

9210000 PORTLAND CEMENT AND BLENDED CEMENT
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Art Berger
General Counsel Office
arthur.berger@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (9-26-18)

Regarding 921-2, can there be both limestone and processing additions together, and if so, is the proposed wording adequate for this alternative?

Response:

Dale DeFord
352-955-6671
harvey.deford@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (11-1-18)

1) The phrase "processing additions" should be changed to "inorganic processing additions"

Response:

2) The 0.6% alkali limit is being removed from AASHTO M 85. The 0.6 limit is included in 921, so 921 should be updated to reflect this change.

Response:

Eric Koehler
617-957-8588
ekoehler@titanamerica.com

Comments: (10-31-18)

1. 921-1.2 Alkali Content, the terms "AASHTO M85 low alkali cement" should be revised. A change was recently approved in AASHTO M85 that will remove the optional low alkali requirement. This change is expected to be published in April 2019. Instead, the FDOT specification can state the limit as "less than or equal to 0.6%".

Response:

2. 921-2 and 921-5.2 the term "processing addition" should be changed to "inorganic processing addition". ASTM C465 defines both inorganic processing additions (e.g. CKD or bag house dust) and organic processing additions (e.g. grinding aid). It appears in these sections that FDOT is only needing "inorganic processing additions".

Response:
