

# Submittal Report

Financial Project: 414511-3-52-01      Submittal Type: PLANS  
Submittal Phase: PHASE II      Submittal Staff Type: CONSULTANT  
Received Date: 8/21/2025      Response Due Date: 10/9/2025  
Grace Period: 0      District: FIRST  
Status: CLOSED      Create Date: 8/21/2025  
Create User Id: RD158AB      Last Update: 2/24/2026  
Last Update User Id: RD158WB

## Description:

414511-3-52-01 // Phase II Plans

The following documents are included within this Phase II Submittal:

- Phase II Roadway Plans
- Phase II Signing and Marking Plans
- Phase II KMZ
- Lane Closure Analysis

## Threads:

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
ABRA HORNE	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Agnimitra Sengupta	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
AMANDA TYNER	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Amy Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Anthony Brown	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Belinda Thomas	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Bessie Reina	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Blake Stallworth	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Bradley Musser	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Brent Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Casey Schley	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Cassie Lee	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Chris Coughlin	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	8
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
50	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 1 / Key Sheet	ROADWAY
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Chris Coughlin	9/18/2025	1		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) PSEE indicates this project is scheduled to let for construction in October 2026. Please review Fiscal Year reference.</li> <li>b) Provided there is no Structures Plans component for this project, consider removing note.</li> </ul>			
JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Agree, will review and revise as needed to reflect FY 27 letting.</li> <li>b. Agree, will remove note.</li> </ul>			
Chris Coughlin	9/30/2025	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
51	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. NR-1 / Notes to Reviewer	ROADWAY
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Chris Coughlin	9/18/2025	1		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Per FDM 914.4, Notes to Reviewer are to be located on the General Notes sheet. Please review.</li> <li>b) What is the status of FPID 439750-1? Is it anticipated to be under construction when this project lets? Note does not provide much information.</li> </ul>			
JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Agree, will include Notes to Reviewers on the General Notes sheet for future submittals.</li> <li>b. This is a "goes with" project to reconstruct the US 27/US 98 intersection in PCC and ties to this project. Will let concurrently, please let us know if there's any other information needed.</li> </ul>			
Chris Coughlin	9/30/2025	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
52	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 19 / General Notes	ROADWAY
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Chris Coughlin	9/18/2025	1		
	Please review FDM 914.2.2 to determine if note (2) should be included on General Notes sheet.			
JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1		
	Agree, will review and add note as suggested.			
Chris Coughlin	9/30/2025	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
53	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 20 / Roadway Plan (01)	ROADWAY
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Chris Coughlin	9/18/2025	1		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Top: Per FDM 923.2 (4), please provide voltages for all overhead electric lines.</li> <li>b) Bottom: Please review the shoulder area near Sta. 73+15, LT, &amp; confirm the pavement outside the existing white edge line markings is deep enough to accommodate the proposed 3.5" mill.</li> </ul>			
JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Agree, will add voltages.</li> <li>b. Will review and consider revising milling depth to 1.5" to correspond with the application of FC-12.5 layer.</li> </ul>			
Chris Coughlin	9/30/2025	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
54	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 21 / Roadway Plan (02)	ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Chris Coughlin	9/18/2025	1	
	a) Top: Acknowledged this is a pavement only project. Provided this is a high-speed flush shoulder facility, was crash data in the vicinity of Curve 2 investigated?			
	b) Bottom: Provided the significant amount of off-tracking & condition of the shoulder in the return near Sta. 104+20, RT, was mitigating this as part of this project considered?			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	a. As a POP project crash data was not evaluated.			
	b. As a POP project, this area does not include mitigation strategies.			
	Chris Coughlin	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
55	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 26 / Roadway Plan (07)	ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Chris Coughlin	9/18/2025	1	
	Top: Was providing a detectable warning, or directional tactile indicator, where the asphalt Shared Use Path connects with the shoulder asphalt near Sta. 226+30, LT? Please review.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	A detectable warning indicator will be added.			
	Chris Coughlin	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
56	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 29 / Temporary Traffic Control Plan (01)	ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Chris Coughlin	9/18/2025	1	
	a) General Note - Note 2: Lane Closure Analysis indicates traffic volumes only justify lane closure restrictions within 600' of signalized intersections. Are there any signalized intersections within the project limits? Lane closure restrictions may not be appropriate. Please review & clarify intent.			
	b) General Note - Note 2: Consider indicating lane closures are only permitted during active work zones.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1	
	a. The signal at US 27 and US 98 is within 600' of proposed M&R operations, so recommend keeping the note.			
	b. Agree, will add language indicating as suggested.			
	Chris Coughlin	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
57	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ROADWAY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Chris Coughlin	9/18/2025	1	
	Attached is the marked-up plan set for your reference.			
	Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments. Chris Coughlin, P.E. Florida Department of Transportation D1 Chris.Coughlin@dot.state.fl.us (Cell) 813-447-9207			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1	
	Received, thank you.			
	Chris Coughlin	9/30/2025	1	

