

Submittal Report

Financial Project:	438262-1-52-01	Submittal Type:	PLANS
Submittal Phase:	PHASE II	Submittal Staff Type:	CONSULTANT
Received Date:	3/9/2026	Response Due Date:	5/9/2026
Grace Period:	0	District:	FIRST
Status:	CLOSED	Create Date:	3/9/2026
Create User Id:	RD158JL	Last Update:	3/9/2026
		Last Update User Id:	RD158JL

Description:

Phase II Plans - Resubmittal

This email shall serve as a transmittal letter for a Phase II plans review. Please provide Phase II comments for this project. This project is located in Charlotte County.

****Note:** the project has been modified to include piping a significant length of the project to allow ditch side slopes to minimize/ avoid erosion

The following component sets have been included in this submittal:

- **Roadway**
- **Roadway - Verified utilities**
- **Roadway - Bluebeam Overlay**
- **Signing Marking**
- **Signalization**
- **Lighting**
- **Structures**

The following documentation has been included with this submittal:

- **Bridge Hydraulics Report**
- **Geotechnical Structures Report**
- **Project Edit Report**
- **Estimated Quantities Report**
- **Summary of Pay Items**
- **Environmental Determination Worksheet**
- **KMZ File**
- **TMP Form 240**
- **Roadway Soil Survey Report**
- **Bridge Design Calculation**
- **Stormwater Management Report**
- **Lighting Design Analysis Report**
- **Lane Closure Analysis**
- **CADD Zip File**

Plans and supporting documents can be found in the ERC under the Documents tab or on the local server at the following location:

ERP: S:\Project Management\ERP\4382625201\PhaseII-Resubmittal

PSEE link:

<https://projectsuite.dot.state.fl.us/Pages/Project/Project.aspx?item=438262&itemSeg=1>

Threads:

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Amy Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Belinda Thomas	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Bessie Reina	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Blake Stallworth	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Brent Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Carlos Cabrera	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Casey Schley	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Cassie Lee	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Charles Manganaro	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	23
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
29	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1		
General comment – plan needs to add a note that “all pipe is to be removed unless otherwise noted” or add the note “all pipe is to remain unless otherwise noted”.				
Matthew Gibbs	5/7/2026	1		
This note is covered by FDOT Specification 7-11 Preservation of Existing Property where it states "7-11.1 General: Preserve from damage all existing property within the project limits of or in any way affected by the Work, the removal or destruction of which is not specified in the Plans. This applies to, but is not limited to, public and private property, public and private utilities (except as modified by the provisions of 7-11.5), trees, shrubs, crops, sod, signs, monuments, fences, guardrail, pipe and underground structures..." For this reason we would prefer to not include this note and repeat the specification.				
Charles Manganaro	5/7/2026	1		
The intent of the note is to clarify if there are existing pipes to remain and other locations where existing pipe is to be removed.				
Matthew Gibbs	5/7/2026	1		
The note "All pipe is to remain unless otherwise noted" will be added to plans.				
Charles Manganaro	5/7/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
30	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1		
Sheet 18 – plan is showing to install pipe through the intersection of Easy Street. Plan should show establishing milling and resurfacing of this area once the new pipe has been installed. This is a comment at other side streets where pipes are being constructed across the intersections. Plan MOT does not address how pedestrians and traffic are being detoured when this work is done.				
Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1		
Milling and resurfacing will be added at side streets with open cut drainage pipe replacements. As discussed during the MOT and Constructability meeting held on April 20, 2026, vehicular and pedestrian detours will be added to the plans at locations of open cutting the roadway for the proposed drainage pipe installation and removal.				
Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
31	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Sheet 20 - should the plan show detectible warning surfaces on either side of the driveway turnouts located at approximate stations 216+20 and 217+10, right?			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	Based on the requirements of FDM 222.3, these locations do not require detectable warning surfaces.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
32	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Sheet 22 – the plan does not show the status of the existing sidewalk along the right of way from approximate station 229+75 to 234+40 right. Will this sidewalk remain or removed?			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	This sidewalk is to remain as most of it is located outside the existing ROW and the small section within the ROW provides connection to sidewalk that is currently outside the ROW and does not impact the proposed design.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
33	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Sheets 23, 26 and 34 – plan is showing to install structures S-111, S-210, 30 inch and 48-inch drainage pipes with an approximate excavation of 8' to 9' within 2' to 5' of the existing mast arm foundations. This will require temporary support to prevent the mast arms from moving.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	A note will be added to the TTCP plans stating that the mast arms at these locations shall be supported during drainage installation.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
34	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	General comment – are there any underground contamination sites that will require special hazmat assistance due to dewatering or excavation of soil contamination during drainage installations?			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	The District One Environmental Management Office will submit the Contamination Technical Memo for this project at the Ph IIR design review. Any contamination sites will be addressed at that time.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
35	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	General comment - Drainage cross sections should have all underground utilities plotted? Many utilities were not verified.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	The existing utilities model will be reviewed to ensure all utility lines along the right side of the roadway are shown. No drainage work is being done to the left of the baseline.			

