

Submittal Report

Financial Project:	447887-1	Submittal Type:	PLANS
Submittal Phase:	PHASE IV	Submittal Staff Type:	CONSULTANT
Received Date:	5/19/2025	Response Due Date:	7/15/2025
Grace Period:	0	District:	FIRST
Status:	OPEN	Create Date:	5/19/2025
Create User Id:	RD158JF	Last Update:	5/19/2025
		Last Update User Id:	PG132JH

Description:

This email shall serve as a transmittal letter for a Phase IV plans Review. Please provide Phase IV comments for this project.

This project is goes-with to 449122-1

This project is located in Sarasota County.

The following component sets have been included in this Phase IV submittal:

- **Roadway Plans
- **Signing and Pavement Marking Plans.
- **Signalization Plans
- **Lighting Plans

The following documentation has been included with the submittal:

- **Plans Update Memo (Changes since Phase IIR)
- **Utility Conflict Matrix - Final
- **Google Earth KMZ file
- **Transport project edit report

Plans and supporting documents can be found in the ERC under the Documents tab or on the local server at the following location:

ERP: S:\Design\CADD\ERP\44788715201\PhaseIV

PSEE link: <https://projectsuite.dot.state.fl.us/Pages/Project/Project.aspx?item=447887&itemSeg=1>

Threads:

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Abra Horne	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Agnimitra Sengupta	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Amy Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Belinda Thomas	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Blake Stallworth	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Brent Setchell	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Casey Schley	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Cassie Lee		LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Chris Coughlin		LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Chris Stafford		REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Christopher Forestt		LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
2	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Contamination Technical Memorandum	CONTAMINATION	
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	Christopher Forestt	5/20/2025	1		
	There are contamination-related impacts to dewatering during construction of this project.				
	Please review the attached Contamination Technical Memorandum and make the requested revisions presented in the document (remove markings and keep NPDES note).				
	The D1 EMO has uploaded a separate Contamination Memorandum to the FDOT's FTP site for the bidding contractors to review.				
	The Goes-With FPID has similar memorandums for the Design Team and Contractor.				
	Thank you.				
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1		
	Will add the requested contamination note to the General Notes in the final roadway plan set.				
	Christopher Forestt	7/15/2025	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Clifton Johnson		LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Daniel Buidens		LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Darryl Richard		LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
DAVE HAY		REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
9	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 19	ROADWAY	
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
	DAVE HAY	6/4/2025	1		
	There is a callout to "Const.4" Conc. Sidewalk" with the arrow pointing to what is to be 6" sidewalk per the Legend.				
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1		
	This callout is referring to the sidewalk width (4') and not the concrete thickness.				
	DAVE HAY	7/21/2025	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
David Adams		REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Earl Taylor	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Edward Stevens	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Elizabeth Sofsky	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Eric Reese	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Garfield Howell	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	2
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
34	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			LIGHTING/ELECTRICAL
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Garfield Howell	6/17/2025	1	
	Please consider providing the lighting analysis for proposed midblock crossing.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	The documents were provided in the Lighting subfolder in the Design Documentation folder. The Lighting Memo is also attached for your reference.			
	Garfield Howell	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
35	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Garfield Howell	6/17/2025	1	
	Please consider adding pay item 633-6			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Pay item 633-6 is included in the Lead project (FPID 449122-1-52-01) per BOE instructions.			
	Garfield Howell	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Gena Batman	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	2
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
7	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ESTIMATES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Gena Batman	6/3/2025	1	
	FDOT PM needs to coordinate with Work Program to get the federal ID number assigned to the Phase 52.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	We will coordinate with the FDOT PM to get the federal ID assigned.			
	Gena Batman	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
8	COMMENT AGREED WITH			ESTIMATES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Gena Batman	6/3/2025	1	
	Phase 52 Construction Cost is \$3,130,130.21 PDC based on Trns*Port quantities. See attached file.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Thank you for providing the estimate.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Gregory Bohde	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Gregory Bowne	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Harley Davidson	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
J.J. Wren	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
JACOB MCCLISH	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	6