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Christopher Forestt	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
1	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Contamination Memorandum at Later Phase	CONTAMINATION

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Christopher Forestt	8/21/2025	1	

This comment does not require a written response from the Designers other than acknowledgement.

Per the associated Contamination Screening Evaluation Report (CSER) and the District One Environmental Management Office's (D1-EMO's) review for this segment of the project, there are no potential contamination-related impacts to construction of this project based on the POP/FLEX scope.

Thank you.

Again, this comment does not require a written response from the Designers.

JOHN DEWEY 9/29/2025 1

Recieved, thank you.

Christopher Forestt 9/29/2025 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Christopher Morin	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Clifton Johnson	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Daniel Buidens	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Darryl Richard	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
DAVE HAY	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	2

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
5	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 2	ROADWAY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
DAVE HAY	8/27/2025	1	

No information is noted as to how the intersecting streets are to be handled although the Roadway Plans via the Legend indicate that they are to be Milled/Resurfaced 3.50 inches. I would be surprised if there is that much existing pavement on many of those areas unless cores have been done to verify that thickness.

JOHN DEWEY 10/7/2025 1

Agree, we can revise milling depth on side streets to correspond with an application of FC-12.5 at 1.5" in depth.

DAVE HAY 10/13/2025 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
6	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheets S-12 & S-13	SIGNING AND MARKING
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	DAVE HAY	8/27/2025	1	
	Is there a reason there is no striping on the right pavement edge from station 125+25 to 129+36. There are light dashed lines but all the new striping is a dark line. What do the light dashed lines represent?			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/9/2025	1	
	In the existing condition there is no edge line striping on the right pavement edge from station 125+25 to 129+36. Light dashed lines will be removed.			
	DAVE HAY	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
David Ayers	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Dennis Day	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
DOUGLAS STEWART	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	2

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
2	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			PERMITS
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	DOUGLAS STEWART	8/21/2025	1	
	1. STA 104 Intersection of CR 17 S. Large pothole in northbound connection to EB SR 700 in the shoulder curve. 2. STA 157 Intersection of Tubbs Ranch Road. Complaints from residents that connection is too narrow and radius is too small.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	1. Thank you, this will be addressed in subsequent submittal(s). 2. As a Pavement Only Project, curve radii will remain as-is			
	DOUGLAS STEWART	9/30/2025	1	
	Understood. However, I am retiring at the end of 2025, so I will not be commenting on future submittals.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
3	COMMENT RESOLVED			PERMITS
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	DOUGLAS STEWART	8/21/2025	1	
	1. STA 104 Intersection of CR 17 S. Large pothole in northbound connection to EB SR 700 in the shoulder curve. 2. STA 157 Intersection of Tubbs Ranch Road. Complaints from residents that connection is too narrow and radius is too small.			
	Wadeana Beveridge	2/24/2026	1	
	Comment wasn't submitted and did not show up during review. Mr. Stewart was unable to resubmit after the fact.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Earl Taylor	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Eddie King	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Edward Stevens	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	5
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
10	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Edward Stevens	9/9/2025	1		
Heartland Maintenance is requesting 15 loads of millings to be delivered to Heartland Operations yard 4722 Kenilworth Blvd Sebring Fl. 33870.				
JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1		
Agree, will add to the General Notes sheet.				
Edward Stevens	10/1/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
11	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			RAILROAD
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Edward Stevens	9/9/2025	1		
Please add coordination with the Railroad notes.				
JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1		
Agree, will add notes.				
Edward Stevens	10/1/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
12	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			DRAINAGE
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Edward Stevens	9/9/2025	1		
Can a culvert be added at Tubbs rd. to assist in the drainage for the area.				
JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1		
Drainage improvements are outside of the scope for this Pavement Only Project (POP).				
Edward Stevens	10/1/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
13	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Edward Stevens	9/9/2025	1		
Can the radius at coming from US 17 be extended to help with truck off tracking.				
JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1		
As a POP project, this improvement would be out of the scope of the project.				
Edward Stevens	10/1/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
14	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CONSTRUCTION
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Edward Stevens	9/9/2025	1	
	Can a left-hand turn lane be added on US 98 at Twitty rd. there is a high instance of accidents at this street.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	As this project is a POP, adding turn lanes is not in scope.			
	Edward Stevens	10/1/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Elizabeth Sofsky	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Eric Reese	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Garfield Howell	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	3

