

Submittal Report

Financial Project:	446205-1-52-01	Submittal Type:	PLANS
Submittal Phase:	PHASE IV	Submittal Staff Type:	CONSULTANT
Received Date:	4/9/2026	Response Due Date:	6/3/2026
Grace Period:	0	District:	FIRST
Status:	OPEN	Create Date:	4/9/2026
Create User Id:	KNHNTSM	Last Update:	4/9/2026
		Last Update User Id:	KNHNTSM

Description:

FPID: 446205-1-52-01 US 17 from N of SR 64 to Bell St - RRR (LEAD). This project goes with 446204-1-52-01 - POP currently in ERC for Phase IV review.

This submittal includes Plans, Documents, EQ Report and KMZ file.

S:\Project Management\ERP\44620515201

Threads:

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Amy Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Belinda Thomas	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Blake Stallworth	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Bradley Musser	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Brent Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Casey Schley	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	9

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
15	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		T346	STRUCTURES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Casey Schley	5/5/2026	1	
	Recommend discussing the Fibrous Concrete with District Structures as Latex Modified Concrete, pay item 405-70-A, is typically used for this, per Structures detailing manual.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Agree with specifying a Latex Modified Concrete for the sidewalk overlay application on the bridge approach slabs (similar to SDM Figure 18.2-1). TSP, plan notes/details, pay items, and quantities, will be updated accordingly.			
	Casey Schley	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
16	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Casey Schley	5/5/2026	1	
	2'8" sod treatments are no longer called 'treatments', refer to standard plans 570-010.			
	Shoulder drop-off soil pay item 570-13 should be added, refer to BOE for additional guidance.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	The typicals will be updated and pay item 570-13 will be added.			
	Casey Schley	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
17	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Key sheet	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Casey Schley	5/5/2026	1	
	Recommend revising index of roadway plans on key sheet to match exhibits in FDM 910.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	The key sheet will be updated.			
	Casey Schley	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
18	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 38	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Casey Schley	5/5/2026	1	
	Please include coordinates or address to location of SR 62 borrow pit for note 5 requirements. Can a point of contact be provided as well?			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will coordinate with our PM and provide the requested information.			
	Casey Schley	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
19	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Casey Schley	5/5/2026	1	
	See attached markups for your reference.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Noted, thank you.			
	Casey Schley	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
20	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		EQR	ESTIMATES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Casey Schley	5/5/2026	1	
	Strating July 1st. Temp RPMs are to be paid for under 102-78-A, refer to BOE for additional guidance.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Agree, the temporary RPM pay item will be shown under 102-78-2.			
	Casey Schley	6/4/2026	1	

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
21	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		EQR	ESTIMATES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Casey Schley	5/5/2026	1	
	Add locations in the EQR for excavation (120-1) and embankment (120-6).			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Locations for earthwork will be added.			
	Casey Schley	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
22	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		EQR	ESTIMATES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Casey Schley	5/5/2026	1	
	Bridge structure number should be added next to FPID within the Summary of Structure Quantities table.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Agree, the bridge number (060057) will be shown on EQR as per the BOE Manual EQ Report Examples.			
	Casey Schley	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
23	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		EQR	ESTIMATES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Casey Schley	5/5/2026	1	
	See attached EQR markups for your reference,			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Noted, thank you.			
	Casey Schley	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Cassie Lee	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Charles Manganaro	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	9

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
34	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	5/11/2026	1	
	General comment – plan should address the placement of sacrificial asphalt layer where the concrete cross walks are being replaced with widening pavement design. This sacrificial layer will be placed and then removed when the milling operations are completed. This will avoid a drop/bump in pavement surface grade for this 10’.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will review the placement of sacrificial asphalt layer to avoid a drop/bump in the pavement surface.			
	Charles Manganaro	6/3/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
35	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	5/11/2026	1	
	Sheet 38 – note 5 – please provide an address and contact number of proposed pit and requirement to give an advance notification of delivery.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will coordinate with our PM and provide the requested information.			
	Charles Manganaro	6/3/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
36	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	5/11/2026	1	
	Sheet 51 – plan does not label the begin station of pipe railing on the proposed guardrail from station 1176+44 right.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	A begin station label will be added.			
	Charles Manganaro	6/3/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
37	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	5/11/2026	1	
	Sheet 68 – the plan should label the removal of concrete aprons and the adjustment of existing streetlight pull boxes to finish grade left of centerline.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Text callouts will be reviewed and updated as required.			
	Charles Manganaro	6/3/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
38	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	5/11/2026	1	
	Sheet 90 is showing to remove and replace curb and gutter on the northeast and west corners although plan does not acknowledge reworking of the existing brick paver sidewalks.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	The intent is to replace the curb and gutter without impacting the existing pavers.			
	Charles Manganaro	6/3/2026	1	
	Please make it clear you expect to have contractor sawcut along the lip of gutter to avoid damage to the brick pavers			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
39	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	5/11/2026	1	
	Summary of quantities – is showing pay item 706-1-3 for use to install temporary reflective pavement markers. Effective July 2026 per Basis of Estimate this payment should be paid by pay item 102-78-2.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Agree, the temporary RPM pay item will be shown under 102-78-2.			
	Charles Manganaro	6/3/2026	1	

