

# Submittal Report

Financial Project:	417540-6-52-01	Submittal Type:	PLANS
Submittal Phase:	PHASE IV	Submittal Staff Type:	CONSULTANT
Received Date:	3/31/2026	Response Due Date:	5/1/2026
Grace Period:	0	District:	FIRST
Status:	OPEN	Create Date:	3/24/2026
Create User Id:	KNAKNCI	Last Update:	4/7/2026
		Last Update User Id:	KNAKNCI

## Description:

Submittal of PHIV Plans Review.

This shall serve as a transmittal letter for the Phase 4 plans Review. Please provide Phase 4 comments for this project. This project is located in Collier County.

Please note that this is a goes-with 417540-5 which has been submitted in ERC at the same time. Please focus on the connection of the -5 to this project.

The following documentation has been included with the submittal:

- (1) Roadway Plans
- (1-1) Roadway Plans (Verified Utilities)
- (1-2) Roadway Plans (Coreborings)
- (2) S&PM Plans
- (3) Utility work
- (4) Lighting Plans
- (5) EQR
- (6) Estimate
- (7) Specs - TSP
- (8) UWS-IWSD
- (9) Quantities
- (10) CADD (Roadway)\*
- (11) CADD (IWSD)
- (12) UWS
- (13) Utility Conflict Matrix
- (14) Changes to Plans (PH3R to 4)
- (15) KMZ (Existing)
- (16) KMZ (Proposed)
- (17) PSEE Documentation Checklist
- (18) Design Documentation\*
- (19) ICE Analysis
- EQR (ROAD)
- PSR Adendum
- PSR (FINAL)

\*ERP file location ONLY (see path below)

Plans and supporting documents can be found in the ERC under the Documents tab or on the local server at the following location:

ERP: \\dotsd1cadd\ERP\41754065201\PhaseIV

## Threads:

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Alan Eldridge	LEAD DESIGNER	5/1/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Amy Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Anastasiya Senyushkina	LEAD DESIGNER	5/1/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Belinda Thomas	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Blake Stallworth	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Brent Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Casey Schley	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Cassie Lee	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Charles Manganaro	REVIEWER	4/27/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Chris Coughlin	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	14

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
38	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Chris Coughlin	Sheet No. 1 / Key Sheet	ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	
	Per FDM 910.2.4, Utility Work by Highway Contractor Plans are to be listed in the Contract Plans Components. Please review.			
	Tammy Kreisle	4/23/2026	1	
	The UWHCA is a strung project and although the works are minor it is preferred to include this as a strung project and not a component set. The EQR will be signed and sealed separately.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
39	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 12 / Typical Section (1)	ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	
	a) Asphalt Curb Pad Detail: Please clarify how the proposed asphalt curb pad thickness was established. Acknowledged Table 5.6 of the Flexible Pavement Design Manual identifies 4" as the minimum practical thickness for a base group. However, Chapter 5.6.3 only requires a minimum of 1.5" for an asphalt curb pad.			
	b) Feathering Detail: Detail depicts 1.5" of SP at the middle of the transition, where the 2nd layer of Type SP is introduced, as well as 1.5" at the end of the transition. However, the layer will have to transition in thickness to achieve the proposed pavement structure. Please review.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
40	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 47 / Roadway Plan (4)	ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	
	The median directional island appears to begin thinner & expand at its terminal. Please review & clarify intent. Was it established based on AutoTurn of design vehicles?			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
41	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 128 / Intersection Detail (13)	ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	
	Other grading details utilize flow arrows to convey general intent. Was consideration given to providing?			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
42	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 178 / Roadway Cross Sections	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Sta. 2096+00: Linework for proposed elements appears to overlap on the right side of the section. Please review.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
43	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 183 / Roadway Cross Sections	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Sta. 2111+00: Front of SUP PGL elevation is missing on the left. Please review.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
44	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 193 / Roadway Cross Sections	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Sta. 2178+00: Front of SUP PGL elevation is missing on the left. Please review. See others throughout.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
45	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 201 / Roadway Cross Sections	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Sta. 2204+00: Organic material is identified to be removed. Provided a portion of the area is beyond the limits of the tie-down slope, is intent for it to be removed & simply brought back to existing grade? Provided it is being removed, was providing proposed grading for these areas considered?

