

0050900 CONTROL OF THE WORK
COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW

Keith Waugh
kwaugh@lewarcc.com
(352) 787-1616

Comments: (4-2-19, Internal)

For bridge projects with construction operations accessible only by watercraft, ~~make a pilot and boat available to the Engineer for transportation and inspection purposes.~~ Permit the Engineer to travel on all floating equipment and boats used in the pursuit of the work.

“make a pilot and boat available to the Engineer for transportation and inspection purposes” still could be viewed as furnishing of a separate watercraft.

Response: Already discussed and incorporated.

Louis Bramblett
bramblettl@etminc.com

Comments: (5-6-19, Industry)

what is the definition of "safe" the inspector and the contractor will have differing opinions. may be "safe according to osha requirements."

Response: Kindly disagree, it is prudent to keep the language in.

No Name

Comments: (5-28-19, Industry)

Add the word "timely" in combination with safe for the availability of the work boat.

Response: This was discussed internally, but kindly disagree; the language will remain as-is.

Conrad Campbell
(813) 975-6294
Conrad.campbell@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (5-28-19, Industry)

I'd like to make the following suggested change to the proposed 5-9 language: 5-9.1 Cooperation by Contractor: Do not perform work or furnish materials without obtaining inspection by the Engineer. Provide the Engineer with safe means of access to the work, so the Engineer can determine whether the work performed and materials used are in accordance with the requirements and intent of the Contract Documents. For bridge projects with construction operations accessible only by watercraft, provide safe and [timely] passage and transport to facilitate the Engineer's inspection of the Work. Reason: I think there should be some emphasis placed on access being provided in a timely manner. The goal is to ensure that watercraft transportation is provided promptly when it is needed. The old SP this is replacing required boats to be provided at all times. Adding the timely requirement addresses this in the replacement 5-9 specification.

Response:

Although I do not disagree with the intent behind the comment, the decision to not incorporate the suggested wording has been had made and is based upon previous internal discussions.

Thomas Butler
(904) 360-5537
Thomas.Butler@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (5-28-19, Industry)

Recommend that “safe passage and transport” be expanded to describe at least a general inspection boat-type vessel (in the past small contractors have tried to suggest the use of jet skis, ganoes, and large pleasure crafts, etc.).

Response:

The transport of CEI for the purposes of inspection will be by way of the Contractor’s own watercraft.

Thomas Butler
(904) 360-5537
Thomas.Butler@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (5-28-19, Industry)

Recommend that special provision be kept to stipulate at least a general motorized boat-type inspection vessel (similar to comment for 0050900 - Recommend that “safe passage and transport” be expanded to describe at least a motorized boat-type inspection vessel (in the past small Contractors have tried to suggest the use of jet skis, ganoes, and/or large pleasure crafts, etc.).

Response: The transport of CEI for the purposes of inspection will be by way of the Contractor’s own watercraft.

Matt Webb
(850) 330-1138
Matt.webb@dot.state.fl.us

Comments: (5-29-19, Industry)

Minor suggestion, on the 548 retaining wall Strike - 2.3 and just reference 346. If we could do on our specs in the future it might save a lot of changes. Just reference the main spec number. I.E 346,450

Response: The comments is not understood; no change made.