Charles Manganaro 5/5/2026 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
36	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Sheet 24 – proposed drainage pipe excavation will undermine the existing light pole foundation at approximate station 237+18 right.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	A note will be added to hold the light pole at Sta. 237+55 on sheet 24.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
37	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Sheet 25 – the Plaza Entrance median separator, station 245+46 right, has an irrigation system that will be impacted by the proposed improvements. Plan should label curbing type E.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	As discussed during the MOT and Constructability meeting held on April 20, 2026, we will work with the PM to reach out to the plaza owners regarding the irrigation and landscaping impacts.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
38	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Sheet 26 – plan should limit use of vibratory equipment adjacent to the businesses such as Baroudi Cosmetic & Plastic Surgery.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	As discussed during the MOT and Constructability meeting held on April 20, 2026, a note will be included in the plans for non-vibratory rolling for paving operations. The pay item for vibration monitoring (108-2) will remain in the plans for the bridge pile driving.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
39	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Sheet 30 – plan does not address the reestablishment of the existing bus bench pad at station 273+40 right.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	General Note #10 will be revised to include this location as this bench is owned by Creative Outdoor.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
40	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Sheet T-7 - the plan does not reflect the recent relocation of the mast arm foundation on the NE corner of the intersection. This new location appears will be in conflict with the installation of P-210 drainage pipe.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	

We requested and received the plans and CADD files for the recently installed new mast arm and verified the mast arm location per field measurements. The proposed drainage pipe has been adjusted to avoid conflicts with the mast arm foundation.

Charles Manganaro 5/6/2026 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
41	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	General comment – there are existing BFOC hand holes and markers along the proposed sidewalk that will require relocation or adjustment to proposed grades.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	Agreed. We are continuing utility coordination and will coordinate the relocation or replacement of these as necessary.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
42	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	General comment – there are existing light pole foundations along the project that appear will be lower than proposed grades and will be covered up by proposed grade. The plan lighting component does address.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	The proposed design will be reviewed to minimize impacts to existing light pole foundations. For remaining locations, impacted light poles will be addressed.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
43	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Sheets T-4, T-5 - directional islands – plan sheets are showing use of pay item 630-2-11 for conduit installations although, these islands are all concrete. Pay item should be changed to 630-2-17.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	Agreed, we will update the pay item to 630-2-17 when trenching under concrete.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
44	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Lane closure summary has a note listed under the Conclusion which states to "Maintain a minimum of one lane in each direction at all times". This sentence should be deleted.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/7/2026	1	
	The sentence "Maintain a minimum of one lane in each direction at all times" will be removed from the TTC General Notes.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/7/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
45	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	

Environmental Determination Worksheet – Permits – it should indicate requirement for Right of Way permit from Charlotte County.

Matthew Gibbs 5/5/2026 1

A License Agreement is being completed with Charlotte County. The agreement will be added to the EDW for informational purposes.