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
40	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	5/11/2026	1	
	Summary of quantities – pay items 530-1-100, 530-3-3 and 530-74 – the total quantities shown are only enough for 1 end bent.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	The intent is to leave the existing slope protection in place (not replace), and only add a small amount of new slope protection for the newly widened portion of the bridge, as shown on Sheet B1-22. Will verify the accuracy of these quantities.			
	Charles Manganaro	6/3/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
41	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	5/11/2026	1	
	Summary of quantities – pay item 700-1-111 shows a total quantity of 155 ea although the plans only total a quantity of 153 ea.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will review and revise the quantity for Pay Item 700-1-111.			
	Charles Manganaro	6/3/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
42	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Charles Manganaro	5/11/2026	1	
	TCP plan must address how to mill and resurface and how to transition from the milled lane to the adjacent lane through intersections. Please see attached plan sheet being used in District 7 for projects with deep milling depths for maintaining traffic.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Milling and resurfacing operations including lane drop-offs and transitions between milled and adjacent lanes through intersections, are addressed through the standard details provided in FDOT Index 102-600. The proposed TTCP is intended to follow these standard requirements.			
	Charles Manganaro	6/3/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Chris Coughlin	LEAD REVIEWER	5/12/2026	ACTIVE	15

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
51	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 2 / Signature Sheet	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	Provided a BIM Manifest is included, please provide the Statement of Responsibility / FAC rule for the manifest. See Exhibit 910-4 of the FDM.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	The note will be added.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
52	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 3 / Typical Sections (1)	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	Per FDM 913.3 (5), only Design Speed is to be provided in Traffic Data. Posted Speed or Target Speed are not to be shown. Please review. Is it represented only on this Typical Due to the Posted Speed being greater than the Design Speed?			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	The typical will be updated.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
53	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 5 / Typical Sections (3)	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	Consider removing 'Treatment' from label, as the term is no longer used in Index 570-010 of the Standard Plans. See Typical Section Exhibits in FDM 913 for reference.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	The typicals will be updated.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
54	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 10 / Typical Sections (8)	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	a) Provided the Design Speed of the flush shoulder roadway is 55 MPH, & there is a superelevated curve within the limits, please confirm the order of desirability for the sidewalk location, offset from the roadway, was explored prior to settling on the proposed offset just beyond the full shoulder width.			
	b) Please clarify why OBG 12 was selected for side street reconstruction.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	a) The order of sidewalk desirability was explored while designing sidewalk locations.			
	b) The pavement design shown on the typical is for Sterner Rd side street and the OBG 12 was selected to match existing pavement/base thickness.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
55	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 14 / Typical Section Details (2)	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	Proposed turnout construction base is quantified under Pay Item 285-702, OBG 2. Please confirm it should not be quantified under Pay Item 286 -1., Turnout Construction / Driveway Base - Optional Materials.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Pay item 286-1 is included in the EQR. We will review the plans & EQR to ensure consistency.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
56	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 15 / Typical Section Details (3)	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
------------	------------	---------	--------------

Chris Coughlin 5/12/2026 1

a) Please clarify intent of varying dimension. It appears to be incomplete. Is dimension intended to reduce to 0' on either side of the proposed pavement crown?

b) Typically, the limits of overbuild are defined through the use of indicating a proposed slope that is to 'Match Exist.'. See Exhibit 913-13 of the FDM. Tables define proposed cross slopes at either limit Consider including these locations to define overbuild limits.

