

ERC for Project 446451-1 (SR 45 (US 41) AT CR 886 (GOLDEN GATE PKWY)

)

Submittal**Phase****Status****Date
Received****Comment
Due****Response
Due**

2019 Context Classification Request (Local/Intersection) 03010000 Collier MP 9.891 - MP 9.980 SR 90 (US 41) OTHER
at Golden Gate Parkway

CLOSED

9/5/2019

9/19/2019

9/26/2019

Categories: OTHER**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** DEBORAH CHESNA

Created By	Created Date	Comment
DEBORAH CHESNA	9/19/2019	US 41 at GG Pkwy Intersection_CC approved and uploaded
Felicia Pannell	9/24/2019	Noted. Thank you Deborah.
DEBORAH CHESNA	9/24/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Submittal**Phase****Status****Date
Received****Comment
Due****Response
Due**

Construct an additional left turn lane from southbound US 41 to eastbound Golden Gate Parkway.

OTHER

CLOSED

9/5/2019

9/19/2019

9/26/2019

Categories: CONTAMINATION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:**

Potential Contamination Impacts

Created By:

Christopher Forestt

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Christopher Forestt	9/6/2019	This comment is for informational and tracking purposes only and does not require a response from the Design Team. Although I could not find it addressed/discussed in the LRE, there are potential contamination-related impacts to construction based on the provided documents. As the plans develop, additional review shall be necessary. Please ensure the DCIC (Jeff James: 863-519-2625 or JeffreyW.James@dot.state.fl.us) and his consulting assistant (Christopher Forestt: 863-519-2503 or Christopher.Forestt@dot.state.fl.us) are included on reviews of all subsequent plan sets for this project, so that they may provide continued contamination-related guidance as the plans develop. There is a potential contamination source at the intersection identified in the plan set. The site (Sears #2695; FDEP Fac ID: #8626243) currently has an active contamination discharge that has not been fully remediated. The extent of the contamination plume will be reviewed to determine if the documented contamination has extended to area where subsurface work is planned. Based on the distance of the FDOT's right-of-way from the sites location, it is unlikely that actual impacts

		exist at the intersection. However, the site is close enough to affect NPDES permitting efforts if dewatering is required for construction. &#10;&#10;Construction plans near the facility will determine if the DCIC will direct the CAR contractor to perform Level-II testing of the soil and/or groundwater, as potential contamination impacts are dictated by the proximity of subsurface work (drainage, lighting, signalization, etc.) and dewatering activities near suspect sites. The proximity and scope of actual construction to potential sources within the project limits determines the level of contamination involvement. &#10;&#10;Furthermore, sites with recognized contamination may even impact surficial construction efforts because facilities in active assessment or remediation often have viable groundwater monitoring well networks, which may extend into the rights-of-way along the project corridor. If present, these wells may require avoidance or abandonment prior to construction. &#10;&#10;Please see attached ETDM Map (with contamination layers selected) and Contamination Locator Map (screenshot from FDEP webpage). &#10;&#10;There are no bridges or railways within or adjacent to the project limits. &#10;&#10;Thank you.
Felicia Pannell	9/24/2019	Noted. An environmental writeup, with related information, was completed for this project and included in the scope. See attached. Thank you.
Christopher Forestt	10/2/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: FREIGHT
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Lane Width - sign
Created By: Keith Robbins

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Keith Robbins	9/6/2019	I see where you're planning to widen the outside lane to accommodate for trucks making the turn. Is there a plan to put signage up or pavement markings to direct trucks to use this lane when making the turn? This would avoid one getting in the middle lane or even the inside lane - don't think it couldn't happen.
Felicia Pannell	10/1/2019	I will speak with David Wheeler to discuss what signings/markings he would recommend and adjust the scope and LRE accordingly. Thank you.
Keith Robbins	11/21/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Sergio Figueroa

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Sergio Figueroa	9/9/2019	Drainage - Based on the roadway scope items, it appears that the widening will

		take place at the same slope direction as the existing lanes where runoff will all go to the outside lanes on US 41. As there is 5 lanes already going in that direction, this will more than likely cause hydroplaning and spread issues. Please consider re-visiting the typical section and looking at changing the direction of the inside lane and adding additional pipe and inlets to manage the hydroplaning and spread issues.
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	A spread analysis was conducted with the addition of the impervious area and the allowable spread was less than 1/2 the lane plus gutter. Please see backup.

Also a drainage project along US 41 in Naples is programmed for FY 2027. Brent Setchell suggested that this intersection project has been moved from FY 2026 to FY 2027 so that it can be a "Goes with" to the drainage project. Thank you.
Sergio Figueroa	10/7/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: LANDSCAPING

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Sergio Figueroa

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Sergio Figueroa	9/9/2019	The proposed widening appears that it would impact the existing trees within the median. Please coordinate with Darryl Richard (Landscape Architect) on the best methodology to either replace the trees that best fits the city of Naples vision or save them.
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	Agree. An email was sent to Darryl Richard on 9/26/19 to determine the best methodology for handling the impacts. Thank you.
Sergio Figueroa	10/7/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Sergio Figueroa

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Sergio Figueroa	9/9/2019	The existing drainage system along US 41 is in poor condition. Maintenance has to do routine work at the existing drainage structures to keep them from falling apart. The existing pipes have already been lined. Suggest replacing inlets and pipes where the project impacts them in lieu of just modifying the tops.
Felicia Pannell	10/2/2019	There is only one drainage structure within the limits of the project and it is a curb inlet in the NW quadrant of the intersection. A manhole is being proposed at this location and a new curb inlet with bottom is currently shown in the LRE and the concept. A drainage project along US 41 in Naples is programmed for FY 2027. This intersection project has been moved from FY 2026 to FY 2027 so that it

		can be a "Goes with" project. Thank you.
Sergio Figueroa	10/7/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** Sergio Figueroa

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Sergio Figueroa	9/9/2019	Based on the roadway scope items, there will be 6-ft lane widening on Golden Gate Parkway. Please analyze the existing drainage system for spread to make sure we are not causing any impacts.
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	The amount of impervious area contributing to the existing inlet is very minimal (0.01 acres). This increase is considered to be insignificant to exacerbate the existing condition. Please see backup. Thank you.
Sergio Figueroa	10/7/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SAFETY**Status:** COMMENT RESOLVED**Reference:****Created By:** Tammy Sutton

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Tammy Sutton	9/9/2019	No existing requests for APS within project limits at this time.
Felicia Pannell	9/24/2019	Noted. Thank you.
Nate Willbur	5/8/2020	Comment Closed

Categories: CONTAMINATION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** Jeffrey James

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Jeffrey James	9/9/2019	See the contamination comment provided by Chris Forestt. Additional review will be performed with the design plan reviews. More detailed comments will be provided regarding potential for contamination impacts with this project with the design plan reviews.
Felicia Pannell	9/24/2019	Noted. An environmental writeup, with related information, was completed for this project and included in the scope. See attached. Thank you.
Jeffrey James	10/2/2019	Response is accepted, but note that there is a facility, Sears #2695; FDEP Facility ID: #8626243, that appears in ETDM, that currently has an active contamination discharge that has not been fully remediated. As such, dewatering activities within 500'; will require an NPDES permit for petroleum contaminated sites

		and may require sampling and treatment. We will get with the construction contractor to determine if dewatering will occur within 500'; and whether or not any necessary support on this issue is needed during construction.
--	--	---

Categories: INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: TIMOTHY SMITH

Created By	Created Date	Comment
TIMOTHY SMITH	9/17/2019	Our records indicate that your project is within the limits of the City of Naples/Collier County Advanced Transportation Management System (ATMS). Please contact Dave Rivera with the City of Naples at drivera@naplesgov.com or by phone 239-213-5011 to verify this information.
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	An email was sent to Dave Rivera on 9/26/19 to verify this information. Any impacts to the existing ATMS will be accounted for in the scope and LRE if needed. Thank you.
TIMOTHY SMITH	10/8/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Brooke Feagle

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Brooke Feagle	9/17/2019	After review of the LRE and the ETDM EST database, there is no apparent involvement with Section 4(f) resources. Please be aware that the project is located within the USFWS Consultation Area for the Audubon's crested caracara, West Indian manatee, American crocodile, piping plover, and Florida bonneted bat. The project is also located within the abundant range for the Florida black bear and within Core Foraging Area for a wood stork colony. If state or federal permits are required for this project, coordination with FWC/USFWS may be required for involvement with these species.
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	Noted. An environmental writeup, with related information, was completed for this project and included in the scope. See attached. Thank you.
Brooke Feagle	10/4/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Brooke Feagle

Created By	Created Date	Comment
------------	--------------	---------

Brooke Feagle	9/17/2019	Please be aware that Section 7-1.4: Compliance with Federal Endangered Species Act and other Wildlife Regulations of the Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction manual should be adhered to for wildlife involvement during construction.
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	Noted. An environmental writeup, with related information, was completed for this project and included in the scope. See attached. Thank you.
Brooke Feagle	10/4/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Brooke Feagle

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Brooke Feagle	9/17/2019	Please be aware that this project will require an environmental certification from the Environmental Management Office. Please contact Kristin Caruso (kcaruso@scarlarinc.net), in EMO to provide the Environmental Determination Worksheet (EDW). Environmental certifications are typically issued within 2 weeks prior to the R/W acquisition date (if applicable) and 2 weeks prior to the production date. Please advise EMO if the production schedule for this project changes.
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	Noted. An environmental writeup, with related information, was completed for this project and included in the scope. See attached. Thank you.
Brooke Feagle	10/4/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: CULTURAL RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL
MANAGEMENT OFF., ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Sarah Guagnini

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Sarah Guagnini	9/18/2019	A cultural resource review of the initial project information (dated August 2019) has been completed on behalf of Gwen Pipkin. This is an initial review to check for cultural resource fatal flaws. Based on a desktop review of the initial project information and project location information, there are known cultural resource concerns with this project. There is one previously recorded archaeological site (NN Road [8CR00092]) within the project Area of Potential Effects (APE). The NN (8CR00092) site has not been evaluated by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) to determine its National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) eligibility. There are no fatal flaws with the project; however, some type of coordination (cultural resource coordination letter or tech memo) with the SHPO will be required. However, since this is an initial review, we will review future submittals

		to confirm that this determination remains valid.
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	Noted. An environmental writeup, with related information, was completed for this project and included in the scope. See attached. Thank you.
Sarah Guagnini	10/3/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: STRUCTURES**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:**

SStructures Scope Items

Created By:

Andra Diggs

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Andra Diggs	9/19/2019	Consider changing the words "along the intersection" to "at the intersection".
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	Agree. The scope will be revised to state such. Thank you.
Andra Diggs	10/6/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: STRUCTURES**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:**

Structures Scope Items

Created By:

Andra Diggs

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Andra Diggs	9/19/2019	Consider adding the following statement:

See Traffic Scope Items for proposed signal locations.
Felicia Pannell	9/26/2019	Agree. This statement will be added under the Structural Scope Items. Thank you.
Andra Diggs	10/6/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:**

EDUARDO PONCE

Created By:

EDUARDO PONCE

Created By	Created Date	Comment
EDUARDO PONCE	9/19/2019	Page 2 of 5 - Please correct "three-lane roadway" to "six-lane roadway".
Felicia Pannell	10/2/2019	Agree. The paragraph under Project Description in the scope has been revised to state "... six-lane roadway..." Thank you.
EDUARDO PONCE	10/10/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:**

EDUARDO PONCE

Created By:

EDUARDO PONCE

Created By	Created Date	Comment
EDUARDO PONCE	9/19/2019	I understand that there is substantial degradation of the existing drainage system on US 41. Is 8-LF of pipe sufficient to accommodate the concerns?
Felicia Pannell	10/2/2019	A drainage project along US 41 in Naples is programmed for FY 2027. This intersection project has been moved from FY 2026 to FY 2027 so that it can be a "Goes with" project. The drainage was added to this project prior to us being aware of the drainage project. Thank you.
EDUARDO PONCE	10/10/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: EDUARDO PONCE

Created By	Created Date	Comment
EDUARDO PONCE	9/19/2019	The scope calls for replacement of the existing dual mast arm located in the median with new mast arms for the NE and NW quadrants. Is there sufficient ROW to accommodate these arms?
Felicia Pannell	10/2/2019	Right-of-way (ROW) maps along US 41 show the ROW to be offset 100' Lt and 76' Rt. The ROW is sufficient for the mast arm in the NW quadrant and ROW is being obtained in the NE quadrant for the mast arm / pedestrian features. ROW is also being obtained in the SE quadrant for the intersection. ROW was programmed for this project. See attachment. Thank you.
EDUARDO PONCE	10/10/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: EDUARDO PONCE

Created By	Created Date	Comment
EDUARDO PONCE	9/19/2019	Will the proposed mast arm for the NW quadrant conflict with the Naples Plaza sign? If so, we will need to restore it.
Felicia Pannell	10/2/2019	The mast arm in the NW quadrant is not anticipated to conflict with the Naples Plaza Sign. The mast arm can be placed near where the shared pedestrian signal. See attached for an enlarged clip of the concept. Thank you.
EDUARDO PONCE	10/10/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: LANDSCAPING
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: EDUARDO PONCE

Created By	Created Date	Comment
EDUARDO PONCE	9/19/2019	There are numerous trees and landscape features that will be impacted. This will need to be coordinated with the City of Naples and Collier County to verify if they will need to be restored.
Felicia Pannell	10/2/2019	Agreed. An email was sent to Darryl Richard on 9/26/19 to determine the best methodology for handling the impacts which includes either replace the trees that best fits the City of Naples Vision or try and save them. This will be added to the scope and the LRE will be revised if needed. Thank you.
EDUARDO PONCE	10/10/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: LANDSCAPING

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: EDUARDO PONCE

Created By	Created Date	Comment
EDUARDO PONCE	9/19/2019	Is there any existing irrigation in the median that needs to be capped and rerouted/removed?
Felicia Pannell	10/2/2019	I will research this and adjust the scope and LRE if needed. Thank you.
EDUARDO PONCE	10/10/2019	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Submittal

446451-1 Greenlines This submittal is to request green line plans from UAOs for the referenced project. The consultant Utility Coordinator is requesting greenline plans from the UAOs. 446451-1-32-01 // US 41 AND GOLDEN GATE AT US 41 AND GOLDEN GATE PKWY US 41 & GOLDEN GATE PKWY INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT ADDING A THIRD LEFT TURN LANE FROM US 41 ONTO GOLDEN GATE BLVD. / APPROVED 4P SCOPE MPO BOARD APPROVED 2019 CM PRIORITY #5 This project will construct an additional left turn lane from southbound US 41 to eastbound Golden Gate Parkway, in the City of Naples, Collier County, Florida. Widen 12-feet into the existing median to accommodate the proposed turn left lane. Proposed southbound lane configuration will be (1) right turn, (3) through lanes, (3) left turn lanes with a 5-foot buffer. Eastbound Golden Gate Parkway to be widen 6-feet to the outside lane to assist for a WB-62FL left turn movement. Remove the existing dual armed signal from the north leg median and add proposed signals for the northbound US 41 movements and westbound Golden Gate Parkway movements. US 41 at the intersection of Golden Gate Parkway is a three-lane roadway with curb and gutter that runs north and south. The posted speed for US 41 is 45 mph. The right-of-way (ROW) on US 41 is approximately 176-foot wide according to right-of-way maps. The right-of-way (ROW) on Golden Parkway is approximately 100-foot wide. County

Roadway ID Roadway Side Number of Lanes MP From/To

Phase	Status	Date Received	Comment Due	Response Due
OTHER	CLOSED	3/9/2023	3/23/2023	4/9/2023

Section Work Length COLLIER 03010000 COMPOSITE 6
 9.978 / 9.984 0.006 Work Length: 0.006 Project Length: 0.006 Documents

can be found under the documents tab.