Charles Manganaro 5/5/2026 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
46	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Plans need to address the requirement for removal of existing rubble rip rap for construction of proposed end bent construction.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	Slope protection details will address removal of existing rubble riprap for proposed end bent construction.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
47	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Business signs will be required to show entrances when proposed construction equipment will be blocking the view of existing signs due to the required construction operations.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	Business signs will be added to the plans for driveways where drainage pipe work will require open cutting.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
48	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Summary of quantity for pay item 102-14 should be increased where drainage pipes are being cut across intersections.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	The quantity for Traffic Control Officer (102-14) will be revised to have additional time for the pipe installations.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
49	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	General comment - has the designer verified if there is existing ITS components, conduit and cabling within the proposed project limits that should be documented and maintained by pay items.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	All existing ITS devices are to remain. Labels will be added for these existing ITS devices to clarify the deposition.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Please provide ITS Maintenance and Locator pay items			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
50	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	Structure plans do not address maintaining boat navigation in the waterway.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	Will coordinate and address boat navigation.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
51	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	4/8/2026	1	
	The following pay items should be considered for this project: temporary paint 6 inch solid white and yellow; light pole relocation; business signs; temporary sheeting/shoring; soil tracking prevention device; tree protection.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	These pay items will be reviewed and added as necessary.			
	Charles Manganaro	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
CHRIS CARPENTER	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Chris Coughlin	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Christopher Forestt	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
1	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Contamination Technical Memorandum	CONTAMINATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Christopher Forestt	3/11/2026	1	
	This comment does not require a written response from the Designers other than acknowledgement.			
	As noted at the last Phase II Design Submittal, the District One Environmental Management Office will submit the Contamination Technical Memorandum for this project at the Phase IIR designs review.			
	Thank you.			
	Again, the Designers need only to acknowledge this comment.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	Acknowledged. Thank you.			
	Christopher Forestt	5/5/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Christopher Morin	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Clifton Johnson	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Daniel Buidens	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Darryl Richard	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
DAVE HAY	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
DAVID DOWLING	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Deborah Barnhill	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Earl Taylor	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Edward Stevens	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Elias Bowne	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Elizabeth Sofsky	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Eric Reese	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Garfield Howell	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	2
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
19	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			SIGNING AND MARKING
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Garfield Howell	4/7/2026	1	
	S-10 Please consider removing the proposed sign assembly R10-15aR at station 234+25			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	The proposed R10-15aR will be removed at 234+25.			
	Garfield Howell	5/7/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
20	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			SIGNING AND MARKING
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Garfield Howell	4/7/2026	1	
	S-10 Please consider providing the W11-2/ W16-9P			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	A W11-2/W16-9P sign will be installed near 231+80.			
	Garfield Howell	5/7/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Gregory Bohde	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Gregory Bowne	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Heather Johnson	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
J.J. Wren	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jacques Louis	IN-HOUSE PROJECT MANAGER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jason Mobsby	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
JASON NEWTON	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jeanna Dean	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
JEFF KEYSER	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jeffrey James	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jesten Abraham	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jhamaul Hanner	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jimmy Vilce	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
JODY MANSELL	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
65	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			SIGNALIZATION

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
JODY MANSELL	4/9/2026	1	

Only Comments I have are the following:

1. Harbor not a fan of adding ped stations on the NE Corner
2. Ped 6 in the island on the SE corner is constantly run over; would it be possible to explore options for relocating it out of the island.
3. All peds that are fed from the mast arms throughout the project to remain that way
4. is it necessary to add all these extra pull boxes throughout the project?

Matthew Gibbs 5/5/2026 1

1. The ped detector stations will be removed and new pedestrian signal assemblies provided.
2. Due to the geometry of the intersection, the ped pole for P6 is required to be within the island. This project is intended to construct the missing segments of sidewalk and make required ADA updates. Revision of intersection geometry would require extensive construction beyond the scope of this project.
3. The design will be updated to show the pedestrian signals being fed from the mast arm structures.
4. We were instructed to not re-use existing conduits and pull boxes. The use of the extra pull boxes is being revisited.

JODY MANSELL 5/7/2026 1

Thank you much appreciated.

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Kubicki	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Parker	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jonah Johnson	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Joseph Harper	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kaitlin Phillips	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Karina Della Sera	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Katharine Causey	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kayla Sapp	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Keith Robbins	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
KENNETH YINGER	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	4
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
52	COMMENT RESOLVED		Plans	DRAINAGE
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
KENNETH YINGER	4/8/2026	1		

Roadway Plans:

- (Sheets 4, 7) "Preview Test" labels appear on Drainage Map sheets 4 and 7. Please review.
- (Sheet 18 and others) Please provide shapes in plan view with reference to the rubble riprap special detail.
- (Sheet 95) P-402 linework missing. Please review.
- (Sheets 100-129) Display and label existing and proposed surfaces along the centerline of the pipe network in Drainage Network Profiles per FDM 916 (5).
- (Sheet 112 and others) Multiple text overstrikes on Drainage Network Profiles, please adjust as necessary.
- (Sheets 123-129) All pipe labels in the 500 series appear to be incorrect in Drainage Network Profiles sheets 123-129. Please review.
- (Sheet 137 and others) See previous ERC comment 51(I). Existing features are still shown in the drainage cross sections, affecting clarity of the proposed design. Please review.
- (Sheet 145) S-806 label missing from Drainage Network Cross Section at station 253+05.00.
- (Sheet 84 and others) Recommend adding drainage structure paragraphs for closed flume inlets on the Drainage Network Plan, rather than the special details. Please include the structure elevation, which does not currently appear to be given. Also, it is recommended to add proposed drainage structure labels to the special details in which they are directly related to the detailed work.

Matthew Gibbs 5/6/2026 1

- The drainage structure labels will be updated where "preview test" appears.
- Rip rap will be shown on the Roadway Plan.
- Pipe P-402 will be shown.
- The Drainage Network Profiles will be updated to label the Exist. and Proposed Ground lines. The proposed ground lines will be added once the model final surface has been completed.
- The text overlaps will be revised on the Drainage Network Profiles.
- The pipe and structure numbers will be reviewed and revised for the 500 series.
- We have attempted multiple times to remove these features and are coordinating with the CADD office to remedy.
- Flume drainage structure cross sections will be added and structure numbers shown.
- The drainage structure paragraphs for the closed flumes will be added to the Drainage Network Plans and Special Details.

Jacques Louis 5/15/2026 1

Good afternoon Matt,

Kenny is no longer with PGA; however, we did review the comments and had no issues.

I am not sure how the process will work to close out Kenny's comments.

Please let me know if there is anything needed from our end to close them out.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
53	COMMENT RESOLVED		Plans	DRAINAGE
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	KENNETH YINGER	4/8/2026	1	
	Special Details: a. Please review the gutter grade from STA 228+87 to STA 229+50. b. Please review the gutter grade from STA 250+27 to STA 251+57. c. Please review other locations for similar issue. Please get approval for any locations that do not meet the minimum gutter grade requirements.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	A. The gutter grade between 228+92.55 to 229+50 has been confirmed to be 0.3%. B. The gutter grade between 250+32.82 to 251+46.78 will be updated. C. There are two locations with a grade of 0.2% which Brent Setchell provided approval for on 1/23/26. The email correspondence for this is included in the Design Documentation.			
	Jacques Louis	5/15/2026	1	
	Good afternoon Matt, Kenny is no longer with PGA; however, we did review the comments and had no issues. I am not sure how the process will work to close out Kenny's comments. Please let me know if there is anything needed from our end to close them out.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
54	COMMENT RESOLVED		EQR	DRAINAGE
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	KENNETH YINGER	4/8/2026	1	
	EQR a. Please review the Rubble and Bedding Stone quantities. They appear to cover approximately 7900-sqft. b. Drainage inlet and manhole stationing appear to be minimally but consistently different than the drainage paragraphs in the Drainage Network Plans. Please review and update as necessary. c. Per BOE § 2.3.1, LF precision is to the nearest whole foot. Recommend rounding pipe lengths up to ensure adequate quantity is provided at each location. d. P-12 should be categorized as SD pipe under pay item 0430174124. e. All Mitered End Sections apart from S-12 and S-13 should be categorized as S/CD as they are directly connected to inlets/closed systems. Recommend updating pay items accordingly.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	a. We will review the rubble riprap and bedding stone quantities. b. We will review the drainage and manhole stationing to be consistent, however this may be related to the drainage cells and how automated drainage quantities are developed. We will review with the CADD office and update accordingly. c. The pipe lengths are developed from the takeoff manager which determines the number of significant figures and the total rounded value. The BOE manual Chapter 2.3.2 includes the following language: The calculations are performed with one additional significant figure, and then rounded to the above precision for quantity total. The BOE specifies not to use "up rounding". The pay items in the automated Summary Tables in the EQ Report have precision and rounding in accordance with this section. For these reasons we prefer to maintain the quantity and follow the BOE direction. d. We will update the pay item for P-12. e. We will update the pay item numbers for the MES accordingly.			
	Jacques Louis	5/15/2026	1	
	Good afternoon Matt, Kenny is no longer with PGA; however, we did review the comments and had no issues. I am not sure how the process will work to close out Kenny's comments. Please let me know if there is anything needed from our end to close them out.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
55	COMMENT RESOLVED		Plans	DRAINAGE
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	KENNETH YINGER	4/8/2026	1	
	Cost Savings Comment: a. Please review the proposed stormsewer layout as there are several locations that would appear to have excess inlets with a ditch run. For example, the ditch system from STA 261+00 to STA 263+00 proposes to use three inlets, but there appears to be sufficient freeboard in the ditch. Can the number of inlets be reduced? Please review other similar locations. b. Please review the inlet placement from STA 268+00 to STA 271+00. It appears inlets were placed to accept offsite flow from a series of 4" pipes. However, could the number of inlets be reduced at this location?			

Matthew Gibbs 5/5/2026 1

a. The drainage network will be reviewed and revised to reduce the number of inlets where possible.
 B. The inlets between sta. 268 and 271 will be reviewed with the pipe inverts to determine if inlets can be removed.

Jacques Louis 5/15/2026 1

Good afternoon Matt,

Kenny is no longer with PGA; however, we did review the comments and had no issues.