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

a) The detail will be updated to show constant dimensions.
b) The overbuild table will be updated to define the limits.

Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
----------------	----------	---	--

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
57	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 43 / Roadway Plan (5)	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
------------	------------	---------	--------------

Chris Coughlin 5/12/2026 1

Does the Peace River Campground turnout need to be resurfaced to the R/W? It appears resurfacing to the curb returns would allow for crosswalk & stop bar markings to be refreshed. Please review. See others.

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

All locations of M&R will be reviewed. The Peace River Campground turnout M&R was taken to the pavement joint at the R/W.

Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
----------------	----------	---	--

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
58	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 46 / Roadway Plan (8)	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
------------	------------	---------	--------------

Chris Coughlin 5/12/2026 1

Was consideration given to matching the existing pavement joint for turnout near Sta. 1138+90, LT?

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

The M&R was taken to the R/W since the pavement was in poor condition.

Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
----------------	----------	---	--

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
59	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 56 / Roadway Plan (18)	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
------------	------------	---------	--------------

Chris Coughlin 5/12/2026 1

Typically, pavement joints along side streets are perpendicular to the side street alignment when possible. Is there a reason the proposed joint along Stenstrom Rd is not quite perpendicular? See others.

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

The milling shapes will be updated.

Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
----------------	----------	---	--

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
60	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 63 / Roadway Plan (25)	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	a) Please clarify intent of sidewalk alignment in the SE return of E Bay St. Was it designed to avoid reconstructing the curb ramp & relocating pull boxes?			
	b) Resurfacing the turnout near Sta. 1258+40, LT, was added after Phase II. Please clarify intent. Is it necessary? If so, could resurfacing be reduced to only capture refreshing the stop bar & new crosswalk markings?			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	a. The sidewalk on E Bay St was designed to avoid the existing traffic pull boxes. The sidewalk alignment will be reviewed to ensure it meets ADA at the match existing locations.			
	b. The M&R was taken to the R/W, rather than leaving a gap of pavement that wouldn't be resurfaced for several years.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
61	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 69 / Roadway Plan (31)	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	Google Earth indicates the existing trees north of the driveway may not provide for the required vertical clearance above the proposed sidewalk. Please review, as they may need to be addressed.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will review the vertical clearance above the proposed sidewalk and add notes to the plans to plans as required.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
62	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 72 / Roadway Plan (34)	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	Does resurfacing need to extend as far down Sterner Rd as proposed? Can it be reduced?			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will review and reduce the M&R limits if feasible.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
63	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 94 / Roadway Plan (56)	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	Please clarify why symbology is used to depict 6" sidewalk on this sheet, but others where it is proposed, it is not.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We'll review all sidewalk locations to provide consistent labels. 6" sidewalk is proposed at this specific location since cars drive over & park daily on the sidewalk.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
64	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		EQR / Page 69 - Summary of Performance Turf	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	Accompanying shoulder sodding on resurfacing projects should be Pay Item No. 570-13, Shoulder Drop-Off Soil. The intent is for this quantity to be estimated over the length of the project, & account for any soil that may be needed to correct excessive drop-off conditions. Please review the Basis of Estimates - DQE. Regardless of the amount of soil included in the EQR, the contractor will only be paid for the actual amount placed in the field.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will review and add pay item 570-13 to the EQR.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
65	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Chris Coughlin	5/12/2026	1	
	Attached is the marked-up plan set for your reference.			
	Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments. Chris Coughlin, P.E. Florida Department of Transportation D1 Chris.Coughlin@dot.state.fl.us (Cell) 813-447-9207			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Noted, thank you.			
	Chris Coughlin	6/4/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Christopher Forestt	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
1	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Contamination Technical Memorandum	CONTAMINATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Christopher Forestt	4/9/2026	1	
	Please review the attached Contamination Technical Memorandum. The document explains the Potential Hazardous Materials markings require removal, but the NPDES note shall be retained.			
	A separate Contamination Technical Memorandum was posted for the Contractor's on the FDOT's FTP site. Please refer to the attached FTP Screenshot.			
	Thank you.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Noted, thank you.			
	Christopher Forestt	6/3/2026	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
CHRISTOPHER SIMPRON	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Clifton Johnson	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
CODY BAYER	CONSULTANT PROJECT MANAGER	6/3/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Daniel Buidens	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Darryl Richard	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
DAVE HAY	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	4

