

ORIGINATION FORM
Proposed Revisions to the Specifications
(Please provide all information - incomplete forms will be returned)

Date:

Office:

Originator:

Specification Section:

Telephone:

Article/Subarticle:

email:

Associated Section(s) Revisions:

Will the proposed revision require changes to the following Publications:

Publication	Yes	No	Office Staff Contacted	Date
Standard Plans Index				
Traffic Engineering Manual				
FDOT Design Manual				
Construction Project Administration Manual				
Basis of Estimate/Pay Items				
Structures Design Guidelines				
Approved Product List				
Materials Manual				
Maintenance Specs				

Will this revision necessitate any of the following:

Design Bulletin

Construction (DCE Memo)

Estimates Bulletin

Materials Bulletin

Have all references to internal and external publications in this Section been verified for accuracy?

Synopsis: Summarize the changes:

Justification: Why does the existing language need to be changed?

Do the changes affect either of the following types of specifications (Hover over type to go to site.):

[Special Provisions](#)

[Developmental Specifications](#)

List Specifications Affected: (ex. SP3270301, Dev330TL, Dev334TL etc.)

Contact the State Specifications Office for assistance completing this form.

Daniel Strickland 850-414-4130 Daniel.Strickland@dot.state.fl.us, Darla Hunsicker 850-414-4114 Darla.Hunsicker@dot.state.fl.us,
Jennifer Burnsed 850-414-4101 Jennifer.Burnsed@dot.state.fl.us, MaryElizabeth Parker 850-414-4155 MaryElizabeth.Parker@dot.state.fl.us

1. Are changes in line with promoting and making meaningful progress on improving safety, enhancing mobility, inspiring innovation, and fostering talent; explain how?
2. What financial impact does the change have; project costs, pay item structure, or consultant fees?
3. What impacts does the change have on production or construction schedules?
4. How does this change improve efficiency or quality?
5. Which FDOT offices does the change impact?
6. What is the impact to districts with this change?
7. Does the change shift risk and to who?
8. Provide summary and resolution of any outstanding comments from the districts or industry.
9. What is the communication plan?
10. What is the schedule for implementation?

SUPERPAVE ASPHALT CONCRETE.**(REV 7-26-23)**

SUBARTICLE 334-3.2.3.2 is deleted and the following substituted:

334-3.2.3.2 Fine Aggregate Angularity: When tested in accordance with AASHTO T 304, Method A, meet the uncompacted void content of fine aggregate specified in AASHTO M 323. For Traffic Level C and E base and structural course mixtures, a fine aggregate angularity value less than 45.0 and greater than or equal to 42.0 is allowable provided testing parameters of AASHTO T 340-10 (2019) meet the following requirements:

1. Rutting tests are performed on two gyratory specimens compacted to N_{des} level of gyrations with a height of 115 ± 5 mm and a diameter of 150 mm.
2. The air void (V_a) content of each gyratory specimen after compacting to N_{des} shall be within the following range: $3.0 \leq V_a \leq 4.8$.
3. Rutting tests are performed at 64.0 C.
4. The average rut depth for two specimens shall not exceed 4.5 mm.