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
46	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 220 / Roadway Cross Sections	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Sta. 2259+00: Please review overlapping at R/W line. See other sections.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
47	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 226 / Driveway Cross Sections (1)	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Sta. 402+44.24: Please confirm turnout is crowned, preventing water collecting at the low point. See others. Was indicating how turnouts are to be graded considered?

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
48	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet No. 227 / Driveway Cross Sections (2)	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Sta. 2178+30.07: A portion of the proposed linework for the turnout appears to be missing. Please review.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
49	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Scott Judson	Sheet No. 238 / Temporary Traffic Control Plan (6)	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Please review arrows depicting direction of travel. They appear to be backwards. See following section, as well.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
50	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Scott Judson	Sheet No. 256 / Temporary Traffic Control Plan (24)	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Consider providing lane directional arrows, as done on other details. See others.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
51	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle		ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Chris Coughlin	4/21/2026	1	

Attached is the marked-up plan set for your reference.

Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments.  
Chris Coughlin, P.E.  
Florida Department of Transportation D1  
Chris.Coughlin@dot.state.fl.us  
(Cell) 813-447-9207

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
25	RESPONSE IN REVIEW	Tammy Kreisle	L-1	LIGHTING/ELECTRICAL

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	4/15/2026	1	

Streetlighting service should be 480V

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Christopher Forestt	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
1	COMMENT AGREED WITH		Contamination Technical Memorandum	CONTAMINATION

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Christopher Forestt	3/31/2026	1	

Please review the attached Contamination Technical Memorandum to revise the Contamination Markings and Contamination Note (NPDES) as requested.

A separate Contamination Technical Memorandum will be posted for the Contractors on the FDOT's FTP site upon receipt of the CAR Contractor's Level II Impact to Construction Report. This will be done prior to the project's Letting.

Thank you.

Tammy Kreisle	4/21/2026	1	
---------------	-----------	---	--

Thank you.

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Christopher Speese	IN-HOUSE PROJECT MANAGER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Clifton Johnson	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Daniel Buidens	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Darryl Richard	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
DAVE HAY	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Dawn Ratican	LEAD DESIGNER	5/1/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Desiree Davis	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Earl Taylor	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Edward Stevens	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Elizabeth Sofsky	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Eric Reese	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Garfield Howell	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	1
<b>No</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Current Holder</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Categories</b>
37	RESPONSE IN REVIEW	Tammy Kreisle		LIGHTING/ELECTRICAL
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Garfield Howell	4/21/2026	1	
Please consider increasing the lighting within the roundabout to meet the standard average for new construction				
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Gregory Bowne	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
J.J. Wren	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Jason Mobsby	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Jeanna Dean	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Jeffrey Jacquin	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Jeffrey James	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Jhamaul Hanner	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Jimmy Vilce	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Kubicki	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Parker	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
2	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Scott Judson		TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
John Parker	4/1/2026	1	

Please consider a couple of PCMS boards for the Truck Detour in Phase 2. Please including a Detour map in the press release and maybe contacting the 3rd party navigation applications of the Detour. Consider documentation is the TMP 240 form.