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
11	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 5 etc.	ROADWAY
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
DAVE HAY	5/5/2026	1		
No sidewalk thickness is shown on the Typical Section, The Details or the Roadway Plans except in the Stabilized Subgrade Driveway Detail on sheet 14. From that Detail is it to be assumed that the sidewalk is to be 4" thick. Please note the thicknesses wanted.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
Typicals and details will be updated to show the 4in/6in sidewalk thickness.				
DAVE HAY	6/8/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
12	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 38	ROADWAY
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
DAVE HAY	5/5/2026	1		
Note # 5 requires 10 loads of Millings to be delivered to the DOT Borrow Pit. Delivered implies that the DOT will do the stockpiling. Anything different needs to be noted.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
Noted, we will coordinate with our PM and provide additional information as needed.				
DAVE HAY	6/8/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
13	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 39	ROADWAY
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
DAVE HAY	5/5/2026	1		
It would have been very helpful to the field people of the field if the Legend had been used to denote the various Milling/Resurfacing depths.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
The various milling and resurfacing depths are only shown on the typicals to avoid inconsistencies with duplicate information.				
DAVE HAY	6/8/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
14	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 64	ROADWAY
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
DAVE HAY	5/5/2026	1		
Would expect that stabilized subgrade already exists and would not be needed at this location.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
Stabilization will be removed and quantities will be updated.				
DAVE HAY	6/8/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
David Ayers	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Deborah Barnhill	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Dennis Day	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Earl Taylor	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
33	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Earl Taylor		ACCESS MANAGEMENT
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Earl Taylor	5/8/2026	1		
	The shoulders are being milled to the same depth as the mainline. Has it been verified that the shoulders has enough asphalt on them for the mill depth?			
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
	We have verified there's enough asphalt on the shoulders for the proposed milling depth.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Eddie King	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Edward Stevens	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
2	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Edward Stevens		CONSTRUCTION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Edward Stevens	4/9/2026	1		
	Missing Pay item for ground in rumble strips			
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
	Ground-In-Rumble strips (PI 546-72-3) are already added under Summary of S&PM sheets in the EQR.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Elias Bowne	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Elizabeth Sofsky	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Eric Reese	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Garfield Howell	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
24	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			SIGNING AND MARKING
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Garfield Howell	5/6/2026	1		
	Previous pavement marking comments have been addressed. Traffic design has no additional comment.			
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
	Noted, thank you.			
Garfield Howell	6/4/2026	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Gregory Bowne	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
J.J. Wren	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jason Mobsby	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
JASON NEWTON	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jeffrey James	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jhamaul Hanner	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jimmy Vilce	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Anderson	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Kubicki	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Parker	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jonah Johnson	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
32	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Jonah Johnson		ACCESS MANAGEMENT
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Jonah Johnson	5/7/2026	1		
Driveway at station 575 can be removed. Keep in contact with the Ops center any other proposed driveway connections on SR 17.				
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
The proposed driveway will be removed from the plans.				

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jose Armenteros	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kaitlin Phillips	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Karina Della Sera	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Katharine Causey	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kayla Sapp	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Keith Robbins	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Kisan Patel	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	3
-------------	---------------	-----------	--------	---

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
8	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Kisan Patel		GEOTECH/MATERIALS

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Kisan Patel	5/1/2026	1	

Please include a marked up set of prints from the quality control review of the plans from the Geotech in accordance with Soils and Foundation Handbook (SFH) Section 9.6. The intent of this plans review from the Geotech EOR is to make sure the Geotechnical recommendations from geotech report are correctly incorporated into the plans and to ensure proper coverage of borings for each element.

I did not see in the documents tab in ERC or in the ERP folder but I may have missed it.

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

We will include a marked up set of plans with the next submittal.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
9	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Kisan Patel		GEOTECH/MATERIALS,STRUCTURES,PAY ITEM

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Kisan Patel	5/1/2026	1	

Estimates Quantities: Pay items for dynamic testing are missing. Please coordinate with the Geotech to make sure they are included.

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

Will coordinate with the Geotech EOR regarding pay item 455-137 (dynamic load tests-each).