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
24	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			SIGNING AND MARKING
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Garfield Howell	9/16/2025	1	
	S-4 Please consider adding a 40 feet gap with 6" yellow 6'-10' skip within the proposed centerline at side street for vehicles crossing intersection and turning left.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/9/2025	1	
	We will provide gap in the centerline for vehicles crossing intersection and turning left.			
	Garfield Howell	10/9/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
25	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			SIGNING AND MARKING
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Garfield Howell	9/16/2025	1	
	S-4 Please relocate last turn lane arrow and adjust left turn lane arrows spacing above side street opening.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will relocate last turn lane arrow and adjust left turn lane arrow spacing			
	Garfield Howell	10/1/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
26	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			SIGNING AND MARKING
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Garfield Howell	9/16/2025	1	
	S-5 Please provide dotted guidelines between gore gap at station 75+00			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will provide dotted guidelines between gore gap at station 75+00			
	Garfield Howell	10/1/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
GENA BATMAN	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	INACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
7	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ESTIMATES
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
GENA BATMAN	8/28/2025	1		
The provided LRE markups are from October 2024. No new changes were made. See the attached file for the current primary version.				
JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1		
Agree, will review and provide markups as appropriate. thank you.				
GENA BATMAN	9/30/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Gregory Bohde	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Gregory Bowne	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Harley Davidson	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
J.J. Wren	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jason Mobsby	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
JASON NEWTON	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jeanna Dean	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jeffrey James	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jesten Abraham	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jhamaul Hanner	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jimmy Vilce	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Anderson	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
JOHN DEWEY	CONSULTANT PROJECT MANAGER	10/9/2025	INACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Kubicki	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Joseph Harper	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Kaitlin Phillips	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Karina Della Sera	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Katharine Causey	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Kayla Sapp	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Keith Robbins	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Kevin Ingle	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Kisan Patel	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Kristin Caruso	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Kyle J McDowell	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Kyle Purvis	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Lawrence Zagardo	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Leah Paulsen	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	9

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
15	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Leah Paulsen	9/16/2025	1	

This project will require an environmental certification from the Environmental Management Office (EMO). Please contact Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in EMO to provide the Environmental Determination Worksheet (EDW) by the ERC response due date. On the EDW, please fill out the top portion and then responses to questions #1 through #6, and include a project location map. The EMO Dept. will fill-out remaining information. According to the current production schedule, this project is scheduled to go to Production on July 9, 2026. Environmental certifications are typically issued within 2 weeks prior to the R/W acquisition date (if applicable) and 2 weeks prior to the production date. Please advise EMO if the production schedule for this project changes. EMO will continue to review this project during subsequent phase reviews for environmental involvement.

Section 7-1.4: Compliance with Federal Endangered Species Act and other Wildlife Regulations of the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction manual should be adhered to for wildlife involvement during construction. This section addresses what the contractor must do in the event of unanticipated interactions with protected species and the requirement to notify the FDOT a minimum of 30 days in advance of using an off-site area for ancillary construction needs (e.g. staging, borrow-pits).

JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
------------	-----------	---	--

Agree, will coordinate with Kristin Caruso. EDW has been completed and submitted, and will advise as to schedule changes.

