Evaluation Matrix

			SN 10th Strees		
Evaluation	Matrix			FDOT	
			^o hnec ^t		
Criteria	No Build	Full Depressed	Partial Depressed	Non-Depressed No Managed Lane	
Criteria	Alternative ⁽¹⁾	Alternative ⁽²⁾	Alternatives ⁽²⁾	Access Alternative ⁽³⁾	
	Safety and T Increases with	Traffic Operations	Decreases with	Decreases with	
Crash Occurrence	more congestion	less congestion	less congestion	less congestion	
Emergency Response Times	Increases with more congestion	Decreases with less congestion	Decreases with less congestion	Decreases with less congestion	
Anticipated 2040 Travel Time –		8 to 9 (Local Lanes)	8 to 9 (Local Lanes)	9 to 10 (Local Lanes)	
Turnpike to I-95 (Eastbound - AM; Minutes / Vehicle)	12 to 14 (Local Lanes)			3 to 4 (Managed Lanes)	
Anticipated 2040 Travel Time – Turnpike to I-95	9 to 10 (Local Lanes)	8 to 9 (Local Lanes)	8 to 9 (Local Lanes)	11 to 12 (Local Lanes)	
(Westbound - PM; Minutes / Vehicle)		3 to 4 (Managed Lanes)	3 to 4 (Managed Lanes)	3 to 4 (Managed Lanes)	
Entrance & Exit Ramps to / from Managed Lanes	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	
Promotes Regional Connectivity / System Linkage	None	Highest	Highest	Moderate	
LINKAYE	Right-of	-Way Impacts			
Right-of-way required for Roadway?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Parcels Impacted (Permanent / Temporary)	None	21 / 21	25 / 2 to 10	17 / 0	
Acres Impacted (Permanent / Temporary)	None	2.6 / 8.0	3.3 to 3.9 / 0.4 to 3.7	1.7 / 0	
Potential Companion Parcels Impacted (Permanent / Temporary) ⁽⁴⁾	None	204 / 312	204 / 104 to 312	None	
Potential Number of Commercial	None	2	1	None	
Relocations Right-of-way required for Pump Stations?	No	Yes	Yes	No	
Right-of-Way Costs (\$ million)	None	TBD	TBD	TBD	
Cultural					
Section 4(f) Resources Impacted	None	Yes (Below Ground)	No	No	
(Quiet Waters Park) Permanent Section 4(f) Use (acres)	0	TBD	0	0	
Temporary Section 4(f) Use (acres)	0	1.8	0	0	
	Physical / Na	tural Environment			
Noise Receptors Impacted	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Wetlands / Species Impacted	None	Low	Low	Low	
Potential Impacts to City Wellfield	None	TBD	TBD	None	
Permanent Pumps for Drainage Required	No	Yes	Yes	No	
Landscaping Potential	None	Moderate	Low	High	
Aesthetic Potential	None	Moderate Iti-Modal	Moderate	High	
Potential for Express Bus Routes	None	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Pedestrian Facilities	Same as Existing	Improved	Improved	Most Improved	
Bicycle Facilities	Same as Existing	Improved	Improved	Most Improved	
	L	Utilities			
Requires Relocation of Transmission Poles	No	Yes	Yes	No	
Utilities at FP&L Substation at Powerline Road impacted	No	Yes	No	No	
Utility Relocation Costs (\$ million) ⁽⁵⁾	0	34	26	17	
	Construction				
Road Construction Duration (Does not include time for utility relocation)	None	4 to 5 years	3 to 4 years	2 to 3 years	
Dewatering Ponds Required During	No	Yes	Yes	No	
Construction Construction Impacts (Noise, De-watering,	Nono	Lighast	Liah		
Vibrations)	None Project C	Highest	High	Lowest	
Construction (¢ million)		ost (\$ millions) 631	215 to 320	127	
Construction (\$ million) Right-of-way (\$ million)	0	37	42 to 44	33	
Utility Relocation (\$ million)	0	34	26	17	
Maintenance (\$ million / year)	0	0.4	0.2 to 0.3	0	
Total Costs (\$ million)					

Total Costs (\$ million) [Not Including I-95 / SW 10 St. Improvements ~ \$315 million]







- (1) Assumes 2040 conditions with I-95, Sawgrass, and Turnpike managed lanes implemented but no improvements at the interchanges.
- (2) Assumes 2040 conditions with I-95, Sawgrass, and Turnpike managed lanes, interchange improvements, and SW 10th Street Connector with 1 entrance / exit ramp in each direction to / from the managed lanes.

0

- (3) An At-Grade Alternative based on the North Alignment requires an elevated westbound exit ramp from the managed lanes and an overpass at Powerline Road. This alternative is currently not being considered and is not being shown. Preliminary criteria rankings shown are based on logic.
- (4) Companion parcels are defined as properties adjacent to common or shared property within a community (as seen with condominiums).
- (5) Utility costs are approximate grand totals and do not account for reimbursable / non-reimbursable costs for utility companies.