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
36	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	JACOB MCCLISH		DRAINAGE
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	JACOB MCCLISH	6/17/2025	1	
	No Drainage Design Documentation provided. Calculations for spread, storm tabs should be evaluated for the proposed drainage improvements.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	The Drainage Tech Memo was included in the submittal under design documentation and is also attached with this response. This includes calculations for spread, storm tabs and other information on the proposed drainage improvements for both FPIDs 447887-1-52-01 and 449122-1-52-01. Please review provide follow up comments as necessary.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
37	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 7	DRAINAGE
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	JACOB MCCLISH	6/17/2025	1	
	Proposed structures S-3 and S-3A are drawn in gray and labeled in the Roadway Plan sheet, but these structures are not shown in the Drainage Structures sheets or the Structure Data table. Structure S-9 is also drawn with gray linework in the Roadway Plan sheet, but S-9 is drawn in black line work in the Drainage Structures sheet (2) and info is provided in the drainage data tables. Please reconcile.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Structures S-3 and S-3A are part of the 449122-1-52-01 project and the labels for proposed structures on this project will be removed. The structure cells will remain gray with solid linework. S-9 is drawn with black linework in both the Roadway Plan and Drainage Structures sheet, and a label will be added to the Roadway Plan sheet.			
	JACOB MCCLISH	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
38	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 19	DRAINAGE
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	JACOB MCCLISH	6/17/2025	1	
	The proposed grading information shows the pedestrian refuge in the median near 95+00 will grade from NE to SW. This grading requires runoff to maneuver around several bends and the proposed signal pole foundation at minimal grades which could leave this area prone to sedimentation and/or ponding. To limit these potential issues, consider providing a high point in the pedestrian refuge near the signal pole foundation and grade half of the refuge to drain NE toward the SR 72 WB travel lanes and the other half of the refuge to drain SW toward the SR 72 EB travel lanes.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Agreed. Will revise grading at this location.			
	JACOB MCCLISH	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
39	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 20	DRAINAGE
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	JACOB MCCLISH	6/17/2025	1	
	The plans propose new Type 5 curb inlets for S-6, S-7 and S-8. The SPI for Index 425-020 through 425-025 indicates Types 1 through 4 are preferred and that Type 5 and 6 inlets are only to be used when limited ROW or potential utility conflicts would prevent the use of inlet Types 1 through 4. Consider revising these three structures to Type 1 curb inlets unless there is a compelling reason to use Type 5 inlets.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Due to the limited R/W, significant utilities within the corridor, and to remain consistent with existing drainage within the corridor the preference is to leave Type 5 / 6 inlets. We will coordinate with District Drainage regarding this approach.			
	JACOB MCCLISH	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
40	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 20 to 22	DRAINAGE
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	JACOB MCCLISH	6/17/2025	1	
	The Drainage Slot Details in the Drainage Structures sheets calls for 15" wide drainage slots. Consider increasing the slot widths to 2 feet to minimize potential sedimentation and clogging.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Considering the similar drainage joint detail found in index 520-020 only provides a 5" opening, the 15" opening paired with the proposed radius at the slot locations should provide adequate flow without sedimentation and clogging.			
	JACOB MCCLISH	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
41	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet No. 24	DRAINAGE
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	JACOB MCCLISH	6/17/2025	1	
	In the Structure Data table, revise the Structure Type for S-2 to be a Curb Inlet Type J-7.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Agreed. Will note Curb Inlet Type J-7 in the data table.			
	JACOB MCCLISH	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jason Mobsby	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
JASON NEWTON	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Jeffrey James	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jennifer Freeman	IN-HOUSE PROJECT MANAGER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jhamaul Hanner	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Jimmy Vilce	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
John Kubicki	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Joseph Glorioso	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
5	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		UAS incomplete.	UTILITIES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Joseph Glorioso	6/2/2025	1	
	The Utility Adjustment Sheet are incomplete; Sarasota Utilities and Florida Power & Light are not depicted. Therefore, DUO will not be sending this out to The UAOs. Thank you.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Coordination with Sarasota County is on-going. As soon as the final UWS and RBGs are received and satisfactory, a PH IV Revised plan submittal will be sent to the UAOs.			
	Joseph Glorioso	7/17/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Julia Holtzhausen	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	5
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
29	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Julia Holtzhausen		ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Julia Holtzhausen	6/17/2025	1	
	This project will require an environmental certification from the Environmental Management Office (EMO). Please contact Kristin Caruso (kristin.caruso@dot.state.fl.us) in EMO to provide the Environmental Determination Worksheet (EDW) on the Phase IV plans submittal date. On the EDW, please fill out the top portion and then responses to questions #1 through #6, and include a project location map. The EMO Dept. will fill-out remaining information. According to the current production schedule, this project is scheduled to go to Production on November 5, 2025. Environmental certifications are typically issued within 2 weeks prior to the production date. Please advise EMO if the production schedule for this project changes. EMO will continue to review this project during subsequent phase reviews for environmental involvement.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	The EDW worksheet will be filled out and provided to Kristin Caruso for review.			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
30	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Julia Holtzhausen		ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Julia Holtzhausen	6/17/2025	1	
	Section 7-1.4: Compliance with Federal Endangered Species Act and other Wildlife Regulations of the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction manual should be adhered to for wildlife involvement during construction. This section addresses what the contractor must do in the event of unanticipated interactions with protected species and the requirement to notify the FDOT a minimum of 30 days in advance of using an off-site area for ancillary construction needs (e.g. staging, borrow-pits).			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Noted. Thank you for your review.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
31	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Julia Holtzhausen		ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Julia Holtzhausen	6/17/2025	1	
	It appears there are wetlands and surface waters within and/or adjacent to the project limits (between Bessie and St. Louis Ave). Please show the limits of the wetlands and/or surface waters and label on the plans to determine the potential involvement with this resource. Contact the District Permits Coordinator by this ERC response due date to determine if permits and wetland mitigation are required.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	The wetlands are shown on the roadway plans for 449122-1. There are proposed impacts to the wetlands / surface waters.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
32	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Julia Holtzhausen		ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Julia Holtzhausen	6/17/2025	1	
	The project is located within the consultation area and/or focal area for the Florida bonneted bat. Based on the location of this project and proposed construction activities, a survey for this species may be required.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Noted. The consultant team did not receive scope/hours to perform this service. Understood that this survey will be performed by FDOT if required.			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
33	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Julia Holtzhausen		ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Julia Holtzhausen	6/17/2025	1	
	Project elements include (clearing and grubbing, paving, etc.) within pervious surface areas which could support the species. Please respond with information if any trees will be removed since some trees are potential bonneted bat roosting trees, and tree removal could trigger a bonneted bat survey.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	The trees within the median where medians are being added will be removed.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kaitlin Phillips	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	2

