

7060200 SPECIFICATION
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Ananth Prasad
(850) 942-1405
www.FTBA.com

Comments (Internal 10-23-20)

My only comment would be under Section 706-2; I think it should read that Class D markers “may be” used with the first application of standard paint. This would give contractors the option of using Class B or D markers.

Response: Thanks for the comment.

The current proposed specification change was only intended to address separate payment for the RPMs. The Type B/Type D language was moved from Section 710 (also being modified).

Following your comment, we went back to understand why the centerline rumble strip location was limited to Type D prior to grinding. This change occurred for the January 2017 specifications. We found that the Type D’s “place and stick” was preferred to the Type B’s bituminous or epoxy adhesive requirements for the centerline rumble strip prior to grinding.

Neil Rose
904-824-8849
nrose@acmebarricades.com

Comments: (Industry 11-23-20)

Payment for Class B rpms used to supplement painted pavement markings for MOT should not be the plan quantity. This item frequently over runs plan quantity due to the designer failing to anticipate replacement of rpms (and in some instances painted lane lines) when paving or mill and pave operations take place in an adjacent lane. Unless the contractor is authorized to modify plan lane widths (by as much as a foot) the construction activities in an adjacent lane will usually damage the rpms and painted pavement markings.

Response: Thanks for the comment. We considered several issues related to actual vs plan quantity and have decided to monitor the “actual quantity” approach.

Replacement of RPMs due to failure to adhere: No additional payment, per the last paragraph of 706-4.

Revision made.