

# ORIGINATION FORM

## Proposed Revisions to the Specifications

(Please provide all information - incomplete forms will be returned)

Date:

Office:

Originator:

Specification Section:

Telephone:

Article/Subarticle:

email:

Associated Section(s) Revisions:

Will the proposed revision require changes to:

Publication	Yes	No	Office Staff Contacted
Standard Plans Index			
Traffic Engineering Manual			
FDOT Design Manual			
Construction Project Administration Manual			
Basis of Estimate/Pay Items			
Structures Design Guidelines			
Approved Product List			
Materials Manual			

Will this revision necessitate any of the following:

Design Bulletin

Construction Bulletin

Estimates Bulletin

Materials Bulletin

Are all references to external publications current?

Yes

No

If not, what references need to be updated? (Please include changes in the redline document.)

Why does the existing language need to be changed?

Summary of the changes:

Are these changes applicable to all Department jobs?

Yes

No

If not, what are the restrictions?

Contact the State Specifications Office for assistance in completing this form.

Daniel Strickland 850-414-4130 [Daniel.Strickland@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:Daniel.Strickland@dot.state.fl.us) Rebecca Arcia 850-414-4155 [Rebecca.Arcia@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:Rebecca.Arcia@dot.state.fl.us)  
Darla Hunsicker 850-414-4114 [Darla.Hunsicker@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:Darla.Hunsicker@dot.state.fl.us) Valencia Cunningham 850-414-4101 [Valencia.Cunningham@dot.state.fl.us](mailto:Valencia.Cunningham@dot.state.fl.us)



**RON DESANTIS**  
**GOVERNOR**

**KEVIN J. THIBAUT, P.E**  
**SECRETARY**

## **M E M O R A N D U M**

**DATE:** June 3, 2021  
**TO:** Specification Review Distribution List  
**FROM:** Daniel Strickland, P.E., State Specifications Engineer  
**SUBJECT:** Proposed Specification: **3340104 Superpave Asphalt Concrete.**

In accordance with Specification Development Procedures, we are sending you a copy of a proposed specification change.

This change was proposed by Wayne Rilko from the State Materials Office to update the Flexible Pavement Design Manual. Based on design mixes there was elimination of TL A and TL D. The proposed specification change is associated with changes to Section 234 and 525.

Please share this proposal with others within your responsibility. Review comments are due within four weeks and should be sent to Mail Station 75 or online at <http://fdotewp1.dot.state.fl.us/programmanagement/development/industryreview.aspx> . Comments received after **July 1, 2021**, may not be considered. Your input is encouraged.

DS/ra

Attachment

**SUPERPAVE ASPHALT CONCRETE  
(REV 5-13-21)**

SUBARTICLE 334-1.4.1 is deleted and the following substituted:

**334-1.4.1 Layer Thicknesses:** The allowable layer thicknesses for Type SP Asphalt Concrete mixtures are as follows:

Type SP-9.5.....	1 to 1-1/2 inches
Type SP-12.5.....	1-1/2 to 3 inches
Type SP-19.0.....	2 to 4 inches

In addition to the minimum and maximum thickness requirements, the following restrictions are placed on mixes when used as a structural course:

Type SP-9.5 - Limited to the top two structural layers, two layers maximum.

Type SP-9.5 - Do not use on Traffic Level ~~D~~ and E applications.

Type SP-19.0 - Do not use in the final (top) structural layer below FC-5 mixtures. Type SP-19.0 mixtures are permissible in the layer directly below FC-9.5 and FC-12.5 mixtures. Do not use in the final (top) layer of shoulders.

SUBARTICLE 334-3.2.1 is deleted and the following substituted:

**334-3.2 Mix Design:**

**334-3.2.1 General:** Design the asphalt mixture in accordance with AASHTO R 35-17, except as noted herein. Prior to the production of any asphalt mixture, submit the proposed mix design with supporting test data indicating compliance with all mix design criteria to the Engineer. For all mix designs, include representative samples of all component materials, including asphalt binder. Allow the Director of the Office of Materials a maximum of four weeks to either conditionally verify or reject the mix as designed.

