97500000 STRUCTURAL COATING MATERIALS COMMENTS FROM INTERNAL/INDUSTRY REVIEW Melissa Hollis melissa.hollis@dot.state.fl.us

(850) 414-4182

 \square

Hollis, Melissa

🚇 Hollis, Melissa

Extra open parenthesis

Hollis, Melissa

quantities

Reph

August 03, 2022, 8:29 AM

Paragraph should be updated to new table format. This may wait for future spec revision

Comments: (8-3-22, Internal)

Minor formatting suggestions to consider.

 \rightarrow \rightarrow Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) shall be less than 3.5 pounds per gallon when tested in accordance with ASTMD3960.¶

→ 975-1.3 Approved Product List (APL): All polymeric coating materials except the materials in 975-4 shall be listed on the Department's Approved Product List (APL). Manufacturers seeking evaluation of their products shall submit the product data sheets, performance test reports from NTPEP or an independent laboratory showing that the product meets the requirements of this Section, a Product SDS or performance test reports showing percent weight compositional analysis including Chemical Abstract Number, ACGIH time weighted average and ceiling exposure limits for all components, lower and upper explosive limits, flash point, boiling point, amount of volatile organic compounds by weight, and specific gravity for each component of the coating system, and a APL application in accordance with Section.

975-2 Structural Steel Coating Systems.

→ 975-2.1 General: Structural steel coatings shall meet the application requirements of Section°560. Prepare and coat sixteen flat and four composite test panels in accordance with AASHTO'R-β1 ((HED_STD_595,in color Shade White, X6134 Grey or X4062Green) for each coating system proposed for approval and submit to the State Materials Office (SMO). Samples will be subject to verification testing by the Department, as identified in 975-2.2. In addition, submit a base quark wet sample of each component of each coating system <u>container of compatible caulk</u> to the SMO.¶

→ 975-2.2 Performance Requirements: Each coating system will be subject to the testing identified in 975-2.2.1 and 975-2.2.2. All coatings, regardless of color, shall meet the requirements in Table 975-1.¶

 $\rightarrow \quad \rightarrow \quad 975-2.2.1 \cdot Random \cdot Laboratory \cdot Verification \cdot Testing: \cdot Prepare \cdot and \cdot coat \cdot twelverificat test panels \cdot for random \cdot laboratory \cdot verification testing. \P$

	Test-No1¤	(primer-only)	
Salt Fog Resistance_ Scribed¤	AASHTOR°31¶ Test·No. 2· <u>Scribed</u> ¶ <u>ASTM-D610-Unscribed</u> ¤	Blister Value =- 10¶ Average Rust Creep at the Scribe ≤· 0 .1°inches3/32"¶ Unscribed Rust grade ≥ 9S, 9G, 9P ··¶	Ŷ
Salt Fog Resistance- Unscribed¤	ASTM D610 ·¤	<u>after-8,7605000-hours</u> ¤ <u>Rust-grade ≥ 9S, 9G, 9P</u> ·¶ after-8,760-hours¤	
Cyclic Weathering Resistance¤	AASHTO®31¶ Test·No. 3¤	Blister Value = 10¶ Average Rust Creep at the Scribe ≤· <u>6/32"0-2°inches</u> , Color Retention ΔE* _{ab} ≤·8.0,	



Use a number for measured, non-integer

...

...

....

Response: We will revise the APL language at the beginning of the Specification with the new table in the next revision. We will reach out to Product Evaluation to get examples. Salt Fog Test was split into two tests to avoid confusion with acceptance criteria.

Tim Lattner <u>Tim.Lattner@dot.state.fl.us</u> (850)414-5258

Comments: (8-3-22, Internal)