Leah Paulsen	10/13/2025	1	
--------------	------------	---	--

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
16	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Leah Paulsen	9/16/2025	1	
	It appears there are wetlands and surface waters within and/or adjacent to the project limits at Haywood Taylor Blvd and US 98 intersection and between Monte Real Blvd and Elliot Rd. Please show the limits of the wetlands and/or surface waters and label on the plans to determine the potential involvement with this resource. Contact the District Permits Coordinator by this ERC response due date to determine if permits and wetland mitigation are required.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	Wetland delineation is not included in the scope of this POP project. Work will remain on existing pavement and not disturb existing wetlands.			
	Leah Paulsen	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
17	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Leah Paulsen	9/16/2025	1	
	The Lake Wales Ridge National Wildlife Refuge is located adjacent to the project limits. While Section 4(f) does not apply to this state-funded project, the Environmental Management Office still recommends that no improvements or staging areas be located within the limits of this resource.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	Agree, we can add a note stipulating that there shall be no encroachment into this 4(f) resource for construction staging.			
	Leah Paulsen	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
18	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Leah Paulsen	9/16/2025	1	
	Designated suitable habitat for the sand and blue-tailed mole skink is mapped in this area and sandy, dry soils are present with appropriate elevations for the species. If these areas cannot be avoided (including clearing and grubbing, grading, paving, installation of ITS equipment, staging of construction vehicles and material, etc.) soil and/or species-specific surveys may be required. Skink surveys can only be conducted between March 1 – May 15 of each year, and require a 5-week duration. If an assessment for protected species is not part of the design scope of services a field review by Environmental Management Office (EMO) personnel may be needed. Coordination with the USFWS may be required. Please contact Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in EMO by this ERC submittal response due date for further assistance.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	Agree, will coordinate with Kristin Caruso. While staging in mole skink areas is unlikely, we will coordinate outside of ERC to determine prime habitat areas to recommend avoidance during construction and vehicle staging.			
	Leah Paulsen	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
19	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Leah Paulsen	9/16/2025	1	
	An osprey nest is located adjacent to the project right-of-way near the railroad tracks and US 98. This species is protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and as such care should be taken not to impact an active nest during nesting season (January – July). If an active nest has the potential to be impacted by the proposed improvements, a permit may be required. Please coordinate with Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in the Environmental Management Office by this ERC submittal response due date for further assistance.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	Impacts to this osprey nest are not anticipated by this project.			
	Leah Paulsen	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
20	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Leah Paulsen	9/16/2025	1	
	<p>The project is located within the consultation area for the Florida bonneted bat. Based on the location of this project and proposed construction activities, it does not appear that project elements include clearing and grubbing of mature trees within pervious surface areas which could support the species. Please respond with information if any trees greater than 33 feet in height (and/or DBH of 8 inches) will be removed as part of the scope of this project. If clearing and grubbing of mature trees is anticipated, a survey will be needed. If an assessment for protected species is not part of the design scope of services, a field review by Environmental Management Office (EMO) personnel may be needed. Please coordinate with Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in EMO by this ERC submittal response due date for review and to initiate the survey, if needed.</p>			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	No trees will be removed as part of this project.			
	Leah Paulsen	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
21	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Leah Paulsen	9/16/2025	1	
	<p>The project is located within the South Central unit of the Florida black bear range and activity is listed as frequent. Additionally, there are 48 nuisance reports and 8 roadkill reports of the Florida black bear within 1 mile of the project limits. As a result, we recommend including the black bear Special Provision in the specifications package. Please coordinate with Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in the Environmental Management Office for further information if needed.</p>			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1	
	Agree, will add black bear special provision in the specs.			
	Leah Paulsen	10/2/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
22	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Leah Paulsen	9/16/2025	1	
	<p>The project is located adjacent to potential gopher tortoise habitat. If an assessment for protected species is not part of the design scope of services, a field review by Environmental Management Office (EMO) personnel may be needed. Please be advised that contingent upon gopher tortoise burrow survey results, gopher tortoise burrows located within 25 feet of construction activities may require a permit and relocation. Additionally, the gopher tortoise Special Provision may need to be added to the specifications package. It is recommended that construction activities, including staging of equipment and personal vehicles, should avoid areas where potential gopher tortoise habitat is present. Please coordinate with Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in EMO with any questions.</p>			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	<p>Species assessments are no longer included in the scope of this project, therefore we request the DEMO team complete a gopher tortoise assessment. We will then show location of burrows on the plans and include the special provisions in the specs.</p>			
	Leah Paulsen	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
23	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Leah Paulsen	9/16/2025	1	
	<p>The project is located adjacent to potential eastern indigo snake habitat. Please include the Special Provision for the species in the specifications package.</p>			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1	
	Agree, will include special provision in the specs.			
	Leah Paulsen	10/2/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Leyna Stemle	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Mark Every	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Mark Peronto	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Mark Roberts	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Marlene Hebert	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Marshall Douberley	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Martin Smith	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
MARY KATE ROBERTS	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	1

<b>No</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Current Holder</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Categories</b>
49	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			CULTURAL RESOURCES,ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.