No comments exist for this submittal.

Submittal

	Phase	Status	Date Received	Comment Due	Response Due
Pavement Design Report -- US 41 AND GOLDEN GATE AT US 41 AND GOLDEN GATE PKWY Begin Project: MP 9.978 End Project: MP 9.984 Total Length: 0.006 miles	OTHER	CLOSED	6/14/2023	7/14/2023	8/14/2023

Categories: PAVEMENT DESIGN
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Section 2.0 / Design Notes
Created By: Chris Coughlin

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Chris Coughlin	7/14/2023	Note 1: As-Built plans are from 2003. Were ESAL numbers obtained, or reviewed, to confirm SR 45 is still a Traffic Level C facility?
Megan Lerner	8/14/2023	The ESAL data has been provided by the Department and will be included as an Appendix to the report. The opening year ESAL is 3.757M and traffic level C is correct per the pavement design manual section 2.2.1.
Chris Coughlin	8/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: PAVEMENT DESIGN
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Section 2.0 / Design Notes
Created By: Chris Coughlin

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Chris Coughlin	7/14/2023	Note 2: Note indicates the intent for the widening design is to match the existing conditions. Pavement diagram provided in Section 3.0 indicates a total of 3.8" of asphalt, including friction course. Per Section 6.2 of the FDOT Flexible Pavement Design Manual, the structural course thickness of the widening should match or exceed the existing mainline structural course. Please clarify the intent of calling for an additional inch of asphalt. Furthermore, Table 5.5 of the FPDm requires a total structural asphalt thickness of 3"; Was a 2.5" lift of Type SP considered? This would save an entire inch of asphalt. Please review & clarify intent.
Megan Lerner	8/14/2023	The average thickness of 3.8" of asphalt included the US 41 mainline. The existing cores will be evaluated individually for the US 41 existing turn lane (for turn lane widening) and CR 886 (for bulb out widening). One pavement design will be developed to satisfy both conditions for ease of construction (and

		competitive bidding).
Chris Coughlin	8/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: PAVEMENT DESIGN
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Section 2.0 / Design Notes
Created By: Chris Coughlin

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Chris Coughlin	7/14/2023	Note 2: Per Section 6.3 of the FDOT Flexible Pavement Design Manual, the strength of the widened section base material must match or exceed the existing base strength. From the top of the existing base down, the widening structural number must be equal to or greater than the existing structural number. Optional Base Group 9 (Type B-12.5, 6") is weaker than the existing 11.35" of limerock. Please review & clarify why OBG 9 is proposed. OBG 11 appears to be appropriate for matching the strength of the existing top of base down.
Megan Lerner	8/14/2023	The average thickness of 11.35" of limerock included the US 41 mainline. The existing cores will be evaluated individually for the US 41 existing turn lane (for turn lane widening) and CR 886 (for bulb out widening). One pavement design will be developed to satisfy both conditions for ease of construction (and competitive bidding).
Chris Coughlin	8/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: PAVEMENT DESIGN
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Section 2.0 / Design Notes
Created By: Chris Coughlin

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Chris Coughlin	7/14/2023	Provided this is a Pavement Design Memo, & not a formal Pavement Design Package, consider coordinating with District Materials to determine if it is appropriate to include consultant's own Quality Control Checklist, or whether District Materials will provide a QC Checklist upon approval.
Megan Lerner	8/14/2023	We will coordinate with District Materials on the proper QC checklist to be used.
Chris Coughlin	8/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Submittal

	Phase	Status	Date Received	Comment Due	Response Due
Phase II Plans This email shall serve as a transmittal letter for a Phase II plans Review. Please provide Phase II comments for this project. This project is located in Lee County. The following component sets have been	PHASE II	CLOSED	7/20/2023	8/20/2023	9/20/2023

included in this Phase II submittal: **Roadway **Signing & Pavement Marking **Signalization The following documentation has been included with the submittal: **Pavement Design Memo **Drainage Memo **Estimated Quantities Report **Lane Closure Analysis **Lighting Memo **KMZ **Phase II Transmittal Letter **D1 Clearance Timing **D1 Pedestrian Timing **Summary of Pay Items **LRE Markup Plans and supporting documents can be found in the ERC under the Documents tab or on the local server at the following location:
 ERP: \\dotsd1cadd\ERP\44645115201\Phase II Submittal PSEE link:
<https://fdotwp2.dot.state.fl.us/ProjectSuiteEnterpriseEdition/Pages/Project/Project.aspx?item=446451&itemSeg=1>

Categories: CONTAMINATION

Reference: Contamination Evaluation

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Created By: Christopher Forestt

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Christopher Forestt	7/20/2023	There are potential contamination impacts to NPDES dewatering on the Project. Please review the attached Contamination Memorandum and add the requested language.

No Level-II testing is required.

Thank you.

Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Agree, we will add the requested language from the Contamination Memorandum.
Christopher Forestt	9/19/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ESTIMATES

Reference:

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Created By: Rebecca Johnson

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Rebecca Johnson	7/31/2023	Pay item errors present on this project due to pay item changes to 700-1and 700-2. Please update.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Pay items 700-1 and 700-2 will be updated to new pay item formats.
Rebecca Johnson	9/19/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SURVEY

Reference:

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Created By: Matthew A. Miller

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Matthew A. Miller	8/1/2023	1.There are no project control sheets. The Engineer of Record will create the Project Control sheets from data extracted from the project survey and sign and seal the Project Control sheet. Please see 310.1 in the FDM. These sheets are to be placed in the component plans in accordance with FDM 302.6
2.Where the R/W lines have any change in direction there

		needs to be a tie to the survey base line. Also include the proposed R/W to having ties to the survey base line.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	1. Project Control sheets were not received prior to the Phase II submittal. They will be incorporated when received. This was addressed in the "Phase II Notes for Reviewers" on the General Notes sheet. 2. We will review the R/W call outs and ensure that there is a tie in to the survey BL at all necessary locations.
Matthew A. Miller	9/19/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Julia Holtzhausen

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Julia Holtzhausen	8/1/2023	This project will require an environmental certification from the Environmental Management Office (EMO). Please contact Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in EMO to provide the Environmental Determination Worksheet (EDW) by the Response Due Date September 20, 2023. On the EDW, please fill out the top portion and then responses to questions #1 through #6 and include a project location map. The EMO Dept. will fill-out remaining information. According to the current production schedule, this project is scheduled to go to Production on July 17, 2026. Environmental certifications are typically issued within 2 weeks prior to the production date. Please advise EMO if the production schedule for this project changes. EMO will continue to review this project during subsequent phase reviews for environmental involvement.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	THE EDW and project location map have been emailed to Kristin Caruso. Thank you for your review.
Julia Holtzhausen	9/19/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Julia Holtzhausen

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Julia Holtzhausen	8/1/2023	Section 7-1.4: Compliance with Federal Endangered Species Act and other Wildlife Regulations of the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction manual should be adhered to for wildlife involvement during construction. This section addresses what the contractor must do in the event of unanticipated interactions with protected species and the requirement to notify the FDOT a minimum of 30 days in advance of using an off-site area for ancillary

		construction needs (e.g. staging, borrow-pits).
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Acknowledged, thank you for the comment.
Julia Holtzhausen	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.

Reference:

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Created By: Julia Holtzhausen

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Julia Holtzhausen	8/1/2023	The project is located within the consultation area and/or focal area for the Florida bonneted bat. Based on the location of this project and proposed construction activities, a survey for this species may be required. Project elements include (clearing and grubbing) within pervious surface areas which could support the species. Please respond with information if any trees will be removed since some trees are potential bonneted bat roosting trees, and tree removal could trigger a bonneted bat survey.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	The construction activities will necessitate the removal of multiple trees within the current median area along US 41. A species survey was not included as part of the scope of this project.
Julia Holtzhausen	9/20/2023	The Environmental Management Office will complete the species survey and coordinate with USFWS as needed prior to the environmental certification process.

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.

Reference:

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Created By: Julia Holtzhausen

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Julia Holtzhausen	8/1/2023	The project is located within the (South management unit) of the Florida black bear range. Additionally, there are (7 nuisance reports and 0 roadkill reports of the Florida black bear) within 1 mile of the project limits. As a result, we recommend including the black bear Special Provision in the specifications package. Please coordinate with Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in the Environmental Management Office for further information if needed.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Agree, we will include the black bear special provision in the specifications package.
Julia Holtzhausen	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ESTIMATES**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** Rebecca Johnson

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Rebecca Johnson	8/4/2023	Phase II estimate is \$1,207,154.11
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Noted. Thank you for providing the current estimate.
Rebecca Johnson	9/19/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: GEOTECH/MATERIALS**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** Ramasamy Venkatesan

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Ramasamy Venkatesan	8/10/2023	Any new mast arm location may geotech soil boring. Please contact FDOT Geotech Unit ahead of time, if you do not have any geotech subconsultant for this project
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	We will submit the Geotech request to the FDOT PM. We do not have an geotech consultant to perform this service.
Ramasamy Venkatesan	9/19/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: UTILITIES**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:**

Contact list

Created By: Joseph Glorioso

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Joseph Glorioso	8/11/2023	Please consider adding the email address to your Utilities Owners contact list in future Submittals. This will help in construction as a resource for important correspondence.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Agree, we will add the email addresses to the Utility Owners contact list.
Joseph Glorioso	9/22/2023	Thank you.

Categories: CONSTRUCTION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** Charles Manganaro

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Charles Manganaro	8/14/2023	General Comment – should this project be Let to construct after tourist season or have lane closure restriction for closing of lanes during the holiday season due to being next to a mall.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	We will review the letting date and lane closure restrictions for the project and

		coordinate any updates as necessary.
Charles Manganaro	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: CONSTRUCTION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** Charles Manganaro

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Charles Manganaro	8/14/2023	General comment – should the optional base be increased in thickness to eliminate the requirement for type B stabilization.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	FDOT Flexible Pavement Design Manual Section 5.6.1 states, "Since stabilized subgrade has a history of good performance and provides strength to the pavement system at a low cost, it is highly recommended that a stabilized subgrade element be included in a pavement design as shown in the FDOT Design Manual." It also states, "Stabilized subgrade should not normally be eliminated over extensive areas, because it is necessary to provide a working platform for base construction operations. This is an especially important consideration with asphalt base course, because of the difficulty in achieving compaction of the first course placed on an unstable subgrade."
Our current pavement design meets FDOT Flexible Pavement Design Manual criteria and utilizes Stabilization and OBG 9 (Type B-12.5 only).
Charles Manganaro	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: CONSTRUCTION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** Charles Manganaro

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Charles Manganaro	8/14/2023	Sheet 25 – plan should be showing existing watermain to be adjusted in conflict with proposed mast arm pole 3 on NE corner of the intersection.
Sheet T-4 – pole 3 shown in conflict with existing water main.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	SUE has been requested for the project, and if it is determined that the utility is in conflict with the proposed design feature, it will be addressed in the plans.
Charles Manganaro	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: CONSTRUCTION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** Charles Manganaro

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Charles Manganaro	8/14/2023	Sheet 25 – plan should be showing existing sanitary main to be adjusted in conflict with proposed light pole D-17 on the southwest corner of the intersection and structure S-02 on the north west corner of the intersection. Please verify if gas main is in conflict with structure S-02.

Sheet T-9 - Proposed light pole D-17 shown in conflict with existing sanitary main.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	SUE has been requested for the project, and if it is determined that the utility is in conflict with the proposed design feature, it will be addressed in the plans.
Charles Manganaro	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: CONSTRUCTION

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Charles Manganaro

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Charles Manganaro	8/14/2023	General comment – plan is not acknowledging any irrigation and a tree survey in the median area of proposed left turn lane widening of US-41.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	A tree survey and irrigation data was not provided by the surveyor. We will review and coordinate to see if this can be obtained within the scope of work for this project, and provide the details if possible.
Charles Manganaro	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: CONSTRUCTION

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Charles Manganaro

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Charles Manganaro	8/14/2023	General comment – This note must be added to all construction projects:
This project is within 10 nautical miles of _____ (Airport). 
All permanent features of the project have been found in compliance with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) 14 CFR Part 77 (§ 77.7). 
During construction the Contractor must comply with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) 14 CFR Part 77 (§ 77.7). The Contractor shall contact appropriate FAA personnel to coordinate such compliance for construction operations and equipment to be used on the project site. Be aware that 14 CFR Part 77 (§ 77.7) establishes that notification must be submitted 45-days prior to construction activities which may impact airport-controlled airspace or facilities. Given the time required to conduct an aeronautical study, a 45-60 day advance filing is recommended to accommodate the review process and allow timely issuance of the FAA determination letter. See https://www.faa.gov/airports/central/engineering/part77/ for additional

		information.” The Contractor shall file the required notice of construction commencement form (7460-2 Part 1) prior to starting work. The contractor shall file the required form (7460-2 Part 2) within 5 days of completion of the highest element of permanent construction.
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Agree, we will add the note as requested.
Charles Manganaro	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: TRANSIT
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Elizabeth Sofsky

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Elizabeth Sofsky	8/14/2023	Roadway Plans – Please consider providing a boarding and alighting pad at existing transit stops present. (Ex. Sheet 8 – STA 165+00 RT)
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	The only bus stop within the limits of construction is at 165+00. A sidewalk connection will be added to create boarding and alighting area at this stop. All other transit stops at this intersection are outside the project/construction limits.
Elizabeth Sofsky	9/28/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: TRANSIT
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Elizabeth Sofsky

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Elizabeth Sofsky	8/14/2023	Roadway Plans, Sheet 23 – Please add the following note to the Temporary Traffic Control General Notes sheet: “Notify Collier Area Transit (CAT) fourteen (14) business days prior to performing any work in the vicinity of an existing transit stop or route (Liz Soriano, 239-252-4994). Maintain ADA-compliant access to all existing transit stops during construction. Coordinate transit stop adjustments or alternate locations with CAT prior to performing work.”
Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Agree, we will add the note as requested.
Elizabeth Sofsky	9/28/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Key Sheet
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	a.	The Contract Plans Components includes Lighting Plans, but Lighting Plans

		were not included in the submittal package. Please check.
b.	The Begin and End Mileposts do not match the begin and end mileposts in PSEE. Please check.
c.	PSEE shows a letting date of 10/28/2026 which indicates a fiscal year of 27. Please update the fiscal year.
d.	Coordinate with District Estimates to have Proposal ID / Construction Contract Number assigned to the project.

Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	a. The Lighting Plans are incorporated into the Signalization Plans. We will update the Key Sheet to the current FDM criteria for the description of the Contract Plans Components. b. We will review the MP information and update as necessary.
c. We will review the letting date information and update the fiscal year as necessary. d. We will coordinate the assignment of a Construction Contract Number for the project.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Signature Sheet
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	EOR signature information for BIM files will also be needed on the signature sheet. See FDM Exhibit 910-4 for format.

Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Agree, we will update the signature sheet for the BIM file information when the design is finalized and the final 3D models are prepared (final plans submittal)
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Model Management
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	R/W lines are not labeled in the Model Management plan view.

Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	We will add the R/W labels to the Model Management sheet.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: General Notes / Project Control
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
------------	--------------	---------

Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Per Notes to Reviewer, the Project Control sheets will be provided in the plan set when available. Thank you.

