

ORIGINATION FORM

Proposed Revisions to the Specifications

(Please provide all information - incomplete forms will be returned)

Date:

Office:

Originator:

Specification Section:

Telephone:

Article/Subarticle:

email:

Associated Section(s) Revisions:

Will the proposed revision require changes to:

Publication	Yes	No	Office Staff Contacted
Standard Plans Index			
Traffic Engineering Manual			
FDOT Design Manual			
Construction Project Administration Manual			
Basis of Estimate/Pay Items			
Structures Design Guidelines			
Approved Product List			
Materials Manual			

Will this revision necessitate any of the following:

Design Bulletin

Construction Bulletin

Estimates Bulletin

Materials Bulletin

Are all references to external publications current?

Yes

No

If not, what references need to be updated? (Please include changes in the redline document.)

Why does the existing language need to be changed?

Summary of the changes:

Are these changes applicable to all Department jobs?

Yes

No

If not, what are the restrictions?

Contact the State Specifications Office for assistance in completing this form.

Daniel Strickland 850-414-4130 Daniel.Strickland@dot.state.fl.us Rebecca Arcia 850-414-4155 Rebecca.Arcia@dot.state.fl.us
Darla Hunsicker 850-414-4114 Darla.Hunsicker@dot.state.fl.us Valencia Cunningham 850-414-4101 Valencia.Cunningham@dot.state.fl.us



RON DESANTIS
GOVERNOR

KEVIN J. THIBAUT, P.E.
SECRETARY

M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: November 24, 2021
TO: Specification Review Distribution List
FROM: Daniel Strickland, P.E., State Specifications Engineer
SUBJECT: Proposed Specification: **3340203 Superpave Asphalt Concrete.**

In accordance with Specification Development Procedures, we are sending you a copy of a proposed specification change.

The changes are proposed by Wayne Rilko to update test language and replace the warm mix hyperlink link in the Standard Specification.

Please share this proposal with others within your responsibility. Review comments are due within four weeks and should be sent to Mail Station 75 or online at <http://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/programmanagement/development/industryreview.aspx> . Comments received after **December 23, 2021**, may not be considered. Your input is encouraged.

DS/dh

Attachment

SUPERPAVE ASPHALT CONCRETE.
(REV 10-12-21)

SUBARTICLE 334-2.3.3 is deleted and the following substituted:

334-2.3.3 RAP Stockpile Approval: Prior to the incorporation of RAP into the asphalt mixture, stockpile the RAP material and obtain approval for the stockpile by one of the following methods:

1. Continuous stockpile: When RAP is obtained from one or multiple sources and is either processed, blended, or fractionated, and stockpiled in a continuous manner, assure an adequate number of test results are obtained for stockpile approval. Test the RAP material for gradation and asphalt content at a minimum frequency of one sample per 1,000 tons with a minimum of six test results. Test the RAP material for G_{mm} (for G_{sb} determination) at a minimum frequency of one sample per 5,000 tons with a minimum of two test results. Based on visual inspection and a review of the test data, the Engineer will determine the suitability of the stockpiled material. In addition, address the details and specifics of the processing, sampling, testing and actions to be taken in the Producer Quality Control (QC) Plan.

When RAP is added to the continuous stockpile after original approval of the stockpile as described above, test the RAP material for gradation and asphalt content at a minimum frequency of one sample per 1,000 tons with a minimum of six test results. Test the RAP material for G_{mm} (for G_{sb} determination) at a minimum frequency of one sample per 5,000 tons with a minimum of two test results. Monitor test results during crushing operations for conformance to the requirements of Table 334-1. After the minimum frequency of tests have been performed, the Engineer will review the test data and visually inspect the stockpiled material. The average gradation and G_{mm} of the added material shall be within the allowable ranges shown in Table 334-1 from the originally approved stockpile values. If the added RAP material does not meet the conditions of Table 334-1, then the Contractor must create a new stockpile when resuming crushing operations and the stockpile will receive a new number designation from the Department. The previously crushed material, which was added to the continuous stockpile and did not meet the conditions of Table 334-1, may remain and that stockpile used until depleted.