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Ramon	LEAD DESIGNER	5/1/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jonah Johnson	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kaitlin Phillips	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Karina Della Sera	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Katharine Causey	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kayla Sapp	LEAD REVIEWER	4/23/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Keith Robbins	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kenneth Yinger	LEAD DESIGNER	5/1/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
KENNETH YINGER	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	3

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
28	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Alan Eldridge	Plans	DRAINAGE

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
KENNETH YINGER	4/16/2026	1	

SWF 602B/603/604B/605A/606A/Attenuation Pond:  
 Previous Phase III ERC Comment 42 (d) does not appear to be addressed. The skimmer index is only applicable to Type C, D, or E inlets. Additional detail is needed for bracing / attachment of the skimmer if a Type H inlet is to be used. No detail is provided for SMF 602B. Please review.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
29	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Alan Eldridge	Plans	DRAINAGE

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
KENNETH YINGER	4/16/2026	1	

SWF 603/604B/S-605A:  
 Previous Phase III ERC Comment 43 (d), 44 (e) do not appear to be addressed: Does a sump elevation need to be provided for the control structure?  
 A sump elevation column could not be found in the drainage structures data tables or SMF outlet structure table. Please review.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
30	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Alan Eldridge	Plans	DRAINAGE
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
KENNETH YINGER	4/16/2026	1		

Many drainage structure labels are illegible in the Utility Adjustments sheets. Please review.

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kimberly Warren	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kisan Patel	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kristin Caruso	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kyle Purvis	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Lawrence Zagardo	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Leyna Stemle	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	2

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
3	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Leyna Stemle	4/2/2026	1		
A SFWMD permit is being acquired for this project. If there have been any changes that the Permits office should be aware of, please let us know (Nicole.Monies@dot.state.fl.us).				
Alan Eldridge	4/7/2026	1		
Noted. Nicole is currently informed of the recent changes to the project that were incorporated into the latest SFWMD RAI response and Phase IV plans submittal. Any additional changes moving forward will be coordinated with her before incorporation into the plans.				
Leyna Stemle	4/9/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
4	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Leyna Stemle	4/2/2026	1		
It appears that the project will exceed the 1.0-acre threshold for erodible surfaces, therefore a NPDES Permit will be required, and a Stormwater Runoff Control Concept (SRCC) will need to be developed.				
Alan Eldridge	4/7/2026	1		
Noted. An SRCC has been prepared and was provided with the deliverables in the Phase IV submittal.				
Leyna Stemle	4/9/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Peronto	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Roberts	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Marlene Hebert	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Marshall Douberley	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Martin Smith	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Matthew A. Miller	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
MD Rahman	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Megan Arp	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Megan Stroud	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Meghan Croft	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	2

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
26	COMMENT RESOLVED			ESTIMATES
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Meghan Croft	4/15/2026	1	
	FDOT PM needs to coordinate with Work Program to get the federal ID number assigned to the Phase 52.			
	Christopher Speese	4/23/2026	1	
	Discussed this with commenter. Advised that this is a MFF project and is utilizing State Funding. Meghan agreed that a Fed ID is not required due to this being State Funded. This has been resolved.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
27	COMMENT AGREED WITH			ESTIMATES
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Meghan Croft	4/15/2026	1	
	Phase 52 Construction Cost is \$38,312,638.77 PDC based on Trnsport quantities. See attached.			
	Tammy Kreisle	4/21/2026	1	
	Thank you.			

<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Melissa Slater	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Michael Rima	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Michael S Dixon	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Michelle Barker	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	16

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
5	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Scott Judson	EQR -page 4	ESTIMATES
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	