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
18	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			TRANSIT
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Kaitlin Phillips	6/11/2025	1	
	Roadway Plans – Please consider providing boarding and alighting pads, per FDM 225.2, at the existing transit stops within the project limits. (Ex. Sheet 7 – Transit stop located near STA 57+85 RT)			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	The sidewalk width varies between 6-8 ft. The width of the sidewalk has been maximized based on the available right of way width, most of the stops meet the boarding and alighting criteria.			
	Kaitlin Phillips	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
19	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			TRANSIT
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Kaitlin Phillips	6/11/2025	1	
	Signing and Pavement Marking Plans – Please consider showing existing transit stop signs and label relocation as needed. (Ex. Sheet S-3 – STA 57+85 RT)			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Existing Transit signs will be shown on FPID 449122-1-52-01 and relocated as needed.			
	Kaitlin Phillips	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Karina Della Sera	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Katharine Causey	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kayla Sapp	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	3
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
11	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 27	CONSTRUCTION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Kayla Sapp	6/5/2025	1	
	There are no provisions for lane closures to 1) set deep structures such as S-7a and 2) place concrete separator during the day 3) cure the concrete separator. Consider adding them or low-profile barrier, etc.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	We will evaluate a subphase for the deep structure construction. Given the high traffic volumes we will coordinate with the District to determine the appropriate construction duration for this effort (shooting for a couple of days - ideally specific days with less traffic that can be detailed in a note). We would like to avoid the need for barrier wall placement while restricting traffic to a single lane.			
	Kayla Sapp	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
12	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet T-3	SIGNALIZATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Kayla Sapp	6/5/2025	1	
	With the use of 639-1 and 639-2 items, 639-8 will also be needed. Please include.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	We will coordinate with the District Utility Engineer for approval of this pay item and the District Estimates team for WSP.			
	Kayla Sapp	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
13	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet T-3	SIGNALIZATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Kayla Sapp	6/5/2025	1	
	Note 2 references James Nichols as the contact. Please update as he is retired.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Note 2 will be updated.			
	Kayla Sapp	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Keith Robbins	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
KEN STOKES	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kisan Patel	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kristin Caruso	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Kyle Purvis	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments

Lawrence Zagardo	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Lisa Sheets	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
4	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Lisa Sheets	5/30/2025	1		
It appears that the project will not exceed the 1.0 acre threshold for erodible surfaces, therefore a NPDES Permit will not be required. Please verify.				
If there have been any changes or added activities proposed that will now require a permit, please discuss with the Permits Office (Nicole Monies). Activities included but not limited to: keyhole & sidewalk additions or widening, additional impacts to the SWMS (ditches), expanding the limits of construction, or impacting wetlands that were previously unaffected, ect.				
Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1		
he total clearing and grubbing quantity in the EQR exceeds 1.0 acre for this project.				
Lisa Sheets	7/15/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Every	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Peronto	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Mark Roberts	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	3
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
15	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Signalization Plans, IT-3	INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Mark Roberts	6/9/2025	1		
As required by Section 942.3.2 of the FDM, place an ITS legend (i.e., symbol and description) on the General Notes sheet.				
Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1		
An ITS legend will be added to the General Notes sheet.				
Mark Roberts	7/17/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
16	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Signalization ITS Plan, Sheet T-8	INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Mark Roberts	6/9/2025	1		
Please label the existing Sarasota County FOC as the existing Sarasota County ATMS FOC.				
Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1		
The label will be updated to Sarasota County ATMS FOC.				
Mark Roberts	7/17/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
17	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Signalization Plans, Sheet T-9	INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Mark Roberts	6/9/2025	1	
	Please confirm the splicing diagram was coordinated with Sarasota County.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	The splicing diagram has been coordinate with Sarasota County.			
	Mark Roberts	7/17/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Marlene Hebert	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Marshall Douberley	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
1	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Sheet 27	TRAFFIC CONTROL
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Marshall Douberley	5/19/2025	1	
	"Dual Lane Closures" By looking at Google Maps there are very few Open Lane Closures past the 600 feet of an intersection. Please consider having the lane closures times in line within 600 feet of an intersection.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Lane closure time will be adjusted to be in line with in 600 ft of intersection.			
	Marshall Douberley	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Martin Smith	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Matthew A. Miller	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Matthew Litteral	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
10	COMMENT AGREED WITH			CULTURAL RESOURCES,ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFF.
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Matthew Litteral	6/5/2025	1	
	Phase IV plans (dated May 2025) were compared to Phase II plans (dated February 2024). There are no substantial changes to the scope of work. This project qualifies as a minor project under the FDOT/ Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Programmatic Agreement (PA) (Stipulation VI). A Minor Project Notification Form was prepared that included this project with FPID 449122-1. The document was submitted on April 4, 2024 to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO). No further cultural resource coordination is needed unless there are changes to the scope of work and/or right-of-way requirements.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Thank you for your review.			