Characteristic	Limit from Original Approved Stockpile Gradation
No. 8 sieve and coarser	± 6.0%
No. 16 sieve	± 5.0%
No. 30 sieve	± 5.0%
No. 50 sieve	± 4.0%
No. 100 sieve	± 3.0 4.0%
No. 200 sieve	± 2.0%
G_{mm}	± 0.040

2. Non-continuous single stockpile: When an individual stockpile is being constructed, obtain representative samples at random locations and test the RAP material for

gradation and asphalt content at a minimum frequency of one sample per 1,000 tons with a minimum of six test results. Test the RAP material for G_{mm} (for G_{sb} determination) at a minimum frequency of one sample per 5,000 tons with a minimum of two test results. Based on visual inspection and a review of the test data, the Engineer will determine the suitability of the stockpiled material. If the properties of the new stockpile compare with the properties of an existing stockpile within the ranges provided in Table 334-1, the RAP in the new stockpile may be added to the existing stockpile. Once the RAP stockpile has been approved, do not add additional material without prior approval of the Engineer.

Determine the asphalt binder content and gradation of the RAP material in accordance with FM 5-563 and FM 1-T 030, respectively. Establish the G_{sb} of the RAP material by using one of the following methods:

a. Calculate the G_{sb} value based upon the effective specific gravity (G_{se}) of the RAP material, determined on the basis of the asphalt binder content and maximum specific gravity (G_{mm}) of the RAP material. The Engineer will approve the estimated asphalt binder absorption value used in the calculation.

b. Measure the G_{sb} of the RAP aggregate, in accordance with FM 1-T 084 and FM 1-T 085. Obtain the aggregate by using a solvent extraction method.

ARTICLE 334-3 is deleted and the following substituted:

334-3 General Composition of Mixture.

334-3.1 General: Compose the asphalt mixture using a combination of aggregate (coarse, fine or mixtures thereof), mineral filler, if required, and asphalt binder material. Size, grade and combine the aggregate fractions to meet the grading and physical properties of the mix design. Aggregates from various sources may be combined.

334-3.2 Mix Design:

334-3.2.1 General: Design the asphalt mixture in accordance with AASHTO R 35-17, except as noted herein. Prior to the production of any asphalt mixture, submit the proposed mix design with supporting test data indicating compliance with all mix design criteria to the Engineer. For all mix designs, include representative samples of all component materials, including asphalt binder. Allow the Director of the Office of Materials a maximum of four weeks to either conditionally verify or reject the mix as designed.

At no additional cost to the Department, for a Type SP mix the following Traffic Level substitutions are allowed:

Traffic Level E can be substituted for Traffic Level C.

Traffic Level C can be substituted for Traffic Level B.

The same traffic level and binder type that is used for the mainline traffic lanes may be placed in the shoulder at no additional cost to the Department, even if the conditions stated above are not met for the shoulder.

Do not use more than four mix designs per nominal maximum aggregate size per traffic level per binder grade per year, where the year starts at the Notice to Proceed. Exceeding this limitation will result in a maximum Composite Pay Factor (CPF) of 1.00 as defined in 334-8.2 for all designs used beyond this limit.

Warm mix technologies (additives, foaming techniques, etc.) listed on the Department's website may be used in the production of the mix. The URL for obtaining this

information, if available, is: <https://www.fdot.gov/materials/mac/default.shtm>.
<https://www.fdot.gov/materials/laboratory/asphalt/index.shtm>.

When warm mix technologies are used, for mixtures containing a PG 52-28, PG 58-22, or PG 67-22 binder, a mixture will be considered a warm mix asphalt design if the mixing temperature is 285°F or less. For mixtures containing a PG 76-22 or High Polymer binder, a mixture will be considered a warm mix asphalt design if the mixing temperature is 305°F or less.

The Engineer will consider any marked variations from original test data for a mix design or any evidence of inadequate field performance of a mix design as sufficient evidence that the properties of the mix design have changed, and the Engineer will no longer allow the use of the mix design.