Effective July 1, 2026  
Class B RPMs: For permanent RPMs on "Final Lift", Payment for "MOT Lifts" of RPMs moved; see 102-78.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
6	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		EQR - page 23	ESTIMATES
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	
	Quantity is missing on pay item 0110 1 1.			
	Tammy Kreisle	4/14/2026	1	
	The total quantity (LS) for 0110 1 1 is shown on Page 22 with the total acreage shown in the design notes column.			
	Michelle Barker	4/20/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
7	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		EQR - page 76	ESTIMATES
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	
	Approvals required for pay item 0695 10 10 - Coordinate usage with Transportation Monitoring Program. Has coordination taken place?			
	Tucker Ammerman	4/14/2026	1	
	Yes, coordination has taken place with Victor Johnson at the Transportation Data and Analytics Office who has approved the use of Pay Item 695 -10-10.			
	Michelle Barker	4/20/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
9	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		-56 EQR	ESTIMATES
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	
	Is the intent to include this sheet within the lead projects EQR?			
	If the intent is to provide a separate EQR for the 56 work, then an EQR signature page will be required, refer to FDM 948.1 and 902.2.			
	Tammy Kreisle	4/23/2026	1	
	It is the intent for a separate EQR to be provide for the 56 work. An EQR signature page will be included.			
	Michelle Barker	4/23/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
10	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	-56 EQR Mark ups	ESTIMATES
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	
	Please see additional comments on uploaded document.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
11	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Michelle Barker	Key sheet	ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	
	Please add UWHCA to the list of components on all key sheets.			
	Tammy Kreisle	4/21/2026	1	
	The intent is for the UWHCA plans to be strung instead of a component set even though the works are minor for the -6 project. The EQR will be signed and sealed separately. This will be coordinated with the lead project 417540-5 and its UWHCA plans with the same utility.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
12	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 15	ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	

Should this FPID be 417540-5?

Tammy Kreisle 4/21/2026 1

The FPID reference in the station range will be corrected to reflect 417540-5.

Michelle Barker 4/23/2026 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
13	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Mark ups	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	

Please see additional comments on uploaded document.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
14	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Core Boring Key sheet	OTHER

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	

Please remove Surveyors Rule and replace with RULE 61G15-23.004, F.A.C. See FDM 130.2.1.

Tammy Kreisle 4/21/2026 1

The digital sign and seal note has been revised to reflect Rule 61G15-23.004.

Michelle Barker 4/21/2026 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
16	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet U-4	UTILITIES

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	

Per FDM 948.4.1 (5) existing utility should be labeled with disposition. FDOT Standard Specification governs preservation of existing property. Please revise these callouts through these plans.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
17	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet U-11	UTILITIES

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	

Are we adjusting 3 structures, per this table? There is a quantity of 2 shown in the EQR report. Please verify.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
18	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Sheet U-5	UTILITIES

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	

Don't see a sheet 8 for details. Is this sheet U-11?

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
19	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	Mark ups	UTILITIES

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	

Please see additional comments on uploaded document.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
21	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	TSP - page 1	OTHER
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	
	I do not see an -02 sequences associated with this contract.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
22	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	TSP - page 2	OTHER
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	
	This is governed by FDOT specifications, please remove this section.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
23	COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE	Tammy Kreisle	TSP Mark ups	OTHER
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Michelle Barker	4/14/2026	1	
	Please see numerous additional comments on uploaded document.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Michelle Rutishauser	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nathan Poole	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	5

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
31	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheet S-33	SIGNALIZATION
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Nathan Poole	4/17/2026	1	
	Please provide spare conduits into the traffic signal controller cabinet foundation.			
	Tucker Ammerman	4/22/2026	1	
	Spare conduits will be provided in the traffic signal controller cabinet foundation.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
32	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheet S-33	SIGNALIZATION
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Nathan Poole	4/17/2026	1	
	Please provide a fiber optic pull box for future entry into the cabinet.			
	Tucker Ammerman	4/22/2026	1	
	A fiber optic pull box will be added adjacent to the cabinet for future use.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
33	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheets S-34	SIGNALIZATION
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Nathan Poole	4/17/2026	1	
	We have concerns about the feasibility of proposed PHB operations. In addition, please note this scenario has required two traffic signal controller assemblies in the past. We will follow up with the EOR with additional input on the proposed signal operations via email. This will be accomplished within a 2-week time frame.			
	Tucker Ammerman	4/22/2026	1	
	Noted. Will update the design as necessary pending input from our coordination.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
34	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheet S-34	SIGNALIZATION
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Nathan Poole	4/17/2026	1	
	Per FDOT TEM Section 5.2.7.4, Sign A should be either FTP-028-25 or R10-23. Please update accordingly.			
	Tucker Ammerman	4/22/2026	1	
	Sign A will be updated to FTP-028-25 per TEM Section 5.2.7.4.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
35	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheet S-39	INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Nathan Poole	4/17/2026	1	
	Please provide a wiring diagram detailing how the relocated devices are to be connected within the traffic signal controller cabinet.			
	Tucker Ammerman	4/23/2026	1	
	A wiring diagram detail will provided for the connections between the relocated devices and the controller cabinet.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nicole Monies	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nikki Gilmer	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Patrick Bateman	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Paul Simmons	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Ramasamy Venkatesan	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	4

