | 3.23%        | 8.11%         | 7.29%         | 3.46%         |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 0.26%        | 1.96%        | 6.03%         | 0.97%        | 2.44%        | 6.37%         | 3.65%         | 0.00%         | 1.96%        | 4.76%         | 7.30%         | 2.98%         |

| Period | Interval       | NB           |              |              | SB           |              |              | EB           |              |              | WB           |              |              | Ave          |
|--------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|        |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right        |              |
|        | 4              | 0.00%        | 0.68%        | 0.00%        | 8.19%        | 0.00%        | 3.03%        | 2.08%        | 4.84%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.37%        | 7.32%        | 2.29%        |
|        | <b>Average</b> | <b>1.14%</b> | <b>0.64%</b> | <b>1.20%</b> | <b>5.82%</b> | <b>0.88%</b> | <b>3.47%</b> | <b>6.36%</b> | <b>4.02%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.30%</b> | <b>5.87%</b> | <b>7.75%</b> | <b>3.20%</b> |

Table H-3.33 Truck Percentages at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet

| Period   | Interval       | NB            |              |               | SB           |              |              | EB           |              |               | WB            |              |              | Ave           |
|----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
|          |                | Left          | Thru         | Right         | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right         | Left          | Thru         | Right        |               |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 8.50%         | 17.65%       | 50.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 13.64%       | 0.00%        | 7.69%        | 11.66%        | 0.00%         | 10.85%       | 0.00%        | 10.00%        |
|          | 2              | 6.61%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.13%        | 5.26%        | 6.09%        | 7.96%         | 0.00%         | 2.39%        | 7.14%        | 3.38%         |
|          | 3              | 6.72%         | 0.00%        | 20.00%        | 25.00%       | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.70%        | 7.04%        | 13.13%        | 71.43%        | 7.51%        | 10.00%       | 13.71%        |
|          | 4              | 12.83%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 11.11%       | 3.70%        | 3.45%        | 10.53%       | 9.25%         | 16.67%        | 11.02%       | 8.33%        | 7.24%         |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>8.66%</b>  | <b>4.41%</b> | <b>17.50%</b> | <b>6.25%</b> | <b>2.78%</b> | <b>5.62%</b> | <b>3.10%</b> | <b>7.84%</b> | <b>10.50%</b> | <b>22.02%</b> | <b>7.95%</b> | <b>6.37%</b> | <b>8.58%</b>  |
| Off Peak | 1              | 16.94%        | 0.00%        | 20.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.70%        | 8.82%        | 7.19%        | 17.65%        | 0.00%         | 6.96%        | 0.00%        | 6.77%         |
|          | 2              | 17.02%        | 14.29%       | 100.00%       | 0.00%        | 7.14%        | 0.00%        | 1.92%        | 5.00%        | 12.94%        | 0.00%         | 11.49%       | 0.00%        | 14.15%        |
|          | 3              | 17.32%        | 7.69%        | 100.00%       | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.23%        | 2.63%        | 10.64%       | 18.75%        | 100.00%       | 8.21%        | 0.00%        | 22.37%        |
|          | 4              | 14.81%        | 11.11%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 6.56%        | 12.62%       | 13.66%        | 0.00%         | 5.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.31%         |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>16.52%</b> | <b>8.27%</b> | <b>55.00%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.79%</b> | <b>1.73%</b> | <b>4.98%</b> | <b>8.86%</b> | <b>15.75%</b> | <b>25.00%</b> | <b>7.91%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>12.15%</b> |
|          | 1              | 8.96%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%         | 4.35%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.44%        | 0.00%         | 16.67%        | 5.43%        | 7.41%        | 3.77%         |

| Period     | Interval | NB     |        |        | SB    |       |       | EB    |       |       | WB      |       |       | Ave    |
|------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--------|
|            |          | Left   | Thru   | Right  | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left  | Thru  | Right | Left    | Thru  | Right |        |
| PM<br>Peak | 2        | 7.64%  | 11.11% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.27% | 1.08% | 3.02% | 5.31% | 75.00%  | 5.13% | 0.00% | 9.21%  |
|            | 3        | 7.41%  | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 4.35% | 4.71% | 0.00%   | 2.37% | 0.00% | 1.57%  |
|            | 4        | 10.75% | 0.00%  | 16.67% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.49% | 2.49% | 3.20% | 100.00% | 2.25% | 0.00% | 11.40% |
|            | Average  | 8.69%  | 2.78%  | 4.17%  | 1.09% | 0.00% | 0.57% | 0.64% | 3.07% | 3.31% | 47.92%  | 3.80% | 1.85% | 6.49%  |

Before Study (February 22 & February 23, 2017)

Table H-3.34 Truck Percentages at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Period   | Interval       | NB           |              |              | SB            |              |              | EB            |               |               | WB           |               |               | Ave           |
|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|          |                | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left          | Thru         | Right        | Left          | Thru          | Right         | Left         | Thru          | Right         |               |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 0.00%        | 4.00%        | 0.00%        | 8.24%         | 3.59%        | 2.22%        | 10.26%        | 13.04%        | 0.00%         | 1.59%        | 8.33%         | 6.54%         | 4.82%         |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 2.99%        | 0.00%        | 7.41%         | 2.83%        | 4.23%        | 25.49%        | 15.15%        | 0.00%         | 0.96%        | 9.91%         | 7.45%         | 6.37%         |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 2.97%        | 6.67%        | 10.00%        | 0.74%        | 0.00%        | 6.67%         | 16.28%        | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 11.43%        | 12.12%        | 5.57%         |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 2.50%        | 0.00%        | 6.61%         | 0.00%        | 2.78%        | 0.00%         | 18.81%        | 0.00%         | 4.65%        | 9.90%         | 11.26%        | 4.71%         |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>3.11%</b> | <b>1.67%</b> | <b>8.07%</b>  | <b>1.79%</b> | <b>2.31%</b> | <b>10.60%</b> | <b>15.82%</b> | <b>0.00%</b>  | <b>1.80%</b> | <b>9.89%</b>  | <b>9.34%</b>  | <b>5.37%</b>  |
| Off Peak | 1              | 0.00%        | 3.09%        | 0.00%        | 10.67%        | 0.00%        | 6.52%        | 5.71%         | 16.87%        | 0.00%         | 7.32%        | 15.70%        | 11.61%        | 6.46%         |
|          | 2              | 8.33%        | 2.78%        | 0.00%        | 13.04%        | 1.92%        | 0.00%        | 12.20%        | 20.69%        | 12.50%        | 5.41%        | 16.05%        | 15.44%        | 9.03%         |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 2.83%        | 3.33%        | 10.91%        | 0.00%        | 5.26%        | 10.71%        | 22.89%        | 100.00%       | 9.38%        | 18.18%        | 9.52%         | 16.09%        |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 2.27%        | 5.26%        | 17.93%        | 1.33%        | 9.43%        | 22.73%        | 22.99%        | 0.00%         | 12.50%       | 20.48%        | 12.93%        | 10.66%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>2.08%</b> | <b>2.74%</b> | <b>2.15%</b> | <b>13.14%</b> | <b>0.81%</b> | <b>5.30%</b> | <b>12.84%</b> | <b>20.86%</b> | <b>28.13%</b> | <b>8.65%</b> | <b>17.60%</b> | <b>12.38%</b> | <b>10.56%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 4.55%        | 1.60%        | 0.00%        | 5.11%         | 0.85%        | 6.38%        | 5.71%         | 6.56%         | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 9.26%         | 9.09%         | 4.09%         |
|          | 2              | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.86%        | 3.95%         | 1.71%        | 2.04%        | 11.27%        | 1.02%         | 0.00%         | 3.23%        | 8.11%         | 7.29%         | 3.46%         |
|          | 3              | 0.00%        | 0.26%        | 1.96%        | 6.03%         | 0.97%        | 2.44%        | 6.37%         | 3.65%         | 0.00%         | 1.96%        | 4.76%         | 7.30%         | 2.98%         |
|          | 4              | 0.00%        | 0.68%        | 0.00%        | 8.19%         | 0.00%        | 3.03%        | 2.08%         | 4.84%         | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 1.37%         | 7.32%         | 2.29%         |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>1.14%</b> | <b>0.64%</b> | <b>1.20%</b> | <b>5.82%</b>  | <b>0.88%</b> | <b>3.47%</b> | <b>6.36%</b>  | <b>4.02%</b>  | <b>0.00%</b>  | <b>1.30%</b> | <b>5.87%</b>  | <b>7.75%</b>  | <b>3.20%</b>  |

