

0081002 PROSECUTION AND PROGRESS SPECIFICATION
COMMENTS/ RESPONSES FROM INDUSTRY REVIEW

Kevin Hayden
386-943-5284
kevin.hayden@dot.state.fl.us

Comment: (12/30/20, Industry)

Please consider revising the added language for Specification 8-10.2. The added language at the bottom includes a reference to CPAM, which is not a contract document. If CPAM is inserted into the Specifications, it risks becoming part of the contract. Also, perhaps use of the word "may" can be revised to add stronger language that the Engineer has the ability to approve adjustments to the liquidated damages.

Response:

Thank you for your comment. Mentioning CPAM in this context does not incorporate the entire document into the contract, it just cites the directions which the Engineer will use to make this determination. Using "may" is correct. CPAM guidance will direct that the decision must be approved by the DCE. No changes made.