Megan Lerner	9/19/2023	Acknowledged, thank you for the comment.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Roadway Plans
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Sheet 7:
a.	Please consider providing control radii to check median nose locations.
b.	When new curb ramps are proposed, ensure they are aligned with the cross walks in accordance with FDM 222.2.2. Some of the curb ramps are aligned with the crossings but some are not. Please consider adjusting curb ramps to align with the cross walks.
c.	Please consider labeling a sawcut along the widening on Golden Gate Pkwy.

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	a. Agree, we will provide control radii. b. The curb ramps are positioned to fit within the radial returns and provide the required dimensions per FDOT Standard 522-022. We are maintaining 2 of the existing 4 crossings at the intersection which establishes the remaining geometry. c. Agree, we will label a sawcut along the widening on Golden Gate Pkwy.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Roadway Plans
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Sheet 9:
a.	Please include an End Construction label with station on Golden Gate Pkwy.
b.	Station / offset is missing for end of sidewalk construction.

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	a. Agree, we will provide an End Construction label with station on Golden Gate Pkwy. b. Agree, we will provide a station/offset label for end of sidewalk construction.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Special Details
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Please show and label the BL Survey in the Special Details plan view in accordance with FDM 915.2.

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	The BL Survey is shown and labeled in the Special Details plan view.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Drainage Structures
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Sheet 14:
a.	Utilities impacted by the proposed drainage structures will need to be shown in accordance with FDM 916.2.1.
b.	Optional Materials table will be needed for the acceptable pipe material options in accordance with FDM 916.4.4 and Exhibit 916.1.

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	a. Once SUE information is received, utility conflicts will be resolved through the utility coordination process and any impacts will be depicted in the plans.
b. Optional pipe material will be added to the Pipe Data table.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Cross Sections
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Sheet 17, 20: Begin and End Construction and Project Limits with stations are to be shown on the cross section sheets in accordance with FDM 905.2.

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Agree, we will provide Begin/End Construction and Project labels on the cross sections.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Temporary Traffic Control Plan
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Sheet 23: Lane closure calculations were not included with the Phase II submittal. These are to be provided for review along with the Temporary Traffic Control Plan at Phase II.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Traffic data for the calculations was not available prior to the Phase II submittal.

		Once received we will provide the calculations and update the temporary traffic control plan. This was addressed in the "Phase II Notes for Reviewers" on the General Notes sheet.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** Roadway Plan Markups**Created By:** Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Attached is the marked-up plan set for your reference.

Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments.
Richard Ryals
Florida Department of Transportation D1
Richard.Ryals@dot.state.fl.us

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Acknowledged, thank you for providing the markups.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** Key Sheet**Created By:** Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	The Contract Plans Components includes Lighting Plans, but Lighting Plans were not included in the submittal package. Please check.

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Lighting plan sheets will be included in the Signalization Plans and removed from the key sheet Contract Plan Components.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** Signalization Plan Markup**Created By:** Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Attached is the marked-up plan set for your reference.

Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments.
Richard Ryals
Florida Department of Transportation D1
Richard.Ryals@dot.state.fl.us

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Thank you for providing the markups.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: PAY ITEM
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Project Edit Report
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	a.	Header: Coordinate with District Estimates to have Proposal ID / Construction Contract Number assigned to the project.

b.	Category 0300 Signing: Some of the signing pay items will be obsolete before the project letting date. Please review the Basis of Estimates Manual and update pay items.

c.	Category 0400 Lighting: Technical Special Provision will be needed for pay item 715-550-000. Please coordinate with the District Specifications Office.

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	a. We will coordinate the assignment of a Construction Contract Number for the project.
b. Signing pay items will be updated to the new format.
c. Will coordinate the TSP with the District Specifications Office.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: PAY ITEM
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Quantity Markups
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	8/16/2023	Attached is the marked-up quantity report for your reference.

Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments.
Richard Ryals
Florida Department of Transportation D1
Richard.Ryals@dot.state.fl.us

Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Acknowledged, thank you for providing the markups.
Richard Ryals	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS
Status: COMMENT AGREED WITH

Reference:
Created By: Benjamin Shepherd

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Benjamin Shepherd	8/16/2023	Thank you for providing a .kmz file of the project.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Comment Agreed & Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS

Reference:

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Benjamin Shepherd

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Benjamin Shepherd	8/16/2023	After reviewing the Ph. II plans, it appears that environmental permits will not be required for this project. A formal "No Permits Required" memo will be provided to you shortly.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Noted. Thank you.
Benjamin Shepherd	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS**Reference:****Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Benjamin Shepherd

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Benjamin Shepherd	8/16/2023	The proposed clearing and grubbing will disturb < 1.0 acres. Therefore, the contractor should not need to secure a NPDES permit.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Noted. Thank you.
Benjamin Shepherd	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: STRUCTURES**Reference:****Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Benito Berlanga

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Benito Berlanga	8/16/2023	Structures design office has reviewed Phase II Roadway Plans, Signalization Plans and other design documentation that were submitted and have no comments at this time.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Thank you for your review.
Benito Berlanga	9/20/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE**Reference:****Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** JOHN LITTLEFIELD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	8/17/2023	Revise county name on cover page of report.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	The county name will be revised to Collier County.
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Drainage Memo
Created By: JOHN LITTLEFIELD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	8/17/2023	Please update section 3 to indicate the allowable spread to be 7 feet instead of 6.5 feet.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	The allowable spread will be revised to 7.0 feet.
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Drainage Memo
Created By: JOHN LITTLEFIELD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	8/17/2023	Section 4 mentions a shaded area for Flood Zone X, but the FEMA Flood Zones Map in Appendix D does not show the limits of this area. Please update map to show Flood Zone X.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	The FEMA Flood Zones Map will be revised to depict Zone X.
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: JOHN LITTLEFIELD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	8/17/2023	FEMA has established base flood elevations (BFEs) for Flood Zone AH. Please include the BFE(s) in Section 4 and in the Flood Zones Map.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	The Base Flood Elevations will be added to Section 4 and the FEMA Flood Zones Map.
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet No. 14
Created By: JOHN LITTLEFIELD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	8/17/2023	Proposed manhole top at Structure S-01 does not appear to align with structure bottom, and the proposed manhole location also appears to conflict with the proposed curb, truncated domes, and slope transition for the curb ramp.

		Consider shifting the proposed curb ramp location and/or utilizing eccentric cone manhole top to optimize the manhole top to avoid these features if possible.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	The proposed junction box top will be shifted to align with the existing inlet bottom. To clarify, the design intent is to replace the existing inlet top with a slab, creating a junction box below the proposed grade. No conflict is anticipated with the proposed junction box top and the proposed curb ramp. Section 3 of the Drainage Memorandum will be updated to clarify the design intent and a detail will be provided in the plans.
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet No. 14, 17 & 18
Created By: JOHN LITTLEFIELD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	8/17/2023	Cross sections at 163+50 and 164+00 indicate that curb inlet S-02 and the proposed storm drain pipe may conflict with the existing sanitary sewer and/or gas main. Consider utilizing a Type 1 inlet if SUE information indicates a conflict at this location.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Noted. Once SUE information is received, utility conflicts will be resolved through the utility coordination process.
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet No. 14
Created By: JOHN LITTLEFIELD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	8/17/2023	Drainage profile shows S-01 has a higher elevation than S-02 but Structure Data Table shows the reference elevation of S-01 (9.03) is lower than S-02 (9.71). Please update the profile and table to be consistent with one another.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	The Drainage Profile will be updated to reflect the proposed reference elevation for S-01 depicted in the Structure Data table.
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet No. 14
Created By: JOHN LITTLEFIELD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
------------	--------------	---------

JOHN LITTLEFIELD	8/17/2023	No optional pipe table or notes are provided.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Optional pipe material will be added to the Pipe Data table.
JOHN LITTLEFIELD	9/27/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: RAILROAD**Status:** COMMENT AGREED WITH**Reference:****Created By:** Phillip Chapman

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Phillip Chapman	8/17/2023	A No Rail Involved Certification has been issued. The certification has been uploaded to PSEE as well and I have also requested the appropriate schedule adjustments be made to reflect No Rail Involved. Thank you.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Thank you for your review

Categories: SIGNALIZATION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** Sheet T-2**Created By:** Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Please update Signalization General Note 4 to the following:
AT THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT, PROVIDE AS-BUILT PLANS IN ELECTRONIC FORM (PDF) TO:

FDOT TRAFFIC OPERATIONS
NEAL TURNER, P.E., TSM
O ENGINEER - ARTERIALS
801 N. BROADWAY AVE
P.O. BOX 1249
BARTOW, FL 33830-1249
EMAIL: NEAL.TURNER@DOT.STATE.FL.US
PHONE: 863-519-2216
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Signalization General Notes will be updated.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** General**Created By:** Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Please provide the analysis that justified the approach lane configuration change.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	The project scope was to construct the lane configuration recommended by FDOT. We will coordinate with the FDOT PM to provide any back ground information to the reviewer.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	A follow up email will be sent to the Consultant PM.