Table H-3.35 Truck Percentages at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Period   | Interval       | NB            |              |               | SB           |              |              | EB           |              |               | WB            |              |              | Ave           |
|----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
|          |                | Left          | Thru         | Right         | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru         | Right         | Left          | Thru         | Right        |               |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 8.50%         | 17.65%       | 50.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 13.64%       | 0.00%        | 7.69%        | 11.66%        | 0.00%         | 10.85%       | 0.00%        | 10.00%        |
|          | 2              | 6.61%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.13%        | 5.26%        | 6.09%        | 7.96%         | 0.00%         | 2.39%        | 7.14%        | 3.38%         |
|          | 3              | 6.72%         | 0.00%        | 20.00%        | 25.00%       | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.70%        | 7.04%        | 13.13%        | 71.43%        | 7.51%        | 10.00%       | 13.71%        |
|          | 4              | 12.83%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 11.11%       | 3.70%        | 3.45%        | 10.53%       | 9.25%         | 16.67%        | 11.02%       | 8.33%        | 7.24%         |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>8.66%</b>  | <b>4.41%</b> | <b>17.50%</b> | <b>6.25%</b> | <b>2.78%</b> | <b>5.62%</b> | <b>3.10%</b> | <b>7.84%</b> | <b>10.50%</b> | <b>22.02%</b> | <b>7.95%</b> | <b>6.37%</b> | <b>8.58%</b>  |
| Off Peak | 1              | 16.94%        | 0.00%        | 20.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.70%        | 8.82%        | 7.19%        | 17.65%        | 0.00%         | 6.96%        | 0.00%        | 6.77%         |
|          | 2              | 17.02%        | 14.29%       | 100.00%       | 0.00%        | 7.14%        | 0.00%        | 1.92%        | 5.00%        | 12.94%        | 0.00%         | 11.49%       | 0.00%        | 14.15%        |
|          | 3              | 17.32%        | 7.69%        | 100.00%       | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.23%        | 2.63%        | 10.64%       | 18.75%        | 100.00%       | 8.21%        | 0.00%        | 22.37%        |
|          | 4              | 14.81%        | 11.11%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 6.56%        | 12.62%       | 13.66%        | 0.00%         | 5.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.31%         |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>16.52%</b> | <b>8.27%</b> | <b>55.00%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>1.79%</b> | <b>1.73%</b> | <b>4.98%</b> | <b>8.86%</b> | <b>15.75%</b> | <b>25.00%</b> | <b>7.91%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>12.15%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 8.96%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%         | 4.35%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.44%        | 0.00%         | 16.67%        | 5.43%        | 7.41%        | 3.77%         |
|          | 2              | 7.64%         | 11.11%       | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 2.27%        | 1.08%        | 3.02%        | 5.31%         | 75.00%        | 5.13%        | 0.00%        | 9.21%         |
|          | 3              | 7.41%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 4.35%        | 4.71%         | 0.00%         | 2.37%        | 0.00%        | 1.57%         |
|          | 4              | 10.75%        | 0.00%        | 16.67%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.49%        | 2.49%        | 3.20%         | 100.00%       | 2.25%        | 0.00%        | 11.40%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>8.69%</b>  | <b>2.78%</b> | <b>4.17%</b>  | <b>1.09%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.57%</b> | <b>0.64%</b> | <b>3.07%</b> | <b>3.31%</b>  | <b>47.92%</b> | <b>3.80%</b> | <b>1.85%</b> | <b>6.49%</b>  |

At the N. Broadway intersection, the highest average queue lengths by period and approach are AM – Southbound through and left, Off Peak – Northbound and Eastbound through movements along with Southbound lefts, and PM – Southbound lefts. At the Walmart intersection, the highest average queue lengths by period and approach are Northbound lefts across all time periods. Northbound left turns at Walmart are the only turning movements measured on the corridor that have PCE hourly flows over 1000 vehicles per hour (AM and PM). Walmart Northbound left turns have the highest consistent 0.8 or greater values for average queue storage ratios and highest average number of cycles experiencing spillback.

The project location experiences high percentages of heavy vehicle movements. At North Broadway, the Off Peak time period experienced the highest heavy vehicle percentage of 10.56%. Almost 21% of all vehicles heading Eastbound through the intersection were heavy vehicles during Off Peak times. The highest percentage of heavy vehicles at Walmart was 12.15% during Off Peak. More than 16% of all vehicles turning left on to West Van Fleet were heavy vehicles during Off Peak. The time of day is correlated with heavy vehicle percentages. During Off Peak times, the percentage of heavy vehicles increases in almost every direction of each intersection, suggesting that deliveries of goods may be done during this time.

On average, rain increased corridor travel time by 0.2 minutes Eastbound, but reduced corridor travel time by 0.2 minutes Westbound. Rain affected Eastbound left turns at East Van fleet with higher delays in Off Peak and PM times. Westbound delay at Northbound left turns at North Holland Pkwy increased during rain in the AM but decreased in the PM. Westbound right turns at West Van fleet experienced decreased delay with rain.

*After Study (March 28 & March 29, 2017)*

Table H-3.36 Truck Percentages at N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study

| Period  | Interval | NB    |       |        | SB     |        |        | EB    |        |       | WB    |        |        | Average |
|---------|----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
|         |          | Left  | Thru  | Right  | Left   | Thru   | Right  | Left  | Thru   | Right | Left  | Thru   | Right  |         |
| AM Peak | 1        | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 6.45%  | 14.66% | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 14.52% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 9.02%  | 9.09%  | 4.48%   |
|         | 2        | 0.00% | 0.85% | 5.00%  | 8.59%  | 8.59%  | 10.00% | 3.45% | 15.69% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 11.35% | 9.02%  | 6.04%   |
|         | 3        | 0.00% | 0.00% | 20.00% | 17.89% | 1.56%  | 0.00%  | 6.15% | 13.04% | 9.09% | 8.00% | 10.24% | 6.62%  | 7.72%   |
|         | 4        | 0.00% | 2.75% | 10.00% | 19.59% | 1.89%  | 7.41%  | 0.00% | 13.83% | 0.00% | 2.63% | 13.85% | 10.91% | 6.90%   |

| Period   | Interval | NB    |       |        | SB     |       |        | EB     |        |        | WB    |        |        | Average |
|----------|----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
|          |          | Left  | Thru  | Right  | Left   | Thru  | Right  | Left   | Thru   | Right  | Left  | Thru   | Right  |         |
|          | Average  | 0.00% | 0.90% | 8.75%  | 13.13% | 6.67% | 4.35%  | 2.40%  | 14.27% | 2.27%  | 2.66% | 11.11% | 8.91%  | 6.29%   |
| Off Peak | 1        | 4.55% | 2.99% | 0.00%  | 0.00%  | 3.64% | 12.50% | 3.70%  | 8.93%  | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 26.12% | 15.60% | 6.50%   |
|          | 2        | 6.67% | 4.08% | 10.34% | 16.67% | 0.00% | 5.41%  | 5.00%  | 20.63% | 0.00%  | 4.35% | 28.43% | 19.26% | 10.07%  |
|          | 3        | 0.00% | 0.81% | 0.00%  | 16.55% | 5.19% | 8.33%  | 20.00% | 20.54% | 12.50% | 0.00% | 18.64% | 15.89% | 9.87%   |
|          | 4        | 4.55% | 1.82% | 0.00%  | 9.59%  | 5.15% | 20.00% | 2.22%  | 13.04% | 0.00%  | 4.55% | 15.12% | 13.77% | 7.48%   |
|          | Average  | 3.94% | 2.42% | 2.59%  | 10.70% | 3.50% | 11.56% | 7.73%  | 15.79% | 3.13%  | 2.22% | 22.08% | 16.13% | 8.48%   |
| PM Peak  | 1        | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 5.79%  | 1.72% | 8.20%  | 8.20%  | 7.69%  | 14.29% | 4.35% | 7.76%  | 8.04%  | 5.50%   |
|          | 2        | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.13%  | 5.29%  | 0.92% | 1.15%  | 5.26%  | 6.72%  | 0.00%  | 2.78% | 10.61% | 12.04% | 3.91%   |
|          | 3        | 0.00% | 0.00% | 3.70%  | 3.11%  | 0.00% | 5.36%  | 3.70%  | 5.75%  | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 7.01%  | 5.56%  | 2.85%   |
|          | 4        | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00%  | 1.02%  | 0.94% | 2.90%  | 0.00%  | 3.70%  | 0.00%  | 0.00% | 8.55%  | 5.69%  | 1.90%   |
|          | Average  | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.46%  | 3.80%  | 0.90% | 4.40%  | 4.29%  | 5.97%  | 3.57%  | 1.78% | 8.48%  | 7.83%  | 3.54%   |