334-3.2.2 Mixture Gradation Requirements: Combine the coarse and fine aggregate in proportions that will produce an asphalt mixture meeting all of the requirements defined in this specification and conform to the gradation requirements at design as defined in AASHTO M 323-17, Table 4. Aggregates from various sources may be combined.

334-3.2.2.1 Mixture Gradation Classification: Plot the combined mixture gradation on an FHWA 0.45 Power Gradation Chart. Include the Control Points from AASHTO M 323-17, Table 4, as well as the Primary Control Sieve (PCS) Control Point from AASHTO M 323-17, Table 5. Fine mixes are defined as having a gradation that passes above the primary control sieve control point and above the maximum density line for all sieve sizes smaller than the primary control sieve and larger than the No. 30 sieve.

334-3.2.3 Aggregate Consensus Properties: For Traffic Level C and E mixtures, meet the following consensus properties at design for the aggregate blend. Aggregate consensus properties do not apply to Traffic Level B mixtures.

334-3.2.3.1 Coarse Aggregate Angularity: When tested in accordance with ASTM D5821-13 (2017), meet the percentage of fractured faces requirements specified in AASHTO M 323-17, Table 6.

334-3.2.3.2 Fine Aggregate Angularity: When tested in accordance with AASHTO T 304-17 (2020), Method A, meet the uncompacted void content of fine aggregate specified in AASHTO M 323-17, Table 6.

334-3.2.3.3 Flat and Elongated Particles: When tested in accordance with ASTM D4791-19, (with the exception that the material passing the 3/8-inch sieve and retained on the No. 4 sieve shall be included), meet the requirements specified in AASHTO M 323-17, Table 6. Measure the aggregate using the ratio of 5:1, comparing the length (longest dimension) to the thickness (shortest dimension) of the aggregate particles.

334-3.2.3.4 Sand Equivalent: When tested in accordance with AASHTO T 176-17, meet the sand equivalent requirements specified in AASHTO M 323-17, Table 6.

334-3.2.4 Gyratory Compaction: Compact the design mixture in accordance with AASHTO T 312-19, with the following exception: use the number of gyrations at N_{design} as defined in Table 334-4. Measure the inside diameter of gyratory molds in accordance with AASHTO T 312-19.

Table 334-4 Gyratory Compaction Requirements	
Traffic Level	N_{design} Number of Gyration
B	65
C	75
E	100

334-3.2.5 Design Criteria: Meet the requirements for nominal maximum aggregate size as defined in AASHTO M 323-17, as well as for relative density, VMA, VFA, and dust-to-binder ratio as specified in AASHTO M 323-17, Table 7. N_{initial} and N_{maximum} requirements are not applicable.

334-3.2.6 Moisture Susceptibility:

1. For all traffic levels, use a liquid anti-strip agent listed on the APL at the specified dosage rate. Hydrated lime may be used instead of the liquid anti-strip agent.
2. Provide a mixture having a retained tensile strength ratio of at least 0.80 and a minimum tensile strength (unconditioned) of 100 psi in accordance with FM 1-T 283.

334-3.2.7 Additional Information: In addition to the requirements listed above, provide the following information with each proposed mix design submitted for verification:

1. The design traffic level and the design number of gyrations (N_{design}).
2. The source and description of the materials to be used.
3. The Department source number and the Department product code of the aggregate components furnished from a Department approved source.
4. The gradation and proportions of the raw materials as intended to be combined in the paving mixture. The gradation of the component materials shall be representative of the material at the time of use. Compensate for any change in aggregate gradation caused by handling and processing as necessary.
5. A single percentage of the combined mineral aggregate passing each specified sieve. Degradation of the aggregate due to processing (particularly material passing the No. 200 sieve) should be accounted for and identified.
6. The bulk specific gravity (G_{sb}) value for each individual aggregate and RAP component, as identified in the Department's aggregate control program.
7. A single percentage of asphalt binder by weight of total mix intended to be incorporated in the completed mixture, shown to the nearest 0.1%.
8. A target temperature for the mixture at the plant (mixing temperature) and a target temperature for the mixture at the roadway (compaction temperature) in accordance with 320-6.3. Do not exceed a target temperature of 340°F for High Polymer asphalt binders, 330°F for PG 76-22 asphalt binders, and 315°F for unmodified asphalt binders.
9. Provide the physical properties at the optimum asphalt content, which must conform to all specified requirements.
10. The name of the Construction Training Qualification Program (CTQP) Qualified Mix Designer.
11. The ignition oven and maximum specific gravity (G_{mm}) calibration factors.
12. The warm mix technology, if used.

334-3.3 Mix Design Revisions: During production, the Contractor may request a target value revision to a mix design, subject to meeting the following requirements: the target change

falls within the limits defined in Table 334-5, appropriate data exists demonstrating that the mix complies with production air voids specification criteria, and the mixture gradation meets the basic gradation requirements defined in 334-3.2.2.

Table 334-5 Limits for Potential Adjustments to Mix Design Target Values	
Characteristic	Limit from Original Mix Design
Asphalt Binder Content ⁽¹⁾	±0.3%
Gradation and Aggregate Component ⁽²⁾	
No. 8 sieve and Coarser	± 5.0%
No. 16 sieve	± 4.0%
No. 30 sieve	± 4.0%
No. 50 sieve	± 3.0%
No. 100 sieve	± 3.0%
No. 200 sieve	± 1.0%
Each Component of Aggregate Blend	± 5.0 %
⁽¹⁾ Reductions to the asphalt binder content will not be permitted if the VMA during production is lower than 1.0% below the design criteria. ⁽²⁾ The Engineer may waive the limits for the individual sieves and component of the aggregate blend contingent upon the quality of the production data for the mixture. Revisions to FC-5 mixtures to be determined by the Engineer.	

Submit all requests for revisions to mix designs, along with supporting documentation, to the Engineer. In order to expedite the revision process, the request for revision or discussions on the possibility of a revision may be made verbally, but must be followed up by a written request. The verified mix design will remain in effect until the Engineer authorizes a change. In no case will the effective date of the revision be established earlier than the date of the first communication between the Contractor and the Engineer regarding the revision.

A new design mix will be required if aggregate sources change, or for any substitution of an aggregate product with a different aggregate code, unless approved by the Engineer.

SUBARTICLE 334-5.1.1 is deleted and the following substituted:

334-5.1.1 Sampling and Testing Requirements: Obtain the samples in accordance with FM 1-T 168. Obtain samples at the plant of a sufficient quantity to be split into three smaller samples; one for QC, one for Verification testing and one for Resolution testing. Obtain each split sample of a sufficient quantity, approximately 40 pounds, for all required testing. The split samples for Verification testing and Resolution testing shall be reduced in size and stored in three boxes each. The approximate size of each box must be 12 inches x 8 inches x 4 inches. Provide, label, and safely store sample boxes in a manner agreed upon by the Engineer for future testing.

The asphalt content of the mixture will be determined in accordance with FM 5-563. The gradation of the recovered aggregate will be determined in accordance with FM 1-T 030. Volumetric testing will be in accordance with AASHTO T 312-19 and FM 1-T 209. Prior to testing volumetric samples, condition the test-sized sample for one hour, plus or minus five minutes, at the target roadway compaction temperature in a shallow, flat pan, such

that the mixture temperature at the end of the one-hour conditioning period is within plus or minus 20°F of the roadway compaction temperature.

If one of the QC gyratory specimens is damaged, make an additional gyratory specimen.

For situations where two properly prepared gyratory specimens do not meet single-operator precision requirements for G_{mb} as provided in FM 1-T-166:

1. Retest both gyratory specimens in accordance FM 1-T-166.
2. Following the retest, if the newly measured G_{mb} values do not meet single-operator precision requirements, QC shall prepare a third gyratory specimen in accordance with AASHTO T 312-19 and test in accordance with FM 1-T-166. All three test results shall be input into MAC. The average G_{mb} will be determined by MAC after performing an outlier check in accordance with ASTM E178-16a.

Test for roadway density in accordance with FM 1-T 166.