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet T-4
Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Currently, the eastbound and westbound left-turn movements cannot operate concurrently. Will the intersection geometrics be improved to now allow the concurrent operation?
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	An AutoTurn analysis will be completed for concurrent WB 62-FL left turns to determine if intersection modifications can accommodate concurrent left turns for eb/wb
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet T-4
Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Please coordinate with the City of Naples and ensure the proposed detection is per their preference. Also, please provide dilemma zone detection for the northbound and southbound US 41 approaches.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Dilemma zone loops will be added in coordination with local MA and FDOT TSM&O.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet T-4
Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Can the intersection geometry be modified to allow the northbound and southbound left-turn movements to run concurrently?
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	An AutoTurn analysis will be completed for concurrent WB 62-FL left turns to determine if intersection modifications can accommodate concurrent left turns for nb/sb
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet T-4
Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Please provide nearside supplemental signal heads from the westbound approach.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	The mast arm on the NW corner will be adjusted to provide 180' or less distance from the stop bar. If the results of utility coordination find this to be unfeasible, a second arm will be added to the mast arm on the NE corner for nearside supplemental heads.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet T-4
Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Please provide a new Movement 8R signal head. Based on street view, it appears the indication configuration in the existing signal head is not MUTCD compliant.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Signal head for 8R will be replaced with a new head that has the correct indication.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet T-7
Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Please update Note 6 to the following: REQUEST UPDATED SIGNAL TIMINGS FROM COLLIER COUNTY WHEN ALL LANES, STRIPING, SIGNALS AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES ARE IN THEIR FINAL CONFIGURATION AND THE SIGNAL IS OPERATING AS DESIGNED. PROVIDE COLLIER COUNTY WITH ALL AS-BUILT INFORMATION NECESSARY TO DEVELOP THE BASIC SIGNAL TIMING PARAMETERS AND ALLOW THREE (3) WEEKS FOLLOWING THE REQUEST FOR COLLIER COUNTY TO DEVELOP THE UPDATED TIMINGS. PROGRAM THE CONTROLLER PER THE TIMINGS PROVIDED BY COLLIER COUNTY.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Note 6 will be updated.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet T-7
Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Please provide the 4R and 8R overlaps from the OR condition in the SOP diagram. Also, please provide programming notes for the 4R and 8R overlaps. Please see the following as an example:
“Movement 4R to be programmed as Overlap A to come up with Movements 4 and 5 with X.X seconds of minimum green, X.X seconds of yellow, and X.X seconds of all red.”

In addition, the following note will need to be included as well:
“OVERLAP 4R SHALL BE OMITTED WHEN P4 IS ACTIVE.”
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Overlaps will be added to SOPs and corresponding notes.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION

Reference: Sheet T-7

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	For the Emergency Vehicle Preemption chart, please update the Minimum Dwell for Plans 3 and 4 to 15 seconds the Minimum Dwell for Plan 6 to 7 seconds. Also, please update the Exit Phases for Plans 5 and 6 to “2 & 6”.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Emergency Preemption chart will be revised per comment.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION

Reference: Sheet T-7

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	For the Controller Timings chart, please update the Minimum Green for Controller Phases 4 and 8 to 7 seconds and remove the CNA and Rest in Walk rows. Also, based on the provided clearance calculations, it appears the All Red interval for Controller Phase 4 would be 2.7 seconds, while Controller Phase 7 would be 2.6 seconds.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Controller timings will be revised per comments.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION

Reference: Sheet T-7

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	For the Video Vehicle Detection Assignments chart, please update the 4R and 8R connect to movements to be 4 and 8, respectively. Also, please provide an 8 second detection delay on detection zones D-4R and D-8R.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Video Detection Assignments will be updated.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: General
Created By: Nathan Poole

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Nathan Poole	8/18/2023	Our records indicate that your project is within the limits of the City of Naples/Collier County Advanced Transportation Management System (ATMS). Collier County operates and maintains the ATMS infrastructure for FDOT as part of the Traffic Signal Maintenance and Compensation Agreement. Any impacts to the ATMS infrastructure should be addressed within this design project. 

Please show and label the existing FDOT/Collier County ATMS infrastructure in the plans. I have attached the Design Group 2 Final Design Plans for your use. These plans represent the latest information we have in our files but do not necessarily represent as-built conditions. We recommend you contact Trinity Scott with Collier County at trinityscott@colliergov.net or (239) 252-5832 to determine the final as-built configuration of the intersections.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	ATMS will be added to plans and any conflicts will be address with this project.
Nathan Poole	10/15/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNING AND MARKING
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Garfield Howell

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Garfield Howell	8/18/2023	S-4
Please consider coordinating with District One Traffic Operation for the proposed cars only left tun lane sign assembly.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	These signs have been designed in coordination with FDOT Traffic Design.
Garfield Howell	10/19/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Garfield Howell

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Garfield Howell	8/18/2023	Please consider providing dilemma zone detection
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Dilemma zone loops will be added in coordination with local MA and FDOT TSM&O.
Garfield Howell	9/28/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: LIGHTING/ELECTRICAL

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Garfield Howell

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Garfield Howell	8/18/2023	Please consider providing voltage drop calculation.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Voltage Drop Calculations will be provided at next submittal.
Garfield Howell	9/21/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: CULTURAL RESOURCES, ENVIRONMENTAL
MANAGEMENT OFF.

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:

Created By: Matthew Litteral

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Matthew Litteral	8/18/2023	Based on a desktop review, there are cultural resource concerns. There is one previously recorded archaeological site within the project Area of Potential Effects (APE), NN (8CR00092). NN (8CR00092) is unevaluated for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). We recommend a cultural resource coordination letter be prepared and submitted to the SHPO for review.  The District Environmental Management Office (DEMO) will coordinate the production of this document and its review with the SHPO prior to completion of the environmental certification. We will review future submittals to confirm that this determination remains valid.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Noted. Thank you for your review.
Matthew Litteral	9/22/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Roadway Sheet 7

Created By: Charity Neal

Created By	Created Date	Comment
------------	--------------	---------

Charity Neal	8/20/2023	Pay Item 522-2. SY shows new Quantity of 94. Scaling off measurements I show 120/ Please review and revise if not correct.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	We will review and revise the quantity if needed for the next submittal.
Charity Neal	9/21/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION**Reference:** LRE 3**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Charity Neal

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Charity Neal	8/20/2023	Pay Item 630-2-1 LRE isn't very clear on total for Item. My count is 771. Please verify and revise if needed.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Quantities will be verified and plans/EQR adjusted as needed.
Charity Neal	9/21/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: LIGHTING/ELECTRICAL**Reference:** Quantity take off check**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Charity Neal

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Charity Neal	8/20/2023	Pay Item 715-1-12 Shows 1830. My count is 1789. Please verify.
Megan Lerner	9/20/2023	Quantities will be verified and plans/EQR adjusted as needed.
Charity Neal	9/21/2023	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Submittal