I am not sure how the process will work to close out Kenny's comments.

Please let me know if there is anything needed from our end to close them out.

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kevin Ingle	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kisan Patel	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	6

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
21	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
------------	------------	---------	--------------

Kisan Patel 4/8/2026 1

In the next submittal, please submit the GR sheets.

Matthew Gibbs 5/5/2026 1

We will coordinate with the Roadway Team to ensure that the "GR" sheets from the Sidewalk Improvements Geotechnical Report are included in the next submittal.

Kisan Patel 5/18/2026 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
22	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
------------	------------	---------	--------------

Kisan Patel 4/8/2026 1

In the next submittal, please include a marked up set of prints from the quality control review of the plans from the Geotech in accordance with Soils and Foundation Handbook (SFH) Section 9.6. The intent of this plans review from the Geotech EOR is to make sure the Geotechnical recommendations from geotech report are correctly incorporated into the plans and to ensure proper coverage of borings for each element.

From SFH section 9.6:
 "In addition to writing the report, the Geotechnical Engineer shall review all phases of the plans and specifications to ensure that the geotechnical recommendations have been correctly incorporated. A marked up set of prints from the Quality Control Review, signed by the geotechnical reviewer, shall be submitted with each phase submittal. The responsible Professional Engineer performing the Quality Control review shall provide a signed statement certifying the review was conducted."

Matthew Gibbs 5/5/2026 1

A QC Review of the Roadway and Structures Plans will be performed for geotechnical concurrence in accordance with SFH 9.6. The QC Review documentation will be included in the next submittal.

Kisan Patel 5/18/2026 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
23	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
------------	------------	---------	--------------

Kisan Patel 4/8/2026 1

Structures Geotech Report and EQR: 455-137 should be include the redrives so the quantities should be 7 piles + 3 redrives = 10.

Matthew Gibbs 5/5/2026 1

Table 4.7.1 of the Geotechnical Structures Report will be revised to indicate a quantity of 10 for 455-137 (Load Test (Dynamic), Data Collection and Analysis). Quantity for 455-137 will be updated to 10 to include the redrives.

Kisan Patel 5/18/2026 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
25	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Kisan Patel	4/8/2026	1	
	B1-08: consider changing the test pile for EB 3 to 95 feet. Bents 1 and 2 have 95ft test piles.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	The test pile length for EB-3 will be revised to a 95 feet to match the test pile lengths for EB-1 and IB-2.			
	Kisan Patel	5/18/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
27	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Kisan Patel	4/8/2026	1	
	B1-05 and B1-06: What is GSA-01 on the boring map?			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	GSA-01 represents the location where the grab sample from the canal bottom was collected for D50 testing. The GSA-01 symbol will be removed from the RCB sheet for clarity.			
	Kisan Patel	5/18/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
28	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			GEOTECH/MATERIALS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Kisan Patel	4/8/2026	1	
	B1-08 and EQR: Since there are redrives in the pay items (455 115). A note needs to be included on the pile data sheet stating the reason and timeframe for the redrives.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	A note will be added to the Pile Data Table indicating pile redrives are recommended 24 hours after initial drive due to the soil conditions encountered in the two bridge borings.			
	Kisan Patel	5/18/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kristin Caruso	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kyle Purvis	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Laura Herrero	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	8

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
57	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Laura Herrero	4/9/2026	1	
	This project will require an environmental certification from the Environmental Management Office (EMO). It is acknowledged that the Environmental Determination Worksheet (EDW) has been provided with this review. The EMO Dept. will fill-out the remaining information on the EDW. According to the current production schedule, this project is scheduled to go to Production on November 5, 2026. Environmental certifications are typically issued within 2 weeks prior to the R/W acquisition date (if applicable) and 2 weeks prior to the production date. Please advise EMO if the production schedule for this project changes. EMO will continue to review this project during subsequent phase reviews for environmental involvement.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	Thank you and we will advise EMO if the production schedule changes.			
	Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1	