Table H-3.37 Truck Percentages at Walmart Access Rd. and E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study

| Period   | Interval       | NB            |              |               | SB           |              |              | EB           |               |               | WB            |              |              | Average      |
|----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|          |                | Left          | Thru         | Right         | Left         | Thru         | Right        | Left         | Thru          | Right         | Left          | Thru         | Right        |              |
| AM Peak  | 1              | 8.00%         | 0.00%        | 58.33%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 8.33%        | 7.14%         | 15.12%        | 0.00%         | 3.15%        | 0.00%        | 8.34%        |
|          | 2              | 5.77%         | 0.00%        | 37.50%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 8.47%         | 10.50%        | 100.00%       | 6.60%        | 0.00%        | 14.07%       |
|          | 3              | 9.41%         | 0.00%        | 25.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 5.26%         | 8.38%         | 0.00%         | 4.38%        | 0.00%        | 4.37%        |
|          | 4              | 9.95%         | 0.00%        | 20.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 7.01%         | 17.39%        | 0.00%         | 5.74%        | 0.00%        | 5.01%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>8.28%</b>  | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>35.21%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>2.08%</b> | <b>6.97%</b>  | <b>12.85%</b> | <b>25.00%</b> | <b>4.97%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>7.95%</b> |
| Off Peak | 1              | 13.59%        | 0.00%        | 33.33%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 12.27%        | 17.59%        | 0.00%         | 9.09%        | 5.88%        | 7.65%        |
|          | 2              | 14.12%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 11.27%        | 15.65%        | 0.00%         | 6.92%        | 0.00%        | 4.00%        |
|          | 3              | 17.65%        | 10.00%       | 33.33%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 10.17%        | 15.91%        | 0.00%         | 9.63%        | 7.14%        | 8.65%        |
|          | 4              | 14.01%        | 0.00%        | 28.57%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.96%        | 2.08%        | 14.29%        | 21.71%        | 25.00%        | 8.21%        | 0.00%        | 9.65%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>14.84%</b> | <b>2.50%</b> | <b>23.81%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.49%</b> | <b>0.52%</b> | <b>12.00%</b> | <b>17.71%</b> | <b>6.25%</b>  | <b>8.46%</b> | <b>3.26%</b> | <b>7.49%</b> |
| PM Peak  | 1              | 17.46%        | 6.67%        | 0.00%         | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.67%        | 7.05%         | 12.50%        | 0.00%         | 4.35%        | 0.00%        | 4.14%        |
|          | 2              | 11.89%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%         | 3.57%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 1.64%        | 6.12%         | 9.05%         | 100.00%       | 5.00%        | 5.26%        | 11.88%       |
|          | 3              | 9.04%         | 0.00%        | 50.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.63%         | 8.91%         | 0.00%         | 1.18%        | 0.00%        | 6.06%        |
|          | 4              | 7.73%         | 0.00%        | 20.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 0.00%        | 3.02%         | 7.41%         | 40.00%        | 7.75%        | 0.00%        | 7.16%        |
|          | <b>Average</b> | <b>11.53%</b> | <b>1.67%</b> | <b>17.50%</b> | <b>0.89%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.00%</b> | <b>0.83%</b> | <b>4.95%</b>  | <b>9.47%</b>  | <b>35.00%</b> | <b>4.57%</b> | <b>1.32%</b> | <b>7.31%</b> |



*Before Study (February 22nd & 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2017)*

Table H-3.38 Traffic Vol. (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Time Period |           | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 19   | 104     | 6     | 197  | 173     | 46    | 43   | 156     | 5     | 64   | 143     | 228   |
|             | 2         | 9    | 138     | 12    | 232  | 254     | 74    | 64   | 114     | 2     | 105  | 122     | 173   |
|             | 3         | 10   | 104     | 16    | 165  | 136     | 50    | 48   | 100     | 3     | 57   | 117     | 148   |
|             | 4         | 6    | 82      | 11    | 129  | 88      | 37    | 51   | 120     | 6     | 45   | 111     | 168   |
|             | Flow Rate | 44   | 428     | 45    | 723  | 651     | 207   | 206  | 490     | 16    | 271  | 493     | 717   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 11   | 100     | 23    | 197  | 102     | 49    | 37   | 97      | 3     | 44   | 140     | 173   |
|             | 2         | 13   | 111     | 25    | 130  | 53      | 26    | 46   | 105     | 9     | 39   | 94      | 157   |
|             | 3         | 4    | 109     | 31    | 122  | 62      | 20    | 31   | 102     | 2     | 35   | 130     | 138   |
|             | 4         | 14   | 135     | 20    | 171  | 76      | 58    | 54   | 107     | 4     | 27   | 100     | 131   |
|             | Flow Rate | 42   | 455     | 99    | 620  | 293     | 153   | 168  | 411     | 18    | 145  | 464     | 599   |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 23   | 190     | 35    | 185  | 118     | 50    | 74   | 130     | 5     | 55   | 177     | 144   |
|             | 2         | 32   | 236     | 36    | 184  | 119     | 50    | 79   | 99      | 3     | 32   | 160     | 206   |
|             | 3         | 27   | 191     | 26    | 185  | 105     | 42    | 84   | 142     | 3     | 26   | 154     | 191   |
|             | 4         | 21   | 147     | 17    | 185  | 90      | 34    | 98   | 195     | 3     | 20   | 148     | 176   |
|             | Flow Rate | 103  | 764     | 114   | 739  | 432     | 176   | 335  | 566     | 14    | 133  | 639     | 717   |

Table H-3.39 Traffic Vol. (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive – before Study

| Time Period     |              | NB       |             |           | SB       |             |           | EB       |             |           | WB       |             |           |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
|                 |              | Left     | Throug<br>h | Righ<br>t | Lef<br>t | Throug<br>h | Righ<br>t | Lef<br>t | Throug<br>h | Righ<br>t | Lef<br>t | Throug<br>h | Righ<br>t |
| AM<br>Pea<br>k  | 1            | 217      | 20          | 18        | 4        | 4           | 25        | 16       | 140         | 182       | 0        | 143         | 14        |
|                 | 2            | 258      | 4           | 4         | 10       | 3           | 41        | 20       | 244         | 244       | 2        | 214         | 15        |
|                 | 3            | 286      | 9           | 6         | 5        | 7           | 22        | 28       | 213         | 293       | 12       | 186         | 11        |
|                 | 4            | 255      | 4           | 0         | 14       | 10          | 28        | 30       | 189         | 248       | 7        | 141         | 13        |
|                 | Flow<br>Rate | 101<br>6 | 37          | 28        | 33       | 24          | 116       | 94       | 786         | 967       | 21       | 684         | 53        |
| Off<br>Pea<br>k | 1            | 214      | 4           | 6         | 21       | 10          | 28        | 37       | 164         | 240       | 1        | 123         | 12        |
|                 | 2            | 220      | 8           | 2         | 12       | 15          | 25        | 53       | 189         | 192       | 2        | 165         | 15        |
|                 | 3            | 210      | 14          | 2         | 11       | 10          | 32        | 39       | 156         | 171       | 4        | 145         | 20        |
|                 | 4            | 155      | 10          | 2         | 17       | 12          | 51        | 65       | 116         | 208       | 5        | 147         | 24        |
|                 | Flow<br>Rate | 799      | 36          | 12        | 61       | 47          | 136       | 194      | 625         | 811       | 12       | 580         | 71        |
| PM<br>Pea<br>k  | 1            | 231      | 12          | 14        | 24       | 17          | 41        | 65       | 168         | 1         | 7        | 194         | 29        |
|                 | 2            | 310      | 10          | 20        | 26       | 16          | 45        | 94       | 205         | 337       | 7        | 205         | 33        |
|                 | 3            | 261      | 8           | 7         | 28       | 14          | 53        | 55       | 288         | 267       | 3        | 216         | 26        |
|                 | 4            | 237      | 4           | 7         | 32       | 8           | 56        | 68       | 206         | 290       | 2        | 227         | 22        |
|                 | Flow<br>Rate | 103<br>9 | 34          | 48        | 110      | 55          | 195       | 282      | 867         | 895       | 19       | 842         | 110       |