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Megan Arp	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Megan Lerner	CONSULTANT PROJECT MANAGER	7/15/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Meghan Croft	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Melissa Slater	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Michael Rima	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
14	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			MAINTENANCE
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Michael Rima	6/9/2025	1		
	For all intersections where new Traffic Signal Mast Arms are being constructed, or 50% or more of the existing mast arms are being replaced, please reach out to either Douglas Torres or Michael Rima at the DSMO to have a new structure number assigned to the intersection and an initial inspection scheduled.			
Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1		
	The only new mast arm under this FPID is for the new mid-block crossing at Sienna Park. We received TSMA Numbers on 05/27/2025, and the structure number will be included in the next submittal.			
Michael Rima	7/21/2025	1		
	Thank you			
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
MICHAEL SHERRON	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
MICHAEL VAUGHN	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nathan Poole	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Neal Turner	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
3	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		T-3	SIGNALIZATION
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Neal Turner	5/21/2025	1		
	Under General Notes item 3: Contact should be Manatee Operations center, not Bartow Operations			
Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1		
	General Note 3 will be revised.			
Neal Turner	7/16/2025	1		
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nicole Monies	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Nikki Gilmer	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Patrick Bateman	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Paul Simmons	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Ramasamy Venkatesan	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	2
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
26	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Ramasamy Venkatesan		GEOTECH/MATERIALS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	6/13/2025	1	
	I see a soil survey sheet provided in the plans. But I don't see any borings shown in the cross sections.			
	Include a geotech report done in association with this project as part of the project submittals.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	A Roadway Soil Survey sheet (Sheet No. 25) and Report of Core Borings (Sheet No. 26) were in the Roadway Plans included to provide soil and groundwater information associated with the proposed drainage structure improvements. Due to only a few number of borings performed, borings were shown on Report of Core Borings sheets instead of Boring Cross Section sheets in accordance with FDM 920.3.1. The Geotechnical Reports were included with the project submittal in the Design Documentation/Geotechnical folder and is also attached for your reference.			
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
27	RESPONSE SUBMITTED	Ramasamy Venkatesan		GEOTECH/MATERIALS
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Ramasamy Venkatesan	6/13/2025	1	
	Suggest Final QC review of the plans sets as it pertains to the geotech, to comply with the SFH guidelines by the geotech consultant.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Plan sets were QC reviewed by the geotechnical engineer prior to the submittal. QC documentation in accordance with SFH section 9.6 will be submitted at the next submittal.			
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Renjan Joseph	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Richard Ryals	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	6
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
20	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Typical Sections	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Richard Ryals	6/12/2025	1	
	Typical Sections:			
	Sheet 4:			
	a. Asphalt Base Curb Pad leader is pointing to the curb instead of the curb pad.			
	b. Type B Stabilization is loaded in Trns*Port but is not shown on the Typical Section. Please check and add notes and/or details to clarify where Type B Stabilization will be used.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	a. Will adjust the leader to point to the asphalt curb pad.			
	b. Will update the widening / pavement restoration details to include new Type B Stabilization.			
	Richard Ryals	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
21	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		General Notes	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Richard Ryals	6/12/2025	1	
	Sheet 6: Phase IV Notes For Reviewers: Note 1: This note indicates that the Phase IIR comment about the control radii to set the median and traffic separator noses will not be addressed and control radii will not be shown on the Roadway Plan sheets. Please see additional comment on the Roadway Plans.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	The control radii will be added to the plans.			
	Richard Ryals	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
22	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Roadway Plans	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Richard Ryals	6/12/2025	1	
	Sheets 7-9: The Phase IIR comment about the control radii to set the median and traffic separator noses was not addressed. The purpose of the control radii in the roadway plans is to assist in setting the nose locations for the traffic separators. The end of the traffic separators should be at the PC or PT of the control radius. The turning radii depicted in the SAPM plans are not tangent at the traffic separator noses, which indicates the noses are not correctly set for the radii shown. Please see FDM 212.9 and reconsider including control radii at the median openings to check the median and traffic separator nose locations.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	The control radii will be added to the plans.			
	Richard Ryals	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
23	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Roadway Plan Markup	ROADWAY
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Richard Ryals	6/12/2025	1	
	Attached is the marked-up plan set for your reference. Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments. Richard Ryals Florida Department of Transportation D1 Richard.Ryals@dot.state.fl.us			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	
	Thank you for providing the markups.			
	Richard Ryals	7/16/2025	1	
	Response Accepted & Comment Closed			