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
58	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Laura Herrero	4/9/2026	1	
	Section 7-1.4: Compliance with Federal Endangered Species Act and other Wildlife Regulations of the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction manual should be adhered to for wildlife involvement during construction. This section addresses what the contractor must do in the event of unanticipated interactions with protected species and the requirement to notify the FDOT a minimum of 30 days in advance of using an off-site area for ancillary construction needs (e.g. staging, borrow-pits).			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	Agree, the FDOT Specifications are referenced on the keysheet for the contractor to comply with.			
	Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
59	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Laura Herrero	4/9/2026	1	
	As identified on the EDW, there will be surface water impacts and in-water work associated with the installation of the pedestrian bridge over the Morningstar waterway. Please continue to coordinate with the District Permits Coordinator for the required State Environmental Resource Permit and federal permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	Acknowledged and coordination will continue with the District Permits Coordinator.			
	Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
60	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Laura Herrero	4/9/2026	1	
	Please be advised there has been a bald eagle nest (ID No. CH074) identified in the past located near the Elldam waterway on the south side of US 41 (latitude 26.983667/longitude -82.102833), located approximately 160 feet from the project limits. According to Audubon's Florida Eagle Watch, this nest was inactive in 2025. Should this nest become active, construction activities located within 660-feet of an active nest are regulated by the FWC/USFWS during nesting season (October 1 – May 15). No work is permitted within 330-feet of an active nest during nesting season without first obtaining a permit and any work proposed between 330-660 feet during nesting season requires a wildlife biologist to monitor the nest during construction.			
	1. Please show the location of the bald eagle nest on the plans along with a 330-foot and 660-foot buffer around the nest. A Special Provision exists for the eagle and will need to be included in the specifications package if the project is within 660 feet of the nest(s).			
	2. If an assessment for protected species is not part of the design scope of services a field review by Environmental Management Office (EMO) personnel will be needed to assess the current location/status of the nest. EMO will provide any revised nest locations to the design team when available.			
	3. Please evaluate the letting date of the project and the construction duration. The letting date may need to be adjusted to allow time for the contractor to complete the project outside of the nesting season if it is determined there is an active nest. Please contact Kristin Caruso at Kristin.Caruso@dot.state.fl.us to discuss eagle nest constraints.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	1. Bald eagles nest buffer distances will be added to the plans.			
	2. The bald eagle nest (ID No. CH074) located near the Sunrise Waterway on the southwest side of US 41 (latitude 26.983667/longitude -82.102833) was surveyed on May 8, 2025, by OEM and determined not to be occupied by eagles, and no other nests were observed.			
	3. We will evaluate the letting date and coordinate with Kristin Caruso regarding potential schedule and eagles nest constraints.			
	Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
61	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Laura Herrero	4/9/2026	1	

The project is located within the USFWS consultation area for the Florida bonneted bat. Based on the location of this project and proposed construction activities, a survey for this species may be required. Installation of the pedestrian bridge over the Morningstar waterway will be immediately adjacent to the existing bridge structure which may potentially support utilization of bat species. If an assessment for protected species is not part of the design scope of services, a field review by Environmental Management Office (EMO) personnel may be needed. Please coordinate with Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in EMO by this ERC submittal response due date for review and to initiate the bat survey, if needed.

Matthew Gibbs 5/7/2026 1

A Natural Resources survey was accomplished by EMO on May 8th, 2025, and it was determined that Brazilian free-tailed bats were present at Bridge No. 010045. No observations of FBB were noted.

Laura Herrero 5/13/2026 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
62	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Laura Herrero	4/9/2026	1	
	The project is located adjacent to waters accessible to swimming sea turtles. Please include the Special Provision for sea turtles in the specifications package. Please coordinate with Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in the Environmental Management Office for further guidance.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	The special provision for sea turtles will be included in the Specifications Package. We will follow up with Kristin Caruso for further guidance.			
	Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
63	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Laura Herrero	4/9/2026	1	
	It appears that in-water work is proposed. Please be aware that the project is located within critical habitat/USFWS consultation area for the manatee. Coordination with the USFWS may be required. Please include the Special Provision for manatees in the specifications package. Please coordinate with Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in the Environmental Management Office for further assistance.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	The special provision for manatees will be included in the Specifications Package. We will follow up with Kristin Caruso for further guidance.			
	Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
64	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Laura Herrero	4/9/2026	1	
	It appears that in-water work is proposed. Please be aware that the project is located within critical habitat for the smalltooth sawfish/waters potentially accessible to the smalltooth sawfish. Coordination with the USFWS/NMFS may be required. Please include the Special Provision for smalltooth sawfish in the specifications package. Please coordinate with Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in the Environmental Management Office for further assistance.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1	
	The special provision for smalltooth sawfish will be included in the Specifications Package. We will follow up with Kristin Caruso for further guidance.			
	Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Lawrence Zagardo	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Every	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Peronto	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Roberts		LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	2
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
24	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Estimated Quantities Report	INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION	
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Mark Roberts	4/8/2026	1	Please ensure pay item 633-6 (Fiber Optic Cable Locator) is added to the project.	
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1		
	We will ensure pay item 633-6 is included in the project.				
	Mark Roberts	5/19/2026	1	Response Accepted & Comment Closed	
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
26	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Roadway Plans-Utility Adjustment Sheets 295-313	INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION	
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Mark Roberts	4/8/2026	1	Per FDM Section 923.2, please ensure the existing ATMS infrastructure is shown and labeled.	
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1		
	We will coordinate and add this information to the plans.				
	Mark Roberts	5/19/2026	1	Response Accepted & Comment Closed	
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments	
Marlene Hebert	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*	
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments	
Marshall Douberley	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*	
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments	
Martin Smith	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0	
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments	
MARY KATE ROBERTS	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	1	
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
56	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CULTURAL RESOURCES,ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.	
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	MARY KATE ROBERTS	4/8/2026	1	Phase II Revised plans (dated March 2026) were compared to Phase II plans (dated April 2025). There are no substantial changes to the scope of work. Based on a desktop review, there are known cultural resource concerns with this project. There are unrecorded historic resources within the project's Historic Area of Potential Effects (APE). We recommend a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS), including field survey, be prepared and submitted to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for review. The Environmental Management Office (EMO) will coordinate the production of this document with SHPO prior to the completion of the environmental certification. The SHPO will have 30 days to respond once the document is submitted.	
	Matthew Gibbs	5/5/2026	1		
	Thank you for informing us that EMO will be completing the CRAS and coordinating it with SHPO.				
	MARY KATE ROBERTS	5/7/2026	1	Response Accepted & Comment Closed	
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments	
Matthew A. Miller	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0	

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Matthew Gibbs	CONSULTANT PROJECT MANAGER	5/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
MD Rahman	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Megan Arp	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
8	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Megan Arp	4/6/2026	1	
	Based on a desktop review, it looks as though there may be a handful of mangroves in the vicinity of the proposed pedestrian bridge. Will a review be performed to make sure mangroves will not be impacted?			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	OEM coordinated with NOAA NMFS on this project, and a letter dated July 11, 2025, from NMFS provided concurrence with the project's EFH determination as long as mitigation is provided. This will be included in the permit package that will be reviewed by the Environmental Permits Coordinator.			
	Megan Arp	5/11/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Megan Stroud	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Meghan Croft	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Melissa Slater	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Melody Joyner	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Michael Rima	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Michelle Barker	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	5
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
9	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		EQR - page 63	ESTIMATES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Michelle Barker	4/7/2026	1	
	Transport has a total quantity of 688 for pay item 0630 2 11. Please verify.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	The quantity in Trnsport will be updated to match the total quantity in the EQ Report.			
	Michelle Barker	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
10	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Key Sheets	SIGNALIZATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Michelle Barker	4/7/2026	1	
	Structures component is missing from component list on signalization and lighting key sheet.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	The Structures Component will be added to the Signalization and Lighting Key Sheets.			
	Michelle Barker	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
11	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet L-5	LIGHTING/ELECTRICAL
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Michelle Barker	4/7/2026	1	
	This pay item (641-2-11) is not listed in Transport or in the EQR. Please verify.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	The pay item will be added to Trnsport and the EQ report.			
	Michelle Barker	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
12	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet L-6	LIGHTING/ELECTRICAL
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Michelle Barker	4/7/2026	1	
	This pay item (639-3-11) is not listed in Transport or in the EQR. Please verify.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	The pay item will be added to Trnsport and the EQ report.			
	Michelle Barker	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
13	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Mark ups	STRUCTURES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Michelle Barker	4/7/2026	1	
	Please update to FY 2026-27 for standard plans and specifications.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	The Structures note will be updated to show the Standard Plans and Spec Year of FY 2026-27.			
	Michelle Barker	5/6/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nathan Poole	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Neal Turner	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nicole Monies	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nikki Gilmer	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Patrick Bateman		LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Paul Keller		LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Paul Simmons		LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Rebecca Johnson		REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
2	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ESTIMATES	
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Rebecca Johnson	3/16/2026	1		
	Phase 52 Construction Cost is \$10,547,075.81 PDC based on Trns*Port quantities. See attached file.				
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1		
	Thank you for providing this information.				
	Rebecca Johnson	5/6/2026	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
ROBERT FAKHRI		LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Robert Forand		REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	5
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
14	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		B1-12	STRUCTURES	
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Robert Forand	4/7/2026	1		
	Intermediate Bent and End Bent bearing pad dimensions (plan size and thickness) are not shown in the 60% submittal. The final bearing pad size directly affects beam seat geometry, clearances, and reinforcement layout at both locations. Without preliminary pad dimensions, it is not possible to verify that the seat width, edge distances, and reinforcing shown in the bent cap sections are adequate. Please verify the assumed bearing pad geometry for the next submittal and provide the proposed pad sizes along with supporting calculations for the neoprene bearing pads.				
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1		
	Bearing pad dimensions will be shown in the next submittal.				
	Robert Forand	5/10/2026	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
15	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		B1-03	STRUCTURES	
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Robert Forand	4/7/2026	1		
	Drainage slots are shown schematically in the typical section; however, their locations along the bridge are not indicated in the 60% submittal. Please provide the proposed drainage slot locations and spacing in the next submittal so their impact on structural detailing and constructability can be evaluated.				