After Study (March 28 & March 29, 2017)

Table H-3.40 Traffic Vol. (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive – after Study

| Time Period |           | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 10   | 131     | 10    | 33   | 133     | 7     | 45   | 142     | 7     | 19   | 133     | 120   |
|             | 2         | 8    | 118     | 21    | 139  | 177     | 44    | 60   | 118     | 14    | 35   | 157     | 133   |
|             | 3         | 8    | 108     | 6     | 112  | 130     | 48    | 69   | 104     | 12    | 54   | 140     | 145   |
|             | 4         | 13   | 112     | 11    | 116  | 108     | 29    | 53   | 107     | 1     | 39   | 74      | 122   |
|             | Flow Rate | 39   | 469     | 48    | 400  | 548     | 128   | 227  | 471     | 34    | 147  | 504     | 520   |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 23   | 138     | 38    | 21   | 342     | 27    | 56   | 122     | 6     | 84   | 507     | 378   |
|             | 2         | 16   | 102     | 32    | 266  | 144     | 78    | 42   | 152     | 6     | 48   | 262     | 322   |
|             | 3         | 17   | 124     | 30    | 324  | 162     | 52    | 42   | 135     | 9     | 66   | 140     | 350   |
|             | 4         | 23   | 112     | 22    | 320  | 204     | 60    | 46   | 104     | 8     | 46   | 198     | 314   |
|             | Flow Rate | 79   | 476     | 122   | 931  | 852     | 217   | 186  | 513     | 29    | 244  | 1107    | 1364  |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 14   | 184     | 27    | 256  | 118     | 66    | 66   | 140     | 8     | 24   | 125     | 215   |
|             | 2         | 16   | 210     | 48    | 219  | 110     | 88    | 80   | 127     | 6     | 37   | 198     | 242   |
|             | 3         | 20   | 252     | 28    | 199  | 81      | 59    | 112  | 184     | 2     | 21   | 168     | 247   |
|             | 4         | 34   | 181     | 27    | 198  | 107     | 71    | 99   | 168     | 11    | 33   | 165     | 223   |
|             | Flow Rate | 84   | 827     | 130   | 872  | 416     | 284   | 357  | 619     | 27    | 115  | 656     | 927   |

Table H-3.41 Traffic Vol. (pce/15 min) and Traffic Flow (pce/hour) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive – After Study

| Time Period |           | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|-----------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             |           | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | 1         | 243  | 0       | 19    | 16   | 8       | 28    | 13   | 150     | 198   | 4    | 262     | 12    |
|             | 2         | 275  | 6       | 11    | 14   | 6       | 20    | 10   | 192     | 200   | 4    | 452     | 16    |
|             | 3         | 221  | 0       | 10    | 0    | 2       | 18    | 26   | 140     | 207   | 10   | 286     | 14    |
|             | 4         | 232  | 3       | 6     | 18   | 4       | 32    | 25   | 168     | 189   | 12   | 258     | 18    |
|             | Flow Rate | 971  | 9       | 46    | 48   | 20      | 98    | 74   | 650     | 794   | 30   | 1258    | 60    |
| Off Peak    | 1         | 209  | 1       | 16    | 4    | 1       | 19    | 30   | 183     | 234   | 3    | 120     | 18    |
|             | 2         | 194  | 7       | 5     | 13   | 7       | 29    | 32   | 158     | 170   | 1    | 139     | 18    |
|             | 3         | 200  | 11      | 4     | 10   | 6       | 30    | 39   | 130     | 204   | 7    | 148     | 15    |
|             | 4         | 236  | 10      | 9     | 17   | 16      | 52    | 49   | 128     | 185   | 10   | 145     | 14    |
|             | Flow Rate | 839  | 29      | 34    | 44   | 30      | 130   | 150  | 599     | 793   | 21   | 552     | 65    |
| PM Peak     | 1         | 222  | 16      | 12    | 25   | 11      | 30    | 61   | 167     | 189   | 5    | 144     | 12    |
|             | 2         | 160  | 17      | 8     | 29   | 15      | 51    | 62   | 208     | 217   | 8    | 168     | 20    |
|             | 3         | 193  | 15      | 6     | 30   | 11      | 61    | 58   | 257     | 220   | 5    | 171     | 18    |
|             | 4         | 195  | 9       | 6     | 20   | 11      | 44    | 85   | 205     | 261   | 7    | 153     | 24    |
|             | Flow Rate | 770  | 57      | 32    | 104  | 48      | 186   | 266  | 837     | 887   | 25   | 636     | 74    |

### Comparisons of Before and After Flows

The differences in traffic flow between the before and after measurements are shown in Table H-3.42 and Table H-3.43. The tables are color-coded as follows: green shows significant decrease, yellow shows modest change (either improvement or deterioration), and red shows significant deterioration in delay. Several gradations of each color are used to represent different variations within each classification.

Table H-3.42 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | -5   | 41      | 3     | -323 | -103    | -79   | 21   | -19     | 18    | -124 | 11      | -197  |
| Off Peak    | 37   | 21      | 23    | 311  | 559     | 64    | 18   | 102     | 11    | 99   | 643     | 765   |
| PM Peak     | -19  | 63      | 16    | 134  | -16     | 108   | 23   | 53      | 13    | -18  | 17      | 210   |

Note: The volumes recorded at N. Broadway during the before trip were during an all-day rain event

Table H-3.43 Difference in Traffic Flow Rate (pce/hr) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | NB   |         |       | SB   |         |       | EB   |         |       | WB   |         |       |
|-------------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|             | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| AM Peak     | -45  | -28     | 18    | 15   | -4      | -18   | -20  | -136    | -173  | 9    | 574     | 7     |
| Off Peak    | 40   | -7      | 22    | -17  | -17     | -6    | -44  | -26     | -18   | 9    | -28     | -6    |
| PM Peak     | -269 | 23      | -16   | -6   | -7      | -9    | -16  | -30     | -8    | 6    | -206    | -36   |

### Discussion

The following are concluded from the comparison of traffic flows:

- At N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive, the comparison is between a rainy day with no InSync and a sunny day with InSync. With the InSync installation, the volumes traveling through the intersection are lower during the AM peak and much higher during the off-peak, especially the WB through, WB right, and SB left movements.
- At the Walmart Access and E. Van Fleet Drive, volumes are similar between the before and after study, except for reductions in the EB through and right movements and an increase in the WB through movement during the AM peak.

### H.3.6. Consideration of Traffic Flows Jointly with Queue Length

The differences in “Traffic Flow” and “Queue Length” between before and after measurements are shown in Table H-3.44 and Table H-3.46. The tables are color-coded as follows: green indicates that “Queue” decreases and “Traffic Flow” increases, red indicates “Queue” increases and “Traffic Flow” decreases, no color indicates “Traffic Flow” and “Queue” increase or decrease at the same time. Generally, green indicates improvement despite an increase in flow.

Table H-3.44 Differences in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | Northbound (Side) |     |      |     |       |      | Southbound (Main) |      |      |      |       |      | Eastbound (Side) |      |      |     |       |     | Westbound (Main) |      |      |     |       |      |
|-------------|-------------------|-----|------|-----|-------|------|-------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------------------|------|------|-----|-------|-----|------------------|------|------|-----|-------|------|
|             | Left              |     | Thru |     | Right |      | Left              |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left             |      | Thru |     | Right |     | Left             |      | Thru |     | Right |      |
|             | TF                | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q    | TF                | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF               | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q   | TF               | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q    |
| AM Peak     | -5                | 0.5 | 41   | 0.4 | 3     | -0.2 | -323              | -1.6 | -103 | 1.8  | -79   | -0.5 | 21               | -0.1 | -19  | 0.5 | 18    | 0.2 | -124             | -0.4 | 11   | 1.0 | -197  | -1.2 |
| Off Peak    | 37                | 0.1 | 21   | 0.4 | 23    | 0.2  | 311               | 4.9  | 559  | 0.8  | 64    | 0.0  | 18               | -0.1 | 102  | 0.4 | 11    | 0.1 | 99               | 0.1  | 643  | 3.1 | 765   | 3.3  |
| PM Peak     | -19               | 0.1 | 63   | 3.1 | 16    | -0.6 | 134               | -1.0 | -16  | -1.1 | 108   | -0.4 | 23               | 0.0  | 53   | 1.0 | 13    | 0.1 | -18              | -0.4 | 17   | 0.4 | 210   | -0.2 |