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
24	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Estimated Quantities Report	ESTIMATES
	Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For
	Richard Ryals	6/12/2025	1	
	Estimated Quantities Report: Summary of Temporary Traffic Control Plan Items: 447887-1 shows 120 DA and 449122-1 shows 280 DA. Please coordinate with Larry Zagardo in the FDOT District 1 Construction Office to establish contract days for the total goes/with contract. ED pay item quantities need to be calculated for the total contract time to avoid quantity overruns during construction.			
	Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1	

Larry Zagardo will be contacted to establish the contract days.

Richard Ryals 7/16/2025 1

Response Accepted & Comment Closed

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
25	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		EQR Markup	ESTIMATES
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Richard Ryals	6/12/2025	1		
Attached is the marked-up estimated quantities report for your reference.				
Please feel free to contact me if you want to discuss any of the comments.				
Richard Ryals Florida Department of Transportation D1 Richard.Ryals@dot.state.fl.us				
Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1		
Thank you for providing the markups.				
Richard Ryals	7/16/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Robert Forand	REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1

No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories
28	RESPONSE ACCEPTED		Mast Arm Calculations Page 2	STRUCTURES
Created By	Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Robert Forand	6/17/2025	1		
The distance entered in the mast arm calculations for the first sign panel of Arm 1 is shown as 18.7'. As per the location shown in the signalization plans, it should be input as 20.0' - 23"/12 = 18.1'. Verify.				
Megan Lerner	7/15/2025	1		
We will verify the location of each sign and revise accordingly.				
Robert Forand	7/15/2025	1		
Response Accepted & Comment Closed				

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Ryan Molloy	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
-------------	---------------	-----------	--------	---

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Samantha Ervin	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
----------------	---------------	-----------	--------	----

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Scott Ellis	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
-------------	---------------	-----------	--------	---

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Sergio Figueroa	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
-----------------	---------------	-----------	--------	---

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Shiva Moonian	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
---------------	---------------	-----------	--------	---

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Stu Myers	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0
-----------	---------------	-----------	--------	---

Name	Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
------	------------	----------	--------	----------

Todd Boehmer	LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
--------------	---------------	-----------	--------	----

Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Vivianne Pena		REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	1
No	Status	Current Holder	Reference	Categories	
6	RESPONSE ACCEPTED			ACCESS MANAGEMENT	
Created By		Created On	Version	Delegate For	
Vivianne Pena		6/2/2025	1	Please be advised that Access permit #2024-A-194-00007 has been approved within the limits of your project. For additional information please contact Valerie Everts, Permit Coordinator, Valerie.Everts@dot.state.fl.us, 941-708-4433.	
Megan Lerner		7/15/2025	1	The Access Permit Documents have been requested. We will review the permit for consistency with the design.	
Vivianne Pena		7/15/2025	1	Response Accepted & Comment Closed	

Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wayne Shelton		LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0*
Name		Assignment	Due Date	Status	Comments
Wendy Sands		LEAD REVIEWER	6/17/2025	ACTIVE	0