~~For a Traffic Level A mixture, meet the mix design criteria for a Traffic Level B mixture and for a Traffic Level D mixture meet the mix design criteria for a Traffic Level E mixture.~~

At no additional cost to the Department, for a Type SP mix the following Traffic Level substitutions are allowed:

~~Traffic Level E can be substituted for Traffic Level D.~~

Traffic Level ~~D~~ or E can be substituted for Traffic Level C.

Traffic Level C can be substituted for Traffic Level B.

~~Traffic Level B or C can be substituted for Traffic Level A.~~

The same traffic level and binder type that is used for the mainline traffic lanes may be placed in the shoulder at no additional cost to the Department, even if the conditions stated above are not met for the shoulder.

Do not use more than four mix designs per nominal maximum aggregate size per traffic level per binder grade per year, where the year starts at the Notice to Proceed. Exceeding this limitation will result in a maximum Composite Pay Factor (CPF) of 1.00 as defined in 334-8.2 for all designs used beyond this limit.

Warm mix technologies (additives, foaming techniques, etc.) listed on the Department's website may be used in the production of the mix. The URL for obtaining this information, if available, is: <https://www.fdot.gov/materials/mac/production/warmmixasphalt/>.

When warm mix technologies are used, for mixtures containing a PG 52-28, PG 58-22, or PG 67-22 binder, a mixture will be considered a warm mix asphalt design if the mixing temperature is 285°F or less. For mixtures containing a PG 76-22 or High Polymer binder, a mixture will be considered a warm mix asphalt design if the mixing temperature is 305°F or less.

The Engineer will consider any marked variations from original test data for a mix design or any evidence of inadequate field performance of a mix design as sufficient evidence that the properties of the mix design have changed, and the Engineer will no longer allow the use of the mix design.

SUBARTICLE 334-3.2.3 is deleted and the following substituted:

**334-3.2.3 Aggregate Consensus Properties:** For Traffic Level C ~~and through~~ E mixtures, meet the following consensus properties at design for the aggregate blend. Aggregate consensus properties do not apply to Traffic Level ~~A and~~ B mixtures.

SUBARTICLE 334-3.2.3.2 is deleted and the following substituted:

**334-3.2.3.2 Fine Aggregate Angularity:** When tested in accordance with AASHTO T 304-17 (2020), Method A, meet the uncompacted void content of fine aggregate specified in AASHTO M 323-17, Table 6.

SUBARTICLE 334-3.2.4 is deleted and the following substituted:

**334-3.2.4 Gyratory Compaction:** Compact the design mixture in accordance with AASHTO T 312-19, with the following exception: use the number of gyrations at  $N_{\text{design}}$  as defined in Table 334-4. Measure the inside diameter of gyratory molds in accordance with AASHTO T 312-19.

Traffic Level	$N_{\text{design}}$ Number of Gyrations
<del>A</del>	<del>50</del>
B	65
C	75
<del>D</del>	<del>100</del>
E	100

SUBARTICLE 334-3.3 is deleted and the following substituted:

**334-3.3 Mix Design Revisions:** During production, the Contractor may request a target value revision to a mix design, subject to meeting the following requirements: the target change falls within the limits defined in Table 334-5, appropriate data exists demonstrating that the mix complies with production air voids specification criteria, and the mixture gradation meets the basic gradation requirements defined in 334-3.2.2.