Table H-3.45 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q), N. Broadway Ave. & E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | NB (Side) |     |      |     |       |      | SB (Main) |      |      |      |       |      | EB (Side) |     |      |     |       |      | WB (Main) |      |      |      |       |      |
|-------------|-----------|-----|------|-----|-------|------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|------|-----------|-----|------|-----|-------|------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|------|
|             | Left      |     | Thru |     | Right |      | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left      |     | Thru |     | Right |      | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |      |
|             | TF        | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q    | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF        | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q    | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    |
| AM Peak     | -11%      | 78% | 10%  | 11% | 7%    | -39% | -45%      | -22% | -16% | 26%  | -38%  | -65% | 10%       | -3% | -4%  | 14% | 113%  | 202% | -46%      | -9%  | 2%   | 23%  | -27%  | -39% |
| Off Peak    | 88%       | 17% | 5%   | 14% | 23%   | 186% | 50%       | 145% | 191% | 32%  | 42%   | 5%   | 11%       | -7% | 25%  | 17% | 61%   | 72%  | 68%       | 4%   | 139% | 178% | 128%  | 187% |
| PM Peak     | -18%      | 2%  | 8%   | 57% | 14%   | -68% | 18%       | -13% | -4%  | -20% | 61%   | 78%  | 7%        | 4%  | 9%   | 22% | 93%   | 67%  | -14%      | -16% | 3%   | 10%  | 29%   | -8%  |

Table H-3.46 Difference in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | NB (Side) |      |      |      |       |     | SB (Side) |      |      |      |       |      | EB (Main) |      |      |      |       |     | WB (Main) |     |      |     |       |     |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|-----|-----------|------|------|------|-------|------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|-----|-----------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|
|             | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |     | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |     | Left      |     | Thru |     | Right |     |
|             | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q   | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q   | TF        | Q   | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q   |
| AM Peak     | -45       | -0.8 | -28  | -0.5 | 18    | 0.0 | 15        | 0.1  | -4   | -0.3 | -18   | -0.9 | -20       | -0.3 | -136 | -0.9 | -173  | 0.0 | 9         | 1.1 | 574  | 0.2 | 7     | 0.1 |
| Off Peak    | 40        | -1.4 | -7   | 0.4  | 22    | 0.0 | -17       | -0.1 | -17  | -0.2 | -6    | -2.0 | -44       | -0.5 | -26  | -1.7 | -18   | 0.0 | 9         | 0.6 | -28  | 0.1 | -6    | 0.0 |

| Time Period | NB (Side) |      |      |     |       |     | SB (Side) |      |      |      |       |      | EB (Main) |     |      |      |       |     | WB (Main) |      |      |      |       |      |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|-----|-------|-----|-----------|------|------|------|-------|------|-----------|-----|------|------|-------|-----|-----------|------|------|------|-------|------|
|             | Left      |      | Thru |     | Right |     | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left      |     | Thru |      | Right |     | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |      |
|             | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q   | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF        | Q   | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q   | T<br>F    | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    |
| PM Peak     | -269      | -3.6 | 23   | 1.0 | -16   | 0.0 | -6        | -0.8 | -7   | -0.5 | -9    | -3.2 | -16       | 0.0 | -30  | -2.4 | -8    | 0.0 | 6         | -0.1 | -206 | -0.1 | -36   | -0.1 |

Table H-3.47 Difference (%) in Traffic Flow (TF) and Queue Length (Q) at Walmart Access Rd. & E. Van Fleet Drive

| Time Period | NB (Side) |      |      |      |       |    | SB (Side) |      |      |      |       |      | EB (Main) |      |      |      |       |    | WB (Main) |      |      |     |       |      |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|----|-----------|------|------|------|-------|------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|----|-----------|------|------|-----|-------|------|
|             | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |    | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |      | Left      |      | Thru |      | Right |    | Left      |      | Thru |     | Right |      |
|             | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q  | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q    | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q    | TF    | Q  | TF        | Q    | TF   | Q   | TF    | Q    |
| AM Peak     | -4%       | -8%  | -76% | -59% | 64%   | 0% | 45%       | 6%   | -17% | -39% | -16%  | -80% | -21%      | -21% | -17% | -32% | -18%  | 0% | 43%       | 328% | 84%  | 6%  | 13%   | 343% |
| Off Peak    | 5%        | -14% | -19% | 71%  | 183%  | 0% | -28%      | -8%  | -36% | -17% | -4%   | -85% | -23%      | -17% | -4%  | -46% | -2%   | 0% | 75%       | 97%  | -5%  | 4%  | -8%   | 26%  |
| PM Peak     | -26%      | -27% | 68%  | 125% | -33%  | 0% | -5%       | -20% | -13% | -26% | -5%   | -69% | -6%       | -1%  | -3%  | -50% | -1%   | 0% | 32%       | -9%  | -24% | -2% | -33%  | -67% |



### *Discussion*

The following can be concluded from the comparison of traffic flows jointly with queue length:

- Generally, queues decreased at several movements at the N. Broadway Ave. and E. Van Fleet Drive intersection, despite increases in volume.
- The intersection of Walmart Access and E. Van Fleet Drive has queue changes correlating with volume changes, with a few exceptions. The NB left turn shows the most improvement, with an increase in volume (5%) and a decrease in queuing (14%).

The main objective of this project is to evaluate the implementation of proposed adaptive signal control technology (ASCT) traffic operations at several arterial corridors in Florida, before and after the installation of the ASCT, document the advantages and the disadvantages of different approaches and implementations, and provide recommendations for statewide implementation of ASCT.

## H.4. Questionnaire

### SECTION 1: RESPONDENT'S INFORMATION

Name **Renjan Joseph**

Organization FDOT

Position Arterial Management System Engineer

Address FDOT District 1 – Traffic Operations 801 N Broadway Ave, P.O. Box -1249

Phone (863) – 519 - 2746

Fax

E-mail Renjan.Joseph@dot.state.fl.us

### SECTION 2: PREVIOUS TRAFFIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

1. Please specify the type of traffic control used before Adaptive Signal Control

Technology (ASCT) was installed for your site. (Please check all that apply.)

a. Fixed time coordinated control

**b. Actuated coordinated control**

c. Fixed-time isolated control

d. Actuated isolated control

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

2. What type of traffic controller was used before ASCT was implemented?

a. NEMA TS-1

**b. NEMA TS-2**

c. 170

d. 2070

e. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

26. How many detectors were used before ASCT was implemented for a typical intersection (e.g., *Newberry Rd & NW 75<sup>th</sup> St*)? Please also specify the location where the detectors were typically placed for each specific detector type (video detection, inductive loops, radars, etc.).

**Detectors at all intersections. The detectors used were radars, videos, and loops.**

27. What was the frequency of retiming the signal timing plan for the corridor before ASCT was implemented?

**Last full time retiming was 2015 after it was done in 2007. Timings not done frequently because some systems do not need it.**

28. How often were maintenance and updates performed to your previous control system in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: **Once a year**
- b. Control Hardware: **Once a year**
- c. Software: **Once a year**

29. What was the annual maintenance cost in terms of hardware, software and personnel of the previous control system for the whole corridor where the ASCT is now deployed?

**The maintenance cost for the 5 intersections in the Bartow system was approximately \$6025 in 2015**

30. What was the life expectancy of your traffic control before the deployment of ASCT? (Please refer to the hardware and software.)

**The controllers are usually not replaced based on a new project**

31. How many crashes of each category were reported during the past 4 years before the ASCT implementation? (2011-2014)

|      | K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2011 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2012 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2013 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |
| 2014 |               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

### **SECTION 3: ADAPTIVE TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM (ASCT)**

9. Which Adaptive Traffic Control System (ASCT) does your agency deploy?

p. **InSync; InSync was initially used, then updated to InTraffic in January 2017**

q. Synchro Green; Version: \_\_\_\_\_

r. Other; please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

40. Did you consider any other ASCT before you selected this one for installation? Why did you reject the other(s) in favor of this one?

**There weren't many ASCT so the most common type, InSync, was chosen**

41. What were your major criteria for choosing the ASCT for the selected corridor?

**Complexity of the corridor. Just a test on ASCT because it wasn't popular**

42. What is the life expectancy of your ASCT system? (Consider both hardware and software.)

**1.5 years**

43. What type of traffic controller is currently in use after the ASCT implementation?

- a. Same as before the ASCT implementation
- b. New, please specify type: \_\_\_\_\_
- c. **Same, but the following updates were needed: added backup detection everywhere**

44. How many and what type of detectors are used after the ASCT implementation on an intersection level? Please note any updates that the previous detection system needed so as to work with your ASCT.