334-5.1.2 Acceptance Testing Exceptions: When the total combined quantity of hot mix asphalt for the project, as indicated in the Plans for Type B-12.5, Type SP and Type FC mixtures only, is less than 2,000 tons, the Engineer will accept the mix on the basis of visual inspection. The Engineer may require the Contractor to run process control tests for informational purposes, as defined in 334-4, or may run independent verification tests to determine the acceptability of the material.

Density testing for acceptance will not be performed on widening strips or shoulders with a width of 5 feet or less, open-graded friction courses, variable thickness overbuild courses, leveling courses, any SP-9.5 or SP-12.5 asphalt layer placed on subgrade with a layer thickness less than or equal to 3-inches, miscellaneous asphalt pavement, shared use paths, crossovers, gore areas, raised crosswalks, speed tables, or any course with a specified thickness less than 1 inch or a specified spread rate that converts to less than 1 inch as described in 334-1.4. Density testing for acceptance will not be performed on asphalt courses placed on bridge decks or approach slabs; compact these courses in static mode only per the requirements of 330-7.7. In addition, density testing for acceptance will not be performed on the following areas when they are less than 500 feet (continuous) in length: turning lanes, acceleration lanes, deceleration lanes, shoulders, parallel parking lanes, ramps, or unsignalized side streets with less than four travel lanes and speed limits less than 35 mph. Do not perform density testing for acceptance in situations where the areas requiring density testing is less than 50 tons within a subplot.

Density testing for acceptance will not be performed in intersections. The limits of the intersection will be from stop bar to stop bar for both the mainline and side streets. A random core location that occurs within the intersection shall be moved forward or backward from the intersection at the direction of the Engineer.

Where density testing for acceptance is not required, compact these courses (with the exception of open-graded friction courses) in accordance with the rolling procedure (equipment and pattern) as approved by the Engineer or with Standard Rolling Procedure as specified in 330-7.2. In the event that the rolling procedure deviates from the procedure approved by the Engineer, or the Standard Rolling Procedure, placement of the mix shall be stopped.

The density pay factor (as defined in 334-8.2) for areas not requiring density testing for acceptance will be paid at the same density pay factor as for the areas

requiring density testing within the same LOT. If the entire LOT does not require density testing for acceptance, the LOT will be paid at a density pay factor of 1.00.

SUBARTICLE 334-5.9.5 is deleted and the following substituted:

334-5.9.5 Defective Material: Assume responsibility for removing and replacing all defective material placed on the project, at no cost to the Department.

As an exception to the above and upon approval of the Engineer, obtain an engineering analysis in accordance with Section 6 by an independent laboratory (as approved by the Engineer) to determine the disposition of the material. The engineering analysis must be signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer licensed in the State of Florida.

The Engineer may determine that an engineering analysis is not necessary or may perform an engineering analysis to determine the disposition of the material.

Any material that remains in place will be accepted with a CPF as determined by 334-8, or as determined by the Engineer.

If the defective material is due to a gradation, asphalt binder content or density failure, upon the approval of the Engineer the Contractor may perform delineation tests on roadway cores in lieu of an engineering analysis to determine the limits of the defective material that may require removal and replacement. Prior to any delineation testing, all sampling locations shall be approved by the Engineer. All delineation sampling and testing shall be monitored and verified by the Engineer. For materials that are defective due to air voids, an engineering analysis is required.

When evaluating defective material by engineering analysis or delineation testing, at a minimum, evaluate all material located between passing QC, PC or IV test results.

PC test results which did not compare with verified IV test results shall not be used for engineering analysis or delineation. Any additional PC samples obtained in the same work shift after an IV sample has been obtained shall include enough material for three complete sets of tests in the event the Contractor requests using the PC test results for engineering analysis or delineation. Exceptions to this requirement shall be approved by the Engineer.

SUBARTICLE 334-8.2.3 is deleted and the following substituted:

334-8.2.3 Three or More Sublot Test Results: When three or more sublot test results are available for a LOT, the variability-unknown, standard deviation method will be used to determine the estimated percentage of the LOT that is within the specification limits. The number of significant figures used in the calculations will be in accordance with requirements of AASHTO R 11-06/ASTM E29-13 (2019), Absolute Method.