Table 334-5 Limits for Potential Adjustments to Mix Design Target Values	
Characteristic	Limit from Original Mix Design
<u>Asphalt Binder Content</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>±0.3%</u>
<u>Gradation and Aggregate Component</u> <sup>(2)</sup>	
No. 8 sieve and Coarser	± 5.0%
No. 16 sieve	± 4.0%
No. 30 sieve	± 4.0%
No. 50 sieve	± 3.0%
No. 100 sieve	± 3.0%
No. 200 sieve	± 1.0%
<del>Asphalt Binder Content</del> <sup>(4)</sup>	<del>± 0.3%</del>
Each Component of Aggregate Blend <sup>(3)</sup>	± 5.0 %

<sup>(1)</sup> Reductions to the asphalt binder content will not be permitted if the VMA during production is lower than 1.0% below the design criteria.  
<sup>(2)</sup> The Engineer may waive the limits for the individual sieves and component of the aggregate blend contingent upon the quality of the production data for the mixture.  
<sup>(3)</sup> Revisions to FC-5 mixtures to be determined by the Engineer.

Submit all requests for revisions to mix designs, along with supporting documentation, to the Engineer. In order to expedite the revision process, the request for revision or discussions on the possibility of a revision may be made verbally, but must be followed up by a written request. The verified mix design will remain in effect until the Engineer authorizes a change. In no case will the effective date of the revision be established earlier than the date of the first communication between the Contractor and the Engineer regarding the revision.

A new design mix will be required if aggregate sources change, or for any substitution of an aggregate product with a different aggregate code, unless approved by the Engineer.

SUBARTICLE 334-3.2.6 is deleted and the following substituted:

**334-3.2.6 Moisture Susceptibility:**

1. For all traffic levels, use a liquid anti-strip agent listed on the APL at the specified dosage rate. Hydrated lime may be used instead of the liquid anti-strip agent.
2. Provide a mixture having a retained tensile strength ratio of at least 0.80 and a minimum tensile strength (unconditioned) of 100 psi in accordance with FM1-T 283.

~~Provide a mixture having a retained tensile strength ratio of at least 0.80 and a minimum tensile strength (unconditioned) of 100 psi.~~

SUBARTICLE 334-5.1.1 is deleted and the following substituted:

**334-5.1.1 Sampling and Testing Requirements:** Obtain the samples in accordance with FM 1-T 168. Obtain samples at the plant of a sufficient quantity to be split into three smaller samples; one for QC, one for Verification testing and one for Resolution testing. Obtain each split sample of a sufficient quantity, approximately 40 pounds, for all required testing. The split samples for Verification testing and Resolution testing shall be reduced in size and stored in three boxes each. The approximate size of each box must be 12 inches x 8 inches x 4 inches. Provide, label, and safely store sample boxes in a manner agreed upon by the Engineer for future testing.

The asphalt content of the mixture will be determined in accordance with FM 5-563. The gradation of the recovered aggregate will be determined in accordance with FM 1-T 030. Volumetric testing will be in accordance with AASHTO T 312-19 and FM 1-T 209. Prior to testing volumetric samples, condition the test-sized sample for one hour, plus or minus five minutes, at the target roadway compaction temperature in a shallow, flat pan, such that the mixture temperature at the end of the one hour conditioning period is within plus or minus 20°F of the roadway compaction temperature.

If one of the QC gyratory specimens is damaged, make an additional gyratory specimen.

For situations where two properly prepared gyratory specimens do not meet single-operator precision requirements for  $G_{mb}$  as provided in FM 1-T 166:

1. Retest both gyratory specimens in accordance FM 1-T 166.
2. Following the retest, if the newly measured  $G_{mb}$  values do not meet single-operator precision requirements, QC shall prepare a third gyratory specimen in accordance with AASHTO T 312-19 and test in accordance with FM 1-T 166. All three test results shall be input into MAC. The average  $G_{mb}$  will be determined by MAC after performing an outlier check in accordance with ASTM E178-16a.

Test for roadway density in accordance with FM 1-T 166.

SUBSRTICLE 334-8.2.3 is deleted and the following substituted:

**334-8.2.3 Three or More Sublot Test Results:** When three or more sublot test results are available for a LOT, the variability-unknown, standard deviation method will be used to determine the estimated percentage of the LOT that is within the specification limits. The number of significant figures used in the calculations will be in accordance with requirements of AASHTO R11-06/[ASTM E29-13 \(2019\)](#), Absolute Method.