Radar(electronics) at all locations. They all InSync camera for queue detection. The outer two intersections have loops and the three intersections in the middle have electronics.

45. Was there a need to update your previous software operating system at your Transportation Management Center in order to get your current ASCT software working?

a. Yes                      **b. No**

41. How often do you plan to perform physical maintenance or updates on your new ASCT in terms of the following components?

- a. Detection: **Annual maintenance program**
- b. ASCT Hardware: **Annual maintenance program**
- c. Software: **Annual maintenance program**

42. Was there a need for training your personnel in order to operate the new ASCT?

- a. **Yes, Num. of employees trained 9, Hours of training per employee 8**
- b. No

43. Was there any change on the number of staff required to operate/maintain the effective signal ASCT operations? (Comparison of staff needed before and after the deployment.)

**No**

44. Where did expertise come from for your personnel's training, the ASCT installation, operation and the system's maintenance? Please check all that apply.

|                   | In-House   | Vendor     | Contractor |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Employee Training |            | <b>yes</b> |            |
| ASCT Installation |            |            | <b>yes</b> |
| ASCT Operation    | <b>yes</b> |            |            |
| ASCT Maintenance  | <b>yes</b> |            |            |

45. How many crashes of each category were reported after ASCT was implemented?

| K<br>(Killed) | A<br>(Disabling<br>Injury) | B<br>(Evident<br>Injury) | C<br>(Possible<br>Injury) | O<br>(No Apparent<br>Injury) |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
|               |                            |                          |                           |                              |

#### SECTION 4: COST COMPONENTS

61. What is the overall capital cost of purchasing the ASCT (in terms of the software and licenses of the ASCT) for the corridor? If the purchase includes any service of implementation, personnel training or maintenance, please also specify here.

**InSync cost: \$186,950, Equipment (Blue Toad): \$51,535, Installation (Blue Toad): \$4867.60, Initial Install (TransCore): \$136,739.43, Wavetronix: \$81,097.58, Add'l equipment: \$60,270.89, Second Install (Transcore): \$136,739.43**

62. What is the implementation cost (considering the installation on site, any updates in software and hardware, design needs and contract hours) for the ASCT corridor? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

**Installation cost for BlueToad and TransCore: 278,336.46**

63. What was total cost for training your personnel to operate and manage the new ASCT? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

**Cost of training was included in the total package**

64. What is the expected maintenance cost (in terms of hardware, software and personnel) for the ASCT corridor on a yearly basis? Please specify if this cost is partially or totally included in previous cost items.

---

---

65. Can you specify the costs for the following ASCT components?

- a. Firmware \$ \_\_\_\_\_ b. Software \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Equipment **\$379,852.89** d. Maintenance of Traffic Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
e. Design Needs \$ \_\_\_\_\_ f. Contract Help/Agency Hours Cost \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**SECTION 5: INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES**

66. Were there any institutional issues that you had to overcome while implementing and operating the ASCT project? Please categorize and explain those issues below.

- a. Organization and Management Institutional Issues:

**Skeptical about the installation of this new ASCT**

- b. Regulatory and Legal Institutional Issues:
- 
- 

- c. Human and Facility Resources Institutional Issues:
- 
- 

- d. Financial Institutional Issues:
- 
- 

67. Which component (e.g., detection, communication, hardware, software, licensing or other) of your ASCT deployment is the most challenging to maintain and why?

**Public perception. Adjusting to the adaptiveness of the signal**

68. What happens to your ASCT operations when some of your detectors fail on the corridor level?

- p. ASCT triggers an alarm and notifies operators
- q. ASCT switches to an “off-line” mode by implementing Time-Of-Day plans
- r. Combination of the above. **d. Other (please describe):**

29. How is your ASCT performance evaluated?

- s. **In-house: done by multiple people**
- t. **By an independent evaluator**, please describe: \_\_\_\_\_
- u. Not applicable—there is no evaluation

30. If the corridor on which the ASCT operates experiences over saturation, how would you rate the operation of the ASCT in response to these traffic conditions?

- z. ASCT prevents or eliminates oversaturation
- aa. ASCT eliminates or reduces the extent of the periods of oversaturation
- bb. ASCT adversely affects the traffic conditions during periods of oversaturation
- cc. **Other: Oversaturation occurs virtually every day in the PM Peak**
- dd. Oversaturation is very rare on the corridors operated by our ASCT

31. Based on your opinion and the up-to-date operation, when are ASCT operations proven to be the most effective?

- a. Peak periods                      **b. Off-peak periods**
- c. Shoulders of peak periods      d. Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

32. Has the level of performance of ASCT been sustained since its installation?

- a. **Yes**              **b. No; why not? It appears inconsistent, however, it has become more consistent after the software update**

43. What was the public reaction to the ASCT operation? Have you received any complaints about long delays and queues?

**Frequent complains after installation initially but complains have reduced in the last 6 months**

44. How satisfied are you, in general, with your ASCT deployment?

- a. Very satisfied                      b. Somewhat satisfied
- c. Neutral**                      d. Somewhat dissatisfied
- e. Not satisfied at all

43. Are there any other costs or benefits related to ASCT deployment that you would like to report?

a. Benefits \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

b. Costs \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

44. Would you consider expanding the ASCT program to any other corridors? Why or why not? If yes, would you use the same technology/firmware or have any suggestions for other systems? If so, why?

**Yes/No. The results from the study will help decide. However, Synchro Green is being tried on a different corridor**

## SECTION 6: SAFETY ISSUES

37. Do you have any anecdotal / qualitative data on safety benefits / disbenefits of the signal improvement along this corridor?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

38. Has there been other changes along this corridor over the analysis period that could affect the safety of this corridor either positively or negatively?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

39. Are you aware of any changes to the crash data reporting procedures over the analysis period? If yes, what are the changes?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

40. What are your overall reactions to the trends presented in the report?



---

---

41. Is there another comparable corridor in which signal improvements have not been made to which the results of this corridor can be compared to?

---

---

42. Other thoughts / comments for us?

---

---

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. Your responses will help us with evaluating the deployment and benefits of your current ASCT.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please contact Marian Ankomah, email: [moankomah@ufl.edu](mailto:moankomah@ufl.edu) or Tyler Valila, email: [tvalila67@ufl.edu](mailto:tvalila67@ufl.edu) who work under the direct supervision of the faculty advisor Dr. Lily Elefteriadou.

## H.5. Benefit-Cost Analysis

Table H-5.1 Monetized Saving

| Monetized Saving            |               |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Time saving                 | -\$401,717.09 |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | -\$60,822.76  |
| Air Pollution Saving        | -\$32,104.06  |
| Safety Saving               | \$472,348.77  |
| Total Saving without Safety | -\$494,643.91 |
| Total Saving                | -\$22,295.14  |
| Total Cost                  | \$482,000.00  |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | -1.026232173  |
| B/C Ratio                   | -0.046255477  |

Table H-5.2 Monetized Saving with No Emissions

| Monetized Saving            |               |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Time saving                 | -\$401,717.09 |
| Fuel Consumption saving     | -\$60,822.76  |
| Safety Saving               | \$472,348.77  |
| Total Saving without Safety | -\$462,539.85 |
| Total Saving                | \$9,808.92    |
| Total Cost                  | \$482,000.00  |
| B/C Ratio without safety    | -0.959626236  |
| B/C Ratio                   | 0.020350459   |

## APPENDIX I: Time Taken to Add Crash Data

To examine the time taken for most crashes to get added to the system, we examined the data between January and November 2017 for all the corridors and for the counties to which these corridors belong. Tables I-1 and I-2 present the number of days taken to incorporate 90% and 95% of all crashes into the system. The time taken is calculated as the number of days between the date the crash shows up in Signal Four and the date of the crash. Results are presented separately for long-form and short-form crashes.

