

1100201 CLEARING AND GRUBBING SPECIFICATION  
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

-----  
Art Berger, Special Counsel, Construction  
850-544-2980  
arthur.berger@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (Internal, 12/11/20)  
There is a typo where of should be over.

Response:

-----  
Ananth Prasad, President  
w (850) 942-1405  
aprasad@ftba.com

Comments: (Internal, 12/14/20)  
1. Good morning, why is the Department wanting to remove non asphalt base materials?

Response:

2. We have a couple major issues with this one. First, there is an obvious typo (“of” should be “over”). Second, the revision states, “If constructing of (sic) an existing road, remove the asphalt and base in accordance with 120-4.2 and the Plans.” 120-4.2 doesn’t require a contractor to remove the asphalt and base:

Response:

3. Lastly, if we’re clearing up conflicts, a mention to 160-2 should be made for a very common occurrence of recycling the existing asphalt and base in place into stabilizing material. This last part is optional but would be very helpful to clear up a minor conflict. Therefore we propose the following:

Response:

4. All areas where roadway embankments will be constructed, unless constructing over an existing road. If constructing over an existing road, meet the requirements of 120-4.2 and the Plans for the existing road. If the existing road is to be used as stabilizing material, meet the requirements of 160-2.

Response:

\*\*\*\*\*