			_	
Slip Coefficient ^{II}	AASHTO®31	Min. Class®¶ ¤		
	Test No. 10	(primer only)¤ Blister Value = 10¶ ¤		
	AASHTO®R°31	Average Rust Creep at the Scribe ≤		
Salt Fog Resistance		0.1°inches3/32"¶		📾 Wagner, David 🛛 👐
5	ASTM D610 Unscribed			Is this an either, or test, or do both have to be
		after 8,7605000 hours		done? Add comma after "Scribed"?
		Blister Value = 10¶ ¤		
Cyclic Weathering	AASHTO®31	Average Rust Creep at the Scribe ≤		Reply
Resistance	Test No. 30	<u>6/32^{**}0.2^oinches</u> , Color Retention $\Delta E^*_{ab} \le 8.0$,		
		Gloss loss less than ≤ 3 <u>3%0°units</u> ¶		
	AASHTO®31	after 15 cycles - 336 hours each cycle		
	AASIIIO K SI	· · · · · · · ·	_	
		۲۵۵۵۵۵۲ و ¶All-Jobs		
	Table 9	75-1¶ ¤		
Struc	tural Steel Coating System	Performance Requirements ^{II}		
	Laboratory	·Testing¤ ¤		
Property	Test Method¤	Acceptance Criteria		
Color Retention ^{III}	ASTMD2244¤	¤ Avg.·∆E* _{ab} ·≤·8.0· after 2ºyears ¤		
Gloss¤	ASTMD523¤	¤ Avg. ≤-3 <u>30% loss of g</u> loss units after 2 years	P	🗟 Wagner, David 🛛 😶
Structure	Table9			
Structura	ISteel Coating System Ger	neral Composition Requirements		
→ → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →	pproved Products List (API onent of the full <u>APL</u> coatin 9 975-2.3.1.3 Finish Coatin ppleted coating system. A fi coat with a clear coat that: t,The dissipating colorant	te Coat: Intermediate coatings, when required by shall be a polyamide or cycloaliphatic amine system.¶ t: The finish coat shall provide the color and gloss inish coat may be comprised of a single pigmented meets Table 975-2. The clear coat shall contain a shall be visible for a minimum of 12 hours after in 96 hours after application.¶	Ţ	Wagner, David This language seems a bit odd. Do we say this anywhere else in the Spec? Does the APL require a Contractor to do anything? Consider rewording this subarticle. Reply
of zinc dust, functional composition of the prid dry by solvent evapor- weight and a maximum zinc rich primers shall $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$ for areas greater than $\rightarrow 975-2.4$	1 additives, and an organic mer shall consist of an org ation. Zinc dust pigment sh n lead level of 0.01%Type meet the requirements.SS Organic zZinc_rich primer 100°square inches.¶ 1.2 Intermediate Coat; Int	torganic or organic zinc-rich primers consisting binder with appropriate solvents. The anic vehicle that may chemically cured or may all containbe a minimum of 77% zinc dust by "Hin accordance with ASTM'D520. Organie PC-Paint'20, Type'II, Level'2." s shall be used as galvanizing repair compounds termediate coatings, when required by the	1	Wagner, David may be chemically cured Or may chemically cure Reply
		ull <u>APL</u> coating system.¶ ating shall provide the color and gloss required		Wagner, David Same comment as 975-2.3.1.2

Response: All of David's comments were agreeable and adopted as edited in the document. Salt Fog Test was split into two tests to avoid confusion with acceptance criteria.

Maxim Dube maximdube@galvatech2000.com

Comments: (9-14-22, Industry)

To whom it May concern,

I submit my comments regarding the suggested modifications of the Structural Coating Materials specification #9750000.

My suggested changes relate to sections 975-3, 975.4, and the associated tests specified in section 975-2.2.

- 975-3 Galvanized Steel Coating System
- 975-4 Paint for Galvanized Steel Strain Poles, Mast arms, monotube assemblies, Conventional Light Pole Assemblies, and Aluminum Poles, Pedestals, and Posts.

Suggested modifications:

Section 975-2.2 (2-year outdoor testing section)

1- Section 975-2.2 suggests 2 years of outdoor testing instead of 5 years. Although, section 975-4 still mentions 5 years.

2- Section 975-2.2 mentions color retention and gloss parameters. Knowing that hot-dip galvanized will oxidize over time, color (fading) and gloss will be lost. I suggest adding a section for touch-ups of galvanized coatings without color and gloss retention testing. I also recommend adding a note that coatings used shall meet ASTM A780 Repair of damaged and uncoated areas of hot-dip galvanized coatings.

Thank you for taking the time to analyze this request and looking forward to further discussing the possible options.

Maxim Dube Galvatech2000

Technical Director

Response: 975-3 related to galvanized systems was updated to have it be evaluated against the same performance requirements we hold to our other paint systems. The Department does not plan to use galvanizing on its own for a bridge coating system, but some pedestrian bridges have been attempted with alternate duplex coatings (Galvanizing, w/ Polysiloxane or Urethanes) and they have not done well under testing. The Department has identified an alternate to painting over galv, metalizing. Which we will introduce next year in the spec book. Lastly, there is a 5-year warranty associated with painted poles, who may use whatever product system they want, and they have a 5-year bond warranty applied. The 2-year outdoor testing is not associated with the 5-year warranty. The 2-year testing is for bridge paint systems. The 5-year warranty is for manufacturer selected paint systems applied to poles and light fixtures.