Table I-1 Days to Incorporate 90% and 95% of Crashes on the Corridors

| Corridor                        | Long Form |     |     | Short Form |     |     |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|
|                                 | Total     | 90% | 95% | Total      | 90% | 95% |
| US-17/92                        | 62        | 27  | 39  | 56         | 37  | 39  |
| Newberry Road                   | 356       | 20  | 27  | 37         | 16  | 18  |
| Panama City Beach (PCB) Parkway | 219       | 15  | 27  | 535        | 12  | 24  |
| 23rd Street                     | 164       | 14  | 26  | 153        | 21  | 28  |
| University Parkway              | 137       | 13  | 15  | 54         | 10  | 11  |
| Bartow Corridor                 | 66        | 28  | 33  | 43         | 13  | 19  |
| SR-693                          | 259       | 18  | 30  | 196        | 15  | 20  |
| SR-70                           | 649       | 12  | 19  | 276        | 12  | 23  |

Table I-2 Days to Incorporate 90% and 95% of Crashes in the Counties

| County  | Long Form |     |     | Short Form |     |     |
|---------|-----------|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|
|         | Total     | 90% | 95% | Total      | 90% | 95% |
| Volusia | 8,609     | 19  | 28  | 4,529      | 21  | 27  |
| Alachua | 4,150     | 16  | 30  | 417        | 14  | 20  |
| Bay     | 4,343     | 13  | 23  | 3,422      | 13  | 24  |

| County   | Long Form |     |     | Short Form |     |     |
|----------|-----------|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|
|          | Total     | 90% | 95% | Total      | 90% | 95% |
| Sarasota | 6,893     | 18  | 29  | 4,077      | 20  | 30  |
| Polk     | 10,967    | 21  | 29  | 4,381      | 20  | 29  |
| Pinellas | 21,822    | 24  | 34  | 5,433      | 23  | 33  |
| Manatee  | 6,750     | 16  | 30  | 2,042      | 18  | 35  |

## APPENDIX J: Monthly Variation of Long and Short Form Crashes

To examine the issue of crash reporting practices not changed over the analysis period, we looked at the monthly variations in the proportion of long- and short- form crashes over the period January 2013 – November 2017 in the counties of interest (Figures J-1 through J-7).




Figure J-1 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Volusia County




Figure J-2 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Alachua County




Figure J-3 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Bay County




Figure J-4 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Sarasota County




Figure J-5 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Polk County




Figure J-6 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Pinellas County




Figure J-7 Proportion of short form crashes to long form crashes in Manatee County



## APPENDIX K: Historical AADT for Each Corridor

As stated earlier in the document, the AADT was obtained from the FDOT Florida Traffic online. The AADT for the corridors obtained were from years 2013-2017. Each corridor is made up of at least one segment. Therefore, the AADT for each segment of the selected corridors were obtained (see Figure K-1) and the total AADT for the corridor (see Table K-1) for each year was calculated based on the length of the segment. The formula used to calculate the AADT is shown below:

$$\frac{\sum (\text{Segment Shape Length} \times \text{AADT of segment})}{\sum \text{Segment Shape Length}}$$

| CORRIDOR           | COUNTY NAME | DESC FRM             | DESC TO              | AADT 2013 | AADT 2014 | AADT 2015 | AADT 2016 | AADT 2017 | Shape Length |
|--------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| BARTOW             | POLK        | SR 60/VAN FLEET DR   | LYLE PKWY            | 40000     | 33000     | 38000     | 39000     | 35800     | 980.03       |
| BARTOW             | POLK        | SR 60                | SR 555/700,US17/98   | 18900     | 19300     | 19400     | 19900     | 22000     | 300.20       |
| BARTOW             | POLK        | BROADWAY AVE         | SR 60                | 34000     | 36500     | 40000     | 42000     | 40500     | 984.99       |
| SR 693             | PINELLAS    | 40TH AVE N           | PARK BLVD N          | 38500     | 39500     | 41000     | 39000     | 40000     | 3429.54      |
| SR 693             | PINELLAS    | CR-346/126 AVE N     | CR-376/142 AVE N     | 27500     | 27500     | 31000     | 31500     | 32500     | 1605.81      |
| SR 693             | PINELLAS    | N/A                  | CR-346/126 AVE N     | 37500     | 37000     | 38000     | 33000     | 34000     | 1602.69      |
| SR 693             | PINELLAS    | CR-694/PARK BLVD     | N/A                  | 36000     | 36500     | 37000     | 36000     | 37000     | 3628.06      |
| US 17/92           | VOLUSIA     | E TAYLOR RD          | E BERESFORD AVE      | 27000     | 27500     | 23500     | 33500     | 30000     | 1648.40      |
| US 17/92           | VOLUSIA     | N/A                  | E TAYLOR RD          | 45000     | 42000     | 44000     | 47000     | 47500     | 3199.91      |
| 23RD STREET        | BAY         | CR-2341/JENKS AVE    | SR-77/MLK BLVD       | 0         | 26500     | 31000     | 34000     | 34000     | 1214.22      |
| 23RD STREET        | BAY         | STATE AVE            | CR-2341/JENKS AVE    | 36000     | 35000     | 36000     | 36500     | 36500     | 399.82       |
| 23RD STREET        | BAY         | SR-391/AIRPORT RD    | STATE AVE            | 31000     | 31500     | 31000     | 33000     | 34500     | 1173.95      |
| 23RD STREET        | BAY         | CR-385/FRANKFORD AVE | SR-391/AIRPORT RD    | 30000     | 30000     | 31000     | 31000     | 32000     | 1252.98      |
| PCB PARKWAY        | BAY         | SR-30/FRONT BEACH RD | BULLNOSE AT 46160000 | 37500     | 37500     | 40500     | 40500     | 36200     | 474.02       |
| PCB PARKWAY        | BAY         | PHYSICAL GORE        | US-98/SR-30          | 22700     | 23800     | 25500     | 24500     | 21300     | 500.25       |
| PCB PARKWAY        | BAY         | R JACKSON BLVD       | N/A                  | 37500     | 37500     | 40500     | 40500     | 39500     | 4259.78      |
| PCB PARKWAY        | BAY         | HILL RD              | R JACKSON BLVD       | 43000     | 46500     | 46500     | 49000     | 54000     | 5457.04      |
| PCB PARKWAY        | BAY         | SR-79                | HILL RD              | 39000     | 45500     | 46000     | 45000     | 46500     | 2915.98      |
| PCB PARKWAY        | BAY         | BULLNOSE OF 46160104 | CR-3031/THOMAS DR    | 51500     | 53000     | 53500     | 53000     | 54000     | 669.97       |
| PCB PARKWAY        | BAY         | CR-3031/THOMAS DR    | BULLNOSE OF BRIDGE   | 55000     | 58000     | 58500     | 58500     | 58500     | 2490.58      |
| UNIVERSITY PARKWAY | SARASOTA    | HONORE AVE           | OFF 17075003         | 53000     | 54000     | 58000     | 60000     | 47500     | 1673.75      |
| UNIVERSITY PARKWAY | SARASOTA    | LOCKWOOD RIDGE RD    | HONORE AVE           | 51000     | 52000     | 57000     | 59000     | 48500     | 4137.63      |
| UNIVERSITY PARKWAY | SARASOTA    | N/A                  | LOCKWOOD RIDGE RD    | 37500     | 38500     | 43500     | 45500     | 42500     | 2394.66      |
| UNIVERSITY PARKWAY | SARASOTA    | N/A                  | N/A                  | 28000     | 28000     | 30000     | 31000     | 29000     | 1986.59      |
| UNIVERSITY PARKWAY | SARASOTA    | SR45/US41/TAMIAMI T  | N/A                  | 21000     | 21000     | 22000     | 23000     | 21500     | 973.92       |
| UNIVERSITY PARKWAY | MANATEE     | I 75 RAMPS           | LAKEWOOD RANCH BLVD  | 34256     | 32597     | 34000     | 34000     | 33500     | 1407.37      |

Figure K-1 Segment level AADT data

Table K-1 Corridor AADT from 2013-2016

| Corridor                  | 2013  | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  | 2017  |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| US-17/92                  | 38880 | 37070 | 37030 | 42410 | 41550 |
| Panama City Beach Parkway | 42268 | 45076 | 46155 | 46745 | 48202 |
| 23rd Street               | 21870 | 29879 | 31495 | 33027 | 33772 |
| University Parkway        | 40864 | 41331 | 45011 | 46552 | 40373 |
| Bartow                    | 34595 | 32706 | 36405 | 37773 | 36015 |
| SR-693                    | 35740 | 36172 | 37554 | 35830 | 36830 |

