## SSM5220000 CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND DRIVEWAYS COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL REVIEW

COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL REVIEW
District 5
Comments:(03/19/21, Internal) Agree testing requirements should not be included. Response:
**************************************
Comments: (03/23/21, Internal)
I believe it is important to keep the sentence implying that it is incidental for the contractor to excavate to grade & provide fill to grade as needed. Also, I think we should keep the reference to the density requirements for compaction under FM 1-T099 but leave out the testing & recording requirements. (As testing results would require additional verification from our inspectors & unrealistic burden to fulfill/enforce from a Maintenance perspective)
Response:
**************************************
Comments: (03/23/21, Internal) I agree that density testing under some foundations may be an impractical requirement especially when applied to maintenance contracts. I'm also not sure how often cross-slopes apply to foundations.
Response:
**************************************
Comments: (03/29/21, Internal) First, the only guideline for the appropriate compaction of the foundation is 'firm'. Does this give our inspectors enough information to determine if the compaction under a sidewalk or driveway is suitable? Second, I am unclear on where the last sentence is referring to. Is the "remaining fill areas" inside of the concrete forms, or outside of the forms? If it is referring to fill areas outside of the forms, I'm good with not having to compact the fill denser than the in-situ soil.
Response:
**************************************

Deanna Hutchison Office of Maintenance (850) 410-5634 Comments: (03/30/21, Internal)

Based on all comments and questions received, drafted alternate version of SSM5220000 to only include minimal changes to the title/description, and the change from AASHTO T99 to FM 1-T099.

Res	n	on	Se	٠,
1100	P١	$\sigma$	.or	ݛ.

\*