

9930000 OBJECT MARKERS AND DELINEATORS
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW



David Riker
Work Phone: 5129710340
E-Mail: david@shur-tite.com

Comments: (12-16-21 Industry)

1. Table 993-1 - Submittal Compliance Requirements Label - Displays the Name of Manufacturer, Name of Product, APL Number. Is this label for use while gaining approval or will this be for every order? Where would the label be placed, each post/base/box? Technical Data Sheet - Uniquely Identifies the Product. Are you referring to a MSDS or the Cut Sheet of the Post?

2. 993.3.1 Dimensions – Minimum width of 3” facing traffic and of such length to generally provide a height of 48” above the pavement surface. There are manufacturers providing Flat Top posts with strips of sheeting and Round Top Posts with wraps of sheeting, is there a preference as it is less expensive to provide round top posts? At times there are requirements for sheeting on front and back of posts and some manufacturers posts do not “squarely” display a full 3” width facing traffic. Would this become an issue? On the 48” height above the pavement is it alright to provide 42” mounting height posts for installations marking 6” curb ends or bullnoses?

3. 993.3.4.1 Pavement/Surface Mounted - To my knowledge all manufacturers are still using previous NTPEP tests and would not meet Metropolitan Delineator Category, there is only 1 manufacturer that has tested on Datamine and does not meet the minimum for this category. Would you continue to accept previous NTPEP Tests run on Surface Mount Delineators or accept Durable Tubular Markers as a replacement if there are no eligible products?

4. 993.3.4.2 Ground Mounted - To my knowledge all manufacturers are still using previous NTPEP tests which required 5 summer and 5 winter impacts. Datamine only shows 1 manufacture that has tested under the newer category since it was developed and it would not meet the requirement as it was not the Hot Weather Test. Will you be giving some grace period or do you plan to accept the 10 impact tests that were being run prior to the Ground Mount Side of Roadway Application test development?

Response: Thank you for the comments. This recommendation is outside of the proposed specification change. It will be forwarded to the technical expert for future consideration.



Melissa Hollis
Work Phone: 850-414-4182
E-Mail: melissa.hollis@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (12-21-21 Industry)

From Kandarappallil Jose: Consider adding a link to the NTPEP information at <https://ntpep.transportation.org/technical-committees/temporary-traffic-control-devices-ttcd/>

Response: Thank you for the recommendation. The Specifications do not generally provide these types of links, but we will discuss further internally.