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
8	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	4/14/2026	1	
	Based on boring location plans in GR sheets (GR-6) for Ponds, additional borings may be needed, to obtain borings coverage for the pond 602 B.			
	Tammy Kreisle	4/21/2026	1	
	Additional borings will be completed for Pond 602B to provide adequate boring coverage as required by the SFM.			
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	4/21/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
15	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	4/14/2026	1	
	I see pond borings as part of report of core borings sheets. (GR sheets GR-2 to GR -20). But not sure where the borings for some of the roadway widenings and drainage structures/pipes?			
	Tammy Kreisle	4/21/2026	1	
	The Boring Location Plan and Soil Profiles sheets will be added to the "41754065201-PLANS-01-ROADWAY-COREBORINGS" plan set.			
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	4/21/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
20	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	4/14/2026	1	
	QC review of this phase of plans by Geotech consultant to verify geotech information are correctly incorporated in the plans, per SFH Guidelines			
	Tammy Kreisle	4/21/2026	1	
	A QC review has been completed on the submitted Roadway Plans set and submitted to the design team.			
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	4/21/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
24	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	4/15/2026	1	
	Mastarm/ITS sign structures poles soil borings not included. I see the note to this effect. Why late/delay?. Geotech engineer need to verify assumed soil parameters/standard design is valid at these location before final submittal.			
	Tammy Kreisle	4/21/2026	1	
	The supplemental agreement (SA) for geotechnical services for the PHB miscellaneous structures has been issued since the latest submittal. Soil borings will be completed and a geotechnical report will be issued prior to the next submittal.			
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	4/21/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Robert Forand	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
36	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Special Mast Arm Documentation	STRUCTURES
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Robert Forand	4/21/2026	1	
	Signalization Plans (Sheet S-42) Mast Arm Assemblies Data Table shows Special Mast arms for Mast Arms 1-1,1-2,1-3,1-4 and 1-7, however calculations for the Special design for these mast arms are not included in the Structures report.			
	Tucker Ammerman	4/23/2026	1	
	For this submittal, we used the standard mast arms to verify the arm and pole types. However, based on elevations provided and taking into account the vertical clearance information, the UB and UC dimensions exceed the values for the standard mast arms. Therefore, special mast arm data tables are anticipated for mast arms 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, and 1-7. Furthermore, at the time of the Phase III submittal, geotechnical exploration was ongoing. We will provide FDOT Mathcad calculations for the next submittal.			
	Robert Forand	4/27/2026	1	
	Response accepted based on 4/27/26 email from Tucker Ammerman to Bob Forand clarifying that since this was the Phase IV submittal and the next submittal will be Specs, updated plans and calculations will be sent directly to BCC (Bob Forand) after the design has been finalized in order for the Reviewer to confirm comment incorporation.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Rushil Kasetty	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Ryan Molloy	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Samantha Ervin	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Scott Ellis	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Scott Judson	LEAD DESIGNER	5/1/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Scott Presson	REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Sean Pugh	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Sergio Figueroa	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Shiva Moonian	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Stu Myers	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Tammy Kreisle	CONSULTANT PROJECT MANAGER	5/1/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Todd Boehmer	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Trevor Hawkins	LEAD DESIGNER	5/1/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Tucker Ammerman	LEAD DESIGNER	5/1/2026	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Wayne Shelton	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Wendy Sands	LEAD REVIEWER	4/21/2026	ACTIVE	0