9910000 SPECIFICATION
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Stefanie D. Maxwell, P.E.
850-414-4140
Stefanie.Maxwell@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (Internal 10-19-20)
I recommend deleting the highlighted phase “when used on light colored pavement” in the attached document. This phrase is in two locations: 991-1.3 and 991-2.3.
Response:
(Specifications Office) Changes made.

=================================================================

Stephen Nichols
850-228-6792
stephen.nichols@stantec.com

Comments: (Industry 10-29-20)
Section 991-2.1 requires approval for project specific pay item for "Standard Tubular Markers". Seems to resemble protocol of a Developmental Specification. Who approves project specific pay item, District or CO? What is the vehicle for approval; standard pay item request? Suggest this requirement be dropped.
Response:

=================================================================

Tim Lang
210-978-3117
tlang@impactrecovery.com

Comments: (Industry 11-16-20)
Section 991, effective for jobs let on or after January 1, 2022." In the specification draft it states that the current products that are on the IPL will not have to go through NTPEP testing, “acceptable products are those listed on the IPL for Managed Lane Markers prior to July 2021 or those meeting the following requirements after receiving an average of 75 bumper impacts per sample and an average of 175 tire impacts per sample.” Since the specification is written this way, it can be argued that products on the current IPL will not go through NTPEP testing. From the email we received, however, all products that are currently on the IPL will have to go through NTPEP testing. Since there is a discrepancy between the two statements, it will be best for all that there would be more clarification in the specification to ensure that all products that will be associated with the Durable Tubular Marker specification are NTPEP tested. As described in this letter, there are two items that we would like to either see modified or clarified in the new specification. We believe the modification of the dimensions to provide a larger surface area for the retroreflective sheeting will improve nighttime visibility and safety for the citizens of Florida. For the NTPEP Testing, having clarification will assist manufacturers on which products will and will not be tested. In the end, we would like to see that all products are tested through NTPEP and compared in a similar fashion.
Response:
Robert Hughes  
239-481-3539  
ROBERT@FLEXSTAKE.COM

Comments: (Industry 11-24-20)
991-1.5 Product Testing: A) Were the current acceptable IPL Managed Lane Marker products “3 inch posts” tested to an average of 75 bumper impacts per sample and an average of 175 tire impacts per sample? Was the temperature 81 degrees or higher? B) The IPL impact specifications for the 36 inch x 3 inch posts averaged 45 bumper impacts and 150 tire impacts per sample. Shouldn’t the impact testing specifications be consistent with the acceptable products currently on the IPL? 991-1.2 Dimensions: Why limit a base size? For approximately the past six years on the Express Lanes north of the Golden Glades Interchange a 9 inch round base has stayed affixed to the surface and is performing very well (on asphalt). With approximately 80% per year less replacements than the post south of Golden Glades Express Lanes, and most were replaced by pulling a pin. Bases stayed affixed for the most part. Flexstake recommends the following change: “Base needs to stay within the white stripes of the Express Lanes”. Flexstake has developed a 9” x 11” base that will stay down better than a smaller base and not pull up concrete.

Response:

Craig Schulz  
253-886-7171  
craig.schulz@pexco.com

Comments: (Industry 11-29-20)
9910000 Channelizing Device Materials DURABLE TUBULAR MARKERS 991-1.4  
Retroreflective Sheeting A single 6” wrap at the top of the post is removing 40% of the nighttime visibility from the posts, currently at 10”. I would recommend you keep the current size of 30 sq. in. omni-directional, better visibility. 991-1.5 Product Testing Why limit to NTPEP alone, you are limiting reports and availability to once a year. If TTI runs the test for NTPEP why would you not allow a TTI report? Posts are called out at 36” Length for testing – what length do they need to finish at? Post should measure 36” at a minimum upon completion. There is no call out for Asphalt vs Concrete testing or doing both, there is a notable drop off in performance from Concrete to Asphalt in testing reports, with less than 8% of the roadways being concrete, the asphalt testing is extremely important. I can share a chart documenting this drop from the testing that has been done. Also, requirement of 8 posts to be tested per surface, 4 bumper & 4 wheel over impacted posts will give a more accurate average result per impact type. Would highly recommend addition of product tested as a system / sold as a system. Not all epoxies work the same, bolts are not interchangeable, etc… slight changes in anchoring change performance. 15 degree list or lean is way too much, list (side to side) should be limited to 5 degrees or less, at 36 inch length a 5 degree list has the top of the delineator nearly 1” outside of the max 8” base size, beginning to encroach on the traffic lane, 10 degrees it is roughly 4” outside and at 15 degrees it is 7”. The tighter the allowable list the better, this variance is 180 so those numbers above are doubled with a 8” base in the middle. 15 degrees would allow a of 7” outside base left + 8” base center + 7” outside of base right = 22” of movement at the top of the post. Will gladly share the drawings that show this. 15 degrees Lean (front to back) – seems excessive – 10 degrees would be a better requirement. STANDARD TUBULAR MARKERS 991-2.5 Product Testing Why High Speed (70MPH) testing for these standard Tubular Markers – they will not be used in those
locations those will be the Durable Tubular Marker, the testing should be changed to NTPEP Metropolitan (55 MPH). The products on the APL have been tested at 55 MPH all future competitive products should be tested the same way. List/Lean on a 50 impact – recommend max of 10 degrees of list (side to side) 15 degrees is fine for lean.

Response: