

7040000 SPECIFICATION
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Steve Nichols
850-228-6792
stephen.nichols@stantec.com

Comments: (Industry 10-29-20) Please add verbiage for alternate mounting types. Butyl adhesive work well for new asphalt surfaces however may not be best in all cases. Mechanical mounting may be best for uneven pavement surfaces during MOT phases. Please allow alternate mounting types in either the Specification or the Standard Plans.

Response: This Section is for permanent installations. The adhesive material or anchor system is extremely critical to the performance of these permanent devices. Temporary tubular markers have been specified as “temporary” in other Sections to make it clear which products the specifications are addressing. No change made.

Sharon Hedrick Harris
sharon.hedrickharris@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (Industry 11-12-20) Why is the same pay item being used for standard tubular markers and durable markers. How will the requirement to use the durable marker be specified in the contract? The review memo indicates the intent is to use the same product as the Managed Laned Markers for permanent channelizing devices on Arterials and Collectors. Where will this requirement be specified?

Response: Standard Tubular Markers will not have a pay item. A projects specific pay item must be requested. See Roadway Design Memorandum 20-03 for a detailed explanation.

Tonii Brush
(352)408-8830
brusht@etminc.com

Comments: (Industry 11-16-20) : Would like to recommend that this be a certified quantity same as other pavement marking

Response: Since these are permanent items, and detailed in the plans, it has been made a plan quantity. Alternate change made.
