## 2000702 ROCK BASE COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

## No Name

Comments: (1-13-22, Industry)

It appears that through the creation of much needed specification language, one important component may have been eliminated or forgotten. Cross slope checks and increased frequency checks are huge for the QC and VT to check. Thank you for emphasizing this. One check that has next to no emphasis is the Roadway Surface checks. Previously there was a short blurb about using a template which nobody uses anymore, but instead a string line or "blue tops" are used, if anything at all. The surface check is an important one, but almost never checked by or with QC. It seems there is not much spec language supporting it other than the mention in Table 200-1 and 200-2. This is a completely different check from cross slope and should be separated out and some explanation given as to what the requirements are. Also what is this check? How is it done and what is expected for the tolerance and results? If there are bellies in the surface or a deficiency is "far enough apart" the ski will pick up on that and the rideability will be poor. More the reason to check the surface and not allow it to be overlooked in the specifications. Response:

\*

Deborah Ihsan (954) 777-4387

deborah.ihsan@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (1-18-22, Industry)

200-7.5.2 Verification, subsection (2) reads as "2. the Contractor agrees to use asphalt to fill in areas where the earthwork is low "Comment: The above may be modified to read as "the contractor agrees to use asphalt to fill in areas where the earthwork is low, at no cost to Department.

Response:

\*