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1		
	Drainage slot locations will be included in the next submittal.				
	Robert Forand	5/10/2026	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
16	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		B1-03	STRUCTURES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Robert Forand	4/7/2026	1	
	Please note that the reinforcing bars shown in Standard Drawing 521 820 (page 34/39) for connecting the parapet to the deck may not be applicable here. With only a 6 inch deck thickness, it becomes difficult to achieve the required development length and maintain FDOT's minimum concrete cover (approximately 1.5 inches). The limited depth restricts proper anchorage of the parapet reinforcement into the deck. Confirm if revised connection details will need to be developed and submitted in the next submittal.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	Connection details are needed and will be shown in the next submittal.			
	Robert Forand	5/10/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
17	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Calcs Pg 15/266	STRUCTURES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Robert Forand	4/7/2026	1	
	The deck concrete strength is shown as $f'c = 4.5$ ksi, while the General Notes list the cast in place concrete topping as $f'c = 3.4$ ksi. Please reconcile.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	Cast in place concrete topping is Class II Bridge Deck and should also be $f'c=4.5$ ksi. Will correct general notes.			
	Robert Forand	5/10/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
18	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Calcs - General	STRUCTURES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Robert Forand	4/7/2026	1	
	Thermal movement calculations and creep/shrinkage effects have not been provided. Shrinkage of the 6 inch CIP topping may be significant and should be evaluated. Please include these calculations in the next submittal.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	These calculations will be included in the next submittal.			
	Robert Forand	5/10/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Rushil Kasetty	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Ryan Molloy	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Samantha Ervin	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Scott Ellis	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Scott Presson	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Sergio Figueroa	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Shiva Moonian	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Stephen Pack	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Steven Davis	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Stu Myers	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Tanya Merkle	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Tia Norman	REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	4
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
3	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Tia Norman	3/30/2026	1	
	Based on review of the resubmittal of PH II plans, and the 2/5/2026 pre-application meeting with WMD, it was determined that environmental permits will be required. A minor modification to ERP #43009761.001 from SWFWMD is anticipated for the modification of the existing drainage system. The pedestrian bridge is anticipated to require a General ERP per 62-330.443, FAC. A Nationwide Permit from USACE is also anticipated for this project. It is our understanding that the consultant will be applying for permits on this project. Please provide a copy of your permit application to review prior to submitting to the agencies. Please provide the Department with the revised Phase II plans for review prior to submittal for permits.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	Acknowledged. The permit package has been submitted to FDOT for review prior to submitting to the permit agencies.			
	Tia Norman	5/7/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
4	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Tia Norman	3/30/2026	1	
	Due to the proximity of Morningstar Canal to USACE Civil Works projects (Charlotte Harbor, project ID 6980), please note that coordination may be required with USACE's Section 408 which requires additional review time. Please coordinate with the Environmental Permits Coordinator (Nicole Monies, Nicole.Monies@dot.state.fl.us) to determine the need for Section 408 review.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	We will reach out to the Environmental Permits Coordinator for further coordination.			
	Tia Norman	5/7/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
5	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Tia Norman	3/30/2026	1	
	As part of the ERP application package, please include Form 251-A ERP Permit Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Narrative for FDOT Projects.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	Acknowledged. Thank you.			
	Tia Norman	5/7/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
7	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Tia Norman	3/30/2026	1	
	On all current and future projects, the Permits Department is requesting the roadway plans that are to be submitted for permits (i.e. Ph. II or Ph. IIR), be digitally signed and sealed by all of the necessary Engineers of Record.			
	Matthew Gibbs	5/6/2026	1	
	Acknowledged. Thank you.			
	Tia Norman	5/7/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Timmy Meeks	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Todd Boehmer	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Walter Breuggeman	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wayne Gaither	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wayne Shelton	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wendy Sands	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wilfredo Alfonzo	LEAD REVIEWER	4/9/2026	ACTIVE	0