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
10	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Kisan Patel		GEOTECH/MATERIALS,STRUCTURES,PAY ITEM

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Kisan Patel	5/1/2026	1	

B1-04 and B1-05: Is there a need to have test piles for this widening since it is only 4 piles and we have a consistent layer? It may be more appropriate to provide pile order length. Please coordinate with the Geotech Engineer.

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

Agree, will coordinate with the Geotech EOR regarding need for test piles vs. providing pile order lengths.

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Kristin Caruso	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
----------------	---------------	-----------	--------	---

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Kyle Purvis	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
-------------	---------------	-----------	--------	---

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Laura Herrero	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	4
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
45	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1		
Based on the Natural Resources Technical Memorandum dated January 6, 2026, the following plan note was requested to be included in the construction plans:				
For the purpose of gopher tortoise habitat protection, exclusionary silt fence must be installed at the following locations along the project right-of-way boundary. This exclusionary fencing must remain installed until completion of the project: STA 539+65 RT to STA 543+00 RT STA 1148+80 LT to STA 1167+40 LT				
This note was not found on the Phase IV plans. Please include the note on the construction plans.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
The requested note will be added to the plans.				
Laura Herrero	6/3/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
46	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1		
The Eastern indigo snake special provision (SP0070104-7) must be included in the project specifications package.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
We will coordinate with the department to provide the provision in the specs package.				
Laura Herrero	6/3/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
47	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1		
A pre-construction gopher tortoise survey is needed within 90 days prior to the start of construction. If any gopher tortoise burrows are observed within 25 feet of proposed activities, then the FDOT will coordinate with the project engineer and contractor to address these as necessary.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
Noted, thank you.				
Laura Herrero	6/3/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
48	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Laura Herrero	5/11/2026	1		
Florida bonneted bat (FBB) Best Management Practices (BMPs) #5 & #7 must be adhered to (USFWS FBB Consultation Key October 22, 2019).				
5. Conserve open freshwater and wetland habitats to promote foraging opportunities and avoid impacting water quality. Created/restored habitat should be designed to replace the function of native habitat.				
7. Avoid or limit widespread application of insecticides (e.g., mosquito control, agricultural pest control) in areas where Florida bonneted bats are known or expected to forage or roost.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
Noted, thank you.				
Laura Herrero	6/3/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Lawrence Zagardo	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
LORI STANFILL	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	2

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
49	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	LORI STANFILL		DRAINAGE

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
LORI STANFILL	5/11/2026	1	

A Ph IIR drainage review, dated 4/10/2025, was performed for this project. As comment responses were not received for the Ph IIR review, and some items do not appear to have been incorporated, follow-up comments have been provided for the Ph IV Submittal as indicated below:

- a) Roadway Plans, General Comment: Suggest review of the proposed drainage improvements to determine if any deficiencies can be repaired/alleviated by FDOT maintenance by cleaning pipes or structures and minor regrading of ditches.
- b) Roadway Plans, Typical Section 8 shows a max 1:4 cross slope to the 30' clear zone from Station 553 to Sta 613 (LT). It appears that a 1:4 slope could possibly be used at S-15, S-16, S-17, S-19 and S-20 to utilize existing structures.
- c) Roadway Plans, Will the ditch at Station 1181+14 (RT) require work outside the ROW? The ditch does not appear to follow the roadway curve. Is the Begin ditch point in the right location at Station 1180+65 (RT) or should it be centered on the Perpendicular ROW?
- d) Roadway Plans, General Comment: For the structures which specify DBI replacement, suggest investigating whether the DBI tops can be replaced without requiring replacement of the entire structure.
- e) Roadway Plans: Suggest checking that pipe clearance is met at S-14B.
- f) Roadway Plans: Was consideration given to a non-standard approach of pouring the gravity wall around the existing gutter drainage at S-10A and S-10B to avoid the riprap outfall and maintain existing drainage patterns.
- g) General Comment, Drainage Report: Suggest listing the deficient drainage structures/systems and the proposed drainage upgrade in the report text. The Field Visit Section, pg. 7, indicates that damaged structures were found but the deficiencies are not listed. In addition, the field photos, shown on Pg 107 & 108/179 do not appear to show deficient structures.
- h) Drainage Report, Drainage Network 3 Plan/Profile Sheet, Sht. 101: Suggest documenting reasons for the proposed pipe system from Sta. 1169+30 to 1172+00.
- i) Drainage Report: Suggest documenting the reasons for providing new drainage features at S-18A, S-18B, and proposed ditch pavement from Sta. 568+20 to 569+60 (Lt.)
- j) Drainage Report: Three new shoulder gutter inlets were added between Station 1143+00 to Station 1148+50 (Rt). As the calculated spread for the existing and proposed inlets appears to be less than allowable, were the existing inlet locations analyzed prior to adding new inlets to determine if additional inlets were necessary?
- k) Drainage Report: The ditch calculations were updated with the freeboard provided. Do all locations provide the required freeboard as some segments indicate freeboards below 1'?

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

Responses to these comments were provided on May 4 via email, and acknowledged by the Drainage team on May 13. Please refer to Brent and Ryan on the responses.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
50	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			DRAINAGE

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
LORI STANFILL	5/11/2026	1	

The following items were noted in the Ph IV Submittal:

- a) Ditch Calculations: Suggest a general review of the ditch bottom (invert elevations). For instance, the inverts for the section of ditch from Sta. 1151+60 to Sta. 1159+80 (Rt) and in the vicinity of structure S-7 do not appear to match what is shown in the Roadway Plans. Also, there appears to be an isolated low point in this ditch segment at Sta. 1152+00 (Rt).
- b) Roadway Plans, Cross Sections (8), Sht 122: The right ditch at Station 1151+00 indicates a bottom elevation of 69.32', however, it appears to be drawn higher. Also, the bottom width label for 6.00' appears to need adjusting.

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

The Ditch models will be reviewed and updated against the calculated design bottom elevations.

LORI STANFILL	6/3/2026	1	
---------------	----------	---	--

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Peronto	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Roberts	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Marlene Hebert	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Marshall Douberley	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	2

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
3	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Marshall Douberley	Sheet 205 Note 8	BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Marshall Douberley	4/13/2026	1	

Could you please define what this note means? Maybe consider what pedestrian detours can be done at the same time step wise.

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

The intent of this note is to clarify that pedestrian detours shall be implemented in steps such that no two concurrent detours create conflicting or inaccessible pedestrian routes. This allows the contractor flexibility to sequence pedestrian detours in coordination with the construction phasing while maintaining accessible pedestrian routes.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
4	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Marshall Douberley	Sheet 239	TRAFFIC CONTROL

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Marshall Douberley	4/13/2026	1	

What is the meaning of the notes below the roadway plan sheet that states: Station and offset callouts for temporary traffic control devices along S.R.35(U.S.17) Northbound and Southbound are based on their respective survey S.R.35 alignment? Can we shown where they are to be positioned?

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

The note clarifies the station and offset labels for the TTCP devices are based on the alignment for each direction(northbound and southbound). The intention is to avoid confusion since northbound and southbound has its own stationing.

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Martin Smith	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
MARY KATE ROBERTS	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
5	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CULTURAL RESOURCES,ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
MARY KATE ROBERTS	4/20/2026	1	

Phase IV plans (dated April 2026) were compared to Phase II plans (dated August 2025). There have been no substantial changes to the scope of work. A cultural resource coordination letter was prepared for this project and sent to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) in October 2025 to document that the project will result in a finding of no adverse effect. The SHPO concurred with this finding on December 22, 2025. No further cultural resource coordination is necessary unless there are changes to the scope of work and/or right-of-way requirements.

CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
------------	----------	---	--

Noted, thank you.