<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
MARY KATE ROBERTS	9/17/2025	1	

Based on a desktop review, there are cultural resource concerns. There is an unrecorded portion of one previously recorded linear resource within the project Area of Potential Effects (APE). We recommend a cultural resource coordination letter with FMSF form for the unrecorded resource be prepared and submitted to the SHPO for review. The District Environmental Management Office (DEMO) will coordinate the production of this document and its review with the SHPO prior to completion of the environmental certification. We will review future submittals to confirm that this determination remains valid.

JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
------------	-----------	---	--

Agree, will continue to provide information to DEMO to support this coordination with SHPO.

MARY KATE ROBERTS	10/9/2025	1	
-------------------	-----------	---	--

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Matthew A. Miller	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Matthew Litteral	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
MD Rahman	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Meghan Croft	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Melissa Slater	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Melody Joyner	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
<b>Name</b>	<b>Assignment</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Michael Rima	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nathan Poole	LEAD REVIEWER	9/19/2025	ACTIVE	2
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
58	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		General	SIGNALIZATION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Nathan Poole	9/19/2025	1		
It does not appear there are phasing, geometrical (including shifting of lane lines, stop lines, crosswalks etc.), speed limit or approach grade changes being proposed at any signalized intersections, as part of this project. If changes are proposed, signal plans will have to be included and the basic controller timings updated to the latest standards.				
JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1		
Agree, no changes proposed.				
Nathan Poole	10/3/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
59	COMMENT AGREED WITH		General	INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Nathan Poole	9/19/2025	1		
To our knowledge, there is no existing ITS/ATMS infrastructure within the limits of this project.				
JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1		
Agreed, thank you				
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nicole Monies	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nikki Gilmer	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
PAMELA BARR	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Patrick Bateman	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Paul Keller	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Paul Simmons	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
RENJAN JOSEPH	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Rob Swann	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	23
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
8	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-4	SAFETY
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Rob Swann	9/4/2025	1		
Why is there no skip/break in the double yellow line for the Twitty Rd intersection. The side street is allowed to make right or left turns onto US 98				
JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1		
We will add break in the double yellow line for the Twitty Rd intersection to allow right or left turns onto US 98 from the side streets.				
Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1		

Make the yellow a 2/4 skip in that area.

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
27	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-4	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	The rumble strips start is not shown correctly. The speed limit change signs are show at approximate station 64+60.00 on both sides of US 98. The rumble strips should start here for all lines of US 98 going east. Both double yellows get the rumble strips. The eastbound double yellow needs the rumbles up to the beginning of the taper to the left turn lane 66+43.00			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will adjust the rumble strip limits.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
28	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-5	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Why is the stop bar on Flame Dr not being replaced withing the ROW.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will replace the stop bar on Flame Dr within the ROW.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
29	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-5	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	The westbound lane double yellow for the gore area from Sta 72+12.00 to 74+40.00 requires rumble strips.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on westbound double yellow lane from Sta. 72+12.00 ti Sta. 74+40.00.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
30	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-5	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Show where the begin/end points are for the rumbles where the free flow right to CR 17 begins for westbound US 98.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on eastbound 6" double yellow line.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
31	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-9	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Where is the stop bar for CR 17 in the FDOT ROW? Also add the 6" white and double yellow lines in the FDOT ROW. If need be make the pay item refurbish if the area is not being milled.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	

We will add the stop bar for CR 17 and the 6" white and double yellow lines in the FDOT ROW.