## APPENDIX L: Short-Term Changes in Crashes

### Short-Term (7 Months) Safety Effects of Signal Improvement

Table L-1 US-17/92 (Deland)

|                                      |          |             | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off Peak | Weekend |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| US 17/92<br>(Mainline +Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq. | 106         | 22               | 18                   | 26       | 11              | 15              | 56               | 24      |
|                                      | After    | Crash Freq. | 85          | 23               | 18                   | 17       | 11              | 18              | 38               | 18      |
|                                      | Diff (%) |             | -19.81      | 4.55             | 0.00                 | -34.62   | 0.00            | 20.00           | -32.14           | -25.00  |
| US 17/92<br>(Mainline Corridor)      | Before   | Crash Freq. | 75          | 16               | 10                   | 21       | 7               | 11              | 37               | 20      |
|                                      | After    | Crash Freq. | 48          | 11               | 8                    | 9        | 5               | 10              | 23               | 10      |
|                                      | Diff (%) |             | -36.00      | -31.25           | -20.00               | -57.14   | -28.57          | -9.09           | -37.84           | -50.00  |
| Volusia County                       | Before   | Crash Freq. | 6983        | 1952             | 1473                 | 2110     | 775             | 1204            | 3303             | 1701    |
|                                      | After    | Crash Freq. | 7274        | 2083             | 1623                 | 2268     | 785             | 1312            | 3419             | 1758    |
|                                      | Diff (%) |             | 4.17        | 6.71             | 10.18                | 7.49     | 1.29            | 8.97            | 3.51             | 3.35    |

Table L-2 Panama City Beach (PCB)

|                                         |          |             | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off Peak | Weekend |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| PCB Parkway<br>(Mainline +Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq. | 293         | 50               | 21                   | 71       | 28              | 59              | 131              | 75      |
|                                         | After    | Crash Freq. | 413         | 45               | 26                   | 72       | 32              | 78              | 189              | 114     |
|                                         | Diff (%) |             | 40.96       | -10.00           | 23.81                | 1.41     | 14.29           | 32.20           | 44.27            | 52.00   |
| PCB Parkway<br>(Mainline Corridor)      | Before   | Crash Freq. | 231         | 41               | 17                   | 73       | 22              | 49              | 96               | 64      |
|                                         | After    | Crash Freq. | 332         | 39               | 17                   | 75       | 24              | 70              | 156              | 82      |
|                                         | Diff (%) |             | 43.72       | -4.88            | 0.00                 | 2.74     | 9.09            | 42.86           | 62.50            | 28.13   |
| Bay County                              | Before   | Crash Freq. | 4108        | 762              | 667                  | 1249     | 475             | 818             | 1890             | 925     |
|                                         | After    | Crash Freq. | 5029        | 878              | 597                  | 1460     | 548             | 926             | 2367             | 1188    |
|                                         | Diff (%) |             | 22.42       | 15.22            | -10.49               | 16.89    | 15.37           | 13.20           | 25.24            | 28.43   |

Table L-3 23<sup>rd</sup> Street

|                                   |          |             | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off Peak | Weekend |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| 23rd St. (Mainline +Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq. | 306         | 50               | 42                   | 113      | 27              | 49              | 176              | 54      |
|                                   | After    | Crash Freq. | 272         | 36               | 24                   | 94       | 23              | 48              | 147              | 54      |
|                                   | Diff (%) |             | -11.11      | -28.00           | -42.86               | -16.81   | -14.81          | -2.04           | -16.48           | 0.00    |
| 23rd St. (Mainline Corridor)      | Before   | Crash Freq. | 157         | 34               | 28                   | 93       | 14              | 30              | 92               | 21      |
|                                   | After    | Crash Freq. | 110         | 25               | 13                   | 62       | 9               | 26              | 52               | 23      |
|                                   | Diff (%) |             | -29.94      | -26.47           | -53.57               | -33.33   | -35.71          | -13.33          | -43.48           | 9.52    |
| Bay County                        | Before   | Crash Freq. | 4265        | 804              | 674                  | 1291     | 543             | 801             | 2026             | 895     |
|                                   | After    | Crash Freq. | 4964        | 880              | 652                  | 1536     | 592             | 961             | 2253             | 1158    |
|                                   | Diff (%) |             | 16.39       | 9.45             | -3.26                | 18.98    | 9.02            | 19.98           | 11.20            | 29.39   |

Table L-4 University Parkway

|                                             |          |             | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off Peak | Weekend |
|---------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| University Parkway (Mainline +Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq. | 111         | 39               | 51                   | 69       | 12              | 23              | 44               | 32      |
|                                             | After    | Crash Freq. | 84          | 28               | 38                   | 56       | 19              | 13              | 36               | 16      |
|                                             | Diff (%) |             | -24.32      | -28.21           | -25.49               | -18.84   | 58.33           | -43.48          | -18.18           | -50.00  |
| University Parkway (Mainline Corridor)      | Before   | Crash Freq. | 85          | 30               | 36                   | 58       | 9               | 17              | 39               | 20      |
|                                             | After    | Crash Freq. | 81          | 22               | 32                   | 52       | 23              | 11              | 34               | 13      |
|                                             | Diff (%) |             | -4.71       | -26.67           | -11.11               | 4.00     | 155.56          | -35.29          | -12.82           | -35.00  |
| Sarasota County                             | Before   | Crash Freq. | 6519        | 1547             | 1738                 | 2505     | 811             | 1359            | 3010             | 1339    |
|                                             | After    | Crash Freq. | 6725        | 1588             | 1487                 | 2550     | 896             | 1330            | 3186             | 1313    |
|                                             | Diff (%) |             | 3.16        | 2.65             | -14.44               | 1.80     | 10.48           | -2.13           | 5.85             | -1.94   |

Table L-5 Bartow

|                                 |          |             | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off Peak | Weekend |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| Bartow (Mainline +Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq. | 75          | 19               | 27                   | 34       | 5               | 19              | 27               | 24      |
|                                 | After    | Crash Freq. | 54          | 13               | 14                   | 31       | 9               | 10              | 20               | 15      |
|                                 | Diff (%) |             | -28.00      | -31.58           | -48.15               | -8.82    | 80.00           | -47.37          | -25.93           | -37.50  |
| Bartow (Mainline Corridor)      | Before   | Crash Freq. | 36          | 9                | 11                   | 18       | 3               | 6               | 16               | 11      |
|                                 | After    | Crash Freq. | 31          | 9                | 4                    | 19       | 6               | 4               | 11               | 10      |
|                                 | Diff (%) |             | -13.89      | 0.00             | -63.64               | 4.00     | 100.00          | -33.33          | -31.25           | -9.09   |
| Polk County                     | Before   | Crash Freq. | 8353        | 2624             | 2094                 | 2926     | 1045            | 1666            | 3762             | 1880    |
|                                 | After    | Crash Freq. | 8652        | 2597             | 1994                 | 3048     | 1107            | 1639            | 4052             | 1854    |
|                                 | Diff (%) |             | 3.58        | -1.03            | -4.78                | 4.17     | 5.93            | -1.62           | 7.71             | -1.38   |

Table L-6 SR-693

|                                 |          |             | All crashes | Fatal and Injury | Intersection Related | Rear End | Weekday AM Peak | Weekday PM Peak | Weekday Off Peak | Weekend |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| SR 693 (Mainline +Side Streets) | Before   | Crash Freq. | 235         | 78               | 108                  | 127      | 25              | 41              | 130              | 39      |
|                                 | After    | Crash Freq. | 266         | 72               | 125                  | 138      | 43              | 56              | 123              | 44      |
|                                 | Diff (%) |             | 13.19       | -7.69            | 15.74                | 8.66     | 72.00           | 36.59           | -5.38            | 12.82   |
| SR 693 (Mainline Corridor)      | Before   | Crash Freq. | 111         | 38               | 46                   | 63       | 14              | 16              | 66               | 15      |
|                                 | After    | Crash Freq. | 125         | 35               | 53                   | 67       | 24              | 23              | 55               | 23      |
|                                 | Diff (%) |             | 12.61       | -7.89            | 15.22                | 4.00     | 71.43           | 43.75           | -16.67           | 53.33   |
| Pinellas County                 | Before   | Crash Freq. | 16536       | 4315             | 4999                 | 5878     | 2279            | 3264            | 7357             | 3636    |
|                                 | After    | Crash Freq. | 15889       | 3957             | 5382                 | 5799     | 2119            | 3350            | 7173             | 3247    |
|                                 | Diff (%) |             | -3.91       | -8.30            | 7.66                 | -1.34    | -7.02           | 2.63            | -2.50            | -10.70  |