MARY KATE ROBERTS	6/3/2026	1	
-------------------	----------	---	--

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Matthew A. Miller	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
MD Rahman	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Megan Stroud	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Meghan Croft	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	2
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
6	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ESTIMATES
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Meghan Croft	4/22/2026	1		
FDOT PM needs to coordinate with Work Program to get the Federal ID number assigned to the Phase 52.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
We will coordinate with our PM.				
Meghan Croft	6/4/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
7	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ESTIMATES
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Meghan Croft	4/22/2026	1		
Construction Cost is as follows, based on Trnsport quantities. See attached. -52-01 = \$11,582,368.70 -52-02 = \$3,666,515.26				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
Noted, thank you.				
Meghan Croft	6/4/2026	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Melissa Slater	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Michael Rima	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mike Hammer	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nathan Poole	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	7
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
25	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheet S-3	SIGNALIZATION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Nathan Poole	5/7/2026	1		
Sheet S-3 Please add the following notes: • AT THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT, PROVIDE AS-BUILT PLANS IN ELECTRONIC FORM (PDF) TO: FDOT TRAFFIC OPERATIONS NEAL TURNER, P.E., TSM&O ENGINEER - ARTERIALS 801 N. BROADWAY AVE P.O. BOX 1249 BARTOW, FL 33830-1249 EMAIL: NEAL.TURNER@DOT.STATE.FL.US PHONE: 863-519-2216 • NOTIFY THE FDOT TSM&O ENGINEER - ARTERIALS (863-519-2216) WHEN ALL STRIPING ARE IN THEIR FINAL CONFIGURATION. PROVIDE FDOT WITH ALL 'AS-BUILT' INFORMATION NECESSARY FOR THE FDOT TO DEVELOP THE BASIC SIGNAL TIMING PARAMETERS. THE UPDATED TIMINGS WILL BE PROGRAMMED BY THE FDOT.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
We will add the notes as indicated in the General Notes Sheet.				

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
26	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheet S-60	SIGNALIZATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Nathan Poole	5/7/2026	1	
	Please confirm the 4 type B loops terminate to the same channel in the existing condition.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	Per the latest as-builts we received, all 4 type B loops do not terminate to the same channel in existing condition, we will update the detector for loops table.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
27	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Signalization Plan sheets	SIGNALIZATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Nathan Poole	5/7/2026	1	
	Please provide unique naming convention for the loops.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will provide unique naming convention for the loops.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
28	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheets S-61 through S-68	SIGNALIZATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Nathan Poole	5/7/2026	1	
	Please update the Detectors for Loops chart to reflect a two-channel detector card as the included loop detector pay item is two-channel.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will update the detectors for loops chart to reflect a two-channel detector card.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
29	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheet S-61	SIGNALIZATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Nathan Poole	5/7/2026	1	
	If feasible, please provide a set of type B loops in the northbound through lanes and offset them 40 feet from the stop bar.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We coordinated with FDOT PM and per direction from him and Kevin Ingle, it is not feasible to add loops at this stage of the project.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
30	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	Sheet S-61	SIGNALIZATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Nathan Poole	5/7/2026	1	
	Please update the detector delay for loop L-8P to 3 seconds.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will update detector delay to 3 seconds for loop L-8P.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
31	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Nathan Poole	General	INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Nathan Poole	5/7/2026	1	
	Please ensure pay item 633-6 is included in the project.			
	CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1	
	We will include pay item 633-6 in this project.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nicole Monies	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nikki Gilmer	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Patrick Bateman	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Paul Simmons	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Quan-Yang Yao	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	2
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
43	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Quan-Yang Yao	BDR	STRUCTURES
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Quan-Yang Yao	5/11/2026	1		
<p>1. BDR section: Alternate #1 appears to be the most favorite option (\$272,234 construction cost) comparing to Alternate #2 (\$787814 construction cost).</p> <p>2. Suggest improvements: Shift outside traffic barrier 6ft inward and retrofit bridge right side coping per Scheme 3 of the Standard Index 515-051 for the outside ped/bicycle railing.</p> <p>3. Pros & cons: No work on inside shoulder and travel lane shift; however, a design variation for substandard outside shoulder (6'-2" instead of 10'-0") is required.</p>				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
Per BDR Section 4.1, providing a barrier-separated sidewalk on the existing bridge would have required either eliminating an existing travel lane or reducing lane and/or shoulder widths, neither of which were desirable options based on discussions with the Department.				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
44	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Quan-Yang Yao	Sheet B1-18	STRUCTURES
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Quan-Yang Yao	5/11/2026	1		
Verify the approach slab asphalt overlay location and dimension at the existing expansion joint.				
CODY BAYER	6/3/2026	1		
The asphalt overlay is shown in Section A-A on Sheet B1-19. Will review and verify details shown on Sheet B1-18.				
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Rushil Kasetty	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Ryan Molloy	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Samantha Ervin	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Sara Stevenson	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Scott Ellis	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Scott McCall	IN-HOUSE PROJECT MANAGER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Scott Presson	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Sergio Figueroa	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Sharon Hedrick Harris	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Shiva Moonian	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Stacy Hill	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Stu Myers	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Tia Norman	REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Todd Boehmer	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wayne Shelton	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wendy Sands	LEAD REVIEWER	5/11/2026	ACTIVE	0