Rob Swann 9/30/2025 1  
Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
32	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-9	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Add rumble strips to the double yellow for westbound US 98 on the gore from sta. 99+62.00 to 103+30.00			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on westbound 6" double yellow line from sta. 99+62.00 to 103+30.00.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
33	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-10	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Add rumble strips to the double yellow on US 98 on the gore from sta. 108+37 to 112+48.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	Rumble strips are not applicable on westbound 6" double yellow on the gore as per FDM, Figure 210.4.4.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Contact me and I can provide you with FDOT typicals that show this application. I am confident the FDM figure has a typo for this. rob.swann@dot.state.fl.us			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/9/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on westbound 6" double yellow on the gore.			
	Rob Swann	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
34	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-10	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	The DS is 60 MPH, the L1 distance per the FDM 212-1 is 145'. the L1 distance here on S-10 is 132', revise to 145'. Checking back on S-4, that L1 distance is 132', revise that to 145'. In checking the remaining L1 distances in the plans, they are all wrong, revise them all.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will revise the L1 distance at all turn bays based on the design speed.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
35	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-11 and S-12	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	For the tapered pavement in and out to Bay Blossom Drive, shift the 6' white edge line 5' off the edge of pavement so there is a shoulder. This will prevent off tracking in the ROW.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will shift the 6' white edge line 5' off the edge of pavement for the tapered pavement in and out to Bay Blossom Drive			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
36	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-12 and S-13	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	For the wide driveway, extend the 6" white with rumble strips all the way across this driveway.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will extend the 6" white with rumble strips all the way across this driveway.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
37	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-20	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Eastbound lane - from sta. 176+00 to 176+85 edit the double yellow on the gore to show rumble strips. Apply the same from sta. 180+57 to 183+79			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on eastbound 6" double yellow line from sta. 180+57 to 183+79. Rumble strips are not applicable on eastbound 6" double yellow from sta. 176+00 to 176+85 as per FDM, Figure 210.4.4.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Same as previous comments, contact me.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/9/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on eastbound 6" double yellow from Sta. 176+00 to Sta. 176+85.			
	Rob Swann	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
38	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S22 -S24	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Revise the 6" white edge lines with W/R RPMs from 10' OC to 20' OC.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will revise the 6" white edge lines with W/R RPMs from 10' OC to 20' OC.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
39	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-23	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Label the 6" white edge lines on the east and west side of the RR tracks with rumble strips call outs.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will label the 6" white edge lines on the east and west side of the RR tracks with rumble strips call outs.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
40	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-24	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Eastbound lane, label the 6" double yellow on the gore from sta. 204+00 to 206+52 with rumble strips.			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	Rumble strips are not applicable on eastbound 6" double yellow on the gore as per FDM, Figure 210.4.4.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Same as previous comments, contact me.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/9/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on eastbound 6" double yellow on the gore.			
	Rob Swann	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
41	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-25	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Westbound lane, include rumble strips for the label to the 6" double yellow on the gore,			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	Rumble strips are not applicable on westbound 6" double yellow on the gore as per FDM, Figure 210.4.4.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Same as previous comments, contact me.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/9/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on westbound 6" double yellow on the gore.			
	Rob Swann	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
42	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-26	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Westbound and Eastbound lanes, include rumble strips for the label to the 6" double yellow on the gore,			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	Rumble strips are not applicable on westbound 6" double yellow on the gore as per FDM, Figure 210.4.4. Rumble strips are shown on eastbound 6" double yellow on the gore			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Same as previous comments, contact me.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/9/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on westbound 6" double yellow on the gore.			
	Rob Swann	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
43	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-27	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	

For the westbound right turn lane, in the radius, push the edge line out farther off the edge of pavement, make the paved shoulder here about 10', add chevron markings and RPMs. There is a beginning of a trail and a drainage structure that are being run over at the tangent point. There is a lot of space here to keep vehicles off the edge of the road and maintain a wide lane for trailing tires.

JOHN DEWEY 9/30/2025 1

We will adjust the edge lines accordingly.

Rob Swann 9/30/2025 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
44	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-29	SAFETY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	

Westbound lane, include rumble strips for the label to the 6" double yellow on the gore.

JOHN DEWEY 9/30/2025 1

Rumble strips are not applicable on westbound 6" double yellow on the gore as per FDM, Figure 210.4.4.

Rob Swann 9/30/2025 1

Same as previous comments, contact me.

JOHN DEWEY 10/9/2025 1

We will add rumble strips on westbound 6" double yellow on the gore.