Phase II-R Plans This email shall serve as a transmittal letter for a Phase II-R plans Review. Please provide Phase II-R comments for this project. This project is located in Collier County. The following component sets have been included in this Phase II submittal: **Roadway **Roadway Verified Utilities **Signing & Pavement Marking **Signalization **Estimated Quantity Report The following documentation has been included with the submittal: **Utility Conflict Matrix **Design Documentation Checklist **Transmittal Letter **FDM Form 240 **Summary of Pay Items **BIM Zip File **KMZ **Project Edit Check **Geotech Report **Lane Closure Calcs **Pavement Design Memo **Drainage Memo **Auto Turn Analysis **Cross Sections **Lighting Memo **Lighting VSL Analysis **D1 Clearance Timing **D1 Pedestrian Timing **Variation Memo Plans and supporting documents can be found in the ERC under the Documents tab or on the local server at the following location: ERP: \\dot\D1\HQ\Design\CADD\ERP\44645115201\Phase II-R Submittal PSEE link: <https://fdotwp2.dot.state.fl.us/ProjectSuiteEnterpriseEdition/Pages/Project/Project.aspx?item=446451&itemSeg=1>

Phase	Status	Date Received	Comment Due	Response Due
REVISED	CLOSED	1/29/2026	2/27/2026	3/13/2026

Categories: CONSTRUCTION**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** Contamination Note Present**Created By:** Christopher Forestt

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Christopher Forestt	1/29/2026	The NPDES Contamination Note, which was requested by the District One Environmental Management Office's (D1 EMO) Phase II (P2) Contamination Memorandum (CM) is present on PLAN SHEET #7 (GENERAL NOTES). The D1 EMO thanks the Designers for their efforts. There are no new concerns since the P2-CM. Thank you.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Thank you for your review.
Christopher Forestt	3/13/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ESTIMATES**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:****Created By:** Meghan Croft

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Meghan Croft	2/12/2026	Phase 52 Construction Cost is \$1,700,236.91 PDC based on Trnsport quantities. See attached.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Thank you for providing the estimate.
Meghan Croft	3/13/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ESTIMATES**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** EQR - page 7**Created By:** Michelle Barker

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Michelle Barker	2/17/2026	3 cycles would be 90 days; not enough to cover the duration of the contract. Please consider updating litter and mowing.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	The current construction estimate for this project is 100 days as shown on the Summary of Temporary Traffic Control EQR table. The BOE states to round to the nearest cycle (not to round up) which is 3 cycles. If the construction duration is updated based on the contract time memo the amount of cycles for litter removal and mowing will be updated accordingly.
Michelle Barker	3/16/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY**Reference:** Key sheet

Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Michelle Barker

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Michelle Barker	2/17/2026	Beginning and ending MP's do not match PSEE on key sheets. Please verify.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, we are currently working with our FDOT PM to ensure the Begin/End MP's are accurate and PSEE matches the plan sets.
Michelle Barker	3/16/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY**Reference:** Sheet 24 Note 7**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Michelle Barker

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Michelle Barker	2/17/2026	Seems like this note should be placed on the general notes sheet. Consider placing on general notes.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, this note will be moved to the General Notes sheet.
Michelle Barker	3/16/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY**Reference:** Sheet 20**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Michelle Barker

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Michelle Barker	2/17/2026	Centerline of construction should be at 0. Please revise.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, the label will be corrected for the 165+50 cross section.
Michelle Barker	3/16/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: UTILITIES**Reference:** UTV**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Michelle Barker

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Michelle Barker	2/17/2026	Road number should be SR 45 and FPID needs to be updated to -52-01.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, the road number and FPID will be updated on the UTV sheets.
Michelle Barker	3/16/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNING AND MARKING**Reference:** Sheet S-6**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Created By:** Michelle Barker

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Michelle Barker	2/17/2026	Please make sure these information blocks are completed as this is a multi-post sign.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Structure calculations will be completed and information blocks filled-out.
Michelle Barker	3/16/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet T-2
Created By: Michelle Barker

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Michelle Barker	2/17/2026	This pay item (0649 21 21) is not listed in Transport or on the EQR. Transport has pay item 0649 21 26 listed. Please verify.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Signal Pay Item Note will be updated as 649-21-26.
Michelle Barker	3/16/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Sheet T-4 and T-6
Created By: Michelle Barker

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Michelle Barker	2/17/2026	Please remove highlight marks.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, the highlights will be removed.
Michelle Barker	3/16/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Key Sheet
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	The Begin and End MP on the Key Sheet do not match the Begin and End MP in PSEE. Please check the correct mile posts and update for consistency.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, we are currently working with our FDOT PM to ensure the Begin/End MP's are accurate and PSEE matches the plan sets.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Project Control
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Sheet 6: Please check the FAC note in the right margin of Project Control sheet 6. The note shown is a surveyor note, but the EOR is a PE.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, the FAC note will be updated to show the EOR rule.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Roadway Plans
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Sheets 8, 10: Consider adding stations and offsets at the R/W corners.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	The missing R/W sta/off callouts are included on Intersection Details (2) and (3); this was done intentionally as there is more room for labels on the intersection detail sheets due to the smaller scale of those sheets. A note has been included at each corner on sheet 8 and 10 stating where the additional information can be found. We prefer to keep the current labels as-is to help minimize the labeling "clutter" on the roadway plan sheets.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Drainage Structures
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Sheet 15: Please check the drainage at the NW curb return. Based on the elevations shown on the drainage structure profile the curb ramp will flood during rain events. Consider reconstructing a portion of the curb and pavement to move the low point to structure S-2.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	The drainage pattern along the curb line flows south then west, towards Naples Plaza. The approximate grading along the gutter is 1.5%, away from the curb ramp. As for the drainage structure profile, the profile follows the pipe which meanders from gutter to curb, creating the bump in the profile. Additional grading details will be provided to ensure positive drainage is provided.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** Cross Sections**Created By:** Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Sheet 18-23: FDM 925.3 requires labeling the proposed cross slopes. Please label the cross slopes for the proposed pavement, sidewalk, and median and outside slopes.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, the proposed cross slopes will be added to the cross sections.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** Utility Adjustments**Created By:** Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Sheet 26: There is buried electric (BE) at the intersection that is not labeled. Please add labels for the BE lines.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, the missing label will be added for the BE lines.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** Utility Adjustments**Created By:** Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Sheet 26: There are numerous underground utilities clustered in the vicinity of drainage structures S-01 and S-02 from approximate station 163+60 to 163+90 LT. However, there are no SUE test holes at this location. Consider conducting SUE investigation in the vicinity of the drainage structures.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	After coordination with our SUE provider they had additional SUE information that was inadvertently not provided with their original submittal; we are currently updating the plans to show the additional test hole information in the vicinity of S-01 and S-02.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:** Utility Adjustments**Created By:** Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Sheet 26-27: A desk top review of Google Earth found overhead electric (OE) lines along both sides of SR 45. Please add and label the OE lines, including the voltage.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	No overhead electric lines are present along SR 45; the only overhead electric line within the project limits is located along the south side of Golden Gate Parkway, just east of the intersection. The voltage of this line (23 kV) is labeled on sheets 26 and 28.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ROADWAY
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Roadway Plan Markup
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Attached is the marked-up plan set for your reference.

Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments.
Richard Ryals
Florida Department of Transportation D1
Richard.Ryals@dot.state.fl.us

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Thank you for providing your markups
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: UTILITIES
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Verified Utility Locate
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	a.	The signature block language has recently been updated to delete the "Printed copies..." portion of the note. Please revise to follow the updated language in FDM Figure 130.2.1.
b.	The road number should show the state road number SR 45.
c.	FPID number should show the Construction FPID 446451-1-52-01.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Agreed, the signature note, road number and FPID will be updated on the UTV sheet.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: UTILITIES
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Verified Utility Locate
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Attached is the marked-up plan set for your reference.

Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments.
Richard Ryals
Florida Department of Transportation D1
Richard.Ryals@dot.state.fl.us

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Thank you for providing your markups
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: General Notes
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Pay Item Notes:
Pay item 649-21-21 is in the pay item notes but is not in the EQR or Trns*Port. Pay item 649-21-26 is included in the EQR and Trns*Port instead. Please check the correct pay item.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Signal Pay Item Note will be updated as 649-21-26.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNALIZATION
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Signalization Plan Markup
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Attached is the marked-up plan set for your reference.

Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments.
Richard Ryals
Florida Department of Transportation D1
Richard.Ryals@dot.state.fl.us

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Thank you for providing your markups.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ESTIMATES
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: Estimated Quantities Report
Created By: Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Estimated Quantities Report

Summary of Drainage:
a.	No information is to be placed in the Construction Remarks column in accordance with BOE 8.3 & FDM 902.3.1.
b.	In the Label column the pipe is labeled as P-01, but plan sheet 15 labels the pipe as P-02. Please check and

		update for consistency.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	a. Agreed, those notes will be moved from the "Construction Remarks" to the "Design Notes" column.
B. Agreed, the pipe name will be updated for consistency between the roadway plans and the EQR.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ESTIMATES**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:**

Estimated Quantities Report

Created By:

Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Estimated Quantities Report

Summary of Signalization Items:
Pay item 633-6 is out of order in the Summary table.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Pay item 633 will be placed in order in the Summary of Signalization Items table.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ESTIMATES**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:**

Estimated Quantities Report

Created By:

Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Estimated Quantities Report

Summary of Lighting Items:
Pay item 715-550-000 will require a Technical Special Provision. Please coordinate with the District Specifications Office.

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	We will coordinate with the Specifications office on a TSP for the removal of a non-standard concrete lighting pole.
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ESTIMATES**Status:** RESPONSE ACCEPTED**Reference:**

Quantity Markups

Created By:

Richard Ryals

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Richard Ryals	2/18/2026	Attached are the marked-up quantity reports for your reference.

Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments.
Richard Ryals
Florida Department of Transportation D1
Richard.Ryals@dot.state.fl.us

Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Thank you for providing your markups
Richard Ryals	3/18/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNING AND MARKING
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: S-4, 166
Created By: CHRISTINE ARNOLD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	2/24/2026	Move these markings closer to the beginning of the lanes or just add another set of arrows where the lanes begin.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Arrow and messages will be rearranged to start closer to the beginning of the turn lanes.
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	3/13/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: DRAINAGE
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference: 15
Created By: CHRISTINE ARNOLD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	2/24/2026	The minimum pipe used within a publicly maintained stormwater collection system will be 15 inches in diameter per LDC 6.05.01
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Noted. There was a discrepancy between the survey and the as-builts. The diameter will be updated to a 15" pipe.
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	3/13/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: UTILITIES
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: CHRISTINE ARNOLD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	2/24/2026	Roadway Lighting: We have underground facilities within the scope of work. They will need to coordinate with us during construction. Also review the pull boxes for elevations adjustments are to be made.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Existing lighting conduits are shown in the plans and new lines are shown to be installed where needed. Pull boxes are being replaced within the limits of construction.
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	3/13/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: UTILITIES
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: CHRISTINE ARNOLD

Created By	Created Date	Comment
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	2/24/2026	The subject project location(s) has Buried Fiber Optic cables (BFO) in the right of way. Provide a plan that shows the location in which the BFO will be relocated, should the proposed right turn lane be in conflict with the BFO cables. Traffic Operation does not permit their BFO in drive lanes. The BFO cable(s) must remain in the right of way and not placed under the driving lanes. **** Note: Street Lights, Buried Fiber Optics and Signal Cables are indicated in snipping**** Prior to digging assure you have made contact with Sunshine 811.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Existing ATMS lines have been drawn in the Signalization Plan sheet per as-builts received from City of Naples. Currently existing ATMS lines do not need to be replaced, but we will continue coordination with the City of Naples. Where needed ATMS pull boxes will be
CHRISTINE ARNOLD	3/13/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Jonas Leicht

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Jonas Leicht	2/26/2026	A limited roost survey was conducted on October 11, 2023, to identify the presence and potential occurrence of bonneted bats and suitable cavities within the project footprint. The results of the limited roost survey do not show that roosting is likely. Therefore, this project may affect but is not likely to adversely affect (MANLAA-P) the Florida bonneted bat if best management practices (BMPs) are followed during construction per the Florida bonneted bat key. Another limited roost pre-construction survey will be conducted by EMO within 30 days prior to tree removal.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Thank you for your review; if anything changes regarding the tree removals we will notify the Environmental Management Office.
Jonas Leicht	3/13/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: LIGHTING/ELECTRICAL
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Garfield Howell

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Garfield Howell	2/27/2026	Per Lighting Design Memo. "We have requested more information from the maintaining agency to be able to calculate voltage drop calculation and the need for breaker upgrades". Please confirm the voltage drop calculation will be provided at next submittal.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	We are having on-going coordination with the City of Naples. We will provide

		voltage drop calculations at next submittal.
Garfield Howell	4/9/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Categories: SIGNING AND MARKING
Status: RESPONSE ACCEPTED

Reference:
Created By: Garfield Howell

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Garfield Howell	2/27/2026	"These signs have been designed in coordination with FDOT Traffic Design." Please consider providing documentation to confirm proposed Cars Only sign assembly and pavement marking has been approved by FDOT.
Megan Lerner	3/12/2026	Correspondence for this coordination has been sent to the reviewer via email. We will also include this in our documentation.
Garfield Howell	4/9/2026	Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Submittal

Phase IV Plans This email shall serve as a transmittal letter for a Phase IV plans Review. Please provide Phase IV comments for this project. This project is located in Collier County. The following component sets have been included in this Phase IV submittal: **Roadway **Roadway Verified Utilities **Signing & Pavement Marking **Signalization **Estimated Quantity Report The following documentation has been included with the submittal: **Utility Conflict Matrix **Design Documentation Checklist **Transmittal Letter **FDM Form 240 **QC Certification **Summary of Pay Items **BIM Zip File **KMZ **Project Edit Check **Variation Memo **Drainage Memo **Geotech Report **Lighting Memo **Lighting VSL Analysis **Lane Closure Calcs **Pavement Design Memo **Auto Turn Analysis **Cross Sections **D1 Clearance Timing **D1 Pedestrian Timing **Miscellaneous Structures Report **Standard Mast Arm Assemblies Data Table **Mast Arm Design Calcs Plans and supporting documents can be found in the ERC under the Documents tab or on the local server at the following location: ERP: \\dot\D1\HQ\Design\CADD\ERP\44645115201\Phase IV Submittal
PSEE link:
<https://fdotwp2.dot.state.fl.us/ProjectSuiteEnterpriseEdition/Pages/Project/Project.aspx?item=446451&itemSeg=1>

Phase	Status	Date Received	Comment Due	Response Due
PHASE IV	OPEN	8/5/2026	9/4/2026	10/5/2026

Categories: ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS
Status: COMMENT SUBMITTED FOR RESPONSE

Reference:
Created By: Lisa Sheets

Created By	Created Date	Comment
Lisa Sheets	8/5/2026	If the threshold for erodible surfaces/clearing and grubbing has either dropped below the 1.0-acre threshold or now exceeds the 1.0-acre threshold, when it did

		not during Ph. II, please contact Lisa Sheets at lisa.sheets@dot.state.fl.us . A NPDES Permit may be required. If there have been any changes that will affect the previous NPR determination, please discuss with the Permits Office (nicole.monies@dot.state.fl.us). Activities included but not limited to: keyhole & sidewalk additions or widening, additional impacts to the SWMS (ditches), expanding the limits of construction, or impacting wetlands that were previously unaffected, etc.
--	--	--