Rob Swann 10/13/2025 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
45	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-31	SAFETY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	

Eastbound lane, include rumble strips for the label to the 6" double yellow on the gore,

JOHN DEWEY 9/30/2025 1

Rumble strips are not applicable on eastbound 6" double yellow on the gore as per FDM, Figure 210.4.4.

Rob Swann 9/30/2025 1

Apply previous response. Contact me.

JOHN DEWEY 10/9/2025 1

We will add rumble strips on eastbound 6" double yellow on the gore.

Rob Swann 10/13/2025 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
46	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-32	SAFETY

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	

Eastbound lane, include rumble strips for the label to the 6" double yellow on the gore,

JOHN DEWEY 9/30/2025 1

We will add rumble strips on eastbound 6" double yellow line.

Rob Swann 9/30/2025 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
47	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-36	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Eastbound lane, include rumble strips for the label to the 6" double yellow on the gore,			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	Rumble strips are not applicable on eastbound 6" double yellow on the gore as per FDM, Figure 210.4.4.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Same as previous comment, contact me.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/9/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on eastbound 6" double yellow on the gore.			
	Rob Swann	10/13/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
48	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		S-37	SAFETY
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Rob Swann	9/17/2025	1	
	Eastbound lane, include rumble strips for the label to the 6" double yellow on the gore,			
	JOHN DEWEY	9/30/2025	1	
	We will add rumble strips on eastbound 6" double yellow line.			
	Rob Swann	9/30/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Ryan Molloy	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Ryan Weeks	CONSULTANT PROJECT MANAGER	10/9/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Samantha Ervin	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Sara Stevenson	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Sarah Stevens	REVIEWER	10/2/2025	ACTIVE	4

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
60	COMMENT AGREED WITH		Sheet 1, S-1	RAILROAD
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Sarah Stevens	10/1/2025	1	
	Please edit the Railroad Crossing information to 6276234U, RRMP AVC 881.82			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	Agree, will edit the crossing information as shown in the comment.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
61	COMMENT AGREED WITH		Sheet 19	RAILROAD
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Sarah Stevens	10/1/2025	1	
	Please add Railroad notes to the general notes. They will be emailed separately to PM.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	Agree, notes have been received, will include in the plans.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
62	COMMENT AGREED WITH		Sheet 25	RAILROAD
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Sarah Stevens	10/1/2025	1	
	Please show dementions for SCFE Right of Way and show center of tracks. Also please correct the callout to show crossing number 627623U, RRMP 881.82.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	Agree, will need to show approximate RW limits, as RW mapping is not included in the scope of this POP project. Will correct the crossing number.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
63	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet S-23	RAILROAD
	<b>Created By</b>	<b>Created On</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Delegate For</b>
	Sarah Stevens	10/1/2025	1	
	1. Please show dementions for SCFE Right of Way and show center of tracks. 2. Also please correct the callout to show crossing number 627623U, RRMP 881.82. 3. Please show 4 ft offside from rails for the start of the dynamic envelope.			
	JOHN DEWEY	10/7/2025	1	
	Agree, will show approximate dimensions of SCFE ROW. Will correct the crossing number, and will dimension 4 ft offset from rails for RDE.			
	Sarah Stevens	10/8/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Scott Ellis	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Sergio Figueroa	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Sharon Hedrick Harris	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Shiva Moonian	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Stacy Hill	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Steven Davis	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Stu Myers	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Tanya Merkle	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Tia Norman	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
4	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS

Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
Tia Norman	8/26/2025	1	

Based on review of the Phase II plans, this project qualifies for an exemption from SFWMD permitting under Chapter 62-330.051(4)(d), F.A.C. The project is also exempt from Section 404 permitting.

It appears that the project will not exceed the 1.0-acre threshold for erodible surfaces; therefore, a NPDES Permit will not be required.

A formal "No Permits Required" memo will be provided.

JOHN DEWEY	9/29/2025	1	
------------	-----------	---	--

Agree, thank you.

Tia Norman	9/29/2025	1	
------------	-----------	---	--

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Timmy Meeks	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Todd Boehmer	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
VIVIANNE PENA RODRIGUEZ	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wadeana Beveridge	IN-HOUSE PROJECT MANAGER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Walter Breuggeman	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wayne Gaither	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wayne Harris	REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wayne Shelton	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wendy Sands	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wilfredo Alfonzo	LEAD REVIEWER	9/18/2025	ACTIVE	0